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#### Abstract

The taxonomy of the Leptophlebiidae, the largest family of Ephemeroptera (mayflies) in Australia, is poorly known despite a relative proliferation of work in the last decade or so. Deficiencies in taxonomic knowledge have hindered ecological studies and use of mayflies as bioindicators. The objectives of this project were to revise the taxonomy of a problematical group of species and investigate their ecology by detailing distribution and habitat preferences. Further, examination of the evolutionary relationships (phylogeny) of the Australian Leptophlebiidae was used to confirm the taxonomic findings and elucidate the hitherto unknown evolutionary relationships at the familial level.

Extensive collecting and rearing of mayflies throughout south eastern Australia was undertaken to obtain the associated nymph and adult material required for taxonomic revision. The rearing process was accompanied by the design and evaluation of a new rearing chamber.

The taxonomic revision of two closely related, morphologically similar and possibly congeneric taxa was undertaken on the subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) Campbell and Suter and the genus Koorrnonga Campbell and Suter. The revision established consistent morphological variations between the two taxa, specifically in regard to the hindwing, penes, egg and labrum, leading to the conclusion that the taxa are not congeneric. As a result of the revision of the subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) five species are now included; one of which is new to science. Three morphospecies, apparently endemic to Tasmania, have also been described but remain unnamed due to lack of adult material. In addition to this, three species previously thought to belong in the subgenus have been removed and are considered as belonging to two new genera: Montikola containing two new species (one newly described), and the monotypic Gemmayaluka. Revision of the genus Koorrnonga resulted in synonymization with the genus Thraulophlebia Demoulin which was found to have priority. All species were therefore transferred to Thraulophlebia which now contains four species. Keys, drawings, scanning electron micrographs and, in some instances colour photographs, are provided for all species.


Haibitat preferences for the revised species were examined and, for two species of Nousia (Australonousia) for which sufficient data were available, factors determining geographical distributions were explored using rapid bioassessment techniques and logistic regression. Environmental variables apparently affecting distribution differed considerably between the two species with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli showing a preference for high altitude cool streams and Nousia (Australonousia) fusca tolerating a wider range of conditions but
being less frequent at the higher altitudes. Evaluation of the technique, however, indicated that the predictive power could probably be improved by measurements of additional environmental variables.

Lastly evolutionary relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiidae, perceived as a large gap in the knowledige of Gondwanan Leptophlebiid phylogeny, were studied using cladistic analysis. Outgroup material was provided primarily by the sister group Ephemeroidea. Thirty-four phylogenetically informative characters were identified and used to produce a strict consensus tree from the three most parsimonious trees initially produced. A high consistency and retention index indicated evidence of true evolutionary relationships within the ingroup. Comparisons of the cladogram with the relationships elucidated for other Gondwanan Leptophlebiid fauna reveal that the Australian fauna does not readily fit into the evolutionary lineages previously proposed and that these may need to be reconsidered. This work should be considered as a contribution to the development of an inclusive Gondwanan Leptophiebiid phylogeny which has never before been attempted.
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| 67 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, eggs taken from female imago. SEM of close up of egg chorion. Individual from Steavensons River, Marysville, Victoria ( 98021504 ). Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 36 |
| 68 | Male subimago of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca. Reared from nymph collected from Rubicon River, Rubicon, Victoria (98101701). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 37 |
| 69 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male subimago. SEM of dorsal view of penes which are not yet fully developed. Individual from Victoria River, Alpine National Park, Victoria ( 96111901 ). Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 38 |
| 70 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male subimago. SEM of ventral view of penes which are not yet fuily developed. Individual from Victoria River, Alpine National Park, Victoria (96111901). Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 38 |
| 71 | Female imago of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca. Collected from Rubicon River, Rubicon, Victoria ( 98101701 ). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 39 |
| 72 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, female nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 40 |


| 73 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthpart. Labrum and clypeus showing straight anterior margin of labrum. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus showing slight antero-median emargination of labrum. Scale bar = $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 41 |
| 75 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthpart. Left mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 42 |
| 76 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthpart. Right mandible. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 42 |
| 77 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthpart. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 43 |
| 78 | Nousia (Ausitralonousia) fusca, nymph mouthpart. Terminal palp of maxilla showing apical spine. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 43 |
| 79 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthpart. Hypopharynx. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 43 |
| 80 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=$ $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 44 |
| 81 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthpart. Dorsal view of labial terminal palp showing apical spines. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 44 |
| 82 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 45 |
| 83 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar = $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 46 |
| 84 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph. Gills, lateral tracheae strongly developed. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 46 |
| 85 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph. Gills, lateral tracheae weakly developed. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 46 |
| 86 | Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer. Ventral view of male imago genitalia. Drawn from temporary slide mount of paralectotype. Specimen collected from Cedar Creek, Queensland, Mjöberg Expecition to Australia, 1910-1913 (SMNH). | 47 |
| 87 | Atalonella delicatula (Tillyard). Ventral view of male imago genitalia. Drawn from slide mount. Specimen collected from Meander River, Tasmania by David Scholes, 09 i 1960 (ANIC). | 47 |
| 88 | रoorrnonga brunnea (Tillyard). Ventral view of male imago genitalia. Drawn from temporary slide mount of holotype. Specimen collected from Clarendon, Tasmania by Eric Scott, 09 iii 1933 (NHM). | 47 |


| 89 | Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer. Ventral view of male genitalia. Reproduction of a drawing from Ulmer (1919). | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | Atalophlebia delicatula Tillyard. Dorsal view of male imago genitalia. Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936). | 49 |
| 91 | Atalophlebia brunnea Tillyard. Ventral view (?) of male imago genitalia. Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936). | 49 |
| 92 | Atalophlebia delicatula Tillyard. Male imago forewing. Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936). | 50 |
| 93 | Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer (labelled as Thraulus dentatus). Male imago forewing. Reproduction of a drawing from Ulmer (1916). | 50 |
| 94 | Atalophlebia brunnea Tillyard. Male imago forewing. Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936). | 50 |
| 95 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - OTWAYS. Grey River, Otway Ranges, Victoria ( 87030101 ). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 51 |
| 96 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - OTWAYS. Carisbrook Creek, Great Ocean Road, Victoria (97030203). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 51 |
| 97 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - GRAMPIANS. Gulf Stream, Grampians National Park, Victoria (99011603). Photo by N. Ainsworth. | 52 |
| 98 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - GRAMPIANS. Fyans Creek, Halls Gap, Victoria ( 99011608 ). Photo by N. Ainsworth. | 52 |
| 99 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - TASMANIA. Lake River, 20km S of Perth, Tasmania (96121702). Photo by J. Griggs. | 53 |
| 100 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat -TASMANIA. Dee River, 10km NW of Ouse, Tasmania (96121903). Photo by J. Griggs. | 53 |
| 101 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - HIGH ALTITUDE. Thredbo River, Thredbo, New South Wales (97120204). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 54 |
| 102 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - HIGH ALTITUDE. Eucumbene River, Kosciusko National Park, New South Wales (97120104). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 54 |
| 103 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - "THE REST" OF VICTORIA. Rubicon River, Rubicon, Victoria ( 98101701 ). Photo by N. Ainsworth. | 55 |
| 104 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat ~ "THE REST" OF VICTORIA. Clematis Creek, Dandenong Ranges, Victoria (97032401). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 55 |
| 105 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - "THE REST" OF VICTORIA. Moroka River, Alpine National Park, Victoria (97020603). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 56 |


| 106 | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - "THE REST" OF VICTORIA. Jingalgalla River, Dellicknora, Victoria (99021402). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107 | Atalonella darkara Harker. Female subimago forewing. Reproduction of a drawing from Harker (1957b). | 57 |
| 108 | Atalonella darkara Harker. Nymph labrum. Reproduction of a drawing from Harker (1957b). | 57 |
| 109 | Atalophlebia fuscula Tillyard. Dorsal view of male genitalia. Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936). | 58 |
| 110 | Nousia fuscula (Tillyard). Dorsal view of male genitalia. Reproduction of a drawing from Suter (1986). | 58 |
| 111 | Atalonella fuscula (Tillyard). Dorsal view of male genitalia. Drawn from temporary slide mount. Specimen collected from North Esk River, Tasmania by David Scholes, 18 ii 1960 (ANIC). | 58 |
| 112 | Nousia fuscula (Tillyard). Lateral view of female imago segments six to nine. Arrow indicates location of small genital extension at sternum seven. Reproduction of a drawing from Suter (1986). | 59 |
| 113 | Nousia fuscula (Tillyard). Egg from female imago. Reproduction of an SEM from Suter (1986). | 59 |
| 114 | Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula, eggs taken from female imago. SEM of whole egg. Individual from Tookayerta Creek, South Australia (95051200). Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 60 |
| 115 | Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula, eggs taken from female imago. SEM of close up of egg chorion. Individual from Tookayerta Creek, South Australia (95051200). Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 60 |
| 116 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli. Type locality, Taggerty River, outside Marysville, Victoria (98101704). Photo by K.J. Finlay. | 61 |
| 117 | Distribution of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli. | 62 |
| 118 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 63 |
| 119 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 63 |
| 120 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago. Hindwing. Scale bar = $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 63 |
| 121 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago. Dorsal view of abdomen. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 64 |
| 122 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 65 |


| 123 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago. Ventral view of genitalia <br> showing penes. Scale bar = 200,um. | 65 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 124 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago. SEM of dorsal view of <br> penes. Individual from Loch River, Noojee, Victoria (98020903). Scale <br> bar = 50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. | 66 |
| 125 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago. SEM of ventral view of <br> penes. Individual from Loch River, Noojee, Victoria (98020903). Scale <br> bar = 100 | 6 m. |


| 139 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=$ $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, nymph: Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 74 |
| 141 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, nymph. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=$ $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 75 |
| 142 | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, nymph. Gills. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 75 |
| 143 | Distribution of Nolisia sp. "AV5". | 76 |
| 144 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", female nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 77 |
| 145 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 78 |
| 146 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph mouthpart. Let: mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 78 |
| 147 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph mouthpart. Right mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 78 |
| 148 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp, "AV5", nymph mouthpart. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 79 |
| 149 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph mouthpart. Hypopharynx. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 79 |
| 150 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 80 |
| 151 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar = $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 81 |
| 152 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 82 |
| 153 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph. Gills. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 82 |
| 154 | Distribution of No!: sia sp. "AV7". | 83 |
| 155 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", male imago. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 84 |
| 156 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", female nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 85 |
| 157 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 86 |
| 158 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph mouthpart. Left mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 86 |
| 159 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph mouthpart. Right mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 86 |
| 160 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph mouthpart. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 87 |


| 161 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph mouthpart. Hypopharynx. Scaie bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 88 |
| 163 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar $\approx$ $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 89 |
| 164 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph. Foreleg tarsa! claw. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 90 |
| 165 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph. Gills. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 90 |
| 166 | Distribution of Nousia sp. "AV8". | 91 |
| 167 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", male nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 92 |
| 168 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 93 |
| 169 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthpart. Denticles on anterior margin of labrum. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 93 |
| 170 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthpart. Left mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 94 |
| 171 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthpart. Right mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 94 |
| 172 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthpart. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 95 |
| 173 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthpart. Hypopharynx. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 35 |
| 174 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 96 |
| 175 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar = $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 97 |
| 176 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 98 |
| 177 | Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph. Gills. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 98 |
| 178 | Distribution of the genus Thraulophlebia. | 99 |
| 179 | Distribution of Thraulophlebia iucida. | 100 |
| 180 | Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar = 50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. | 101 |
| 181 | Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 101 |
| 182 | Thraulophiebia lucida, male imago. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 101 |


| 183 | Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago. Dorsal view of abdomen. Scale bar <br> =1mm. | 102 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 184 | Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago. Dorsal view of genitalia showing <br> penes and forceps. Scale bar = 200 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. | 103 |
| 185 | Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago. Ventral view of genitalia showing <br> penes. Scale bar = 200 | 103 |
| 186 | Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago. SEM of dorsal view of genitalia <br> showing penes and forceps. Individual from Gulf Stream, Grampians <br> National Park, Victoria (00122001). Scale bar = 100 | 104. |


| 198 | Thraulophlebia /ucida, nymph mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 199 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph mouthpart. Left mandible. Scale bar $=$ $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 108 |
| 200 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph mouthpart. Right mandible. Scale bar $=$ $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 108 |
| 201 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nym: ; mouthpart. Right maxilla. Scale bar = $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 109 |
| 202 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph mouthpar. Terminal palp of maxilla showing apical spine. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}$ | 109 |
| 203 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph mouthpart. Hypopharynx. Scale bar = $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 109 |
| 204 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 110 |
| 205 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph mouthpart. Dorsal view of labial terminal palp showing apical spines. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 110 |
| 206 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 111 |
| 207 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph. Fore tibial spines. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 111 |
| 208 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 112 |
| 209 | Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph. Gills. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 112 |
| 210 | Atalophlebia lucida Ulmer. Ventral view of male genitalia. Reproduction of a drawing from Uimer (1919) | 113 |
| 211 | Nousia pilosa Suter. Ventral view of male imago genitalia. Drawn from temporary slide mount of holotype. Specimen collected from Second Wannon River, Victoria by D.N. \& P.J. Suter, 25 xi 1977 (MV). | 114 |
| 212 | Nousia pilosa Suter. Ventral view of male imago geritalia. Reproduction of a drawing from Suter (1986). | 115 |
| 213 | Nousia pilosa Suter. Ventral view of male imago genitalia. Reproduction of an SEM from Suter (1986). | 115 |
| 214 | Distribution of Thraulophlebia inconspicua. | 116 |
| 215 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 117 |
| 216 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago. Forewing showing reiative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 117 |
| 217 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 117 |
| 218 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago. Dorsal view of abdomen. Scale bar $=\mathbf{1 m m}$. | 118 |


| 219 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago. Doisal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 119 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 119 |
| 221 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago. SEM of dorsal view of penes. Individual from Sturt River, Coramandel Valley, South Australia (76042900). Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 120 |
| 222 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago. SEM of ventral view of penes. Individual from Sturt River, Coramandel Valley, South Australia $(76042900)$. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 120 |
| 223 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, female imago. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 121 |
| 224 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, female imago. Hindwing. Scale bar = $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 121 |
| 225 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, female imago. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites seven to nine. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 121 |
| 226 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, eggs taken from fernale imago. SEM of whole egg. Individual from Sturt River, Coramandel Valley, South Australia (76042900). Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 122 |
| 227 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, eggs taken from female imago. SEM of close up of egg chorion. Individual from Sturt River, Coramandel Valley, South Australia (76042900). Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 122 |
| 228 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, female nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 123 |
| 229 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 124 |
| 230 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph mouthpart. Left mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 124 |
| 231 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph mouthpart. Right mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 124 |
| 232 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph mouthpart. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 125 |
| 233 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph mouthpart. Hypopharynx. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 125 |
| 234 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=$ $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 126 |
| 235 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 127 |


| 236 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar = $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 237 | Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph. Gills. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 128 |
| 238 | Nousia inconspicua (Eaton). Ventral view of male imago penes. Reproduction of an SEM from Suter (1986). | 129 |
| 239 | Ataiophlebia inconspicua (Eaton). Ventral view of male imago penes: <br> a) penes divided through drying process, b) penes in natural state. <br> Reproduction of a drawing from Ulmer (1908). | 129 |
| 240 | Koorrnonga inconspicua (Eaton). Egg from female imago. Reproduction of an SEM from Campbell and Suter (1988). | 129 |
| 241 | Distribution of Thraulophlebia parva. | 130 |
| 242 | Thrautophiebia parva, male imago. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=$ $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 131 |
| 243 | Thraulophlebia parva, male imago. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 131 |
| 244 | Thraulophlebia parva, male imago. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 131 |
| 245 | Thraulophlebia panva, male imago. Dorsal view of abdomen. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 132 |
| 246 | Thraulophlebia parva, male imago. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 133 |
| 247 | Thraulophlebia parva, male imago. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 133 |
| 248 | Thraulophlebia parva, male imago. SEM of dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Individual from Wild Duck Creek, outside Heathcote, Victoria (99022304). Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 134 |
| 249 | Thraulophlebia parva, male imago. SEM of dorsal view of penes. Individual from Wild Duck Creek, outside Heathcote, Victoria (99022304). Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 134 |
| 250 | Thraulophisbia parva, male imago. SEM of ventral view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Individual from Wild Duck Creek, outside Heathcote, Victoria (99022304). Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 134 |
| 251 | Thraulophlebia parva, male imago. SEM of ventral view of penes. Individual from Wild Duck Creek, outside Heathcote, Victoria (99022304). Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 134 |
| 252 | Thraulophlebia parva, female imago. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 135 |
| 253 | Thraulophlebia parva, female imago. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 135 |


| 254 | Thraulophlebia parva, female imago. Ventral view of abdomen showing <br> sternites 7-9. Scale bar = 500 | 135. |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 255 | Thraulophlebia parva, female imago. SEM of ventral view of abdomen <br> showing sternite nine. Individual from Wild Duck Creek, outside <br> Heathcote, Victoria (99022304). Scale bar = $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 135 |
| 256 | Thraulophlebia parva, eggs taken from female imago. SEM of whole egg. <br> Individual from Wild Duck Creek, outside Heathcote, Victoria (99040701 <br> \& 00022001). Scale bar = 50 | 13 m. |


| 273 | Montikola kala, male imago. SEM of foreleg tarsal claw. Individual from Cement Creek, Mount Donna Buang, Victoria (80030300). Scale bai = 50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. | 146 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 274 | Montikola kala, male imago. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 146 |
| 275 | Montikola kala, male imago. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 146 |
| 276 | Montikola kala, male imago. Dorsal view of abdomen. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 147 |
| 277 | Montikola kala, male imago. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 148 |
| 278 | Montikola kala, male imago. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 148 |
| 279 | Montikola kaia, male imago. SEM of dorsal view of penes. Individual from Cement Creek, Mount Donna-Buang, Victoria (80030300). Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 149 |
| 280 | Montikola kala, male imago. SEM of dorsal view of right lobe of penes showing missing apical process and internal spines. Individual from Loch River, Noojee, Victoria ( 93050200 ). Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 149 |
| 281 | Montikola kala, male imago. SEM of close up internal penes spines. Individual from Loch River, Noojee, Victoria (93050200). Scale bar $=$ $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 149 |
| 282 | Montikola kala, male imago. SEM of ventral view of penes. Individual from Perrins Creek, Dandenong Ranges, Victoria (97032406). Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 150 |
| 283 | Montikola kala, male imago. SEM of close up of right lobe of penes showing apical process. Individual from Perrins Creek, Dandenong Ranges, Victoria (97032406). Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 150 |
| 284 | Montikola kala, female imago. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 151 |
| 285 | Montikola kala, female imago. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 151 |
| 286 | Montikola kala, female imago. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites seven to nine. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 151 |
| 287 | Montikola kala, eggs taken from female imago. SEM of whole egg. Individual from Clematis Creek, Dandenong Ranges, Victoria (97101501). Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 152 |
| 288 | Montikola kala, eggs taken from female imago. SEM of close up of egg chorion. Individual from Clematis Creek, Dandenong Ranges, Victoria (97101501). Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 152 |


| 289 | Montikola kala, female nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 153 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 290 | Montikola kala, nymph mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus. Scale bar $=$ $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 154 |
| 291 | Montikola kala, nymph mouthpart. Left mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 154 |
| 292 | Montikola kala, nymph mouthpart. Right mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 154 |
| 293 | Montikola kala, nymph mouthpart. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 155 |
| 294 | Montikola kala, nymph mouthpart. Terminal palp of maxilla showing apical spine. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}$ | 155 |
| 295 | Montikola kala, nymph mouthpart. Hypopharynx. Scaie bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 155 |
| 296 | Montikola kala, nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 156 |
| 297 | Montikola kala, nymph mouthpart. Dorsal view of labial terminal palp. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 156 |
| 298 | Montikola kala, nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 157 |
| 299 | Montikola kala, nymph. Foreleg tarsal claw. Sca!e bar $=10 \mathrm{Cjm}$. | 158 |
| 300 | Montikola kala, nymph. Gills. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 158 |
| 301 | Atalophlebia kala Harker. Dorsal view of male genitalia showing penes and forceps. Reproduction of a drawing from Harker (1954). | 159 |
| 302 | Montikola kala. New collection site, Charity Creek tributary, Mount Baw Baw, Victoria (99021602). Photo by K.J.Finlay. | 160 |
| 303 | Montikola adamus. Type locality, Frying Pan Raceline tributary, Falls Creek, Victoria ( 99020505 ). Photo by A. Slocombe. | 161 |
| 304 | Montikola adamus. Type locality, Frying Pan Raceline tributary, Falls Creek, Victoria (99020505). Photo by A. Slocombe. | 161 |
| 305 | Distribution of Montikola adamus. | 162 |
| 306 | Montikola adamus, male imago. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 163 |
| 307 | Montikola adamus, male imago. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | 163 |
| 308 | Niontikola adamus, male imago. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 163 |
| 309 | Montikola adamus, male imago. Dorsal view of abdomen. Scale bar = 1 mm . | 164 |
| 310 | Montikola adamus, male imago. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 165 |
| 311 | Montikolá adamus, male imago. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 165 |
| 312 | Montikola adamus, male imago. SEM of dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Individual from type locality, Frying Pan Raceline tributary, Falls Creek, Vic.oria (99020505). Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 166 |


| 313 | Montikola adamus, male imago. SEM of diorsal view of penes. <br> individual from type locality, Frying Pan Raceline tributary, Falls Creek, <br> Victoria (99020505). Scale bar = 100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. | 166 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 314 | Montikols adamus, male imago. SEM of ventral view of genitalia <br> showing penes and forcer s. Individual from type locality, Frying Pan <br> Raceline tributary, Falls Creek, Victoria (99020505). Scale bar = 200 | 16 m. |


| 332 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae. Type locality, Cement Creek, Mount <br> Donna-Buang, Victoria (98030503). Photo by K.J.Finlay. | 176 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 333 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, male imago. Foreleg tarsal clav. Scale bar <br> $=50 \mu m$. | 177 |
| 334 | Gemmaj'aluka wiltkorringae, male imago. Forewing showing relative <br> size of hindwing. Scale bar = 1mm. | 177 |
| 335 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, male imago. Hindwing. Scale bar = <br> $500 \mu m$. | 177 |
| 336 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, male imago. Dorsal view of abdomen. <br> Scale bar = 1mm. | 178 |
| 337 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, male imago. Dorsal view of genitalia. <br> showing penes. Scale bar = 200 | 179. |


| 348 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 184 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 349 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthpart. Denticles on anterior margin of labrum. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 184 |
| 350 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthpart. Left mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 185 |
| 351 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthpart. Right mandible. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 185 |
| 352 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthpart. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 186 |
| 353 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthpart. Terminal palp of maxilla showing apical spine. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}$ | 186 |
| 354 | Gemmayaluka wittkorringae, nymph mouthpart. Hypopharynx. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 186 |
| 355 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar = $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 187 |
| 356 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthpart. Dorsal view of labial terminal palp showing apical spines. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 187 |
| 357 | Gemmayaluka wilthorringae, nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 188 |
| 358 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=$ $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 189 |
| 359 | Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph. Gills. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. | 189 |
| 360 | Drainage basin divisions of Victoria as defined by the Australian Water Resources Council (AWRC). | 190 |
| 361 | Location of mayfly collecting sites where environmental data was collected. | 191 |
| 362 | Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca and temperature seasonality. Bars show mean values, error bars represent one standard error. | 192 |
| 363 | Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca and temperature seasonality. Bars show mean values, error bars represent one standard error. | 192 |
| 364 | Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca present in each landuse category. | 193 |
| 365 | Froportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca present in each dominant vegetation category. | 193 |


| 366 | Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca present in each substrate heterogeneity category. | 134 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 367 | Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca present in each CPOM category. | 194 |
| 368 | Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca present in each flow category. | 195 |
| 369 | Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli and altitude. Bars show mean values, error bars represent one standard error. | 196 |
| 370 | Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli and water temperature. Bars show mean values, error bars represent one standard error. | 196 |
| 371 | Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli and slope. Bars show mean values, error bars represent one standard error. | 197 |
| 372 | Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli and distance from source. Bars show mean values, error inar's represent one standard error. | 197 |
| 373 | Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli present in each flow category. | 198 |
| 374 | Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli present in each algae category. | 198 |
| 375 | Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli present in each macrophyte category. | 199 |
| 376 | Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli present in each shade category. | 199 |
| 377 | Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli present in each CPOM category. | 200 |
| 378 | Predicted probability of the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca in a logistic model containing the factors altitude, distance from source and the interaction of the two variables. | 201 |
| 379 | Relationship between distance from source and substrate heterogeneity at sites less than 9 km from source. | 202 |
| 380 | Relationship between distance from source and substrate heterogeneity at sites 9 km or more from source. | 202 |
| 381 | Relationship between altitude and CPOM at sites above 500 m . | 203 |
| 382 | Relationship between altitude and CPOM at sites below 500 m . | 203 |


| 383 | Phylogenetic lineages developed for the cool-adapted Leptophiebiidae of southern South America and related Southern Hemisphere fauna (adapted from Pescador and Peters 1980a). Numbers refer to furcations. Austraiian taxa considered to belong to each lineage are added. | 204 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 384 | Setation on body of Jappa sp. "AV3". | 205 |
| 385 | Setation on gills of Jappa kutera. | 205 |
| 386 | Setation on body of Ulmerophlebia sp. | 205 |
| 387 | Setation on gills of Ulmerophlebia sp. | 205 |
| 388 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Atalomicria | 206 |
| 389 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Atalophlebia | 206 |
| 390 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Austrophlebioides | 207 |
| 391 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Billilmena | 207 |
| 392 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Garinjuga | 208 |
| 393 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Gemmayaluka | 208 |
| 394 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Jappa | 209 |
| 395 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Kalbaybaria | 209 |
| 396 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Kaninga | 210 |
| 397 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Kirrara | 210 |
| 398 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Loamaggalangta | 211 |
| 399 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Montikola | 211 |
| 400 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Neboissophlebia | 212 |
| 401 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Nousia (Nousia) | 212 |
| 402 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Nousia (Australonousia) | 213 |
| 403 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Nyungara | 213 |
| 404 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Thraulophlebia | 214 |
| 405 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Thraulus | 214 |
| 406 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Tillyardophlebia | 215 |
| 407 | Labrum and clypeus morphology - Ulmerophiebia | 215 |
| 408 | Apical view of Kirrara procera labrum. | 216 |
| . 409 | Frontal horns of Jappa sp. "AV4" | 217 |
| 410 | Frontal horns of Jappa sp. "AV3" | 217 |
| 411 | Mandible morphology - Atalomicria | 218 |
| 412 | Mandible morphology - Atalophlebia | 218 |
| 413 | Mandible morphology - Austrophlebioides | 218 |
| 414 | Mandible morphology - Bibllumena | 218 |
| 415 | Mandible morphology - Garinjuga | 219 |
| 416 | Mandible morphology - Gemmayaluka | 219 |


| 417 | Mandible morphology - Jappa | 219 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 418 | Mandible morphology - Kalbaybaria | 219 |
| 419 | Mandible morphology - Kaninga | 220 |
| 420 | Mandible morphology - Kirrara | 220 |
| 421 | Mandible morphology - Loamaggalangta | 220 |
| 422 | Mandible morphology - Montikola | 220 |
| 423 | Mandible morphology - Neboissophlebia | 221 |
| 424 | Mandible morphology - Nousia (Nousia) | 221 |
| 425 | Mandible morphology - Nousia (Australonousia) | 221 |
| 426 | Mandible morphclogy - Nyungara | 221 |
| 427 | Mandible morphology - Thraulophlebia | 222 |
| 428 | Mandible morphology - Thraulus | 222 |
| 429 | Mandible morphology - Tillyardophlebia | 222 |
| 430 | Mandible morpholegy - Ulmerophlebia | 222 |
| 431 | Mandible prosthecai tift morphology - Atalomicria | 223 |
| 432 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Atalophlebia | 223 |
| 433 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Austrophlebioides | 223 |
| 434 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Bidhmena | 223 |
| 435 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Garinjuga | 224 |
| 436 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Gemmayaluka | 224 |
| 437 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Jappa | 224 |
| 438 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Kalbaybaria | 224 |
| 439 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Kaninga | 225 |
| 440 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Kirrara | 225 |
| 441 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Loamaggalangta | 225 |
| 442 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Montikola | 225 |
| 443 | Mandible prosthecai tuft morphology - Neboissophlebia | 226 |
| 444 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Nousia (Nousia) | 226 |
| 445 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Nousia (Australonousia) | 226 |
| 446 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Nyungara | 228 |
| 447 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Thraulophlebia | 227 |
| 448 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Thraulus | 227 |
| 449 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Tillyardophlebia | 227 |
| 450 | Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology - Ulmerophlebia | 227 |
| 451 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Atalomicria | 228 |
| 452 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Atalophiebia | 228 |


| 453 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Austrophlebioides | 228 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 454 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Bithtmena | 228 |
| 455 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Garinjuga | 229 |
| 456 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Gemmayaluka | 229 |
| 457 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Jappa | 229 |
| 458 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Kalbaybaria | 229 |
| 459 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Kaninga | 230 |
| 460 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Kirrara | 230 |
| 461 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Loamaggalangta | 230 |
| 462 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Montikola | 230 |
| 463 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Neboissophlebia | 231 |
| 464 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Nousia (Nousia) | 231 |
| 465 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Nousia (Australonousia) | 231 |
| 466 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Nyungara | 231 |
| 467 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Thraulophlebia | 232 |
| 468 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Thraulus | 232 |
| 469 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Tillyardophlebia | 232 |
| 470 | Right mandible outer incisor morphology - Ulmerophlebia | 232 |
| 471 | Maxilla morphology - Atalomicria | 233 |
| 472 | Maxilla morphology - Atalophlebia | 233 |
| 473 | Maxilla morphology - Austrophlebioides | 233 |
| 474 | Maxilla morphology - Biblumena | 233 |
| 475 | Maxilla morphology - Garinjuga | 234 |
| 476 | Maxilla morphology - Gemmayaluka | 234 |
| 477 | Maxilla morphology - Jappa | 234 |
| 478 | Maxilla morphology - Kalbaybaria | 234 |
| 479 | Maxilla morphology - Kaninga | 235 |
| 480 | Maxilla morphology - Kirrara | 235 |
| 481 | Maxilla morphology - Loamaggalangta | 235 |
| 482 | Maxilla morphology - Montikola | 235 |
| 483 | Maxilla morphology - Neboissophlebia | 236 |
| 484 | Maxilla morphology - Nousia (Nousia) | 236 |
| 485 | Maxilla morphology - Nousia (Australonousia) | 236 |
| 486 | Maxilia morphology - Nyungara | 236 |
| 487 | Maxilla morphology - Thraulophlebia | 237 |
| 488 | Maxilla morphology - Thraulus | 237 |


| 489 | Maxilla morphology - Tillyardophlebia | 237 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 490 | Maxilla morphology - Ulmerophlebia | 237 |
| 491 | Labium inorphology - Atalomicria | 238 |
| 492 | Labium morphology - Atalophlebia | 238 |
| 493 | Labium morphology - Austrophlebioides | 239 |
| 494 | Labium morphology - Biblumena | 239 |
| 495 | Labium morphology - Garinjuga | 240 |
| 496 | Labium morphology - Gemmayaluka | 240 |
| 497 | Labium morphology - Jappa | 241 |
| 498 | Labium morphology - Kalbaybaria | 241 |
| 499 | Labium morphology - Kaninga | 242 |
| 500 | Labium morphology - Kirrara | 242 |
| 501 | Labium morphology - Loamaggalangta | 243 |
| 502 | Labium morphology - Montikola | 243 |
| 503 | Labium morphology - Neboissophlebia | 244 |
| 504 | Labium morphology - Nousia (Nousia) | 244 |
| 505 | Labium morphology - Nousia (Australonousia) | 245 |
| 506 | Labium morphology - Nyungara | 245 |
| 507 | Labium morphology - Thraulophlebia | 246 |
| 508 | Labium morphology - Thraulus | 246 |
| 509 | Labium morphology - Tillyardophlebia | 247 |
| 510 | Labium morphology - Ulmerophlebia | 247 |
| 511 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Atalomicria | 248 |
| 512 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Atalophlebia | 248 |
| 513 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Austrophlebioides | 248 |
| 514 | Labial terminal paip morphology - Bibllymena | 248 |
| 515 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Garinjuga | 249 |
| 516 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Gemmayaluka | 249 |
| 517 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Jappa | 249 |
| 518 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Kalbaybaria | 249 |
| 519 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Kaninga | 250 |
| 520 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Kirrara | 250 |
| 521 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Loamaggalangta | 250 |
| 522 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Montikola | 250 |
| 523 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Neboissophlebia | 251 |
| 524 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Nousia (Nousia) | 251 |


| 525 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Nousia (Australonousia) | 251 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 526 | Labial terminal paip morphology - Nyungara | 251 |
| 527 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Thraulophlebia | 252 |
| 528 | Labial terminal paip morphology - Thraulus | 252 |
| 529 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Tillyardophlebia | 252 |
| 530 | Labial terminal palp morphology - Ulmerophlebia | 252 |
| 531 | Hypopharynx morphology - Atalomicria | 253 |
| 532 | Hypopharynx morphology - Atalophlebia | 253 |
| 533 | Hypopharynx morphology - Austrophlebioides | 254 |
| 534 | Hypopharynx morphology - Bibllymena | 254 |
| 535 | Hypopharynx morphology - Garinjuga | 255 |
| 536 | Hypopharynx morphology - Gemmayaluka | 255 |
| 537 | Hypopharynx morphology - Jappa | 256 |
| 538 | Hypopharynx morphology - Kalbaybaria | 256 |
| 539 | Hypopharynx morphology - Kaninga | 257 |
| 540 | Hypopharynx morphology - Kirrara | 257 |
| 541 | Hypopharynx morphology - Loamaggalangta | 258 |
| 542 | Hypopharynx morphoiogy - Montikola | 258 |
| 543 | Hypopharynx morphslogy - Neboissophlebia | 259 |
| 544 | Hypopharynx morphology - Nousia (Nousia) | 259 |
| 545 | Hypopharynx morphology - Nousia (Australonousia) | 260 |
| 546 | Hypopharynx morphology - Nyungara | 260 |
| 547 | Hypopharynx morphology - Thraulophlebia | 261 |
| 548 | Hypopharynx morphology - Thraulus | 261 |
| 549 | Hypopharynx morphology - Tillyardophlebia | 262 |
| 550 | Hypopharynx morphology - Ulmerophlebia | 262 |
| 551 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Atalomicria | 263 |
| 552 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Atalophlebia | 263 |
| 553 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Austrophlebioides | 263 |
| 554 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Biblfymena | 263 |
| 555 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Garinjuga | 264 |
| 556 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Gemmayaluka | 264 |
| 557 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Jappa | 264 |
| 558 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Kalbaybaria | 264 |
| 559 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Kaninga | 265 |
| 560 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Kirrara | 265 |


| 561 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Loamaggalangta | 265 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 562 | Nyrnph tarsal claw morphology - Montikola | 265 |
| 563 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Neboissophlebia | 266 |
| 564 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Nousia (Nousia) | 266 |
| 565 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Nousia (Australonousia) | 266 |
| 566 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Nyungara | 266 |
| 567 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Thraulophlebia | 267 |
| 568 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Thraulus | 267 |
| 569 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Tillyardophlebia | 267 |
| 570 | Nymph tarsal claw morphology - Ulmerophlebia | 267 |
| 571 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Atalomicria | 268 |
| 572 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Atalophlebia | 268 |
| 573 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Austrophlebioides | 269 |
| 574 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Bibßymena | 269 |
| 575 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Garinjuga | 270 |
| 576 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Gemmayaluka | 270 |
| 577 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Jappa | 271 |
| 578 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Kalbaybaria | 271 |
| 579 | Mare imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Kaninga | 272 |
| 580 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Kirrara | 272 |
| 581 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Loamaggalangta | 273 |
| 582 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Montikola | 273 |
| 583 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Neboissophlebia | 274 |


| 584 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Nousia (Nousia) | 274 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 585 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Nousia (Australonousia) | 275 |
| 586 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Nyungara | 275 |
| 587 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Thraulophlebia | 276 |
| 588 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Thraulus | 276 |
| 589 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Tillyardophlebia | 277 |
| 590 | Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing morphology Ulmerophlebia | 277 |
| 591 | Male imago hindwing - Atalomicria | 278 |
| 592 | Male imago hindwing - Atalophlebia | 278 |
| 593 | Male imago hindwing - Austrophlebioides | 278 |
| 594 | Male imago hindwing - Bid/lymena | 278 |
| 595 | Male imago hindwing - Garinjuga | 279 |
| 596 | Male imago hindwing - Gemmayaluka | 279 |
| 597 | Male imago hindwing - Jappa | 279 |
| 598 | Male imago hindwing - Kalbaybaria | 279 |
| 599 | Male imago hindwing - Kaninga | 280 |
| 600 | Male imago hindwing - Kirrara | 280 |
| 601 | Male imago hindwing - Loamaggalangta | 280 |
| 602 | Male imago hindwing - Montikola | 280 |
| 603 | Male imago hindwing - Neboissophlebia | 281 |
| 604 | Male imago hindwing - Nousia (Nousia) | 281 |
| 605 | Male imago hindwing - Nousia (Australonousia) | 281 |
| 606 | Male imago hindwing - Nyungara | 281 |
| 607 | Male imago hindwing - Thraulophlebia | 282 |
| 608 | Male imago hindwing - Thraulus | 282 |
| 609 | Male imago hindwing - Tillyardophlebia | 282 |
| 610 | Maie imago hindwing - Ulmerophlebia | 282 |
| 611 | Female imago sternum nine - Atalomicria | 283 |
| 612 | Female imago sternum nine - Atalophlebia | 283 |
| 613 | Female imago sternum nine - Austrophlebioides | 283 |


| 614 | Female imago sternum nine - Biblumena | 283 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 615 | Female imago sternum rine - Garinjuga | 284 |
| 616 | Female imago sternum nine-Gemmayaluka | 284 |
| 617 | Female imago sternum nine - Jappa | 284 |
| 618 | Female imago sternum nine - Kalbaybaria | 284 |
| 619 | Female imago sternum nine - Kaninga | 285 |
| 620 | Female imago sternum nine - Kirrara | 285 |
| 621 | Female imago sternum nine - Loamaggalangta | 285 |
| 622 | Female imago sternum nine - Montikola | 285 |
| 623 | Female imago sternum nine - Neboissophlebia | 286 |
| 624 | Female imago sternum nine - Nousia (Nousia) | 286 |
| 625 | Female imago sternum nine - Nousia (Australonousia) | 286 |
| 626 | Female imago sternum nine - Nyungara | 286 |
| 627 | Female imago sternum nine - Thraulophlebia | 287 |
| 628 | Female imago sternum nine - Thraulus | 287 |
| 629 | Female imago sternum nine - Tillyardophlebia | 287 |
| 630 | Female imago sternum nine - Uiimerophlebia | 287 |
| 631 | Strict consensus tree of the relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiidae. Letter refer to clades. Circles refer to mapped character states where $\boldsymbol{=}$ apomorphy and $O=$ homoplasy (convergence, reversals). Numbers above circles refer to characters listed in Table 33, number below to the character state where $0=$ plesiomorphic condition, $1=$ apomorphic condition, $2=$ represents a multi-state apomorphy. | 288 |
| 632 | Strict consensus tree of the relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiidae, without the inclusion of Thraulus, which is considered to have Northern Hemisphere origins. Only clade K of original tree (Fig. 631) shown. | 289 |
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## 1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

"It has long been an axiom of mine that the little things are infinitely more important."

Arthur Conan Doyle

## 1.1 introduction

Australian streams differ greatly from their Northern Hemisphere counterparts (Williams 1968a; Williams and Wan 1972; Lake et al. 1985) being characterised by low discharge, intermittent and high variability of flow, high allochthonous inputs in summer rather than autumn and high proportions of woody debris. For these reasons Northern Hemisphere studies have been considered to have little relevance to Australia. Further, there are few full time limnologists in Australia due to the country's small population. As a result efforts have been thinly spread across a large country encompassing diverse biogeographic regions which include the tropics, desert and alpine regions. Most investigations, so far, have focussed in the more populated temperate zones such as the south east and south west. Areas such as the Northern Territory, north west Western Australia, the tropical North and Tasmania have been virtually neglected, a result of fewer centres from which to work and often long and arduous travel required for sampling.

As such the Australian freshwater invertebrate fauna has also been relatively little studied in comparison to that of the Northern Hemisphere. Taxonomic knowledge of the North American and European fauna is extensive (Thorp and Covich 1991; Merritt and Cummins 1996; Anonymous 2002) providing keys and major references for the vast majority of taxa. There is no equivalent up-to-date text for the Australian fauna. The first text to comprehensively summarise kriowledge of the Australian invertebrate freshwater fauna was "Australian Freshwater Life" (Williams 1968) which was updated in 1980 (Williams 1980). Since the time of the second edition there has been an expanding body of knowledge accumulated about the Australian aquatic fauna, usually based on individual taxa (see Hawking 1996). Unfortunately, a substantial amount of it has been published in the "grey literature", such as industry and government reports, (eg taxonomic identification guides published jointly by the Murray Darling Freshwater Research Centre and the Co-operative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology) and remains difficult to obtain for overseas researchers. Despite this research, however, the current view is that the state of knowledge of the taxonomy and distribution of our macroinvertebrate aquatic fauna is merely "moderate" (Cullen and Lake 1993). Lake (1994) notes this lack of knowledge is "severely impeding our understanding of ecological processes".

To add to the difficulty, Australian streams also appear to have relatively high species richness compared with similar streams overseas (Lake et al. 1985) and much of the fauna appears to be distinctive and endemic (Williams 1980; Yen and Butcher 1997; Ponder and Lunney 1999). This gives no basis for comparison with the overseas literature.

The enormity of the task of identifying all Australian freshwater fauna has led researchers to target certain taxa. Benthic macroinvertebrates in general are considered ideai candidates for water quality monitoring due to their abundance, long aquatic life spans, relative lack of mobility and wide range of responses to environmental stress (Rosenberg and Resh 1996). However, three orders (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera) are considered more useful than others due to their perceived pollution sensitivity. The EPT index, sometimes referred to as EPT richness (percentage abundance of each order), has been used as a means to measure water quality without having to examine all the individuals in the community (Lenat 1988; Rosenberg and Resh 1996; Baker and Sharp 1998).

Ephemeropteran nymphs, in particular, are found in a wide variety of lentic and lotic habitats from fast flowing upland streams to meandering lowland rivers, lakes and reservoirs (Williams 1980). Most are commonly associated with highly oxygenated fast flowing water (Merritt and Cummins 1996) and are particularly sensitive to changes in water quality.

Ephemeroptera are also considered an integral part of the recreational freshwater fishing industry in Australia (Tillyard 1933b; Tillyard 1936; Scholes 1961). The success of the industry depends directly on the ability to maintain healthy insect communities as fish food. This requires a knowledge of the species common in each area along with their habits. This knowledge is also of enormous interest to fly fishermen in order that suitable artificial flies can be chosen.

Due to the restriction of the nymphal stage to water, and the adults' short life span and limited flight capabilities, Ephemeroptera are regarded as poor dispersers (Edmunds 1972). Mackerras (1970) hypothesized that this made the group ideal candidates for phylogenetic and biogeographic studies. Support for this view is seen in the numerous phylogenetic and zoogeographic studies of certain groups over the years; for example: Ephemeroidea (McCafferty and Gillies 1979; Bae 1991; McCafferty 1994); Heptagenioidea (McCafferty 1991a) and Leptophlebioidea (Peters 1971; Peters et al. 1978; Pescador and Peters 1980a; Peters 1980; Towns and Peters 1996).

### 1.2 Current status and knowledge of Australian Ephemeroptera

Like many of the other Australian aquatic insect orders, Australian Ephemeroptera is relatively understudied compared to North America and Europe although there has been substantial progress made in the last ten years or so (Campbell 2001). Eaton (1871)
discussed Australian fauna in his early monographs but it was Tillyard who produced the first substantial body of work on mayflies for this country (Tillyard 1926). The discipline, however, did not really begin to flourish until the 1980's with a relative proliferation of work from a few authors. Today there remain fewer than a dozen researchers working on mayflies in this country.

A striking feature of the Australian mayfly fauna is its uniqueness. Of the seven major groupings now generally accepted, and usually referred to as superfamilies (McCafferty 1991b; Hubbard et al. 2001; McCafferty 2002), Australian species are represented in all but the Ephemeroidea group. The distribution, however, is quite disparate with species of the Leptophlebioidea and Baetoidea dominating the Australian fauna (Table 1). Only three species, Cloeon virens Klapaliek, C. fluvaille Ulimer and Pseudocloeon Kraepelini Klapalek are not endemic (Campbell 1990).

Table 1. Percentage of Australian genera and species occurring in each Ephemeropteran superfamily.

| Superfamily | \% of genera | \% of species |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Baetoidea | 29.7 | 24.7 |
| Baetiscoidea | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Heptagenioidea | 2.7 | 0.9 |
| Ephemeroidea | 0 | 0 |
| Ephemerelloidea | 2.7 | 0.9 |
| Caenoidea | 8.1 | 10.6 |
| Leptophlebioidea | 54.1 | 60.2 |
| TOTAL | 100.00 | 100.00 |

### 1.2.1 Taxonomy

The eariest taxonomic study of an Australian mayfly is a description of Baetis costalis (now Atalophlebia albiterminata) by Burmeister (1839). Subsequently, workers such as Pictet (1843-1845) and Walker (1853) described a few species between them but it was not until the substantial monographs of Eaton (1871 and 1883-1888) that a large number of Australian species were first discussed. Eaton's work remains an important reference for taxonomic studies today.

The next influential and prolific worker was Georg Ulmer who described a great number of species now held at the Naturhistoriska Risksmuseet, Stockholm (Swedish Museum of Natural History) collected as part of the Mjöberg expedition to Australia (1910-1913).

Ulmer's numerous publications (Ulmer 1908; Ulmer 1916; Ulmer 1919; Ulmer 1920; Ulmer 1938) refer to at least 15 current Australian species.

Following Limer, R.J. Tillyard described a iarge number of new Australian species in his publication of works outlining the Ephemeropteran fauna of the Mount Kosciusko region (Tiilyard 1933a) and Tasmania (Tillyard 1933a; Tillyard 1933b). This work describes a number of species (Nousia darkara, N. delicatuia, Atalophlebia superba, A. pallida, A. hudsoni, A. ida, Tasmanophlebia lacustris, Cloeon tasmaniae and Baetis frater) which he considered endemic to Tasmania. Unfortunately, many of these species have not been revisited in subsequent revisions and little more is known of them other than Tillyard's original descriptions.

It took uritil the 1950's for the next substantial contribution to the taxonomy of Australian mayfly fauna to be made. Janet Harker outlined many new species (Harker 1950b; Harker 1954; Harker 1957a; Harker 1957b). Unfortunately much of her work includes specimens that are poorly described and inadequately drawn. Added to this her type specimens, all of which are listed as being held in the Natural History Museum in London, are missing. It is believed they were transferred to the Australian Museum in Sydney at some point but their whereabouts is still unknown (I.C. Campbell, pers. comm). This is unfortunate as her work needs revision due to a number of current species which appear to correspond to the described fauna in her papers. The ambiguities of her descriptions, however, provide much difficulty in the determination of these possible new species.

There were few authors actively mirsuing mayfly taxonomy in the 25 years following Harker. Of note, however, are Demoulin (1955b \& c), Riek (1955 \& 1963), Soldán (1978) and Pearson and Penridge (1979) who were responsible for descriptions of selected individual taxa.

From the mid 1980's, Ephemeropteran taxonomic study was actively pursued by a few Australian workers and has produced a plethora of new species descriptions. Influential has been P. J. Suter with his substantial revision of the South Australian fauna (Suter 1986) and his subsequent descriptions of new taxa of Caenidae (Suter 1993; Suter 1999), Baetidae (Suter 2000) and Leptophlebiidae (Campbell and Suter 1988). Suter's contributions have also provided working knowledge of the Australian mayfly fauna in the form of keys (Suter 1979; Suter 1992; Dean and Suter 1996). I. C. Campbell has also been responsible for establishing several new genera such as Kalbaybaria (Campbell 1993) and Koorrnonga, Garinjuga and Austrophlebioides in association with Suter (Campbell and Suter 1988). He has also revised several other genera (Campbell 1983;

Campbell and Peters 1986; Campbell and Peters 1993) and described a new species of Prosopistoma (Campbell and Hubbard 1998).
J.C. Dean has made a substantial contribution to the knowledge of mayfly taxonomy in Australia, publishing many new generic and species descriptions (Dean 1987; Dean 1988; Dean 1997; Dean et al. 1999; Dean 2000). He has also produced a number of keys (Dean 1989; Dean 1999, Dean and Suter 1996) which have detailed character variation in the nymphal stages. The latest guide, althouah based on morphospecies identification only, includes a large number of specimens and has provided a solid platform on which to base this present study.

Recently there have been a number of overseas papers describing new Baetidae from Australia (Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty 1998a; Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty 1998c; LugoOrtiz and McCafferty 1999; Lugo-Ortiz et al. 1999). Most of these revisions are based on material collected in the 1960's by J. Illies and G.F. Edmunds Jr. and there is some concern amongst Australian taxonomists that the authors have been hampered by lack of material. Further, it appears that they have produced many synonymies by not referring to the Australian literature. Papers are in press at present to correct some of these errors (P.J. Suter pers. comm.).

A totally new family, Siphlaenigmatidae, was also added to the Australian fauna by LugoOrtiz and McCafferty 1998b) with the description of Siplaenigma edmundsi Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferiy. Subsequently, however, this has been proved to be an error due to the mislabelling of the original specimens (I.C. Campbell \& P.J. Suter pers. comm). The family has been subsequently formally removed from the Australian fauna (McCafferty 1999).

The latest catalogue of Australian mayflies (Hubbard et al. 2001) lists 113 extant species described within 37 genera and 9 families although 10 families are recorded (see Table 2). The discrepancy in the family number derives from the erroneously described Atopopus spadix (Harker 1950a) which she attributed to Heptageniidae. The species is, in fact, a leptophlebiid awaiting redescription and the family Heptageniidae is considered absent from Australia (Hubbard and Campbell 1996; Hubbard et al. 2001).

Despite the discrepancy, the total is a significant improvement on previous tallies of the Australian species: 84 (Peters and Campbell 1991) and 95 (Hubbard and Campbell 1996). However, the total is still considered a significant underestimate of Australian species richness, 'Jespite the relative proliferation of work in the last decade or so. Recent publications have alluded to large numbers of undescribed taxa (Dean and Suter

1996; Dean 1999) and many authors believe there may be at least twice the number of species to be described (Campbell 1988; Campbell 1990; Dean and Suter 1996; Hubbard and Campbell 1996).

### 1.2.2 Biology and ecology

Autecological studies of the Australian mayfly fauna are also few in number especially in comparison to the Northern Hemisphere. Only a small number have detailed work, other than life history analyses, and the most significant of these are listed here. One study has investigated size allometry in various species belonging to the families Siphlonuridae, Ameletopsidae, Oniscigastridae and Colofburiscidae (Campbell 1991). Bailey (1981b) investigated Atalophlebioides sp. (now Austrophlebioides) in relation to drift. Three studies (Campbeil 1979; Bailey 1981a; Pinder et al. 1993) have recorded diel activity, often related to drifting behaviour, of various mayfly species. Investigations into the burrowing Ephemeroptera wor!d-wide have included mention of Jappa sp. in behavioural studies (Edmunds and McCafferty 1996) and investigations of tusk phylogeny (Bae and McCafferty 1995). Two dietary studies have ascertained most of the fauna thus far studied are predominantly detritivores although certain species of Baetis and Ameletoides were found to contain large amounts of algae (Campbell 1985; Chessman 1086). There have also been some investigations into egg development (Suter and Bishop 1990; Brittain and Campbell 1991; Brittain 1995) which indicate that the relationships between water temperature aind egg development time of the Australian temperate species are similar to those of their Northern Hemisphere relatives.

Table 2. Number of families, genera and species of extant Australian Ephemeroptera.

| Family | Genus | No. of species | Key references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ameletopsidae | Mirawara | 3 | (Harker 1954; Riek 1955) |
| Baetidae | Baetis | 2 | (Harker 1954; Suter 1986) |
|  | Bungona | 1 | (Harker 1957b) |
|  | Centroptilum | 2 | (Harker 1957b; Suter 1986) |
|  | Cloeodes | 2 | (Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty 1998a) |
|  | Cloeon | 5 | (Suter 1986 ; Suter 2000) |
|  | Edmundsiops | 2 | (Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty 1999; Suter 2000) |
|  | Offadens | 3 | (Lugo-Ortiz and McCafferty 1998c; Suter 2000) |
|  | Pseudocloeon | 4 | (Waltz and McCafferty 1985; Lugo-Ortiz et al. 1999) |
| Caenidae | Ipracaenis | 3 | (Suter 1999) |
|  | Tasmanocoenis | 6 | (Demoulin 1955b; Soldán 1978; Alba-Tercedor and Suter 1990) |
|  | Wundacaenis | 3 | (Suter 1993) |
| Coloburiscidae | Coloburiscoides | 3 | (Tillyard 1933a; Campbell 1986) |
| Heptageniidae* | Atopopus | 1 | (Harker 1950a) |
| Leptophlebiidae | Atalomicria | 7 | (Campbell and Peters 1993) |
|  | Atalophlebia | 18 | (Tillyard 1936; Harker 1950a; Harker 1954; Harker 1957a; Suter 1986) |
|  | Atalophlebioides** | 3 | (Harker 1954; Towns and Peters 1978) |
|  | Austrophlebioides | 4 | (Campbell and Suter 1988; Parnrong and Campbell 1997) |
|  | Bibllymena | 1 | (Dean 1987) |
|  | Deleatidium** | 4 | (Harker 1954; Towns and Peters 1996) |
|  | Garinjuga | 1 | (Campbell and Suter 1988) |
|  | Jappa | 3 | (Skedros and Polhemus 1986) |
|  | Kalbaybaria | 1 | (Campbell 1993) |
|  | Kaninga | 1 | (Dean 2000) |
|  | Kirrara | 3 | (Harker 1954; Harker 1957b) |

Table 2 cont'd.

| Family | Genus | No. of species | Key references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Koorrnonga | 6 | (Campbell and Suter 1988) |
|  | Loamaggalangta | 1 | (Dean et al. 1999) |
|  | Neboissophlebia | 2 | (Dean 1988) |
|  | Nousia (subgenus <br> Australonousia) | 4 | (Campbeil and Suter 1988) |
|  | Nyungara | 2 | (Dean 1987) |
|  | Thraulophlebia | 1 | (Demoulin 1955c) |
|  | Thraulus | 1 | (Grant 1985) |
|  | Tillyardophlebia | 2 | (Dean 1997) |
|  | Ulmerophlebia | 2 | (Demoulin 1955c; Suter 1986) |
| Oniscigastridae | Tasmanophlebia | 3 | (Tillyard 1921; Tillyard 1933a) |
| Prosopistomatidae | Prosopistoma | 1 | (Campbell and Hubbard 1998) |
| Siphionuridae | Ameletoides | 1 | (Tillyard 1933a) |
| Teloganodidae (formerly Ephemerellidae) | Austremerella | 1 | (McCafferty and Wang 1997; Chessman and Boulton 1999) |
| Total families | Total genera | Total species |  |
| 10 | 37 | 113 |  |

* Heptageniidae does not occur in Australia, species attributed in error and now considered a Leptophlebiid, awaiting revision.
**genus presently considered endemic to New Zealand, awaiting revision.

As mentioned previously the majority of studies in Australia have focused on life history investigations (Duncan 1972; Suter and Bishop 1980; Marchant 1982a; Campbell 1983; Campbell and Holt 1984; Marchant et al. 1984a; Campbell 1986; Bunn 1988; Campbell et al. 1990; Brittain and Campbell 1991; Campbell 1995; Schreiber 1995; Campbell et al. 1998; Pardo et al. 1998). Generally these have revealed somewhat poorly synchonized life histories with long emergence periods, multiple cohorts present and variation in life cycle patterns over time and space in temperate regions (Campbell 1986; Bunn 1988; Brittain and Campbell 1991). These patterns do not conform to studies undertaken in the Northern Hemisphere and have been attributed to the ecological variations between Australian and Northern Hemisphere streams (Lake et al. 1985; Williams 1988) with temperature being a dominant factor (Brittain and Campbell 1991). It is generally concluded that fauna from higher altitude streams show a relatively higher degree of synchrony (Duncan 1972; Campbeil 1986; Campbell et al. 1990; Brittain 1991) than their lower altitude counterparts. The few mayfly studies from the Australian tropics have also shown lifecycles to be multivoltine and asynchronous with emergence and recruitment occurring throughout the year (Marchant 1982a; Campbell 1995). This conforms with studies completed in the Northern Hemisphere which show a trend towards multivoltinism and asynchrony with lower latitudes (Clifford et al. 1973; Clifford 1982; Brittain 1990).

These autecological studies, however, on the Australian freshwater insect fauna have been hampered by the lack of taxonomic knowledge. Thirteen ( $68 \%$ ) of the above mentioned Australian papers refer to one or more undescribed species.

Muitispecies investigations (including synecological studies) are somewhat more common although they usually refer to freshwater macroinvertebrate communities in general rather than just the Ephemeropteran component. Invariably, however, mayfies tend to be a significant component of the freshwater fauna.

A paucity of information on the Australian invertebrate fauna has led to a number of baseline investigations simply detailing macroinvertebrate communities in particular areas. For example, the Northern Territory Magela Creek (Marchant 1982b; Paltridge et al. 1997) and the George Gill Range (Davies et al. 1993). In Western Australia Bunn et al. (1986) have investigated the spatial and temporal variation in macroinvertebrate communities of Northern Jarrah forest streams. Timms (1978) documented the variety of benthos in Tasmanian lakes and in South Australia the invertebrate assemblages of the floodplains of the Murray were investigated (Boulton and Lloyd 1991). In Victoria invertebrates of the Goulburn River tributaries above Eildon (Doeg 1987), the Lake Mountain plateau (Jelinek et al. 1997), Lake Tali Karng (Timms 1974) and the environs of Mt Buffalo (Hawking 1998) have all been surveyed. Leading on from the above there
have been investigations into changes in macroinvertebrate community structure due to outside influences such as dam construction (Blyth et al. 1984; Doeg 1984; Chessman et al. 1987; Pardo et al. 1998); forestry activities (Davies and Nelson 1994; Growns and Davis 19@4); pulp mill waste water (Harris et al. 1992) and mine effluent (Norris et al. 1982). Studies were also conducted into the effects on macroinvertebrate structure of altering abiotic variables such as substrata size (Doeg et al. 1989a; Barmuta 1990; Hearnden and Pearson 1991); velocity (Barmuta 1990); sediment loads (Doeg and Milledge 1991) and by artificial disturbance (kicking and raking) the stream bed (Doeg and Lake 1989). A number of these studies also observed the phenomenon of drift in response to the abiotic variation (Doeg and Lake 1989; Doeg et al. 1989a; Doeg and Milledge 1991) and background drift for both temperate (Schreiber 1995) and tropical rainforest streams (Kerby el al. 1995).

A major two year study of the La Trobe River in Victoria was undertaken in which the organisation of macroinvertebrate communities was investigated (Marchant et al. 1984a; Marchant et al. 1984b; Marchant et al. 1984c; Metzeling et al. 1984; Marchant et al. 1985; Marchant 1988). These studies, in particular, led to a preliminary attempt at predictive modelling of lotic macroinvertebrate communities in Victoria (Marchant et al. 1994; Marchant et al. 1999). They have been useful in the development of Australian Rivers Assessment System (AusRivAS), a computer program that uses environmental data to measure the river health (Davies 1994; Coysh et al. 2000) along the same lines as the Riverine InVertebrate Prediction and Classification System (RIVPACS) in the United Kingdom (Wright et al. 1984; Moss et al. 1987; Wright et al. 1993).

### 1.2.3 Systematics

Inferences regarding the systematic relationships of the Australian fauna have been made within wider discussions regarding the evolution and biogeography of the group Ephemeroptera as a whole (lllies 1968; Edmunds 1972; Riek 1973; Landa and Soldán 1985) with many of the Australian families found to be amphinotically distributed. Indeed the comparative morphology of certain families found indicate that the Australian fauna is more closely related to that of Chile and Argentina rather than New Zealand. Tsui and Peters (1975) examined the comparative morphology of the Leptophlebiid thorax, tentorium and abdomen and hypothesized a transantarctic dispersal route. Pescador and Peters (1980a) included Southern Hemisphere genera in an investigation of the cooladapted Leptophlebiidae of South America and came to a similar conclusion.

The only cladistic analysis of Australian fauna to date studied the relationships between members of the Meridialaris lineage (sensu Pescador and Peters 1980a) (Christidis
*A primary objective of this study is to elucidate the phylogenetic relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiidae. This is because despite being the largest family of Ephemeroptera in Australia, and an important component of water quality assessment, the relationships between the genera are poorly defined. In order to undertake such a study, however, some Australian generic designations required clarification; in particular the Atalonella lineage as originally defined by Pescador and Peters (1985). Therefore, a revision of the problematical Australian genera within this lineage was undertaken, including new diagnoses of genera and descriptions or redescriptions of all species. To complete this revision new methods for rearing mayflies in the laboratory were developed in order that associations could be made between nymph and adult specimens. Further, collecting specimens for the taxonomic revision on a large scale produced new distributional data. Rapid assessment techniques were employed during field collection and allowed some preliminary investigation of habitat requirements of certain species.
2001). The aim was to establish the monophyly of the lineage which was supported but relationships between taxa of the larger clade, which included the other Australian Leptophlebiidae, varied considerably.

### 1.3 The significance of the family Leptophlebiidae

Leptophlebiidae is the largest mayfly family in the world and in Australia (Hubbard 1990; Peters and Campbell 1991). Further, maximum diversity of the genera is apparent in the Southern Hemisphere (Edmunds 1972) accompanied by a high degree of endemism (Tsui and Peters 1975). Indeed, all but two genera of Leptophlebiidae in Australia (Nousia and Thraulus), and all species within the family, are endemic.

The wide variety of environs inhabited by Ephemeropteran nymphs in Australia is largely due to the high diversity of the Leptophlebiidae. Their preference for highly oxygenated lotic waters makes them ideal for biomonitoring. The large diversity and high biomass of individuals that would be expected in a pristine environment can be readily detected by their absence. Further, as the majority of Leptophlebiid larvae are swimmers, clingers or sprawlers (Edmunds and Waltz 1996) pollution or spates result in immediate downstream drift. As the Leptophlebiidae are commonly encountered in survey work, mayflies are an integral part of the Australia-wide Monitoring River Health Initiative (Lake 1994; Coysh et al. 2000) which compares observed communities with those expected in an unpolluted environment.

### 1.4 Overall scope and objectives <br> see opposite*

### 1.4.1 Taxonomy

Members of the endemic subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) Campbell and Suter and the closely related Koorrnonga (Campbell and Suter) are some of the more commonly encountered mayfly species. Yet both are poorly described and can be confused due to their morphological similarities. An objective of this study was to revise these two, possibly congeneric, genera. This required the collection of new material and rearing of nymphs to establish associations between nymphs and adults, the description of new species and matching of voucher specimens to newly, or previously described, specimens.

### 1.4.2 Factors affecting distribution

The species of Nousia (Australonousia) and Koorrnonga that have previously been described, as with much of the Australian fauna, are also poorly known ecologically. An objective of this study was to use the collection of new material to detail the distribution and habitat preferences of the newly revised taxa.

### 1.4.3 Phylogeny

Finally, the relationships of Southern Hemisphere Leptophlebiidae have been reasonably well studied through analysis of a restricted number of Gondwanan (Tsui and Peters 1975), eastern Hemisphere (Peters and Edmunds 1970), South American (Pescador and Peters 1980a; Dominguez 1995) and New Zealand (Towns and Peters 1996) taxa. The only place in the Southern Hemisphere where relationships have not been comprehensively investigated is Australia. An objective of this study was to determine the phylogenetic relationships between Australian Leptophlebiidae to provide a baseline from which the Leptophlebiid Gondwanan fauna can continue to be investigated. The relationships found in this study will be compared with the evolutionary lineages of certain Southern Hemisphere fauna already proposed by Pescador and Peters (1980a \& 1985); the hypotheses of which have not been tested on Australian fauna.

## 2. FIELD COLLECTION AND LABORATORY REARING*

"When the insects take over the world, we hope they will remember with gratitude how we took them along on all our picnics."

## Bill Vaughan

[^0]
### 2.1 Introduction

The extensive collections of Ephemeroptera at various institutions throughout Australia such as the Australian National Insect Collection (ANIC), the Murray Darling Freshwater Research Centre (MDFRC), the State Environment Protection Authorities (EPA's) and the Museum of Victoria (MV), provided a basis for this study. In 1993 an Australia wide National River Health Program was established with an aim to improve the management of Australia's rivers. One of the major subprograms of this is the Monitoring River Health Initiative (MRHI) (Davies 1994) which uses a system of reference and test sites to determine predictive models for the health of each river citchment. Access to these specimens is possible through the various lead agencie's contracted to sample reference sites (eg: EPA VIC, Power and Water Authority NT, EPA SA, Department of Primary industry and Fisheries TAS, Department of Natural Resources QLD, Department of Conservation and Land Management WA, and the Department of Environment Land and Planning $A C T$ ).

Unfortunately, many of these collections consist of adult or, more usually, nymphal material only. In many cases no definitive link has been made between the two. Field collection of nymphs and adults in one location is an accepted method of identifying all insect life stages for a species but has the inherent problem of the difficulty of discriminating between different species, especially if one has to rely on immature nymphs for initial identification. A better method is to coflect nymphs and rear them to adults individually, keeping the subsequent larval skin case for examination. For most taxa examined in this study the animals had ${ }^{\text {to }}$ be collected live and reared to adults to make this definitive association therefore field work constituted an extensive part of the project.

### 2.2 Field collecting

### 2.2.1 Timing of fieldwork

As mayflies can only be collected during the emergence season, collecting times were restricted to an extended summer period. The main collection of nymphal material occurred over three seasons: September 96 to April 97 (year 1), October 97 to March 98 (year 2) and Octoker 98 to April 99 (year 3). Supplementary collections were made in the summer of 1999 to 2000 to sites previously visited to collect extra specimens for rearing. In total 368 sampling events were undertaken from locations throughout Victoria, Tasmania and New South Wales during the course of the project (see Appendix 2, collector: KJF).

### 2.2.2 Choice of locations to be sampled

For taxonomic review it was important that all known species of Nousia and Koormonga were examined in nymph and adult form. Limited resources dictated that the collection area be restricted. As the majority of possible species were thought to occur in southeast Australia, it was decided to concentrate on Victoria and southern New South Wales as the main source of supply. It was assumed that specimeirs from: other states or territories could be obtained from lead agencies and other sources, although this has not proved to be the case in all instances.

An early visit to Tasmania (December 96) established the difficulty of collecting and rearing in the field and it was hoped that collaboration would provide the specimens needed. Unfortunately, the time involved in collecting and rearing specimens proved difficult on a volunteer basis and very few associated specimens were gained this way. As a consequence, a second trip to Tasmania was planned for later in the study but ultimately time and resources did not permit this.

### 2.2.3 Methods of collecting

### 2.2.3.1 Collection of nymphs

Both Nousia and Koorrnonga prefer unpolluted environments and are relatively common in upland streams in association with logs, leaf packs and organic matter (Peters and Campbell 1991). Riffies with heterogeneous substrai? appear to be favourites of these animals although there are some species of Koorrnonga which are also thought to be common in shallow pools (Peters and Campbell 1991). Cool, upland streams were therefore targeted as potentially good sampling areas for both these genera.

For the most part nymphs were collected live for subsequent rearing in the laboratory, however, some nymphs were preserved in the field using 70\% ethanol and subsequently used as reference material.

Collections were primarily made by closely examining the substrata in the field and removing the nymphs individually with a small paintbrush. This reduced the chance of damage to the delicate nymphs which may have prevented them from successfully emerging. In slow flowing or standing waters a rectangular framed $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ aperture nylon mesh net was swirled through the water close to the substrate to pick up the nymphs.

At each sampling locality all likely habitats (riffle, pool, edge) were sampled. Sampling continued until sufficient nymphs of all visibly different taxa were collected with no apparently new types being found. The method employed was not quantitative as this was considered too time consuming and the fact that the collections were made at different times of the year made the significance questionable. Further, it was considered the main priority was the acquisition of nymphs for rearing rather than abundance estimates.

### 2.2.3.2 Collection of adults

Adults mayflies are difficult to collect in the field for several reasons. Adults generally live for a short time, usually several hours to a few days oniy (Peters and Campbell 1991) although some have been recorded living up to 14 days (Brittain 1982). In either case the chance of being present at a site when adults are emerging is quite small. Mayflies are also unique in that they are the only order of insects to moult after becoming fully winged. The first winged stage is called the subimago and is characterised by dull translucent, often patterned wings and a body surface covered with microtrichia. Further the forelegs, caudal filaments, male genitalia and occasionally eyes are not fully formed (Edmunds and McCafferty 1988). A second moult results in the true adult or imago. Only the imago can be used for taxonomic purposes so the subimago must be kept alive whether reared from a nymph or caught in the field.

In the field subimagos and imagos were caught when the opportunity arose. Imagos tend to swarm above the stream or along the stream banks and were collected by using a sweep net. Subimagos tend to be slow flying and passive (Edmunds et al. 1976) and can be captured easily by hand or with a net. They are often found on the underside of rocks in a stream during low flow having recently emerged from the water or close to the waters edge on stream side vegetation. Care is required in handling the subimagos as any damage, especially to the wings, can result in death. Subimagos were transferred to a plastic container to await emergence to the adult form. This container was a modified example of the subimago box used by Edmunds et al. (1976). The container was clear plastic container, about 8 cm high, with a white plastic screw on lid. Inside was placed a wetted cloth to create a humid environment and a branch for the subimago to perch on. A small hole was punched in the lid and the container was placed carefully in cool conditions. One to two days were required for the subimago to moult to an imago. Unfortunately this technique was not found to be particularly successful and most subimagos died before emerging.

An alternative method of collecting adults is to use a light trap. An ultra-violet light powered by a 12 V battery was set near the stream bank at dusk at various sampling localities throughout the study period. However, low numbers of emerging adults, and often unfavourable weather conditions, produced poor results.

### 2.2.3.3 Transporting

One of the main concerns for rearing was keeping the animal alive while it was transported to the laboratory. Live nymphs collected from one site were placed in a 1.25 litre clear plastic bottle filled with stream water. The bottles were sealed and placed in an insulated container filled with ice to cool the animals and slow metabolic rates so that emergence might be delayed (Fig. 1). In order to provide for the high oxygen requirements of the mayflies the bottles had to be constantly aerated. During the day the water was adequately aerated by the motion of the vehicle. Overnight, a battery operated pump was employed to aerate each individual chamber.

A small number of sites were accessible only by foot. Time was required to walk out of these sites during which problems with low oxygen levels in the water might be likely to develop. Further, the container could easily heat up, causing possible stress to the animal. These problems were overcome to some extent by planning the trip so that the shortest possible route was taken. Animals were also collected at the last possible moment so that as little time as possible was spent walking. Further, a small insulated container was used for collecting, rather than a bottle, and this was filled with ice beforehand to ensure conditions were kept as cool as possible.

### 2.3 Rearing

### 2.3.1 Background

Many authors have made suggestions for rearing aquatic insects to adults (see review by Merritt et al. (1996)). The two main approaches are field and laboratory rearing. Each method has its advantages and disadvantages which will be briefly reviewed here.

Most field rearing techniques involve a mechanism for containing the nymphs within the existing water body and providing room for the animal to emerge while safe from drowning. Methods used include jars partially immersed in the stream (Speith 1938), specially constructed wire screen cylinders sitting upright on the substrate (Day 1956) or plastic cups suspended in the water column on a foam float (Edmunds et al. 1976). An
alternative is to enclose a section of the stream bed with a large mesh covered frame to capture the emerging animal (Hynes 1970; Southwood 1978; Merritt et al. 1996). In fast flowing or deep water these emergence traps are anchored to the substrate.

The obvious advantage of field rearing is that the nymphs are reared in situ where the environmental conditions are ideally suited to the individual. Disadvantages are that field rearing is often very time consuming, requiring the researcher to be in the fieid until emergence has occurred. It is difficult to rear at several locations simultaneously as the cages or traps require frequent checking. Vandalism is also a potential problem but perhaps the most significant difficulty is that the nymphal exuviae, necessary for nymphadult association, is more likely to be lost during the process.

Laboratory methods range from simple to extremely complex as authors have tried to address the problem of recreating stream flow conditions. Covered aquariums have been used in conjunction with various methods of inducing a current such as a magnetic stirrer (Mason and Lewis 1970) or directed air (Craig 1966; Bay 1967). Gravity flow systems sustained by running tap water have been used by Hynes (1941). Pumps for recirculating the flow were later introduced by Mason and Lewis (1970). More sophisticated are the large flow tanks powered by propellers (eg. Vogel and LaBarbera 1978) and complicated systems designed more specifically for the purposes of toxicity testing (Buikema and Voshell 1993). Using these designs the rearing process and environmental conditions can be closely monitored. However, these systems are primarily suitable for mass rearing as the cost and logistics of setting up individual tanks becomes prohibitive.

The disadvantages of each method above led to the design of a new laboratory rearing system for mayflies specifically for this study. The aim was to produce a low cost system that would still allow for definitive associations between nymph and adult. Each chamber was therefore designed to house one individual mayfly and allowed the animal to pass through the stages of nymph and subimago without disturbance.

The chambers are made from readily obtainable material and are easy to construct. They are also inexpensive and cost less than AUS $\$ 2.00$ each assuming an air supply is available. As the chambers are quite small the air supply required for each is minimal and the cost of pumps no longer becomes a limiting factor. Up to ten chambers can be accommodated with a small 240 V aquarium pump. The compact chamber size also makes them space-efficient with many chambers able to be housed in a small area. Although similar systems have been described before in the literature (Merritt et al. 1996) I have yet to find a published account of their use.

### 2.3.2 New rearing chamber design

The new rearing chamber (Fig. 2) was constructed from a 1.25 litre transparent plastic bottle. This was cut in two at about two-thirds its length from the bottom, at the point where the sides start to converge towards the lid. The open container was lined with nyion mesh, which can either be glued in place or simply wetted and pressed against the sides. Two hooks were attached facing outwards and opposite each other on the outside of the bottle using electrical tape so that a rubber band could be stretched between them across the open end. A smail hole (diameter of $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was drilled in the plastic bottle lid. The top third of the bottle with the lid was then inverted to sit in the chamber, lid downwards, and secured by the rubber band. The chamber was then half filled with water. Compressed air was supplied to the chamber by means of PVC aquarium tubing (interior diameter 4 mm ) attached to a pump or laboratory air supply. Up to ten chambers were aerated from one small air pump linked through aquarium tubing, although each chamber required a two-way controller so flow could be balanced. It was found beneficial to attach a plastic micropipette tip to the end of the tubing to restrict the flow of bubbles to a small stream. Glass pipettes proved too fragile and, being heavier, were prone to blockage by resting on the chamber bottom.

### 2.3.3 Rearing system

Each rearing chamber was half filled with water from a particular site and one late instar individual from that site was added. Twigs collected from the site were added to each chamber supplying detritus and algae as a food source and providing a platform for emergence. Each chamber was attached to an air supply (air outlet or pump) and placed in a controlled temperature room that was variously set at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Only one room was available for rearing and as such temperature changes were a result of identifying optimal conditions rather than experimental design. Photoperiods of 12 hours daylight and darkness (12:12) or 14 hours daylight, 10 hours darkness (14:10) were used at different times. Some nymphs were placed in much larger chambers designed by (Campbell 1983, Fig. 3). These were made from a cube-shaped frame of wood to which fly-screen or mesh is stapled on all sides. A 500 ml container was placed inside the frame and connected to an air supply by aquarium tubing through a small hole. Surplus nymphs were stored in an aerated container refrigerated to $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Empty chambers were thoroughly washed and nymphs replaced from refrigerated stock. These new nymphs were acclimatised to the controlled temperature environment for a period of 20 to 30 minutes before being placed in the rearing chambers.

### 2.3.4 Emergence data collection

In the controlled environment the nymphs were checked every second day and the life cycle stage of the individual noted as N (nymph), S (subimago) or I (imago). Once emergence (or death) occurred the animals were removed and genus and sex determined by observation using a stereomicroscope.

Some effort was expended in documenting and analysing rearing success. One reason for this was to identify the suitability of the new chambers and other environmental conditions so rearing could be conducted as efficiently as possible. The results are presented here because it may be of assistance to other workers in the discipline to know: (1) what conditions proved suitable for various taxa, (2) success rates that can be expected in these conditions and (3) which factors the insects responded to, which may be of more general application to mayfly rearing.

### 2.4 Results

Emergence success data have previously been published (Finlay 2001, Appendix 1). It should be noted, however, that this publication was prepared and submitted in 1998 and therefore analysed prior to the taxonomic changes described in Chapters 3 and 4. The analysis that follows therefore differs slightly from the publication in that it incorporates these taxonomic changes.

The full data set included 1251 individuals of which Austrophlebioides and Nousia predominated, comprising $36.5 \%$ and $33.8 \%$ respectively. Next came Atalophlebia Eaton at $12 \%$, then Garinjuga Campbell and Suter at 6.6\%, Tillyardophlebia Dean at 4.6\%, Thraulophlebia Demoulin (previously Koorrnonga, see Chapter 3) at $2.6 \%$ and Ulmerophlebia Demoulin at 0.9\%, Montikola gen. nov. (previously Nousia, see Chapter 4) at $1.6 \%$ and Gemmayaluka gen. nov. (previously Nousia, see Chapter 4) at $0.7 \%$. A few individuals of other genera, such as Atalomicria, Jappa, Kirrara and the unnamed Genus 'W' (Dean 1999) were also coilected representing the remaining $0.6 \%$. Of the ten named genera in south-east Australia, all were sampled except Neboissophlebia Dean despite this genus having been found previously in many of the sites where I collected. Data analysis will focus on the six most prevalent genera: Austrophlebioides, Nousia, Atalophlebia, Garinjuga, Tillyardophlebia and Thraulophlebia.

### 2.4.1 Emergence success

Imago emergence success rate for the full data set was $34.1 \%$ with $10.0 \%$ reaching the subimago stage before dying and $55.9 \%$ dying as nymphs. Individual genera, however, differed significantly in emergence success ( $\chi^{2}=47.09, d f=5, p \ll 0.001$, Fig. 4). Atalophlebia was reared most successfully with $48.7 \%$ becoming imagos. Other highly successful genera were Tillyardophlebia (47.4\%) and Thraulophlebia (45.5\%) followed by Nousia (39.0\%). The genera with the lowest success rates were Austrophlebioides (25.0\%) and Garinjuga (22.0\%).

The new rearing chamber was compared with that designed by Campbell (1983). The new chamber produced higher imago emergence rates compared with the 'old' one (Campbell's) for all the genera examined (except Tillyardophlebia). However, a small sample size prevented analysis of all but three predominant genera (Table 3). A significant difference was found only for Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=5.993, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.014$ ), whose emergence success rate more than doubled in the new chambers $(27.1 \% \mathrm{v}$. rsus $12.6 \%$ ).

Emergence success did not differ between the sexes for any genus except Tillyardophlebia (Table 3) where a much greater proportion of females (58.8\%) than males ( $30.4 \%$ ) emerged successfully ( $\chi^{2}=4.435, d f=1, p=0.035$ ).

The effect of varying the photoperiod could be analysed only for year 1 as there were no individuals reared under 12:12 conditions during year 2 . Within the restricted data set a significant difference due to photoperiod was found only for Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=$ $17.810 \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$, Table 3), yet this went against the trend for all other genera where success rates, although not significantly different, were generally higher under a 12:12 cycle. Similarly, the effect of year of collection could only be examined in relation to photoperiod 14:10. Again there was a significant difference between year 1 and 2 only for Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=56.936$, $\mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$, Table 3). There was no apparent trend for the other genera.

For most genera, the proportion successfully emerging was highest at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 3) and the temperature which produced the lowest proportion of successful emergence was $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The effect of temperature was significant for Austrophlebioides $\left(\chi^{2}=47.838, \mathrm{df}=3\right.$, $p \ll 0.001$. Table 3) and Nousia ( $\chi^{2}=11.102, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}=0.011$. Table 3). The effect of temperature was also considered in relation to time spent in the rearing system for a restricted number of genera (Fig. 5). Time taken to emerge successfully was greatest at
$18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ foliowed by $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Although patterns of response to temperature were similar for each genus, the time taken to reach outcome varied considerably. For example, at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ mean time to emerge varied from 7.8 days for Nousia to 12.9 days for Atalophlebia. Data were log transformed to meet the assumption of normality and an ANOVA run to test for a significant effect of temperature. All genera had significant temperature effects (Nousia, F-ratio $=3.032, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}=0.031$; Austrophlebioides, Fratio $=4.269, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}=0.007$; Atalophlebia, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ degrees removed, F -ratio $=4.354, \mathrm{df}=2$, $\mathrm{p}=0.017$ ) although multiple $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ showed that very little variability (around $10 \%$ or less) in rearing time was explained by temperature differences. Tukey's HSD tests showed significant differences for all genera between the temperatures of $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ only (Fig. 5).

The effect of altitude of collection on rearing success by genus was examined by chisquared for four altitude categories: [(1). $<400 \mathrm{~m},(2) .400-800 \mathrm{~m}$, (3). $801-1200 \mathrm{~m}$, (4). $>1200 \mathrm{~m}$ ]. It was found to be significant only for Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=29.826, \mathrm{df}=3$, $\mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$, Table 3) where the highest success rates were found at progressively lower altitudes (Fig. 6).

Division of storage time into three categories: [(1). 0-2 days, (2). 3-5 days, (3). > 5 days] and subsequent analysis by chi-squared also showed a significant effect only for the genus Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=18.297, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$, Table 3) with a higher success rate for progressively fewer days in storage. However, altitude of collection and storage time were positively correlated as it took longer to return to the laboratory from high altitude collection sites.

Table 3. Percentages of successful emergences affected by collection and rearing conditions.

|  | Atalophlebia | Austrophlebioides | Garinjuga | Thraulophlebia | Nousia | Tillyardphlebia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHAMBER TYPE <br> p value <br> $n$ <br> \% success old cage <br> \% success new cage | $\begin{aligned} & 0.628 \\ & 150 \\ & 44.4 \\ & 49.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.014 \\ & 454 \\ & 12.6 \\ & 27.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 15.4 \\ & 23.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 37.5 \\ & 48.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0.926 \\ 423 \\ 38.7 \\ 39.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 50.0 \\ & 47.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| SEX <br> $p$ value <br> $n$ <br> \% success female <br> \% success male | $\begin{aligned} & 0.795 \\ & 150 \\ & 47.8 \\ & 50.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.909 \\ & 456 \\ & 24.8 \\ & 25.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.542 \\ & 82 \\ & 20.0 \\ & 25.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.435 \\ & 33 \\ & 40.5 \\ & 53.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.238 \\ & 423 \\ & 40.9 \\ & 34.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.035 \\ & 57 \\ & 58.8 \\ & 30.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| PHOTOPERIOD (year 1) $\dagger$ p value $n$ \% success 12:12 \% success 14:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 62.3 \\ & 33.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0 . 0 0 0} \\ & 164 \\ & 24.0 \\ & 56.5 \end{aligned}$ | 82 <br> 28.2 <br> 18.0 | 3 <br> 100.0 $100.0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.248 \\ & 152 \\ & 50.0 \\ & 40.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 14 \\ & 53.8 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| COLLECTION YEAR <br> (photoperiod 14:10) <br> $p$ value <br> $n$ <br> \% success - year 1 <br> \% success - year 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 33.3 \\ & 42.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 377 \\ & 56.5 \\ & 16.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 50 \\ 13.0 \\ 22.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 100.00 \\ & 40.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.461 \\ & 365 \\ & 40.4 \\ & 36.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 44 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 46.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| TEMPERATURE $p$ value <br> $n$ <br> $\%$ success $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> $\%$ success $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> $\%$ success $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> $\%$ success $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 150 <br> 43.4 <br> 59.0 <br> 50.0 <br> 38.2 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 0.000 \\ 456 \\ 23.6 \\ 45.4 \\ 24.3 \\ 10.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 20.0 \\ & 25.6 \\ & 42.8 \\ & 9 . \approx \end{aligned}$ | 33 <br> 42.1 <br> 100.0 <br> 0 $40.0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.011 \\ & 423 \\ & 46.3 \\ & 49.2 \\ & 39.8 \\ & 29.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 51.4 \\ & 53.8 \\ & 25.0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| ALTITUDE <br> $p$ value <br> $n$ <br> $\%$ success $<400 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> $\%$ success $400-800 \mathrm{~m}$ <br> \% success 801 - <br> 1200 m <br> $\%$ success $>1200 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.126 \\ & 150 \\ & 46.9 \\ & 44.4 \\ & 73.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 456 \\ & 33.1 \\ & 22.5 \\ & 9.6 \\ & 6.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 24.5 \\ & - \\ & 0.0 \\ & 19.0 \end{aligned}$ | 33 <br> 12.5 <br> 54.5 <br> 66.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.210 \\ & 423 \\ & 39.1 \\ & 38.5 \\ & 16.7 \\ & 56.2 \end{aligned}$ | 57 <br> 50.0 <br> 16.7 <br> 100.0 |
| STORAGE <br> p-value <br> $n$ <br> \% success $0-2$ days <br> $\%$ success $3-5$ days <br> $\%$ success $>5$ days | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & 43.8 \\ & 75.0 \\ & 62.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 456 \\ & 32.3 \\ & 19.8 \\ & 12.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 20.9 \\ & 33.3 \\ & 16.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 50.0 \\ & 33.3 \\ & 50.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.808 \\ & 423 \\ & 39.6 \\ & 35.1 \\ & 39.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 62.5 \\ & 28.6 \\ & 27.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Effect of each factor analysed by $\chi^{2}$ Significant $p$ vaiues (at <0.05) shown in bold. $\dagger$ analysed on restricted data set as there were no mayflies reared under the 12:12
regime in year 2.

- one or more categories with small sample size: $\chi^{2}$ test not reliable.


### 2.4.2 Sex-ratios

Ratios of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\circ}: \%$ showed a female bias for all individual genera in the range of 1:1.5 for Tillyardophlebia to 1:2.2 for Nousia (Fig. 7). Chi-squared analysis was used to determine departure from the expected $1: 1$ sex ratio and was found to be significant for all genera except Thraulophlebia and 7illyardophlebia.

### 2.5 Discussion

### 2.5.1 General

The overall imago emergence success rate was $34 \%$. Clearly, however, success rates depend on the genus in question. Taxa preferring slow waters would be expected to emerge more successfully in aerated tanks with low flow regimes (Edmunds et al. 1976). Therefore, it is not surprising that Atalophlebia, predominantly found in standing or slowly flowing waters (Peters and Campbell 1991), had the greatest proportion of imagos that emerged. The relatively low success rates of Garinjuga may be due to the presence of a large number of a possibly new high altitude species in the sample for which the emergence success was very low, thereby reducing the average for the whole genus.

Overall, the new rearing system was a success in that imago emergence rates were generally higher in the new chamber compared with the older one for all genera. In particular, the success rate for Austrophlebioides was much greater in the new chamber. The considerable advantages of the new chambers in terms of time saved in construction and set-up, space efficiency and low cost indicate that the system could be widely used even if just for routine species identification.

In general, few factors had an effect on overall emergence success for any genera other than Austropilebioides. Sex appeared to affect Tillyardophlebia but as this was a relatively small data set it may not prove to be biologically significant. There were also temperature effects for Atalophlebia and significantly for Nousia which must be considered. (Table 3, Fig. 5).

Miost striking, however, were the results for the genus Austrophlebioides which appeared to be affected strongly by all factors except sex. This is almost certainly related to habitat requirements. For example, the greater rearing success in smaller chambers with much less water could be indicative of an inherent need for highly oxygenated water in this
genus. Neither chamber adequately simulates current flow, but the new chamber may provide more oxygen per volume than the 'old' chamber. A high rate of water movement may be necessary for the development of this genus. Similarly, the highly positive response to a 14 hour photoperiod, which is typical of an Australian summer light regime, may be required as a cue for Austrophlebioides to emerge. If this is so, this is the first record of an enhanced emergence response to photoperiod for Australian Leptophlebiidae as photoperiod has been shown previously to have little effect on mayfly egg hatching or emergence (Brittain 1982; Suter and Bishop 1990; Newbold et al. 1994) despite the suggestion that it is important for aquatic insects in general (Hynes 1970).

Austrophlebioides fared better in year 1 possibly because they were stored for much less time ( 0.1 mean days in year 1 compared with 4.9 mean days in year 2 ). Frogressively shorter storage times produced significantly higher success rates. Another contributing factor may be that allitude range for each year was considerably skewed, with animals being collected no higher than 500 m in year 1 but up to 1560 m in year 2 , as progressively lower altitudes produced greater emergence success rates. Perhaps there is a greater temperature differential between higher altitude sites and the laboratory, creating more physiological stress on the animals and thus impairing the rearing process.

Temperature not only determines abundance, distribution and diversity of stream insects (Hynes 1970; Ward and Stanford 1982; Zamora-Muñoz et al. 1993) but is considered to be one of the most important influencing factors affecting insect development (Corkum 1978; Elliott 1978; Brittain 1982; Wallace and Anderson 1996). Indeed in this study, temperature was the only external factor significantly affecting emergence success of a genus other than Austrophlebioides. Success rates were much higher at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yet summer water temperatures would be within the range $16-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for all but the highest altitudes; so it is puzzling to find such a restricted temperature preference for emergence. This restricted preference also applied to the length of time taken to emerg: It is possible that the nymphs have a narrow temperature requirement for development which is in line with the optimal thermal regime theory (Sweeney and Vannote 1978) that exists for a given species. Adult size, fecundity and presumably emergence rates, may diminish outside the bounds of the optimal regime for the species.

Trends in the data are not significant with the clear exception of Austrophlebioides. A broader study with species level data within this genus may therefore be especiaily valuable.

Insect sex-ratios in nature are generally expected to be $1: 1$ although skewed ratios due to inbreeding occur and will be biased towards females (Thornhill and Alcock 1983). Although female biased sex ratios in Ephemeroptera have been recorded in New Zeaiand in association with rapid flow (Towns 1983) most relate to parthenogenetic taxa of which 50 species are known worldwide (Brittain 1982) and only in three or four families (McCafferty and Huff 1974). It appears obligatory in only a few species (Peters and Canipbell 1991). In these species parthenogenetic eggs generally develop more slowly, causing a delayed female bias in the $\mathrm{sf}^{\cdots}$ ratio of the nymphs which is perpetuated through the life cycle. For example, sex ratios of Cloeon similae (Baetidae) increased from 1:1 in the summer to 2:1 in Spring and early Winter over 13 consecutive years due to a longer development time for the unfertilised eggs and subsequent late appearance of parthenogenetic progeny (females) (Harker 1997). Data for the present study were collected from a wide range of sites over two years, so it is possible that the observed sex ratio actually reflects what occurs in nature. As far as I am aware there are no previous records of parthenogenesis occurring in Australian Leptophlebiidae.
3. REVISION OF THE SUBGENUS NOUSIA (AUSTRALONOUSIA) CAMPBELL AND SUTER AND THE GENUS KOORRNONGA CAMPBELL AND SUTER
"There is no excellent beauty that hath not some strangeness in the proportion."

### 3.1 Introciuction

The subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) Campbell and Suter, which comprises all Nousia species in Australia, and the endemic genus Koorrnonga Campbell and Suter, are poorly known. At present three species are accommodated in the subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) while six species reside within the genus Koorrnonga although the status of some of these species is questionable and their placement acknowledged as tentative (Campbell and Suter 1988). The two taxa are also considered very closely related (Campbell and Suter 1988) and possibly congeneric, probably because the characters used to distinguish between them are relatively few. This also puts into question the subgeneric status of Nousia (Australonousia) with respect to its South American counterpart, the subgenus Nousia (Nousia).

In addition, potential new species of both taxa are recorded in government and industry reports ("grey" literature) (eg Dean 1999). These morphospecies are known only by voucher collection numbers, usuaily described only as nympis with no associated adults. Little attempt has been made to match them with any current species already published.

It is clear that a revision of these taxa is sorely needed. This study aims to:

1. revise the subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) redescribing species where necessary.
2. investigate the characters determining the subgeneric separation of Nousia (Nousia) from South America with Nousia (Australonousia) from Australia.
3. revise the genus Koorrnonga redescribing species where necessary.
4. describe and determine the laxonomic status of any potential new species.
5. investigate whether Nousia (Australonousia) and Koorrnonga are congeneric.

### 3.2 Methods and conventions

There has been much discussion on the various kinds of species concepts (for review see (Sluys 1991)). However, for the practising morphological taxonomist, species are delineated on the straightforward practical approach of morphological similarity: "a set of individuals closely resembling each other." (Darwin 1859). This approach has been somewhat refined in that the full range of morphological variation within a species is (or should be) accounted for when determining species status. The determination of what constitutes a mayfly species has been developed and refined by various ephemeropterists. Therefore, I have based the method of species descriptions on: (1) the prominent overseas workers in the field: William L. Peters (eg Peters and Edmunds 1970; Peters,Peters and Edmunds 1978; Peters and Peiers 1979; Peters 1980); George
F. Edmunds Jr. (eg Peters and Edmunds 1964; Peters and Edmunds 1972); David R. Towns (eg Towns and Peters 1978; Towns and Peters 1996); Manuel L. Pescador (eg Pescador and Peters 1980a; Pescador and Peters 1980b; Pescador and Peters 1982; Pescador and Peters 1985; Pescador and Peters 1987; Pescador 1997) and (2) the more recent Ausiralian workers Phillip J. Suter (eg (Suter 1979; Suter 1986; Suter 1993; Suter 1999; Suter 2000); Ian C. Campbell (eg Campbell and Peters 1986; Campbell and Suter 1988; Campbell 1993; Campbell and Peters 1993; Campbell and Hubbard 1998) and John C. Dean (eg Dean 1987; Dean 1988; Dean 1989; Dean and Suter 1996; Dean 1997; Dean 1999; Dean et al. 1999; Dean 2000).

### 3.2.1 Material examined

Mayfly specimens for this study were collected between September 1996 and April 2000 (see 2.2.1) from locations throughout Victoria, New South Wales and Tasmania. This was supplemented by material borrowed from the Museum of Victoria (MV), the Australian Museum (AM), the Australian National Insect Collection (ANIC), the Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet in Stockholm (Swedish Museum of Natural History, SMNH), the Natural History Museum in London (formerly British Museum of Natural History, NHM) and the Oxford University Museum (formerly Hope Museum, Oxford, OUM). Other material was examined from various Australian institutions such as the Monitoring River Health Initiative (MRHI), the Murray Darling Freshwater Research Centre (MDFRC), Australian Water Technologies (AWT), the Australian Water Quality Centre, South Australia (AWQC), the Environment Protection Authorities of Victoria and South Australia and various private collections.

All life stages of the insect were preserved in 70\% ethanol either in the field or in the laboratory once rearing was accomplished.

All new type material is lodged in the MV. All other material collected by the author is held personally and will subsequently be donated to the MV.

### 3.2.2 Preparation of specimens

Whole specimens were examined with the aid of an Olympus SZH dissecting microscope and illustrations made using a SZH drawing attachment. Dissection of the mayflies was necessary to examine mouth and body parts which are diagnostic of the species. Material dissected was soaked in ethoxy-ethanol for 5-10 minutes for clearing, then permanently mounted on slides in Canada Balsam for examination under a compound microscope. Wings of imagos and subimagos were dry mounted as suggested by
(Edmunds et al. 1976) as wings mounted in Canada Balsam can become clouded. I have found dry mounts become brittle easily and minimal handling is required to keep the wing intact. Illustrations of mounted specimens were made with the aid of a microprojector.

Body parts and eggs were also examined in finer detail using the scanning electron microscope (SEM). The material was dissected in 70\% ethanol taking care not to expose the parts to the air. The $70 \%$ ethanol was then removed and replaced with $100 \%$ ethanol through a series of two to three washes. On each occasion the material was left in undiluted alcohol for at least 30 minutes; a process designed to further remove moisture. Eggs were subsequently ultrasonicated for two to three minutes as this was found to dislodge the mucous layer, which surrounds the egg within the body cavity, and expose the detail underneath. Material was then critical point dried to further remove moisture, a process which helps minimize distortion. Once dried, the eggs were placed on metal stubs using adhesive. Body parts and genitalia were mounted on small strips of cardboard attached to a metal stub. This arrangement allowed dorsal, ventral and lateral sides of the genitalia to be viewed and photographed. Finally, the material was sputtercoated with gold. Digital imaging was used to record the SEM images.

### 3.2.3 Presentation of descriptions

For each genus the synonymies are listed first along with the relevant references. This is followed by the type species information, distribution, diagnosis (description), etymology for new species descriptions, remarks and finally locality data.

Each species description then follows a set pattern. The imago, male then female, are described first followed by the egg, the subimago and the nymph. Measurements are given in mm as ranges followed by the mean, standard deviation and $n$ in parentheses. At least ten measurements were taken for each characteristic where possible. If $n$ is less then not enough specimens of that species were available for that particular measurement.

Diagnosis of species status included measurements and examination of the whole animal for general appearance and colour. Important diagnostic characters for adults include measurements such as body, fore and hindwing length and the hindwing size in relation to the forewing. Body and head colour was recorded as was eye size and shape, noting the dimorphism between the sexes. Relative eye size of the male imagos was measured by the formula $E S=B / D$ following Bae (1991) where $E S=$ upper eye size, $B=$ shortest distance between upper lobes and $D=$ longest diameter of upper lobe. (Fig. 8). Relative upper eye sizes are as follows: large ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.0-0.2$ ), medium sized ( $\mathrm{ES}=0.3-0.5$ ), small
( $E S=0.6-0.9$ ), very small ( $E S=0.9-1.6$ ) and tiny ( $E S=1.7-3.0$ ). Other characters on the head worth noting are ocelli size and shape and antennal length and colour (Fig. 8). From the thorax, colour and shape is noted with references to the three regions; the prothorax, mesothorax and metathorax as necessary. Although the detailed morphology of the thoracic region has been used previously for taxonomic distinction (Tsui and Peters 1972) it was not used here; the method is cumbersome and time consuming and it was considered that enough diagnostic information was available from other characters. Associated with the thorax are the legs where colour and length were noted along with foreleg length ratios especially between male (Fig. 9) and female (Fig. 10) and tarsal claw morphology (Fig. 11). Leg length ratios were calculated using the method of Suter (1986) where each segment is compared to the length of the fore femur or first segment and expressed as a ratio. The mean length of the femur is then given at the end in parentheses, followed by $n$. The forewing venation nomenclature followed in this study was that of Edmunds and Traver (1954b) and Edmunds et al. (1976) with recent variations outlined by (Dean (1989). This venational terminology is summarised in Fig. 12. The colour of the fore and hind wing and veins therein, the relationships between the various veins and the numbers of crossveins in the costal and subcostal spaces were all recorded. For the male imago, the genitalia are species specific (Edmunds et al. 1976) with the size, shape and colour of the forceps and pene:s being diagnostic in dorsal (Fig. 13), ventral (Fig. 14) and lateral (Fig. 15) view. In the female the ventral posterior margin of sternite nine, sometimes called the anal plate, is variable in shape and can be used to distinguish between taxa (Fig. 16) as can the presence or absence of a genital extension or egg guide at sternum seven (Fig. 16). The size, shape and pattern on the egg chorion is also considered diagnostic for a species and was determined using SEM where possible. Lastly, the caudal filament colour and characteristics were noted.

Subimago body lengths were recorded, if available, and their general appearance and colour in comparison to the imago noted.

Important features of the nymphs include body length of males and females separately. The general appearance and shape of the body was noted as were: head orientation, head colour and width; ocelli size and shape; antennal colour and length and eye size and shape of the dimorphically variant males (Fig. 17) and females (Fig. 18). The mouthparts of the nymph, unlike the adults, are fully functional and represent important diagnostic characters at the species level. With regard to the labrum (Fig. 19) the width, length and width length ratios are important features as is the shape of the lateral margins, the presence or absence of an antero-median emargination and denticles thereon. For certain taxa the presence of a secondary hair fringe, its width in relation to the labrum and frontal setae are diagnostic, as are ratios of the denticle width to labrum width. Finally,
the width of the labrum in relation to the clypeus is noted as is the angle that the lateral margins of the clypeus project anteriorly towards the labrum (Fig 19). Important features of the mandibles (Figs. 20,21) include general shape, colour and setation as well as the number, size, shape and denticulation of the incisors. Added to this the size and shape of the prostheca, the prosthecal tuft and the arrangement of hairs on such is important. The maxiliae (Fig. 22) size and shape is also determinative. The relative expansion of the galea-lacinae is important and the width length ratio of such is calculated by dividing the width apically by the length of the inner lateral margin to the base of the extension. Also recorded are the number of subapical pectinate setae, and the relative lengths and features of the palps. The hypopharynx features (Fig. 23) include the state of development of the lateral processes, the degree of cleavage of the lingua and the setal arrangement on the superlingua. Finally, for the mouthparts, the labium (Fig. 24) also rates as an important character. Recorded are the arrangement of the glossae in comparison to the paraglossae, the presence or absence of spines on the lateral margins and the relative lengths and features of the palps. Illustrations of mouthparts conform to a standard. All parts are drawn dorsally, except for the labia which follows the method of (Peters and Edmunds 1964) where the ventral surface is shown on the right hand side and the dorsal surface on the left. Palpi segment length ratios of maxillae and labia were calculated using Suter's method for measuring leg length ratios (above, Suter 1986) .

From the thorax, general appearance and colour is noted, occasionally referring to the three major parts of the thorax. The width of the pronotum is often measured and compared with head width as a ratio. Leg colour, size, shape and setation are important (Fig. 25). The foreleg width, length and width length ratio are recorded along with the leg length ratios for all three legs (Suter 1986). Number of spines on the fore tibiae and tarsus are noted. For some species the average length of the spines on the foretarsus compared to the mid-length width of the tarsus is important. Presence or absence of tarsal claw teeth and their number is also a useful diagnostic feature. General colour and patterning of the abdomen was recorded along with presence, absence and size of postero-lateral spines (Fig. 26). An important feature is the size, shape, colour and appearance of both the upper and lower lamellae of the gills (Fig. 27). Lastly caudal filament colour, length and characteristics were noted where appropriate.

Locality data (listed alphabetically in Appendix 2) were recorded for each specimen examined are recorded in the following manner in the text: name of creek in bold, specific location (eg Williams Rd Camp Site, Wandiligong), site identifier which consists of the year, month, day and collection number in an eight digit code (eg 98101703), EPH number if available (code used by the MV), altitude, longitude, latitude, date of collection, number of male specimens and life stages for each using $N, S$ or I for nymph, subimago
and imago respectively, then number of female specimens using the same codes. Life stages were recorded with reared specimens and adult stages first. Thus the following order was employed: NSI (indicating nymph reared through to imago stage), I (imago only), SI (nymph reared to imago, subimago exuviae saved but nymphal exuviae not recovered), Nl (nymph reared to imago, subimago missing), NS (nymph reared to subimago then died), $\mathbf{N}$ (nymph only). If a recorded site location does not follow this sequence the data missing were unavailable.

Collectors names are shown as abbreviations in parentheses at the end of the locality data. The material I collected during the course of this study is identified by the abbreviation KJF. Material collected by others, or used from other collections, is identified by the abbreviations of the collectors names as follows: $N A=$ Nigel Ainsworth, $P A=P$. Allbrook, $\mathrm{SA}=\mathrm{S}$. Arandt, $\mathrm{JB}=\mathrm{J}$ ohn Blyth, $\mathrm{JBa}=\mathrm{J}$. Barton, $\mathrm{JEB}=\mathrm{JE}$. Bishop, $\mathrm{SB}=$ Stuart Bunn, RB = R. Blackwood, RBu=Rhonda Butcher, $A C=A$. Calder, $D C=$ David Cartwright, $\mathrm{DCO}=\mathrm{D}$. Coleman, $\mathrm{IC}=\operatorname{lan}$ Campbell, $\mathrm{GD}=\mathrm{G}$. Drecktrah, JD $=$ John Dean, $F M=F r a n z$ Mahr, MD $=M$. Dean, $T D=$ Tim Doeg, $M E=$ Mjöberg Expedition, $N F=N$. Forteath, $I G=$ Ivor Growns, JG $=$ John Gooderham, $P G=$ Peter Goonan, $R G=$ R. Green, $\mathrm{BH}=\mathrm{B}$. Herbert, JH=J. Harker, PH = Pierre Horwitz, JJ = Jean Jackson, JL = J Lidston, $B M=$ Brooke Margery, BJM = B. Moulds, $C M=$ Chris Madden, GJM = GJ Morgan, MSM $=$ Max S. Mouids, RM $=$ Richard Marchant, $\mathrm{RMO}=$ R. Morley, $\mathrm{CMcP}=$ Catriona McPhee, AN = Arturs Neboiss, $T N=$ Tim New, $S P=$ Supatra Parnrong, ESGS =Sabine Schrieber, LS = Le Souef, RStC $=$ Ros St. Clair, ER = Edgar Riek, DS=David Scholes, MS $=$ M. Suter, PS = Phil Suter, SS = S. Sheerlock, ET = Edward Tsyrlin, RJT=R.J. Tillyard, AW = Alice Wells, KW = Ken Walker, PW=P. Wal!er, WDW = William (Bill) Williams.

### 3.3 Revision of the subgenus Nousia (Australonousia)

### 3.3.1 History

The genus Nousia was erected by L. Navás (Navás 1918) to accommodate the newly described Nousia delicata Navás from Chile, South America. He acknowiedged that the description was somewhat inadequate, being based on one male subimago, but considered it still warranted species status. He writes "un ejemplar ot muy deficinete, sin las Ms de las patas, $\sin$ los cercos superiores o urodios. Como el ala anterior está en buen estado y la posterior ofrece suficientes caracteres, me he atrevido a describirlo de algún modo, aplazando para mejor ocasión, si longo ver algún ejemplar más perfecto, una descripción complementaria" ("An incomplete male specimen, without the majority of legs and caudal filaments. As the anterior wing is in good condition and the posterior one is in reasonable condition showing enough features, I have dared to describe it in some way, leaving for a future opportunity a complementary description if a specimens in better condition becomes available.") (Navás 1918, p.142).

Later, Navas (1925) obtained what he considered better specimens and described the species more thoroughly including a basic line drawing of the genitalia (FIG. 37, p. 309, reproduced here as Fig. 28). He also included supplementary characters from the dichotomous key in Needham and Murphy (1924) after concluding that the morphologically similar genus Atalonella, which Needham and Murphy established for Atalonella fusca (Ulmer) from Australia (Ulmer 1919) and the new species A. ophis Needham and Murphy from Chile, were in fact the same. Unfortunately, Navas did not formally designate Atalonella as a junior synonym of Nousia. He also left no record of where the type material he described was deposited.

This congeneric origin, however, was disputed by Traver (Traver 1946) who considered the hind wing venation of the two taxa to be quite different and proposed that Atalonella and Nousia be left as separate genera until careful study of the type material can be made.

Some time later, extensive collections were made at the type locality of Nousia delicata (Los Perales, Marga-Marga, Valparasio Province, Chile) by George F. Edmunds Jr. (Peters and Edmunds 1972). All the nymphis (and subsequent reared adult material) found simiiar to $N$. delicata were those identified as Atalonella. However, the original genitalia drawing of $N$. delicata (Navas 1925, Fig. 28) was so indistinct they could not be directly compared. (Peters and Edmunds 1972) concluded the two genera should not be synonymised until the type of Nousia delicata was located.

Happily, the missing type species of $N$. delicata was located not long after at Museo Municipal de Ciencias Naturales, Barcelona by Dr. J. Alba-Tercedor of the University of Granada in Spain (Pescador and Peters 1985). Upon examination it was established that N. delicata and A. ophis were identical and subsequently Pescador and Peters (1985) placed Atalonella as a junior synonym of Nousia. It followed therefore that $A$. ophis became a junior synonym of $N$. delicata. The species $A$. ophis still remains the type species of Atalonella and the species N.delicata the type species of Nousia. The South American species of the genus were comprehensively revised by Pescador and Peters (1985) and at present there are six described species.

By contrast, the taxonomy of Nousia in Australia is very poorly known. The Nousia of Australia are considered very speciose with perhaps sixteen species including seven endemic species from the island of Tasmania (Dean 1999). Yet only three are formally described in the literature at present. Of these three species $N$. darkara (Harker) and $N$. delicatula (Tillyard) are known only from Tasmania and are little known and poorly described. N. fuscula (Tillyard) is somewhat better known and is found on the mainland as well as Tasmania (Peters and Campbeli 1991). N. fuscula was extensively redescribed in Suter (1986) although it appears no type specimens were re-examined.

Based on the forewing venation, shape of the imago genitalia and mouthparts of the nymph, the Australian Nousia was considered to be quite different from those in South America (Campbell and Suter 1988) and a new subgenus, Nousia (Australonousia), was proposed to accommodate them. Subsequently, the South American species were referred to the subgenus Nousia (Nousia).

### 3.3.2 Descriptions

### 3.3.2.1 Nousia (Australonousia) Campbell and Suter

Nousia (Australonousia) (Campbell and Suter 1988)

Type species. Atalophlebia fuscula (Tillyard)

Species included. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca (Ulmer) comb. nov., Nousia (Australonousia) darkara (Harker) Incertae sedis, Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula (Tillyard), Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli sp. nov., Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8". 29).

Diagnosis. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 5.8-9.0; forewing length 5.9-9.6; hindwing length 1.01-1.80; forewing hindwing ratio 4.85-6.96. General body colour variable, ranging from golden to tan to dark brown to black, with darker markings. Head: brown to black. Antennae: pedicel, scape light to dark brown, flagellum golden to light or tan brown. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than media!. Eyes: upper eye size large, often contiguous, $E S=0.00-0.16$; upper lobe colour variable (pink, red, brown-grey, orange, orange-brown, dark brown), lower lobes grey, black or grey-black. Thorax: pronotum colour variable (golden, orange, brown, black), sometimes with darker markings; mesonotum shiny brown to black. Legs: colour variable (golden, tan, brown, black); femora usually darker; banciing present or absent; forelegs with severn segments, total foreleg length 5.76-8.90, tibia approximately 1.3-1.5 times length of femur, the five segmented tarsus approximately 1.4-1.6 times length of femur; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook. Wings. Forewing: membrane hyaline, pterostigmal region slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins dark brown, anal veins yellow; costal and subcostal crossveins usually absent (or very faint), costal space with $0-7$ crossveins proximally, 7-14 distally (sometimes anastomosed), subcostal space with $0-7$ crossveins proximally, 6-14 distally. Three bullae present on veins $S c, R_{2}$ and $R$ ${ }_{4+5}$. MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CUA}, \mathrm{CuA}$ and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved or joined to CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ joins $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by cross vein to $A_{1}$. Hindwing: mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; costal margin slightly convex at midiength immediately preceding shallow concavity; costa joins subcosta at approximately three-quarters to four-fifths wing length; no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 2-6 in distal space, 3-6 throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: colour and pattern variable ranging from golden to orange brown with dark brown markings to dark brown and black with golden markings, commonly forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly. Genitalia: forceps three segmented, first segment usually darker, progressively lighter apically; middle and terminal segment about the same length. Penes: fused in basal two-thirds, two lobes distinct, separate apically; often with subapical lobes protruding laterally towards midline, each subapical lobe with a small blunt triangular tooth, often partly sheathed by outer covering and generaily visible under transmitted light only. Cauda! filaments: three; golden to brown; terminal filament longer than cerci. Female imago. Dimensions: body length 6.1-10.1; forewing length 5.8-10.4; hindwing length 0.99-1.95; forewing hindwing ratio 4.94-7.12. General patterning and colouring
similar to male. Eyes: grey-black; separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye. Legs: shorter than male; total foreleg length 3.70-6.43; forelegs with six segments, tibia approximately 1.3-1.4 times the length of the femur, the four segmented tarsus approximately 0.6-0.8 times longer than the femur. Wings. Forewing: colour and venation similer to males except crossveins always in proximal halves of wing and generally more crossveins on average than male; costal space with 39 crossveins proximally, 10-18 distally (sometimes anastomosed), subcostal space with 38 crossveins proximally, 8 - 16 distally. Hindwing: no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 3-6 in distal space and throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: sternum seven with small to large genital extension; sternum nine moderately or deeply cleft. Egg. Ovoid; polar cap absent; chorion with large circular shaped protuberances, sometirnes interspersed with smaller ones; pattern variable. Male and female subimago. General colour and markings duli greyish black, similar to imago. Wings opaque, uniformly greybrown. Forelegs of male not elongated. Genitalia not fully developed. Mature nymph. Body lengths: $\delta^{\text {a }} 5.8-9.8, ~ \circ 6.0-11.4$. General body colour variable, ranging from golden to brown to black with light and / or dark markings. Head: prognathous; golden brown to dark brown, sometimes with darker markings; width 1.25-2.20. Ocelli: three; black with white to grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel and scape brown, flagellum golden to light or tan brown; one and a half to more than twice length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male tan to red-brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female grey-black to black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus: lateral margins of clypeus parallel, slightly or moderately diverging towards antericr; lateral margins of labrum subequal, very slightly or slightly wider than clypeus, rounded to angular; anterior margin with straight, slight or distinct antero-median emargination; labrum length 0.25-0.54, labrum width 0.54-1.03, labrum width length ratio 1.68-2.72; four to five flat elongate, rounded or triangular denticles present on anterior margin extending 0.07-0.38 the width of labrum, denticle width as proportion of labrum width 0.10-0.51; frontal setae arranged as a narrow or broad band, secondary hair fringe usually clearly separated from front row; in one case abutting. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved, sparse long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins, shorter: setae between tuft and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of setae in an inverted L-shape on lower mandibie body. Left mandible: two incisors, each with three apical teeth; outer incisor sometimes inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; prostheca robust, moderately or distinctively serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible: sparse long spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, sometimes inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; inner incisor with two apical teeth, sometimes inconspicuously serrated on outer lateral margin; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae: galea-lacinae
generally as wide as long, width length ratio 0.84-1.28; subapical row of 11-23 pectinate setae; with or without subapical process extending towards inner lateral margin; palpi three segmented, first segment length 0.22-0.30, segment two 0.71-0.97 times the length of first segment, terminal segment 0.51-0.71 times the length of first segment, terminal segment usually with single spine apically. Hypopharynx: well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua moderately to deeply cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, rounded to slightly anguiar lateral margins, sometimes with slight indentations. Labium: glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, first segment length 0.29-0.38, segment two 0.71-0.94 times the length of first segment, terminal segment $0.53-0.78$ times the length of first segment, terminal segment with row of triangular spines aimost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal sufface; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: colour ranges from golden to orange to tan and dark brown with light and / or dark markings; pronotum width 1.222.43, equal or one-fifth wider than head, head pronotum width ratio 0.88-1.25. Legs: golden to tan and dark brown, banding present or absent; total foreleg length 2.28-4.98; fore femora length 0.95-2.20, fore femora width $0.28-0.73$; fore femora length width ratio 2.19-4.11; fore tarsi with 3-15 ventral spines; tarsal claws with $9-18$ ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated; foreleg length ratios, tibiae 0.77-0.89 times length of femur, tarsi 0.36-0.55 times length of femur; mideg length ratios, tibiae 0.76-0.88 times length of femur, tarsi 0.31-0.40 times length of femur; hindleg length ratios, tibiae 0.81-0.92 times length of femur, tarsi 0.28-0.40 times length of femur. Abdomen: colcur and pattern variable ranging from golden to orange brown with dark brown markings to light and dark brown to black with golden markings commonly forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills: membrane clear to opaque, colour variable (pink, yellow, brownish); present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lanaeliae equaliy developed; slender, tapering to a thin point apically, main and lateral tracheae strongly to weakly developed. Caudal filaments: three; golden; terminal filament longer than cerci.

Remarks. The subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) can be distinguished from all other genera in the Leptophlebiidae family by the following combination of characters. In the imago: (i) body length ơ $5.8-9.0$; $96.1-10.1$; (ii) male upper eye size !arge, often contiguous; (iii) male and female forewing 4.9-7 times longer than hindwing; (iv) male forewing crossveins faint, or more usually absent, from proximal halves of wing; (v) MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin; $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}_{1}$ ? $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ recurved or joined to CuA and not linked to $\mathrm{CuA}-\mathrm{CuP}$ crossvein; $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$
joins $\mathrm{ICu}_{1} ; \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by cross vein to $A_{i}$; (vi) hindwing costa joins subcosta at approximately threequarters to four-fifths wing length; (vii) tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook; (viii) penes fused in basal two-thirds, two lobes distinct; often with subapical lobes protruding laterally towards midline, each subapical lobe with a small blunt triangular tooth, often partly sheathed by outer covering and generally visible under transmitted light only; (ix) female eyes separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye; ( $x$ ) female sternum seven with small genital extension; (xi) female sternum nine moderately or deeply cleft. In the nymph: (i) body length d 5.8 9.8, $\%$ 6.0-11.4; (ii) antennal length one and a half to more than twice length of head; (iii) lateral margins of clypeus straight, slightly or moderately diverging towards anterior; (iv) labrum slightly less than to twice or three times as wide as long; labrum subequal, very slightly to slightly wider than clypeus; lateral margins rounded to slightly angular; antero-median emargination straight, slight or distinct bearing four to five elongate, rounded or triangular denticles; denticles extend one-tenth to half the width of labrum; (v) mandibles with outer margins slightly curved; incisors with or without serrations on lateral margins; (vi) maxillae with galea lacinae generally about as wide or slightly wider than length; subapical row of 11-23 pectinate setae; terminal palp elongate, usually with single spine apically; (vii) hypopharynx moderately to deeply cleft, superlingua with rounded to slightly angular lateral margins; (viii) labium glossae not turned under ventrally, terminal segment elongate with row of triangular spines almost circling apex; submentum with lateral spines; (ix) pronotum about as wide as head; (x) legs with fore femora 2-4 times longer than wide; fore tarsi with $3-15$ ventral spines; tarsal claws with $9-$ 18 ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated; (xi) abdomen with posterolateral spines, progressively larger posteriorly; (xii) gills with margins entire, double, upper and lower lameliae equally developed; slender, tapering to a thin point apically; main and lateral tracheae strongly to weakly developed.

### 3.3.2.2 Nousia (Australonousia) fusca (Ulmer) comb. nov.

Thraulus dentatus Ulmer (Ulmer 1916) [partim]
Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer (Ulmer 1919)
Nousia fusca (Ulmer) (Needham and Murphy 1924) [mistakenly reported from Chile] Atalophlebia fusca (Ulmer) (Lestage 1931)
Atalonella fusca (Ulmer) (Har':er 1954)
Atalophlebia fusca (Ulmer) (Demoulin 1955b)
Koorrnonga fusca (Uimer) (Campbell and Suter 1988)
Nousia (Australonousia) delicatula (Tillyard) (Campbell and Suler 1988)
Koormonga brunnea (Tillyard) (Campbell and Suter 1988)

Nousia (Australonousia) fusca (Ulmer) comb. nov.

Types. Lectotype (here designated). Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer. Cedar Creek, Queensland, 10040000, III i910-1913, 1 d imagos, in alcohol, coll. Mjöberg Expedition. Labels: a) "Atalophlebia fusca Ulm. (Ulm. 1920) Typen", b) "=Thraulus dentatus", c) "Cedar Creek, Mär." Paralectotypes (here designated). (1) Atalophlebia fusca Uimer. 1 ot subimago, in vial with lectotype, same collection data and labels. (2) Thraulus dentatus Ulmer / Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer, Artherton, Queensland, 10010000, I 19101913, 1 of imago, in alcohol, coll. Mjöberg Expedition. Labels: a) "Thraulus dentatus Ulm. (Type)", b) "Atalophlebia fusca Uim. (Ulmer 1929) Co-Typen", c) "Atherton, Jan", (3) "Thraulus dentatus Ulmer / Ataiophlebia fusca Ulmer. 1 ot subimago, in vial with paralectotype 2, same collection data." Held at the SMNH.

> Nousia (Australonousia) delicatula (Tillyard) Atalophlebia delicatula Tillyard (Tillyard 1936)
> Atalonella delicatula (Tillyard) (Harker 1954)
> Nousia (Australonousia) delicatula (Tillyard) (Campbe!l 1988)
> Nousia (Australonousia) delicatula (Tillyard) (Campbell and Suter 1988) Nousia (Australonousia) fusca (Ulmer) comb. nov.

Types. Hoiotype. Atalophlebia delicatula (Tillyard), North Esk River, 33012100, 21 I 1933, Perth, Tasmania. of imago [examined]. Labels: a) "Atalophlebia delicatula Till. Hclotype of R.J.T,. N. Esk, Tas. 21.1.33", b) "Holotype". Paratypes. Atalophlebia delicatula (Tillyard), 2 of imagos, of imago (marked allotype), of and $\circ$ subimagos, same locality data, 21 | 1933, R.J. Tillyard; 6 XII 1954, B. McMillan. Held at the NHM [not seen].

Koormonga brunnea (Tillyard)
Atalophlebia brunnea Tiilyard (Tityard 1936)
Atalonella brunnea (Tillyard) (Harker 1954)
Nousia brunnea (Tillyard) (Campbell 1988)
Koorrnonga brunnea (Tillyard) (Campbell and Suter 1988)
Nousia (Australonousia) fusca (Ulmer) comb. nov.

Types. Holotype. Atalophlebia brunnea (Tillyard). South Esk River, Clarendon, Tasmania, 33030900, 9 lit 1933, or imago, coll by E. Scott, R.J. Tillyard collection. Paratype. Same location and collection data, of imago, genitalia
dissected and mounted on slide. Held at the NHM [examined, except for slide material]. Labels: a) "Atalophlebia brunnea Till. Holotype and Paratype Male Imagoes (Hol. in tube), Clarendon, Tas., 9.III.1933, Eric Scott.",b) "Ex. coll. R.J. Tillyard".

Distribution. New South Wales, Queensland, Tasmania, Victoria (Fig. 30).

Diagnosis. A redescription was considered desirable due to the accumulation of a large number of new specimens both as a result of collecting during this project and from examination of MRHI material. This has enabled the little known female imago and subimago stages and the previously unknown nymphs to be fully described. The species Koorrnonga brunnea (Tillyard) and Nousia (Australonousia) delicatula (Tillyard) and the morphospecies Nousia sp. "AV1" have all been synonymised with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca which has priority (see below for discussion).

Male imago. (Fig. 31). Dimensions: body length 5.8-9.0 ( $7.3 \pm 0.68,58$ ); forewing length $6.0-8.6(7.2 \pm 0.59,59)$; hindwing length 1.01-1.71 (1.29士0.13, 56); forewing hindwing ratio 4.85-6.80 ( $5.51 \pm 0.42,56$ ). General body colour very variable, ranging from tan brown to dark brown to black. Head: brown to black. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum tan to dark brown. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, sometimes contiguous, $E S=0.00-0.16$ ( $0.08 \pm 0.07,15$ ); upper lobes pink to red to tan brown, lower lobes grey to black. Thorax: pronotum brown to black; mesonotum shiny brown to black. Legs: golden through to tan, medium or dark brown, femora with darker apices, no apparent banding, forelegs with seven segments, total foreleg length $5.76-8.90(7.28 \pm 0.94,37)$; leg length ratios $1.00: 1.35: 0.06: 0.53: 0.50$ : $0.37: 0.16(1.8 \pm 0.19,37)$; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook (Fig. 32). Wings. Forewing (Fig. 33): membrane hyaline, pterostigmal region slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins dark brown, anal veins yellow; costal and subcostal crossveins absent, or if present very faint, in proximal halves of wing, costal space with $0-5$ (61), faint crossveins proximally, 7-14 (61) distally (sometimes anastomosed), subcostal space with $0-5$ (61) faint crossveins proximally, 6-13 (61) distally. Three bullae present on veins $S c, R_{2}$ and $R_{4+5}$, MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{CuA}$ and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved or joined to CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by cross vein to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}$. Hindwing (Fig. 34): mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; costal margin slightly convex at midlength immediately preceding shallow concavity; costa joins subcosta at approximately three-quarters wing length; no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 2-5 (56) in
distal space，3－5（56）throughout subcostal space．Abdomen：tan to dark brown to black with goiden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline，two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly（Fig．35）；colour and pattern highly variable．Genitalia（Figs．36－49）：forceps three segmented（Fig．36，38，39，41）； tapering strongly，first segment dark brown，progressively lighter apically；terminal segment rounded，about the same length as middle segment．Penes brown；fused in basal two－thirds，two lobes distinct，dorsal view with two subapical lobes protruding laterally towards midline；each subapical lobe with a small biunt triangular tooth，partly sheathed by outer covering，generally visible under transmitted light only；shape variable between populations；dorsal view（Figs．36，39，40，46，48），ventral view（Figs．37，41，42， 44，47，49）and lateral view（Figs．38，45）．Caudal filaments：three；golden to brown； terminal filament and cerci length unknown．Female imago．（Fig．50）．Dimensions： body length $6.1-9.0(7.6 \pm 0.74,62)$ ；forewing length $5.8-9.6(7.7 \pm 0.73,63)$ ；hindwing length 0．99－1．72（1．26 $\pm 0.14,62$ ）；forewing hindwing ratio 4．94－7．07（6．14士0．44，60）． General patterning and colouring similar to male．Eyes：grey－black；separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye．Legs：total leg length shorter than rale；forelegs with six segments，total foreleg length 3．70－6．28（4．80土0．66， 40）；leg length ratios $1.00: 1.30: 0.21: 0.19: 0.16: 0.15(1.60 \pm 0.19,40)$ ．Wings．Forewing （Fig．51）：colour and venation similar to males except crossveins in proximal halves of wing and generally more crossveins on average than male；costal space with 3－8（67） crossveins proximally，10－16（67）distaliy（sometimes anastomosed），subcostal space with $3-8(67)$ crossveins proximally， $8-14$（67）distally．Hindwing（Fig．52）：no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space，3－5（64）in distal space，3－6（64）crossveins throughout subcostal space．Abdomen：sternum seven with small genital extension（Fig．53）； segment nine deeply cleft（Figs．53－55）．Egg．Ovoid；polar cap absent；chorion with large evenly spaced circular shaped protuberances interspersed with smaller ones； pattern variable（Figs．56－67）．Male and female subimago．General colour and markings dull greyish black，similar to imago．Wings opaque，uniformly grey－brown．Maie （Fig．66）forelegs of male not elongated．Genitalia not fully developed（Figs．69，70）．
Mature nymph．（Fig．71，72）．Body lengths：is 5．8－9．6（7．5士0．87，63），i 6．0－10．0 （ $7.9 \pm 0.87,81$ ）．General colour golden to tan brown with light and dark markings．Head： prognathous； $\tan$ brown；width $1.25-1.82(1.53 \pm 0.11,83)$ ．Ocelli：three；black with white to grey inserts；laterals larger than medial．Antennae：pedicel，scape and flagellum golden to tan brown；more than twice length of head．Eyes：upper lobes of male reddish－ brown，lower lobes black；eyes of female black．Mouthparts．Labrum and clypeus， morphology variable（Figs．73，74）；lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior；lateral margins of labrum subequal to very slightly wider than clypeus，rounded； anterior margin with straight anterior margin（Fig．73）or slight antero－median emargination（Fig．74）；labrum length 0．30－0．54（0．37土0．04，101），labrum width 0．58－1．03
( $0.74 \pm 0.08,101$ ), labrum width length ratio 1.71-2.23 (1.99 $\pm 0.12,101$ ); five flat elongate denticles present on anterior margin extending 0.14-0.32 (0.20 $\pm 0.03,101$ ) across labrum, denticle width as proportion of labrumi width $0.20-0.37$ ( $0.27 \pm 0.03,101$ ); frontal setae arranged as a relatively narrow band, secondary hair fringe clearly separated from narrow band. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved, sparse long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins, shorter setae between tuft and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of setae in an inverted L-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandible (Fig. 75): two incisors, each with three apical teeth; outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; prostheca robust, moderately serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible (Fig. 76): sparse long spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; inner incisor with two apical teeth, inconspicuously serrated on outer lateral margin; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 77): galea-lacinae as wide as long, width length ratio 0.90-1.11 (0.99士0.06, 25); subapical row of 11-18 (25) pectinate setae; palpi three segmented, terminal palp with single spine apically although sometimes not apparent (Fig. 78); palp length ratios 1:00: 0.77: 0.71 ( $0.24 \pm 0.03,50$ ). Hypopharynx (Fig. 79): well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua deeply cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, rounded lateral margins. Labium (Fig. 80): glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface (Fig. 81); palp length ratios 1.00: 0.77: $0.63(0.36 \pm 0.04,50)$; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: golden to tan brown with darker markings; pronotum width 1.22-1.91 (1.51 $\pm 016$, 83 ), approximately equal to width of head (see above), head pronotum width ratio 0.88 $1.15(1.02 \pm 0.06,83)$. Legs (Fig. 82): golden to tan brown, femora apices usually darker, no apparent banding, fore femora length 1.05-2.20 (1.42 $\pm 0.20,87$ ), fore femora width $0.28-0.73$ ( $0.50 \pm 0.07,87$ ), fore femora length width ratio 2.51-4.11 (2.86 $\pm 0.20,87$ ); fore tibiae with numerous short ventral spines; fore tarsi with $5-10(25)$ ventral spines; tarsal claws with 10-16 (50) ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated (Fig. 83); total foreleg length 2.45-4.98 (3.31 $\pm 0.49,87$; leg length ratios, foreleg 1.00: 0.85 : 0.44 ( $143 \pm 0.21,87$ ) mid leg 1.00: 0.86: $0.35(1.51 \pm 0.26$, 60 ), hind leg $1.00: 0.91: 0.31(1.74 \pm 0.28,60)$. Abdomen: $\tan$ to dark brown with golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, generally witin two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly but pattern highly variable; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Figs. 84, 85): membrane clear to pink; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; each gill slender,
tapered to a thin point apically; main tracheal branch apparent; lateral tracheae present, usually strongly developed (Fig. 84), but sometimes poorly developed (Fig. 85). Caudal filaments: three; goiden; terminal filament longer than cerci.

## Remarks.

Nousia (Australonousia) fusca. Atalophlebia fusca was established by Ulmer (1919) as a new species although it was actually partly described by him in an eariier paper as Thraulus dentatus (Ulmer 1916). In the later revision, he considered that the light and dark coloured specimens of this genus were actually two different species and that, in fact, they both belonged to the genus Atalophlebia. The light examples he renamed $A$. lucida and the dark examples $A$. fusca as they required a new species name because dentatus was pre-occupied. Following this Needham and Murphy (1924) established the genus Atalonella to accommodate two species: A. ophis from Chile and A. fusca which they mistakenly believed to be from South America. Lestage (1931) then referred the species back to Atalophlebia on the understanding that all Alalonella species only came from South America. Probably without consulting the French (Lestage) literature Harker (1954) assumed the Needham and Murphy stance that the species belonged to Atalonella, and Demoulin (1955b) agreed. However, a complication ensued when Campbell (1988) apparently referred the species back to Atalophlebia. I consider this is because he misunderstood Demoulin (1955b), the last author to refer to the species, to have attributed the species to Atalophlebia whereas, in fact, Demoulin accepted Harker's view. Subsequently, a new combination of the species occurred as a result of the establishment of the new genus Koorrnonga (Campbell and Suter 1988) although no explanation was proffered. The current view of the species placement is tentative. Dean (1999) considers that it should be removed from Koormonga and referred back to Nousia on the basis of the genitalia and hindwing morphology.

Assessment of species status was not easily checked. A complication arose as a result of no specimen being nominated as the type species. In response to a request for the type specimens of fusca I received four vials from the SMNH, which I have named Series, each containing more than one specimen each:

Series 1. Thraulus dentatus Ulmer / Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer. Atherton, Queensland,
 Labels: a) "Thraulus dentatus Ulm. (Type)", b) "Atalophlebia fusca Ulm. (Ulmer 1920) CoTypen", c) "Atherton, Jan".

Series 2. Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer. Cedar Creek, Queensland, 10030001, III, 1910-1913, 1 ot imago, 1 ot subimage, in alcohol, coll. Mjöberg Expedition. Labels: a) "Atalophlebia fusca Ulm. (Ulm. 1920) Typen", b) "=Thrauluı dentatus", c) "Cedar Creek, März". Series 3. Thraulus dentatus Ulmer / Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer, Christmas Creek, Queensland, 10000000, 1910-1913, 1 o imago, 1 ㅇimago, 2 os subimagos, 2 ㅇF subimagos, in alcohol, coll. Mjöberg Expedition. Labels: a) "Thraulus dentatus UIm. (Typen)", b) "Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer (Ulm. 1920) Typen", c) "Christmas Creek". Series 4. Thraulus dentatus Ulmer / Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer, Malanda, Queensland, 10030002, III 1910-1913, 1 if imago, pinned, coll. Mjöberg Expedition. Labels: a) "Thraulus dentatus Ulm. (Type)", b) "Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer (1920)", c) "Malanda", d) "Queensl. Mjöberg".

In the situation of a collective type series all specimens constitute the name-bearing type and have equal status being referred to as syntypes (Article 72.1.1, ICZN 1999). Unfortunately, however, the fusca syntypes do not all represent the same species. The pinned female imago constituting Series 4, for example, definitely represents a species of Koormonga by the shape of its angular forewing and deeply cleft sternurz sine. The specimens contained with Series 2 are clearly Nousia-like with the separated lobes of the male genitalia and the rounded forewing. Indeed, the male genitalia (Fig. 86) clearly represents the morphospecies Nousia sp. "AV1". Similarly, although the male imago of Series 1 had the genitalia removed, the characters of both the imago and subimago are sufficiently similar to assign it to the same morphological status as Series 2. Lastly, the six adults constituting Series 3 are morphologically similar to those of Series 1 and 2 in respect of the wing venation and sternum nine of the female but the genitalia of the adult male has a spine protruding apically from the two penes lobes.

Under the rules of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (Article 74.1, ICZN 1999) "a lectotype may be designated from the available syntypes to become the unique bearer of the name of a nominal species-group taxon". I propose that the male imago from Series 2 (Fig. 86) becomes the lectotype primarily as the male genitalia is well preserved and intact but also because Ulmer (1919) himself declares of the two male imagos in this series "diese males betrache ich als typisch!" ("these males I consider typica!!", p.22). As a consequence, the male subimago from the Series 2 becomes a paralectotype (Article 74.1.3) as do the specimens making up the Series 1 . The remaining Series 3 and 4 are removed from the type series.

Nousia (Australonousia) delicatula. Tillyard (1936) first described this species from specimens collected in Northern Tasmania. Later, Harker regarded this species as a new combination of Atalonella after defining the differences between Atalophlebia and

Atalonella (Harker 1954). Scholes (1961) collected some more specimens from the same area and the same rivers as Tillyard and his description proved to be very similar. No explanation was given as to why the species is placed in the genus Nousia by either Campbell (1988) or Campbell and Suter (1988) although one can assume this was based on the size and shape of the male genitalia with diverging lobes. I agree with their assessment here. The type genitalia of the pinned imago were examined and found to be somewhat distorted, perhaps through the drying out process. However, I was fortunate to be able to examine some of Scholes' specimens collected from Northern Tasmania in the 1960's, and referred to in his subsequent paper (Scholes 1961). Luckily, the male imago genitalia, which was stored in alcohol, was in good condition providing a better representation of the true shape (Fig. 87). Based on the similarity of the male imago genitalia I have synonymized the species Nousia (Australonousia) fusca and Nousia (Australonousia) delicatula. As N. fusca has priority N. delicatula becomes the junior synonym.

Koorrnonga brunnea. Atalophlebia brunnea was originally described as such by Tillyard (1936) but was subsequently transferred to Atalonella by Harker (1950a) probably on the basis of fore and hind wing venation. It was referred to as Nousia by Campbell (1988) in his catalogue and subsequently transferred to Koorrnonga (Campbell and Suter 1988) on the basis of two characters: (1) the absence of crossveins in the proximal halves of the costal and subcostal spaces in the male forewing and (2) the shape of the penes. I disagree with this assessment. Firstly, other species of Nousia have missing or faint veins from the proximal costal and subcostal spaces so this is not necessarily a generic characteristic. Secondly, the genitalial shape ot the type (Fig. 88) conforms more strongly with that of Nousia with the presence of two diverging lobes rather than the closely associated lobes of Koorrnonga. One more characteristic can be used to cement the position of $K$. brunnea within Nousia (Australonousia). The shape of the hindwing is quite rounded and does not have the characteristic angularity of the Koorrnonga hindwing. I believe, therefore, that the species more correctly belongs to Nousia (Australonousia) and formally transfer it here. It is also clear that the genitalia shape is remarkably similar to that of the type species of both fusca and delicatula. Based on this and other imago characteristics I consider them to be the same species and synonymise them here. As $N$. fusca has priority $K$. brunnea becomes the junior synonym.

General. The synonymy of the three species N. fusca, N. delicatula and K. brunnea is based largely on the morphology of the male genitalia which were remarkably similar on examination as mentioned above.

Confusion arises, 1 feel, from the various drawings of the genitalia in the literature which do not appear to conform to the above individuals. Ulmer's drawing of Atalophlebia fusca is a simpie line drawing with little detail (Ulmer 1919, FIG. 14, p.21, reproduced here as Fig. 89). He describes the penes as ('..breit, tief eingeschnitten (FIG. 14); jeder Seitenabschnitt ist vor dem Ende as der Ventralfläche ausgehöhlt unc: ugt in dieser Höhlung einen das Seitenstück überragenden keulenförmigen Anhang, der an der Anßenkante vor dem rundlichen Apex eingekerbt ist; .." (p.22). This translates as "..wide, deeply indented (FIG. 14); each side section is hollowed out before the end of the ventral surface and bears in this hollow a companion-piece overhanging club-shaped attachment, that is notched on the outer edge before the rounded apex;.."

One wuld argue that this is not dissimilar to Tillyard's description of the penes of Atalophlebia delicatula: "..lobes separated by a deep cleft, each lobe stout, irregularly truncated, with three terminal rounded embossments and a larger rounded process projecting slightly inwards not far from apex. " (Tillyard 1936, p.48) although the thrers terminal embossments are absent from Uimer's drawing. Tillyard's simple line drawing of the penes (FIG. 16, p. 34, reproduced here as Fig. 90) clearly depicts the features described by Ulmer (1919).

As for Koorrnonga brunnea, the description of the male genitalia is less revealing. Tillyard (1936) describes the penes of Atalophlebia brunnea in the following manner: "..slightly diverging lobes separated by a deep semi-circular embayment; each lobe irregularly truncated. "Campbell and Suter (1988) believed that the lobes many have been artificially separated upon being mounted on the slide and this, coupled with Tillyard's description of "slightly diverging lobes" (Tillyard 1936, p. 44), led them to conclude the species belonged to Koorrnonga. However, it is clear from the holotype genitalia (Fig. 88) that the lobes are separated in their natural state. Tillyard's drawing of the genitalia (Tillyard 1936, FIG. 14, p. 34, reproduced here as Fig. 91) does not do the shape justice. I believe he has probably drawn the genitalia in the ventral view so it is not possible to see the apical lobes, and makes the drawing look dissimilar to that of $A$. fusca and A. delicatula.

In reference to Nousia sp. "AV1" a large number of male imago genitalia, which were collected over the course of this study, were examined by slide mounting and SEM. Although there appear to be some variations in length and width of the penes (see species redescription) the overall shape is similar to N. fusca, N. deiicaiula and K. brunnea. Further, as far asl can tell, small internal triangular spiries are present on the inner margin of each penis lobe. They appear to be partly covered by a sheath and are not visible unless the genitalia is mounted and viewed under transmitted light.

Synonymy was also established on the basis of comparison of the characteristics of the types and the various literature descriptions. These were compared to the newly described Nousia (Australonousia) fusca (above) which is largely comprised of specimens formerly known as Nousia sp. "AV1". These are compiled in Table 4. Unfortunately only the imago and subimago stages could be compared as there are no nymphal type specimens or literature descriptions.

Table 4. Similarities between the literature descriptions and type measurements of the imago and subimago stages of the species Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, Nousia (Australonousia) delicatula, Koorrnonga brunnea, and Nousia sp. "AV1".

|  | N. (A) fusca | N. (A) delicatula | K. brunnea | Nousia sp. "AV1" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago |  |  |  |  |
| eye size | contiguous - lectotype, paralectotype | ```large (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) almost contiguous - holotype``` | almost contiguous - holotype, paratype | large, almost contiguous |
| forewing overall colour | pterostigmal area slightly opaque - lectotype | hyaline (Tillyard 1936) glassy clear (Scholes 1961) | hyaline, pterostigmal area slightly darker (Tillyard 1936) glassy clear (Scholes 1961) pterostigmal area slightly opaque - holotype, paratype | membrane hyaline, pterostigmal region slightly opaque |
| forewing vein colour | wing veins dark brown (Ulmer 1916, Uimer 1919) | dark brown to blackish venation, anal veins pale (Tillyard 1936) dark brown to blackish venation (Scholes 1961) | with semi -transparent brownish venation, anal veins faint (Tillyard 1936) semi-transparent brownish venation (Scholes 1961) | longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow |
| costa! crossulfens distal | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { (2 faint) (Ulmer 1919) } \\ & 10 \text { (UImer } 1919 \text { ) } \\ & 10 \text { - lectotype } \\ & 8 \text { - paralectotype } \end{aligned}$ | 11 (Tillyard 1936) 9 - holotype | 8 (Tillyard 1936) <br> 9 - holotype <br> 10- paratype | 7-14 |
| subcostal cross Vadns distal | 7 (Ulmer 1919) <br> 9 - lectotyp : paralectotype | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 7 \text { (Tillyard 1936) } \\ 8 \text {-holotype } \end{array}$ | 8-holotype <br> 9 - paratype | 6-13 |
| MA fork | over half (Ulmer 1919) over half-lectotype, paralectotype | slightly more than half (Tillyard 1936) over half -- holotype | slightly more than half (Tillyaid 1936) around half - holotype | half to just over half |
| $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and CuA | yes - (Ulmer 1919), lectotype, paralectotype | yes (Tillyard 1936), holotype | yes (Tillyard 1936, holotype, paratype | yes |

Table 4 cont'd.

|  | N. (A) fusca | N. (A) delicatula | K. brunnea | Nousia sp. "AV1" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CUA-CuP crossvein | yes (Ulmer 1919), lectotype, paralectotype | yes (Tillyard 1936), holotype | yes (Tillyard 1936), holotype, paratype | yes |
| $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{iCu}_{2}$ | parallel (Ulmer 1919). lectotype, paralectotype | parallel (Tillyard 1936), hoiotype | paralle! (Tillyard 1936), holotype, paratype | parallel |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ICu}_{1} \text { joins } \\ & \mathrm{CuA} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | yes (Ulmer 1919), lectotype, paralectotype | yes - (Tillyard 1936) | yes (Tillyard 1936), holotype, paratype | yes |
| $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ linked to CuA-CuP crossvein | no (Ulmer 1919), lectotype. paralectotype | no (Tillyard 1936), holotype | no (Tillyard 1936, holotype, paratype | no |
| $\mathrm{CCu}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ | yes (Ulmer 1919), lectotype, paralectotype | yes (Tillyard 1936), holotype | yes (Tillyard 1936) | yes |
| CuP linked to $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | no (Ulmer 1919) lectotype, paralectotype | yes (Tillyard 1936), holotype | no (Tillyard 1936), holotype, paratype | yes |
| hindwing length at which costa joins subcosta |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { about four-fifths (Tillyard } \\ & \text { 1936) } \end{aligned}$ | three-fifths (Tiliyard 1936) | two-thirds |
| costal crossveins | 4 (Ulmer 1919) <br> 2-lectotype, paralectotype | 2 (Tillyard 1936 ) 4 - holotype | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { (Tillyard 1936) } \\ & 3 \text { - holotype } \end{aligned}$ | 2-5 |
| subcostal crossveins | $3-5$, sometimes faint (Ulmer 1919) <br> 3 - lectotype, paralectotype | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { (Tillyard 1936) } \\ & 5 \text { - holotype } \end{aligned}$ | 4 (Tillyard 1936), holotype | 3-5 |
| Forceps |  | 3 segmented (Tillyard 1936) | 3 segmented (Tillyard 1936) | 3 segmented |
| Female Imago |  |  |  |  |
| head colour | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dark chestnut brown (Ulmer } \\ & \text { 1919) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | brown to black |
| thorax colour | dark chestnut brown (Ulmer 1919) |  |  | pronotum brown to black, mesonotum shiny brown to black |

Table 4 cont'd.

|  | N. (A) fusca | N. (A) delicatula | K. brunnea | Nousia sp. "AV1" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| abdomen | reddish brown to brown black with darker markings (Ulmer 1919) |  |  | same as male imago |
| body length |  | larger than male (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) |  | length 6.1-9.0 (7.6土0.74, 62), larger than male |
| forewing genera: colour | shiny and iridescent, colourless or weakly grey (Ulmer 1919) |  |  | membrane hyaline, pterostigmal region slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow |
| forewing main colour | veins are pitch black against a white background, under transmitted light they appear dark brown (Ulimer 1919) |  |  | longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow |
| forewing crossveins |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { more than male (Tillyard } \\ & \text { 1936) } \end{aligned}$ |  | more than male |
| costal space crossveins prox | 3 tinclear (Uimer 1919) |  |  | 3-8 |
| costal space <br> - crossveins <br> -distal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \text { unclear, } 9 \text { clear (Ulmer } \\ & \text { 1919) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 10-16 |
| sternum nine | very deeply indented, bisected (Ulmer 1919) | apparently strongly projecting, slightly truncate at tip at hollowed out (Tillyard 1936) |  | deeply cleft |
| Subimagos |  |  |  |  |
| body colour |  | dull greyish black (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) |  | dull greyish black |

Table 5. Discrepancies between the literature descriptions and type measurements of the imago and subimago stages of the species Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, Nousia (Australonousia) delicatula, Koorrnonga brunnea, and Nousia sp. "AV1".

|  | N. (A) fusca | $N$. (A) delicatula | K. brunnea | Nousia sp. "AV1" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago |  |  |  |  |
| body length | almost 6 (Ulmer 1919) 6.3 - lectotype | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { (Tillyard 1936, Scholes } \\ & 1961 \text { ) } \\ & 5.5 \text {-holotype } \end{aligned}$ | ```6 (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) 6.8-holotype 6.5 - paratype``` | 5.8-9.0 (7.3土0.68, 58) |
| forewing length | 6-6.5 (Ulmer 1919) <br> 6.7 - lectotype <br> 6.2 - paralectotype | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { (Tillyard 1936, Scholes } \\ & 1961 \text { ) } \\ & 6.3 \text {-holotype } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { (Tillyard 1936, (Scholes } \\ & 1961 \text { ) } \\ & 6.6 \text { - holotype } \\ & 6.8 \text { - paratype } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6.0-8.6 (7.2土0.59, 59) |
| hindwing length | 1.0-lectotype, paralectotype | 1.1 (Tillyard 1936) <br> 1.0 (Scholes 1961) <br> 1.2 - holotype | 1.1 (Tillyard 1936) <br> 1.2 (Scholes 1961) <br> 1.3 - holotype, paratype | 1.01-1.71 (1.29 $\pm 0.13,56)$ |
| general body colour |  | blackish (Tillyard 1936) | brown (Tillyard 1936) | variable, from tan brown to dark brown to black |
| head colour | grey-brown (Ulmer 1919) | black (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) | dark brown (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) | brown to black |
| antennae colour |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dark brown (Tillyard 1936, } \\ & \text { Scholes 1961) } \end{aligned}$ | pale (Tillyard 1936) paler than head (Scholes 1961) | tan to dark brown |
| eye colour upper | reddish-grey (Uimer 1919) tan-brown - lectotype orange - paralectotype |  | ```pinkish brown (Tillyard 1936) paler than head (Scholes 1961)``` | pink to red to tan brown |
| eye colour lower | blackish (Ulmer 1919) grey-black - lectotype, black - paralectotype |  | dark grey (Tillyard 1936) paler than head (Scholes 1961) | grey to black |

Table 5 cont'd.

|  | N. (A) fusca | N. (A) delicatula | K. brunnea | Nousia sp. "AV1" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thorax colour | deep dark brown on top and dark brown below (Ulmer 1916, Ulmer 1919) | black (Tillyard 1936) blwk and shiny (Scholes 1961) | dark brown above, darker markings (Tillyard 1936) dark brown to blackish (Scholes 1961) | pronotum brown to black, mesonotum shiny brown to black |
| leg colour | middle and hind legs light brownish yellow, thigh (femora) apices darker (Ulimer 1919), | dark brown, femora and tibia apices blackish (Tillyard 1936) <br> dark brown with blackish markings (Scholes 1961) | medium brown, apices darker (Tillyard 1936) medium brown with blackish markings (Scholes 1961) | golden to tan, medium or dark brown, femora apices darker. |
| foreleg length |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { (Tillyard 1936, Scholes } \\ & 1961 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5 (Tillyard 1936, Scholes } \\ & \text { 1961) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5.8-8.9 (7.3土0.94, 37$)$ |
| costa! crossvigns proximal | 4 faint (Ulmer 1916) $4-5$ very unclear (Uimer 1919) <br> 0 (Ulmer 1919) <br> faint - lectotype <br> 0 - paralectotype | a few pale semi-transparent crossveins, sometimes incomplete (Tillyard 1936) 3 faint - holotype | absent (Tillyard 1936) 0 - holotype, paratype | 0-5 faint |
| subcostal <br>  proximal | 0 (Ulmer 1919), lectotype, paralectotype | ```2 faint (Tillyard 1936) 3 faint - holotype``` | absent (Tillyard 1936) 0 - holotype, paratype | 0-5 faint |
| builae | $3 \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ - lectotype | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { on } \mathrm{Sc} \text { and } \mathrm{R}_{2} \text { (Tillyard } \\ & \text { 1936) } \end{aligned}$ | 2 on Sc and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ from (Tillyard 1936) | 3 on Sc, R2, R4+5 |
| abdomen colour | yellow to grey-brown with grey brown stripe, pattern variable (Ulmer 1916) yellow with grey-brown to dark brown markings (Ulmer 1919) | grey-black with a pattern of greyish markings (Tillyard 1936) grey-black with greyish markings on the middle segments (Scholes 1961) | pale to medium to dark brown with darker markings (Tillyard 1936) dark brown tending blackish towards the last segments (Scholes 1961) | tan to dark brown to black with goiden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly, pattern highly variable |

Table 5 cont'd.

|  | N. (A) fusca | N. (A) delicatula | K. brunnea | Nousia sp. "AV1" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tail fitament <br> length | approx 12 (Ulmer 1919) | subequai, 9 mm (Tillyard <br> 1936) <br> subequal, 8-i0 mm <br> (Scholes 1961) | equal length, $10-11 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> (Tillyard 1936, Scholes <br> 1961) | terminal filament longer than <br> cerci |
| tail filament <br> colour | whitish with black bands <br> least at the base (Ulmer <br> 1919) | grey, segments ringed <br> apically with black (Tillyard <br> 1936, Scholes 1961) | pale buff, slightly darker at <br> bases (Tillyard 1936) <br> dark brown (Scholes 1961) | golden to brown |

There are many similarities between the four species according to Table 4. They are all, for example, reasonably small darkly coloured species. The measurements of body length, fore and hindwing length, leg length, caudal filament length all conform reasonably well. There are some variations but most of the individual measurements for the type specimens and literature descriptions fall within (or just outside) the range of the measurements taken for Nousia sp. "AV1" (the newly described Nousia (Australonousia) fusca), which appears to be a highly variable species by all accounts.

Variations between the four "species" refer to colour descriptions such as those for the body, head, antennae, eyes, thorax, leg, abdomen and caudal filaments (Table 5). However, large numbers of Nousia sp. "AV1" examined show the species to be highly variable ranging in colour from yellow through to tan, brown, dark brown and black and possibly refers to their temperature of development. I propose that the apparent colour variation is an artefact of the subjectivity of describing colour but that these individuals represent morphological variants of the same species.

One discrepancy which is quite clear in Table 5 is the number of bullae on the forewing. Tillyard (1936) claims there are only two builae on the forewing of the male imago of brunnea and delicatula which are located at Sc and Rs. I have examined both types and have found there are definitely three as mentioned in my description.

Tillyard (1936) and Scholes (1961) also suggest that the "tail filaments" of these species are subequal in length. The caudal filaments are missing from all the types and although many of the filaments on the specimens of Nousia sp. "AV1" are damaged there were enough specimens to establish that the caudal filaments were in fact slightly longer than the cerci. It is quite possible that the slight difference in length could have been inaccurately recorded as "subequal" in length.

The question of the number of crossveins in the proximal half of the forewing also appears to be an issue. The drawing of the three forewings are misleading taken at face value. The forewing of Atalophlebia delicatula (Tillyard 1936, FIG. 23, p. 47, reproduced here as Fig. 92) cleariy shows crossveins in the proximal half of the costal and subcostal spaces while the forewing of Atalophlebia fusca (Ulmer 1916; FIG. 7b, p.8, labelied Thraulus dentatus n. sp., reproduced here as Fig. 93) and Atalophlebia brunnea (Tillyard 1936, FIG. 21, p. 43, reproduced here as Fig. 94) do not. This is a very effective character for discrimination at the genus level and apparentiy incongruous. However, Ulmer (1919) writes of proximal A. fusca crossyifign in the costal space "...von der Bulla 4 bis 5 sehr undeutliche Queradern" (p.21) (".. in front of the bulla 4 or 5 very unclear crossveins..") indicating that they are apparent but very faint. Tillyard, too, comments on
the A. delicatula crossveins "..at first sight there are apparently no veinlets in the costal or subcostal spaces until the region of the pterostigma, but, under careful lighting, a few pale, semi-transparent veinjets can be made out" (Tillyard, 1936, p. 48). Uimer (1916) apparently chooses not to draw in the faint $A$. fusca crossveins while Tillyard (1936) draws the $A$. delicatula crossveins in boldy giving the false impression of solid presence whereas, in fact, they are quite faint. Comparison with the newly described Nousia (Australonousia) fusca (Nousia sp. "AV1") reveals that the crossveins are often absent (as in A. brunnea), or if present very faint, from the proximal halves of the male forewing leading to the conclusion that both authors are correct in their assessment.

Apart from Nousia sp. "AV1" (Dean 1999) there have been numerous other descriptions of this species (mostly the nymphs) in various voucher collections. It is also variously known as Nousia MDFRC "sp. 1", MMBW "sp. 1" (Melbourne Metropolitan Board of Works), MV "sp. 3", Atalonella "sp. 1", Atalonella "sp. D" and Nousia "sp. 1" (MRHI). The number of names probably reflects confusion surrounding the species status of the large number of morphologically variant individuals.

Finally, I am aware that the validation of the species would be better aided by the presence of a specimen from the type locality. However, the type locality of Atalophlebia fusca (Cedar Creek, Ulmer 1916) is somewhat ambiguous. There are 37 Cedar Creeks of Queensland listed in the current gazetteer ranging throughout the state of Queensland. Campbell and Peters (1993) tried to locate this site whilst collecting species of Atalomicria. It is reasonable to assume that this is the same site as both taxa were collected on the Mjöberg expedition of 1910-1913. Campbell and Peters (1993) focussed their search on the Atherton Tablelands where Ulmer had collected from before in the same expedition but failed to find an appropriately named stream. Although specimens of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca have been collected in south east Queensland (as Nousia sp. "AV1") a comprehensive survey of this genus in Queensland would be required to determine if the species range extends to the Atherton tablelands. Such a survey, which would cover 1.7 million square km , was beyond the scope of this project.

Nousia (Australonousia) fusca can be distinguished from all other species in the subgenus by the following combination of characters. In the imago: (i) male upper eye size large, sometimes contiguous; (ii) thorax often shiny brown to black; (iii) total foreleg length comparatively longer on average; (iv) leg banding absent; (v) hindwing costa joins subcosta at three-quarters wing length; (vi) abdomen colour variable but often with light coloured markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two light coloured maculae flanking each midline marking; (vii) penes with subapical lobes; (viii) female sternum nine deeply cleft. In the nymph: (i) antennal length more than twice length of
head; (ii) lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; (iii) labrum subequal to very slightly wider than clypeus, about twice as long as wide, lateral margins rounded; antero-median emargination straight or very slight bearing five flat elongate denticles, denticles extend one-third the width of the labrum on average; frontal setae arranged as a narrow band, secondary hair fringe clearly separated from frontal band; (iv) mandibles with no subapical processes on incisors, outer incisors inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margins, right mandible inner incisor inconspicuously serrated on outer lateral margin; left mandible prostheca robust, moderately serrated; right mandible prostheca simple, slender; (v) maxillae with 11-18 subpectinate setae; (vi) hypopharynx deeply cleft, superlingua with rounded lateral margins; (vii) leg banding absent (viii) fore femora 2.9 times longer than wide; fore tarsi with 5-10 ventral spines; fore tarsal claws with 10-16 ventral teeth; (ix) abdomen colour variable but often with light coloured markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two light coloured maculae flanking each midline marking; $(x)$ gills clear, slender, tapering to a thin point apically, tracheae poorly to strongly dieveloped.

## Specimens examined.

Atalonella delicatula TASMANIA. Meander River, Westwood, 60010900, 09। 1960, 1 $o^{\circ} \mathrm{I}, 1$ I 1,1 \& S . Scholes. Held at the ANIC.

Nousia sp. "AV1" collection. NEW SOUTH WALES. Barrengary Creek, Upper River Rd to Upper Kangaroo Valley, 84102801, $28 \times 1984,70 \mathrm{~m}, 150^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 34^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$, EPH 1683,3 $o^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 16$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Betts Creek, Summit Rd, 97120202, 02 XII 1997' $4740 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ $36^{\circ} 22^{\prime}, 2$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2$ 9 N (KJF). Boonoo Boonoo River, Lindsay Highway Bridge, 84111000, $10 \mathrm{XI} 1984,960 \mathrm{~m}, 152^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 28^{\circ} 53^{\prime}, \mathrm{EPH} 1677,8$ i $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Brogo River, Princes Highway Bridge, 81052201, 22 V 1981, $80 \mathrm{~m}, 149^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 32^{\prime}$, EPH 1668, 3 \& N (JD). Brogo River, 5 km downstream Princes Highway Bridge, 81052201, 22 V 1981, $40 \mathrm{~m}, 149^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$, EPH 1684, $2 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 6$ 오 $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD})$. Eucumbene River, KiandraAdaminaby Rd, 9712 0104, 01 XII 1997, 1340m, $148^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 53^{\prime}, 1$ i N (KJF). Georges River, 5 km S of Cambelltown, $84102802,28 \times 1984,100 \mathrm{~m}, 150^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 34^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$, EPH 1674, 1 i N (DC). Murray River tributary, Tom Groggin Picnic Area, 80102400, $24 \times 1980$, $490 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$, EPH 1649, 2 of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ ㅇ N (DC). No. 1 Creek, upstream Alpine Way, 84012301, 23 I 1984, 1400m, $148^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$, EPH 168i, 2 of $N, 3$ o $N(J D)$. Perisher Creek, Smiggin Holes-Guthega Rd, 97120203, 02 XII 1997, 1520m, $148^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ $36^{\circ} 22^{\prime}, 1 \%$ NSI (KJF). Swampy Plains River, Alpine Way, Kosciusko National Park, 97120206, 2 XII 1997, 420m, $148^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 23^{\prime}, 1$ of S, 1 of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& NSI, 1 if NS, 1 i S, 1 if N(KJF). Thredbo River, Bullocks Nature Track, 84012302, 23 । 1984, $1140 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$
$36^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$, EPH 1667, 2 o $\mathrm{N}, 3$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD})$. Thredbo River, Thredbo Golf Course, 84012502, 25 I 1984, $1380 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$, EPH 1682, 8 of $\mathrm{N}, 7$ $\circ \mathrm{N}$ (JD). Thredbo River, Thredbo-Khancoban Rd, 97120204, 02 XII 1997, 1560m, 148º $17^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 31^{\prime}, 3 \circ \mathrm{~N}$ (KJF). Wentworth Falls, Blue Mountains, $88101900,19 \times 1988,800 \mathrm{~m} 150^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 33^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$, EPH 1669, 4 \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Wentworth Falls, 150 m upstream Fails, Blue Mountains, 84111200, $12 \mathrm{XI} 1984,800 \mathrm{~m} 150^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 33^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$, EPH 1680,1 of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ of $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. TASMANIA. Break O'Day River, East of Fingal, 95011000, $10 \mathrm{II} 1995,240 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$, EPH 0182, 1 \% N (PS\&PG). Clyde River, Hamilton, 96121901, 19 XII 1996, 90m, $146^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} 42^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$,
 Lyell Highway, 96121903,19 XII 1996, 200m, $146^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} 42^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{I}, 5$ of $\mathrm{S}, 1 \% \mathrm{~S}$ (KJF). Don River, B14 crossing, 96123004, 30 XII 1996, 120m, $146^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} 41^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}, 1$ $\sigma^{\circ} 1,1$ ㅇ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Echo Point, unnamed stream flowing into Lake St. Clair, Cradle Mountain-Lake St Clair National Park, 96122702, 27 XII 1996, $740 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ $42^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ NSI (KJF). Elizabeth River, Campbelltown, 96122202, 22 XII 1996, 190m, $147^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} 41^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 01^{\prime}, 1$ o $N(K J F)$. Huon River, locality 27, 82030702, 7 III 1982, EPH 0154, $2 \circ^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2$ of (DC). Lake River, Bridge on Highway C522, 96121702, 17 XII 1996, 160m, $145^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} 41^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 02^{\prime}, 1$ ¢ 1,1 ㅇ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Lake Sorrell, Interlaken Boat Ramp, $88110402,4 \mathrm{Xl} 1988,900 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 42^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$, EPH 0158, 2 o $^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ (DC). Liffey Falls, 82022301, 23 II 1982, $470 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$, EPH 0143, 1 i $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Little Forester River, 94030601, 6 III 1994, $100 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$, EPH 0217, 1 \& $N(P S)$. Pipers Brook, 94030602, 6 III 1994, $147^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 09^{\prime}$, EPH 0241, 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N(PS). Powers Rivulet, 94030703, 7 III 1994, 100 m, 148 ${ }^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$, EPH 0227, 1 ơ $N$ (PS\&MS).
Ringarooma River, Pioneer, 95020909, 9 il 1995, $147^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$, EPH 0210, 1 \& N (PS\&PG). Scamander River, Upper Scamander, 82030900, 9 III 1982, 20m, $148^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ $41^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$, EPH 0146, 5 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 6$ of $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Shannon River, Waddamana Rd, 96121704, 17 XII 1996, $910 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} 42^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 04^{\prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). St Patricks River, Targa, 82031002, 10 III 1982, 380m, $146^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$, EPH 0120, 1 o N (DC). Tower Rivulet, Rossarden Rd, Pepper Hill, 94092700, 27 IX 1994, 260m, $147^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$, EPH 1673, 3 if N (MRHI). Unnamed Creek, E of Waratah, $88110100,1 \mathrm{XI} 1988,600 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 32^{\prime}$ $41^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$, EPH 0167, $2 \circ^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2 \circ \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{DC})$. QUEENSLAND. Blackfellows Falls, Springbrook, Warrie National Park, 79120601,6 XII 1979, $770 \mathrm{~m}, 153^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 28^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$, EPH 1691, 1 or (DC). Branch Creek, Conondale Ranges, 97061100,11 VI 1997, 160m, $152^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 26^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$, EPH 1688 , 1 i $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JJ})$. Stony Creek lower, Conondale Ranges, 97082600, 26 XIII 1997, $140 \mathrm{~m}, 152^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 26^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$, EPH 1685, 3 \& $N(J D)$. Yabba Creek tributary, Brooloo-Imbil Rd, 84110800,8 XI 1984, 100m, $152^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 26^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$, EPH 1687, 2 o $^{\circ}$ N, 3 \& N (DC). VICTORIA. Acheron River, Granton Rd, 98020101, 1 II 1998, 390m, $145^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 42^{\prime}, 1 \sigma^{*} \mathrm{~N}, 1$ ㅇ NSI, 2 \& SI (KJF); 98021505, 15 Ill 1998, same iocality
data, 3 of $\mathrm{N}, 3$ 9 NSI (KJF). Agnes River, Agnes Falls, 99021104,11 II 1999, 150m, $146^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 37^{\prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}, 1 \not \& \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Arkins Creek west branch, weir at Wyelangta, 99121903, 19 XII 1999, 330m, $143^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o NSI, $^{\prime}$ ㅇ NSI, 1 ㅇ NS, 1 \& N (KJF). Aura Vale Lake inlet stream, Cardinia, 76111200, 12 XI 1976, 175m, 145 ${ }^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 25^{\prime}$, EPH 1620, 1 o $\mathrm{NI}, 1$ \& NI (JD); 980220601, 26 II 1998, same
 Badger Creek, junction Badger Creek Rd \& Bluegum Drive, 97040602, 6 IV 1997, 130m, $145^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ NSI, 2 ㅇ NS (KJF). Badger Creek, Dalry Rd, 76032500, 25 III 1976, $90 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 31^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$, EPH 1626, 2 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}$ (JD); EPH 1557, 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NI}$ (JD). Badger Creek downstream weir, $80010800,8 / 1980,280 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$, EPH 1631, 1 of NSI (JD); 80020700, 7 II 1980, same locality data, EPH 1627, 2 o NI (JD); 80022102, 21 II 1980, same locality data, EPH 1630, 1 \& NSI (JD); 80032001, 20 III 1980, same locality data, EPH 1628, $1 \sigma^{\pi} \mathrm{NI}$ (JD). Barneys Creek, Grampians, 83120200,2 XII 1983, 300m, $142^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$ EPH 1635, 1 of $N(J D)$; EPH 1636, 1 of $N(J D)$ EPH 1637, 1 \& $N(J D)$; EPH 1638, 4 o N, 4 \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD})$. Bellbrook Creek, Midland Highway, Yinnar South, 99030402, 4 III 1999, 100m, $146^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 2$ of $\mathrm{N}, 7$ क N (KJF). Bemm River, Club-Terrace-Goolengook Rd, 81032100, 21 III 1981, $100 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$, EPH 1654, 1o N, 1 甲 N (JD). Big River, Jamieson-Eildon Rd, 98021503 , 15 \| 1998, 300m, $146^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of NSI (KJF). Black Snake Creek, Dyers Creek Picnic Ground, Gembrook South, 97012902, 29 I 1997, 115m, $145^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& NSI, 1 \& NS (KJF). Bonang River, Bonang Rd, N of Bonang, 99021308, 13 II 1999, 640m, 148 ${ }^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ $37^{\circ} 09^{\prime}, 2$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Brandy Creek, Great Alpine Rd, Alpine National Park, 96111802, 18 XI 1996, $1560 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, 3$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF}) ; 96111902,19 \mathrm{XI}$ 1996, same locality data, 4 ¢ $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ \& Sl, 1 \& I, 4 ơ N, 8 \& N (KJF); 97011902, 19 | 1997, same locality data, 1 o SI, 1 \& NSI (KJF). Buckland River, Mt Buffalo Rd,96110701, 07 XI 1996, 280m, $146^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}, 1$ 1 N(KJF). Butchers Creek, Gelantipy Rd, S of Gelaptipy, 99021407, 14 II 1999, 640m, $148^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 13^{\prime}, 1 \circ \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Cann River east branch, W.B. Line Track, Coopracambra National Park, 98012503, 251 1998, 230m, $149^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$, 1 \& N (KJF). Cann River west branch, Buldah Rd, 98012504, 25 | 1998, 320m, $149^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& NSI, 2 \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Cardinia Creek, Crystal Brook Park, 98022602, 26 || 1998, 80m, $145^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}, 2$ \& NSI, 1 \& 1 (KJF). Carisbrook Creek, Great Ocean Rd, 97030203, 02 III 1997, $10 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ $38^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}: 1$ \& I, $5 \&$ S, 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$; 99121901 , 19 XII 1999, same locality data, 2
 Rd, 76042301, 23 IV 1976, 670m, 145 ${ }^{\circ} 42^{\prime 2} 20^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1619, 1 \& NS (JD); 980305033, 5 III 1998, same locality data, 1 \& N (KJF). Charleys Creek, Charleys Creek Rd, outside Gellibrand, 98010304, 0311998, 80m, $143^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}, 4$ \& NSI, $1 \delta^{\circ}$

N, 4 i N (KJF). Charleys Creek, Gellibrand Rd, 99121904, 19 XlI 1999, $70 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 31^{\prime \prime}$ $38^{\circ} 31^{\prime}, 7$ ㅇ NSI, 1 of $\mathrm{NI}, 1$ \& SI, 1 \& NS, 4 ㅇ N (KJF). Cobungra River, 4WD track across Horsehair Plain, 97110803, $08 \mathrm{XI} 1997,1000 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& N , (immature) (KJF). Cockatoo Creek, upstream Cockatoo, 94120100, 1 XII 1994, 170m, $145^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$, EPH 1671,1 \& N (MRHI); EPH 1672, 1 of $\mathrm{N}, 3$ \& N (MRHI).
Combienbar River, Budlah Track, 98012506, 251 1998, $270 \mathrm{~m}, 149^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}, 2$ $\sigma^{\circ}$ NSI, 1 o N, 3 \& $N(K J F)$. Coranderrk Creek, Badger Weir Rd, 97040606, 6 IV 1997. $240 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& NS (KJF); 98030502, 5 III 1998, same locality data, 1 $\sigma^{\circ}$ NS, 1 of N, 4 i $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Crystal Brook, Mount Buffalo Rd, 96110704, 07 XI 1996, 1330m, $146^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}, 1 \circ^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 4 \neq \mathrm{N}$ (KJF). Dairy Creek, Grampians Tourist Rd, 90122600, 26 Xil 1990, 290m, $142^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1653, 1 of N, 3 우 $N$ (DC); 99011601, 161 1999, same locality data, 1 q $\mathrm{NSI}, 2$ o $\mathrm{N}, 10 \% \mathrm{~N}$ (KJF). Deddick River, Deddick River Rd, Tubbut, 99021403, 14 II 1999, 440m, $148^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$, 2 \% N (KJF). Deep Creek, Benambra-Corryong Rd, 11kms N of Uplands, 99021501, 15 II 1999, 620m, $147^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 49^{\prime}, 2 \delta^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 7$ 7 N (KJF). Deep Spring, Wombat State Forest, 97122003, 20 XII 1997, 480m, 14404'20" $37^{\circ} 21^{\circ} 07^{\prime \prime}, 1$ d NS, 2 o NSI (KJF); 98110103, 1 XI 1998, same locality data, 1 on NSI, 1 \& N (KJF). Delatite River, 5m upstream Merrijig, 81052101, 21 IV 1981, 540m, $146^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$, EPH 1661, 1 of $N(J D)$. Delegate River, Monaro Highway, 99021401, 14 II 1999, $800 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 03^{\prime}, 5$ o $^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 9$ \& N (KJF). Diggers Creek, Island Bend on Summit Rd, Kosciusko National Park, 97120201, 02 XII 1997, 1200m, $148^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 19^{\prime}, 1$ d $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{NSI}$ (KJF). Donnelly Creek, Donnelly Weir Rd, 97040604, 6 IV 1997, $140 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}, 1 \circ \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Erskine River, Erskine Falis, 98010401,04 I 1998, $200 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}, 1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}, 6 \not \approx \mathrm{NSI}, 1$ o $\mathrm{NS}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Eurobin River, Mt Buffalo National Park, 96110702, 7 XI 1996, 440m, $146^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Ferny Glade, Seaters Cove Walk, Wilson's Promontory National Park, 97021503, 15 II 1997, 340m, 146 ${ }^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} 39^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o 1 i, 2 क NSI (KJF). Four Mile Creek, Horners Rd, Warburton, 97042501, 25 IV 1997, 185m, $145^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Franklin River, South Gippsland Highway,
 Frosty Creek, Frosty Creek Rd, 96110803,08 XI 1996, $1380 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, 2$ of $\mathrm{N}, 3$ \& N (KJF). Fyans Creek, Grampians Tourist Rd, 99011608, 16। 1999, 290m, $142^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& NS, 8 \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Geilibrand River, Barramunga-Upper Geilibrand Rd, 98010301, $03 / 1998,180 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of NSI (KJF). German Creek, Bright-Tawonga Rd, 96110901,9 XI 1996, $440 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$, 5 of $\mathrm{N}, 7$ $\mp \mathrm{N}$ (KJF). Gibbo River, Benambra-Corryong Rd, 99021502, 15 II 1999, 500m, $147^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 45^{\prime}, 4$ o $^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 7$ ㅇ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Goulburn River, junction Flourbag Ck, 17 km upstream Jamieson, 81110100, 1 XI 1981, 360m, 146 ${ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$, EPH 1658, 2 o $\mathrm{N}, 9$ o

N (JD). Goulburn River, Twin Rivers Caravan Park, 99032005, 20 III 1999, 190m, $145^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ S, 4 o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 5$ ㅇ N (KJF). Grace Burn, Waliace Pde, Healesville, $97040601,6 \mathrm{IV}$ 1997, $110 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& I (KJF). Grace Burn, downstream weir, $75101600,16 \times 1975,180 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 39^{\prime}$, EPH 1640,2 of $^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, 3 ㅇ N (JD); 78011900, i9 I 1978, same locality data, EPH 1617, 2 of NS, 2 ㅇ NI, 2 \% NS (JD); $79101700,17 \times 1979$, same locality data, EPH 1561, 1 ㅇ (JD). Grey River, Grey River Rd, 97030101, 01 III 1997, 190m, $143^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ I (KJF). Growlers Creek, Williams Rd Camp Site, Wandiligong, 96110801, 8 XI 1996, $380 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ $36^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}, 7$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 10 \circ \mathrm{~N}$ (KJF). Gulf Stream, downstream Epacris Falls, Mount Victory Rd, $99011603,16 \mathrm{I} 1999,440 \mathrm{~m}, 142^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& NSI, 1 \& NS (KJF); 00012201, 221 2000, same locality data, 1 of $\mathrm{NSI}, 3$ ㅇ $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{NS}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Haunted Stream, Great Alpine Rd, 99021409, 14 \|I 1999, 180m, $147^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$, 1 ơ N , 2 ㅇ $N(K J F)$. Hensleigh River, Combienbar Rd Ford, 98012505, 25 I 1998, 240m, $149^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $\mathrm{NSI}, 3$ o $\mathrm{N}, 1 \circ \mathrm{NSI}, 1 \circ \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Hopkins River, Allansford, 80031000, 10 III 1980, 10m, $142^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 38^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$, EPH 1632, 1 \& N (DC); EPH 1633, 1 \& N (DC); EPH 1634, 1 ơ N, 3 ㅇ N (DC). Jacksons Creek, Gisborne, 97102602, 26 X 1997, $410 \mathrm{~m}, 144^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$, 1 of NSI, 1 of I, 6 ㅇ NSI (KJF). Jamieson River, Gerran's Bridge, 98021502, 15 || 1998, 320m, $146^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o NS (KJF). Jimmys Creek, Grampians Tourist Rd, 96101901, $19 \times 1996$, 310m, $142^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF}) ; 99011607,16$ । 1999, same locality data, 2 o NS, 1 \& N (KJF); 00012203, 22 ! 2000, same locality data, 1 o NSI, 5 \& NSI, 1 of NS, 4 \& $N$ (KJF). Jingalalla River, Dellicknora Rd, 2km SW Dellicknora, 99021402, 14 il 1999, $520 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 07^{\prime}, 4$ of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{I}, 6$ ㅇN(KJF). Kangaroo Creek, Kangaroo Rd, 5 km E Daylesford, 97010901, 9 l 1997, 600m, 144 $13^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, 1$ do NI, 6 of N, 1 i NSI, 1 ㅇ SI, 5 ¢ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Kennett River, Great Ocean Rd, Kennett River, 98010306, 3। 1998, $20 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇNSI, 1 ㅇ N (KJF). Kiewa River west branch, Blair's Hut, 97021901, 19 II 1997, 1010m, $147^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& $N$ (KJF). King Parrot Creek, Whittlesea-Yea Rd, 15km SW Flowerdale, 98030501, 5 III 1998, 350m, $145^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 1 \circ \mathrm{NSI}$ (KJF). Langford East Aqueduct tributary, Bogong High Plains Rd, Langford Gap, 96111001, 10 XI 1996, $1600 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ $36^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). La Trobe River, 5 km E of Powelltown, $96101002,10 \times 1996$, $320 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}, 4$ of $\mathrm{N}, 4 \% \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Learmonth Creek, Yarra JunctionNeerim Rd, Powelltown, 96101001, $10 \times 1996,180 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of N, 5 क N (KJF). Lerderderg River, Lerderderg Gorge State Park, 97122005, 20 XII 1997, $140 \mathrm{~m}, 144^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& NSI, $1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (KJF). Light Bound Creek headwaters, Dargo High Plains Rd, 96110804, 08 XI 1996, $1570 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}, 8$ or $\mathrm{N}, 29$ 甲 N(KJF). Lilly Pilly Gully, Wilson's Promontory National Park, 97021504, 15 II 1997,
$60 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} 39^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o' $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{NSI}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NS}, 2$ i NSI (KJF). Little Ada Creek, Ada River Rd, La Trobe State Forest, 96101004, $10 \times 1996,500 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$, 5 ot $^{\prime}$ N (KJF). Little Toorongo River, Toorongo Fatls, 98020901, 9 II 1998, 420m, 14602'55" $37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Little Yarra River, Warburton Highway, 96092501, 25 IX 1996, 120m, $145^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 2$ i $S$ (KJF). Love Creek, Loves Creek Picnic Ground, Colac-Beech Forrest Rd, 98010303, 3 I 1998, 100m, $143^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ}$
 Dandenong Ranges, 97032404, 24 III 1997, 220m, 145 $23^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$, 1 d NSI, 1 ㅇ NSI, 1 \& NI (KJF). Main Creek, Baldry's Rd, Green's Bush, Nepean State Park, 97041601, 16 IV 1997, $110 \mathrm{~m}, 144^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}, 6$ \& NSI, 1 \& N (KJF). MacKenzie River, Wartook Rd, Grampians, 99011605, 161 1999, 420m, $142^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\prime \prime}$ N, 3 甲 N (KJF). Martins Creek No. 2, Bonang Rd, 0.5 km S Malinns, 99021306, 13 II 1999, $260 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime}, 3$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 14$ \& N (KJF). Melba Gully, Anne's Cascades, Melba Gully State Park, 98010305, 03 I 1998, 340m, $143^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}, 2$ \& NSI, 3 if NS, 4 of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF}) ; 99121902,19$ XII 1999, same locality data, 4 o $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ i NSI , 1 ㅇNS, 2 of $\mathrm{N}, 4$ ㅇ (KJF). Menzies Creek, Emerald-Monbulk Rd, Butterfield Wildife Reserve, Dandenong Ranges, 97032403, 24 III 1997, 160m, $145^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}, 3$ 审 NSI, 3 \& I, 1 \& NS, 1 \& N (KJF). Middle Creek, Omeo Highway, 96111004, 10 XI 1996, $700 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 5$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Mitta Mitta River, Omeo Valley Rd, 99021503, 15 II 1999, $540 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 56^{\prime}, 5$ of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Moroka River, Moroka River Track, Alpine National Park, 97020603, 6 II 1997, 440m, $146^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& N(KJF). Morwell River, Morwell River Rd, 21 km S of Morwell, 99030403, 4 III 1999, $110 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2$ क F (KJF). Mountain Creek, 7 km E Tawonga South, 90110300,3 XI $1990,510 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$, EPH 1660,5 \& N (DC). Muddy Creek, Selby-Aura Rd, 96101303, $13 \times 1996$, $225 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, 1 ơ $\mathrm{N}, 2$ i N (KJF). Myrtle Gully, Sylvia Creek Rd, Toolangi State Forest, 96112901, 29 XI 1996, 620m, $145^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}, 1$ i $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Myrtle Creek, Donna-Buang Rd, 00031901, 19 III 2000, $780 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}, 1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{NS}, 3 \neq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Olinda Creek, Olinda Creek Rd, Dandenong Ranges, 97032405, 24 III 1997, 260m, $145^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$, 3 우 NS (KJF). O'Shannassy River, (restrictfed access), 75111400, 14 XI 1975, 580m, $145^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$, EPH 1554, 1 ơ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD})$, EPH 1555, 1 \& N (JD), EPH 1610, 4 o $\mathrm{N}, 4 \circ \mathrm{~N}$ (JD); 75102401, $24 \times 1975$, same locality data, EPH 1611, 4 \& N (JD); 76102103, $2 \mathrm{i} X$ 1976, same locality data, EPH 1609, 2 o N (JD); 76121501, 15 XII 1976, same locality data, EPH 1608, 1 o $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (JD); 80010401, 4 I 1980, same locality data, EPH 1553, 1 of NI (JD), EPH 1556, 1 o NSI (JD), EPH 1607, 2 o Nl (JD). Ovens River, School Bridge, Harrietvilie, 97011903, 19 I 1997, $500 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$, 1 \& $N$ (KJF). Perrins Creek, Warwick Rd, Dandenong Ranges, 97032406, 24 III 1997, 350m, $145^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$

370 $52^{\prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NI}, 1$ ㅇ 1.1 \& N (KJF). Peddy Creek, Princes Highway, S Club Terrace, 99021203, 12 || 1999, 180m, $148^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 35^{\prime}, 6$ ot N, 19 \& N (KJF). Pyramid Creek, Combienbar Rd, N Club Terrace, 99021304, 13 II 1999, 100m, $148^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 32^{\prime}, 7$ o' N, 21 i N (KJF). Raymond Creek, Princes Highway, 12km E Orbost, 81052102, 21 V 1981, $20 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$, EPH 1657, $10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 14$ \& N (JD). Roadknight Creek, Roadknight Creek Rd, Otways, 98010302,31 1998, 160m, , $143^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ ot NS , 2 of $^{\circ}$ N, 3 i NSI (KJF). Rubicon River, Rubicon Bridge, Taggerty-Thornton Rd,
 NSI, 5 \& N (KJF); 98111701, 17 XI 1998, same locality data, 2 of $\mathrm{NSI}, 6$ $9 \mathrm{NSI}, 4$ $\ddagger \mathrm{NS}$ (KJF). Running Creek, downstream Running Creek Reservoir, 85071000, 10 VII 1985, EPH 1639, 1 ㅇ N (JD). Running Creek, Warburton-Jamieson Rd, 85030700,7 III 1985, $750 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$, EPH 1644, 5 ㅇ N (JD). Russell Creek, off Gunn Rd, 3 km N of Noojee, $96101005,10 \times 1996$, 280m, $145^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$, 1 i NSI, 1 i N (KJF). Sassafras Creek, Sassafras Creek Rd, 96112101, 21 XI 1996, $320 \mathrm{ml}, 145^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} ; 2$ i $\mathrm{S}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (KJF). Sassafras Creek, Kay's Picnic Ground, Monbulk Rd, 96101303, $13 \times 1996,250 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 3$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (KJF); 97032402, 24 III 1997, same locality data, 1 o $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ o $\mathrm{NI}, 4 \circ \mathrm{NSI} 1 \% \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Sawpit Creek, Donnelly Weir Rd, 97040605,6 IV 1997, $100 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 38^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$, 1 \& NS, 2 ㅇ N (KJF). Shaw Creek, Kelly's Lane Bridge, Alpine National Park, 97020601, $6 \|$ 1997, 1280m, $146^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Shicer Creek, West Ovens Track, Bonang National Park, 96110802,8 XI 1996, $580 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $N, 1$ क $N(K J F)$. Snowy Creek, Wonton Bridge, 74031700, 17 III 1974, EPH 1645, 1 of N (coll. unknown). Starvation Creek, Warburton-Woods Point crossing, 75102402, 24 X 1975, 240m, $145^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$, EPH 1558, 1 \& NI (JD); 76031900, 19 III 1976, same lociality data, EPH 1559, $1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{NI}$ (JD), EPH 1616, 1 \& NS (JD); 76042302, 23 IV 1976, same locality data, EPH 1560, 1 d NS (JD). Starvation Creek, upstream weir, 79121900, 19 XII 1979, $340 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1621, 1 ơ NI (JD), EPH 1622, 1 ㅇ NSI (JD). Steavensons River, Buxton-Marysville Rd, 98021504, 15 II 1998, $460 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o NSI, 2 \& NSI, 3 ㅇ NS (KJF). Steavensons River, Marysville Rd, 98101703, 17 X 1998, 320m, 145 $44^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ ㅇ NSI, 1 ㅇ NS, 6 ㅇ N (KJF). Stony Creek, Wonderland Car Park, Grampians, 90112600, 26 XI 1990, EPH 1646, $410 \mathrm{~m}, 142^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}, 2 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{DC})$; 99011602, 16 I 1999, same locality data, 1 of N (KJF). Swindlers Creek tributary, 6 km from Mt Loch Car Park, off Australian Alps walking track, Mt Hotham, 96111903, 19 III 1996, $1640 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}, 1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (KJF). Taggerty River, Lady Talbot Drive, outside Marysville, 98101704, $17 \times 1998$, $400 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ NSI (KJF). Tanji! River, 5 km N Moe, $87100600,6 \times$ 1987, 60m, 146º $16^{\prime} 38^{\circ} 08^{\prime}$, EPH 1652, $1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ 甲 N (KW\&AN), EPH 1659, 2 क N
(KW\&AN). Tanjil River East Branch tributary, Mount Baw Baw Tourist Rd, Tanjil State Park, $96101105,11 \times 1996$, $490 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$, 1 o $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Taponga River, Eildon-Jamieson Rd, 99022008, 20 II 1999, 320m, $146^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$, 3 \& $N(K J F)$. Tarra River, Tarra Valley National Park, 84010900, 9 I 1984, 340m, $146^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 2^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ $38^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1717, 1 on N(JD). Tarra River branch 1, Tarra-Bulga National Park, 98112101, 21 XI 1998, $340 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ o N (KJF). Tarra River branch 2, Tarra-Bulga National Park, 98112102, 21 XI 1998, 340m, 146º $32^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ $38^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}, 1$ i $\mathrm{NSI}(\mathrm{KJF}) ; 99021601,16$ II 1999, same locality data, 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NI}, 2$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NS}, 2$ o $^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{N}, 2 \not \& \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Tarwin River East, Mirboo Bridge, Mirboo, 99030404,4 III 1999, 90m, $146^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}, 3 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2 \neq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Thowgla Cresk, upstream Nariel-Thougla signpost, $80102000,20 \times 1980,420 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 18^{\prime}, 70 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{EPH} 1662,8 \circ \mathrm{~N}$ (JD). Thurra River west branch tributary, Thurra Junction Rd, 97101802, $18 \times 1997$, 200m, $149^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}, 4$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ ㅇ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Toorongo River, 5km NE Noojee, 96101102, 11 X 1996, 280m, 14602'29" 37051'17", 1 of N (KJF); 98020902, 9 II 1998, same locality data, 1 o N (KJF). Turitable Creek, Devonshire Lane, Mt Macedon, 81120100, 1 XII 1981, 680m, $144^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$, EPH 1744, 1 ㅇ N (JD), EPH 1745, 1 \& N (JD), EPH 1746, 1 \& N (JD), EPH 1747, 2 \& N (JD). Tyers River, Moe-Rawson (Walhalla) Rd, Moondarra State Park, 99021507, 15 II 1999, 180m, 146 ${ }^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ $38^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 4$ $4 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Unnamed Creek, 2 km W of Genoa, 82112100, 21 XI 1982, $100 \mathrm{~m}, 149^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$, EPH 1563, 1 \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD})$. Unnamed Creek, 3km W of Genoa, 81052203, 22 V 1981, 60m, $149^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$, EPH 1564, 1 of N (JD), EPH 1565, 3 of $\mathrm{N}, 11$ \& N(JD). Victoria River, Victoria River Track, F6111804, 18 XI 1996, 1010m, $147^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 05^{\prime}, 1$ of (KJF); 96111901, 19 XI 1996, same locality data, 1 o $\mathrm{NI}, 1$ on NS (KJF). Wangarabell Creek, Wangarabell Rd, Wangarabell, 99021302, 120m, 149 ${ }^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$ $37^{\circ} 22^{\prime}, 2$ or $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 3$ ㅇ N (KJF). Watchbed Creek, $96031800,18 \mathrm{III} 1999,1680 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{1 9}^{\prime}$ $36^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$, EPH 1693, 1 \& N (JD), EPH 1694, 1 \% NS (JD). Watts River, Fernshaw Rerserve, 74122000, 20 XII 1974, 200m, $145^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$, EPH 1642, 2 o $\mathrm{N}, 5$ 우 N (JD). Wellington River, Tamboritha Rd, Alpine National Park, 96092204, 22 IX 1996, 340m, $146^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ N (KJF); 97020702, 7 II 1997, same locality data, 1 of $\mathrm{NI}, 1$ ot $^{\circ}$ I, 1 \& I, 1 \& N (KJF). Wild Dog Creek, Wild Dog Creek Rd, 97030201, 2 III 1997, 10m, $143^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}, 1 \sigma^{\prime} 1$ (KJF). William Wallace Creek, Triangle Link Rd, Gembrook
 N (KJF). Wingan River, Drummer Rd, Coopracambra National Park, 98012501, 25 I 1998, 180m, $149^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o N, 2 \& S, 9 \& N (KJF). Wombat Creek, Wombat Creek Dam Picnic Area, near Daylesford, 97122004, 20 XII 1997, 635m, $144^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o $^{\prime \prime}$ NSI, 1 ơ NS, 1 ¢ NSI (KJF). Yarra River, upsiream of Warburton, 97042502, 25 IV 1997, 170m, 145 $42^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{S}, 1$ o S (KJF).

Yarra River, Hazelwood Rd, 80112000, 20 II 1980, EPH 1614, 1 d 1 (JD), EPH 1623, 1 o NSI, 1 ㅇ NS (JD). Yarra River, Peninsula Rd, 78122100,21 XII 1978, EPH 1612, $1 \sigma^{\circ}$ NS (JD); 80010402, 4 I 1980, same locality data, EPH 1625, 1 \& NI (JD); 80020600, 6 II 1980, same locality data, EPH 1562, 1 o NI (JD), EPH 1613, 1 ㅇ NI (JD), EPH 1624, 1 o NI (JD). Yarra River, Reefton Rd, 77110300, 3 XI 1977, EPH 1615, 1 甲 S (JD).
3.3.2.2.1 Investigation of morphological variation within Nousia (Australonousia) fusca.

Nousia (Australonousia) fusca appears extremely abundant throughout south-eastern Australia. It was found at $225 / 678$ ( $33 \%$ ) of all sites examined (see Appendix 2) and was the most abundant species of the MRHI collections. The large number of collection sites covered many different locations through eastern Australia and groups of these were geographically isolated from others; possibly represented different ecological habitats. Isolated populations included the Otways, Grampians, Tasmania and high altitude sites (above 1000 m ). As there was some morphological variation in the species from these collections, attempts were made to further examine species variation between these isolated sites through statistical analysis. Differences amongst regions were examined by oneway ANOVA for appropriate individual characters for populations from the Otways, Grampians, Tasmania, high altitude sites (above 1000 m ) and "the rest" of Victoria which refers to all other sites where this species was found throughout Victoria. Typical habitat in these locations are shown in Figs. 95-106. A subset of the nymphal characters was further examined by discriminant anaiysis; this was not possible for the adult characters due to the low numbers of individuals available.

Of the eighteen ANOVA's run examining differences amongst regions eight showed significant variability amongst the collection sites (Table 6), which suggests that some genuine differences exist. The significant results pertained to the male and female imago characteristics in all cases but one (nymph female body length) and was generally based on very low number of individuals ( $n<7$ ). High altitude collections tended to exhibit the highest mean values whilst the Grampians had the lowest mean values.

Discriminant analysis was conducted on three subsets of nymphal data (labrum, leg and head and pronotum measurements including ratios). These subsets had to be examined separately because not all measurements were available for each individual. Tolerances were generally low indicating high correlations between characters. The classification matrices returned overall percent correct figures of 35,34 and $35 \%$ for each subset respectively. This indicated that the differences in characters were noi strongly associated with place of collection and confirmed the predominance of non-significance
amongst the one-way ANOVA's of the nymphal characters. Multivariate ANOVA was only significant for the third subset analysis ( $p=0.083,0.064,0.033$ ).

Whilst there were some indications of differences amongst collections from different regions these were not sufficient to contradict the view based on morphology that the material constitutes a single, albeit very variable, species. It is still possible that this species constitutes a group of cryptic species or a species complex. Molecular taxonomy may elucidate such differences in the future.

Table 6. Analysis of differences in various characters of Nousia (Australonousia) fisca according to location of collection. Order of means where differences significant: $\mathrm{G}=$ Grampians, $\mathrm{H}=$ High Altitude, $\mathrm{O}=$ Otways, $\mathrm{T}=$ Tasmania and $\mathrm{R}={ }^{\text {"The }}$ Rest" of Victoria.

|  | df | p | Order of means |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male lmago |  |  |  |
| body length | 4,53 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 4}$ | $H>R>T>O>G$ |
| forewing length | 4,54 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 9}$ | $H>O>R>T>G$ |
| hindwing length | 4,51 | 0.315 |  |
| total foreleg length | 4,32 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 1}$ | O>H>R>G>T |
| Female lmago |  |  |  |
| body length | 4,57 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3}$ | $H>O>R>T>G$ |
| forewing length | 4,58 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 9}$ | $H>R>O>T>G$ |
| hindwing length | 4,35 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 4}$ | $H>R>O>T>G$ |
| total foreleg length | 4,58 | 0.005 | $H>R>T>O>G$ |
| Nymph | 4,76 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 6}$ | $O>H>T>G>R$ |
| male body length | 1,81 | 0.186 |  |
| female body length | 4,78 | 0.425 |  |
| head width | 4,96 | 0.252 |  |
| pronotum width | 4,96 | 0.565 |  |
| labrum length | 4,96 | 0.102 |  |
| labrum width | 4,82 | 0.260 |  |
| labrum denticle width | 4,82 | 0.488 |  |
| total fore leg length | 4,82 | 0.920 |  |
| fore femora length |  |  |  |
| fore femora width |  |  |  |

# 3.3.2.3 Nousia (Australonousia) darkara (Harker) Incertae sedis Atalonella darkara Harker (Harker 1957b) Atalonella darkara Harker (Scholes 1961) Nousia darkara (Harker) (Campbell 1988) Incertae sedis 

Types. Holotype. Atalonella darkara Harker, Burnie, Tasmania, of subimago, 6 XII 1954, B. McMillan. Held at the NHM. [not seen].

Distribution. Tasmania (no locality data available).

Diagnosis. Due to lack of specimens the following description is derived from Harker (1957b). Male imago. unknown. Female imago. unknown. Egg. unknown. Male subimago. unknown. Female subimago. Dimensions: body length 12; forewing 6; hindwing 1.5. General body colour yellow with duill brown markings. Head, antennae, ocelli colour and characteristics unknown. Eyes: size and colour unknown. Thorax colour and characteristics unknown. Legs: cream, no markings; tarsal claws "acute". Wings. Forewing: colour uniformly grey; pterostigmal region opaque ("milky"); costal and subcostal crossveins absent, or if present very faint, in proximal halves of wings, 8 crossveins distally, subcostal space with 3 faint crossveins proximally, 6 crossveins distally. Presence of bullae unknown, MA forked at approximately half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ apparently not connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and CuA , CuA and CuP not linked by crossvein, $I C u_{1}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{ICU}_{2}$ recurved to join $I C \mathrm{U}_{1}$. $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{I}_{1}$ and $I C \mathrm{I}_{2}$ parallel or very slightly diverging as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and apparently not linked by crossvein to $A_{1}$. Hindwing: colour unknown; slightly convex at midlength immediately preceding shallow concavity; costa joins subcosta at four-fifths of wing length; no cross veins in costal space, 7 throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: colour unknown, sternum nine shallowly cleft. Caudal filaments: three; terminal filament shorter than cerci. Nymph. Body length $\$ 10$. General body colour unknown. Head, antennae, ocelli colour and characteristics unknown. Eyes: size and colour unknown. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus: lateral margin of labrum much wider than clypeus, angular; anterior margin with slights antero-median emargination; five rounded denticles. Clypeus unknown. Mandibies: outer margins slightly curved; number of incisors unknown, much denticulated, molar regions small. Maxillae: palpi three segmented, basal segment longer than the second, terminal segment "small and pointed". Hypopharynx: unknown. Labium: glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae; paipi three segmented, basal segment longer than the second. Thorax: colour unknown. Legs: yellow, without markings, slightly darker dorsally; tarsal claws
each segment; posterolateral spines present, apparently progressively larger apically. Gills: colour unknown; present on segments one to seven; double, lanceolate.

Remarks. Very little is known about this species. It was established only on the basis of a female subimago and a nymph by Harker (1957b). There is no explanation as to why Atalonella was chosen as the genus to which this species belongs although one can assume that she made the assessment based on a previous paper (Harker 1954) where the distinctions between Atalophlebia and Atalonella were outlined. Unfortunately, the descriptions and drawings of Harker are very simplified and somewhat enigmatic. The drawing of the forewing (Harker 1957b, FIG. 30, p.70, reproduced here as Fig. 107) shows no (or a few very faint) costal crossveins in the proximal halves of the costal and subcostal spaces, a trait usually associated with male wing venation, not female. Further, the forewing is said to be half the body length which is clearly an error as it is more usual for the wing to be at least as long, if not longer, than the body. Lastly, the labrum appears as though it is much wider than the clypeus and possesses angular lateral margins which are not diagnostic for the genus (Harker 1957b, FIG. 33, p. 70, reproduced here as Fig. 108).

Despite the genus status of the species being unclear it was included in the Australian catalogue of Ephemeroptera as belonging to Nousia (Australonousia) (Campbell 1988). Strangely, however, it was not included in the genus revision of Australian Nousia published the same year (Campbell and Suter 1988).

A request was forwarded to the Natural History Museum to obtain the holotype Atalonella darkara but this could not be found. There is no direct mention that she lodged the specimens with the Natural History Museum in her paper 'Some new Australian Ephemeroptera Part II' (Harker 1957b) although Part I of that series (Harker 1957a) clearly states that the types were to be deposited there. As all her other types were lodged with the NHM there is no reason to assume she would choose another repository. Apparently, no other specimens of Atalonella darkara exist apart from the type.

A further complication is that the type location of this species is ill-defined. Burnie is a large town in Tasmania and collection of material in and around Burnie failed to produce a species similar to this description.

Without the type, any other specimens or an adequate description, it is not possible to place this species definitively in any genus. I therefore remove this species from Nousia (Australonousia) and propose that the status of Incertae sedis be adopted until the type is located and examined.

### 3.3.2.4 Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula (Tillyard)

Atalophlebia fuscula Tillyard (Tillyard 1936)
Atalonella fuscula (Tillyard) Harker (Harker 1954)
Nousia fuscula (Tillyard) (Suter 1986)
Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula (Tillyard) (Campbell and Suter 1988)

Types. Holotype. Atalophlebia fuscula (Tillyard), Tasmania, River Shannon, ơ imago, 33012700, 27 I 1933, R.J. Tillyard. Labels: a) "Holotype", b) "Atalophlebia fuscula Till. Holotype of R.J.T. R. Shannon. Tas., 27.1.33", c) "Brit. Mus. 1937-408". Paratypes. Atalophlebia fuscula (Tillyard), o inago, subimagos, same collection data. Held at the NHM. [not seen].

Distribution. South Australia, Tasmania (no locality data available).
Diagnosis. Due to relative lack of material the following description and measurements combines information from descriptions by Tillyard (1936). Scholes (1961) and predominantly Suter (1986). I was also able to examine the holotype from the NHM and a very small number of specimens borrowed from the AWQC and the ANIC. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 5.8-7.8; forewing length 5.9-8.0; hindwing length 1.1-1.6; forewing hindwing ratio approx. 5. General body colour black. Head black. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper lobes brown-grey. Thorax: black. Legs: brown to black, no apparent banding; forelegs with seven segments, total foreleg length 6.23; leg length ratios 1.00: 1.29: 0.14: 0.49: $0.44: 0.28$ : 0.16 (1.64); tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook. Wings. Forewing: membrane hyaline, pterostigmal area red brown, opaque; longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow; costal and subcostal veins absent, or if present very faint, in proximal halves of wing; costal space with 6 proximally, 10 distally, subcostal space with 2-4 proximally, 7-10 distally. Three bullae present on veins $S c, R_{2}$ and $R_{4+5}$, MA forked at half to just over half the distance from base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and CUA, CuA and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ joins CuA and not linked to CuACuP crossvein, $I \mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ recurved to join $I \mathrm{Cu}_{1}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $I \mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by cross vain to $\mathrm{A}_{1}$. Hindwing: mostly hyaline; costal margin slightly convex at midength immediately preceding shallow concavity, costa joins subcosta at approximately four-fifths wing length; no cross veins in proximal half of costal space, 4 in distal half, subcostal space with 4-6 cross veins. Abdomen: black with light brown to brown markings forming a broken stripe midline, two maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly. Genitalia: forceps three segmented;
terminal segment globular. Penes brown, fused in basal two-thirds, two distinct lobes; in dorsal view two indistinct subapical lobes, each with a small blunt triangular tooth, partly sheathed by outer covering, generally visible under transmitted light only. Caudal filaments: three, dark brown, 10. Female imago. Dimensions: body length larger than maie; forewing length 8 ; hindwing length larger than male. General patterning and colouring similar to male. Legs: total leg length shorter than male. Wings. Forewing colour and venation similar to male except crossveins in proximal halves of wings and generally more crossveins on average than male. Hindwing: unknown. Abdomen: sternum seven with small genital extension; sternum nine deeply cleft. Egg. Ovoid, polar cap with three rings of tubular processes, chorion with small circular tubules regularly placed over surface. Male and female subimago. General colour dull black. Wings opaque, dark-grey. Mature nymph. Body lengths: 7-8. General colour black. Head: prognathous; dark brown to black. Ocelli: three; black with white to grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pale greyish. Eyes: upper lobes of male reddish-brown. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus: lateral margin of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; lateral margins of labrum subequal to very slightly wider than clypeus, rounded; anterior margin with slight antero-median emargination; labrum two times wider than long; four to six triangular denticles extending less than one-third the entire width of labrum, frontal setae arranged as a narrow band, secondary hair fringe clearly separated from narrow band. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved; sparse long setae at midpoint on inner lateral margins, shorter setae between tuft and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner mesal surface. Left mandible: two incisors, each with three apical teeth, no apparent serrations on lateral margins; prostheca robust, moderately serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible: sparse long spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, inner incisor with two apical teeth, no apparent serrations on lateral margins; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae: galea-lacinae as wide as long; subapical row of approximately 15 pectinate setae; palpi three segmented; palp length ratios 1.00 : $0.71: 0.78$ ( 0.21 ). Hypopharynx: well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua deeply cleft; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, rounded lateral margins. Labium: glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, terminal segment with stout spines on dorsal surface; palp length ratios 1.00: 0.71: 0.69 (0.29); submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: dark brown. Legs: medium brown, no apparent banding; fore femora length width ratio approx. 2.74; fore tarsi with approx. 13 ventral spines; tarsal claws with approx. 11 ventral teeth, progressively larger apically; total foreleg length 2.86; leg length ratios, foreleg 1.00: 0.87 : 0.55 (1.18), midleg 1.00: 0.84 : $0.39(1.19)$, hindleg 1.00: 0.91: $0.38(1.40)$. Abdomen: black with yellow-brown markings.

Gills: membrane pale, brownish; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; each gill slender, tapering to a thin point apically; main and lateral tracheae strongly developed. Caudal filaments: three, 10.

Remarks. Atalophlebia fuscula was first established by Tillyard in his monograph of the mayflies of Tasmania (Tillyard 1936). Following this it was transferred to Atalonella by Harker (1954) on the basis of wing venation and gill shape. Scholes (1961) briefly described the nymph and adult stages in his popular treatise on fly-fishing and an extensive revision of the South Australian fauna was completed by Suter (1986) in which the species was transferred to Nousia. I agree with this designation based on Suter's description.

Unfortunately, only a few of Suter's specimens could be located and these comprised a series of nymphs from Tookayerta Creek in South Australia and some specimens marked Atalonella fuscula from the ANIC. I also endeavoured to recollect this species from some of the places Suter collected in his revision (Suter 1986) such as Jimmy's Creek, MacKenzie River and Stony Creek in the Grampians and Tanjil River, Tarwin River and Tooroongo Falls in eastern Victoria. However, I was only able to coliect a few specimens from Jimmy's Creek on several different occasions (96101901, 99011607, 00012203) and was only able to rear one male to adulthood. The adult conformed more readily to the newly described $N$. (A) fusca in that the subapical lobes were more developed..

It occurs to me that $N$. (A). fusca and $N$. (A) fuscula are very closely related and may be conspecific. This may explain why only specimens of $N$. (A) fusca were found at Suter's collecting sites. I have attempted to examine the similarities (Table 7) and differences (Table 8) between the two species by close examination of the literature, holotype and scant specimens of $N$.(A) fuscula with specimens of the newly described $N$. (A) fusca.

The two species appear to have more similarities than differences (Tabie 7). They are similarly sized in both the imago and nymph. They are also similarly coloured and patterned although $N$. (A) fuscula tends to be a darker in general. In the imago, wing colouring and venation are practically identical and the female imago possesses a deeply cleft sternum nine in both cases. In the nymph, mouthpart morphology appears very closely aligned between the two with the possible exception of maxillae and labium paip relative lengths. Fore femora length to width ratio and gill colour, shape and size are also compatible.

Discrepancies in the male imago (Table 8) include measurements of the dissimilar body and forewing lengths. T-tests were performed and a significant difference was found between the two ( $p<0.001$ in both cases) where the lengths $N$. ( $A$ ) fuscula were smaller than that of $N$. (A) fusca. This appears to be the case with the foreleg lengths (as shown by the ratios) although no statistical test could be applied. The total foreleg length of $N$. (A) fuscula, although quite small, is within or just outside the range of $N$. (4) fusca. Another variation in the male imago pertains to the equality in tail filament length, although this is disputed (Suter 1986).

I admit that these few differences, when compared to the similarities, are not conclusive evidence of species status and felt that if I was able to examine the genitalia closely I would be able to make an assessment. For some time, however, the only male imago specimen 1 had was the type specimen and as this was pinned it was quite shrivelled. I have found, through trial and error, that air dried specimens (and the parts dissected from them) do not retain their shape. The genitalia of the type specimen was one such example and frustratingly provided little clue as to the true shape, especially as it did not compare with the literature drawings such as Tillyard (1936, FIG. 15, p. 34, reproduced here as Fig. 109) and Suter (1986, FIG. 10j, p. 375, reproduced here as Fig. 110). It was not until some time later that I was fortunate to find a vial containing Scholes' specimens of $N$. (A). fuscula from Tasmania which contained a number of specimens including two intact male imagos. The genitalia of these specimens have a somewhat similar design to $N$. (A). fusca in that the two species both have two lobes which are fused in the basal twothirds, each lobe has a small triangular process on the inner lateral margins which appear to be partly sheathed (Fig. 111). The obvious difference between this and the literature drawings appears to be lack of prominent subapical lohes in the descriptions and drawings of Tillyard (1936) and Suter (1986). It is unfortunate the genitalia drawings do not conclusively determine species status either way.

In the female imago, a discrepancy between the two descriptions appears to be with regard to the genital extension which is considered to be absent in $N$. (A) fuscule ("no ovipositor" (Suter 1986, p. 349) and small in N. (A) fusca (3.3.2.2). However, the drawing of the female abdomen by Suter (1986), FIG. 10f, p. 375, reproduced here as Fig. 112) indicates a small extension which is at least as big as the one present on $N$. (A) fusca. Two female imagos of $N(A)$ fuscula coliected by Scholes (1961) in Tasmania also possess a small genital extension on sternum seven.

Another concern is the morphology of the egg. The SEM taken by Suter (1986, FIG. 16b, p. 381, reproduced here as Fig. 113), has three rings of tubular processes and looks remarkably like a Thraulophlebia egg (see 3.4.3.1). Moreover, it does not conform to the
general morphology of all the other Nousia eggs, which have no polar caps or tubular processes. I had many problems with contamination with eggs from other samples and would often have eggs of more than one species in a sample. After many false starts, I resorted to a new set of equipment (glassware, pipettes; critical point drier container etc) for each sample and did not process more than one sample at the same time. Although there were very few viable specimens, I was able to dissect a few eggs from one of the mature female nymphs from Tookayerta Creek, South Australia (Figs. 114, 115). The general shape and patterning appears to conform more closely with the Nousia species.

Lastly, variation exists with respect to the head width and leg length of the nymph, as in the imago, and again $N$. (A) fuscula is much smailer. The leg length ratios and mouthpart ratios of the maxillae and labium, as mentioned earlier, are also incongruous. The head width of $N$. (A) fuscula proved to be significantly smaller than that of $N$. (A) fusca as measured by t-test ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). There also appears to be a body colour variation between the two species where the $N$. (A) fuscula nymph, like the imago, is generally darker.

Table 7. Similarities between the literature descriptions and measurements of Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula and the newly described Nousia (Australonousia) fusca.

|  | Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula | newly described Nousia (Australonousia) fusca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago |  |  |
| hindwing length | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \mathrm{~mm} \text { (Tiilyard 1936) } \\ & 1.6 \text { (Scholes } 1961) \\ & 1.12-1.40(1.29 \pm 0.09,23) \text { (Suter 1986) } \\ & 1.6 \text { - holotype } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1.01-1.71 (1.29 $40.13,56)$ |
| general body colour | black (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) | variable, from tan brown to dark brown to black |
| head colour | black (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961, (Suter 1986) | brown to black |
| thorax colour | black (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986) | pronotum brown to black, mesonotum shiny brown to black |
| forewing colour | wings hyaline with dark brown venation, slight fuscous tinting of membrane at pterostigma, anal veins pale (Tillyard 1936) <br> glassy clear with dark brown venation (Scholes 1961) pterostigmal region slightly tinged with brown, (Suter 1986) | membrane hyaline, pterostigmal region slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins brown, anai veins yellow |
| costal crossy $/$ (enc proximal | 6, faint (Tillyard 1936) 3-7, faint (Suter 1986) 5, faint - holotype | 0-5 faint |
| costal crossumdns - distal | 10 (Tiliyard 1936) <br> 14 (Suter 1986), holotype | 7-14 |
| subcostai crossumbis proximal | 5 -holotype <br> 2-4 (Sider 1986) | 0-5 faint |
| subcostal crossyilins distal | inore numerous than costal crossveins (fillyard 1936) 7-10 (Suter 1986) <br> 11- holotype | 6-13 |
| bullae | 3 on $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ (Tillyard 1936) | 3 on $\mathrm{Sc}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ |
| MA fork | about half (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986), holotype | hall to just over half |

Table 7 cont'd.

|  | Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula | newly described Nousia (Australonousia) fusca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and CuA | yes (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986), holotype | yes |
| CuA-CuP crossvein | yes (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986), holotype | yes |
| $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and ICu${ }^{\text {a }}$ | parallel (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986), holotype | parallel |
| 1Cus joins CuA | yes (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986), holotype | yes |
| ${ }^{1 C C_{1}}$ linked to CuA-CuP crossvein | no (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986) | no |
| $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ recurved to join ICu | yes (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986) | yes |
| Cup linked to $A_{1}$ | yes (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986, holotype | yes |
| hindwing - length at which costa joins subcosta | four fifths (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986) | three-quarters to four-iths |
| costal crossveins | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { (Tillyard 1936), holotype } \\ & 3-4 \text { (Suter 1986) } \end{aligned}$ | $2-5$ |
| subcostal crossveins | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 4 \text { (Tillyard 1936) } \\ 4-6 \text { (Suter 1986) } \\ 5 \text { - holotype } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3-5 |
| abdomen colour | black, marked with a pattern of dark brown and black (Tillyard 1936) <br> shiny black, marked with dark brown beneath towards the centre, (Scholes 1961) <br> black with brown and light brown markings (Suter 1986) | tan to dark brown to biack with golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly pattern variable |
| forceps | 3 segmented (Tillyard 1936) | 3 segmented |
| Female Imago |  |  |
| body length | larger than male (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961, Suter 1986) | 6.1-9.0 (7.6 $\pm 0.74,62)$, larger than male |

Table 7 cont'd.

|  | Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula | newly described Nousia (Australonousia) fusca |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| forewing length | 8 (Tillyard 1936) | 5.8-9.6 (7.7 $\pm 0.73,63)$ |
| hindwing length | longer than male (Tillyard 1936), (Scholes 1961) | 0.99-1.72 (1.26 $0.14,62$ ) |
| hindwing crossveins | more than male (Tillyard 1936), (Suter 1986) | C 3-5, Sc 3-6 |
| sternum nine | deep V shaped incision, (Suter 1986) | deeply cleft |
| Egg _ _ _ _ |  |  |
| general shape | ovoid | ovoid |
| Subimago |  |  |
| body colour | duli black (Tillyard 1936), (Suter 1986) | dull greyish black |
| wing colour | almost black (Tillyard 1936) opaque, dark grey (Suter 1986) | grey-brown |
| Nymph ___ |  |  |
| body length | 7-8 (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) | $\begin{aligned} & 65.8-9.6(7.5 \pm 0.87,63) \\ & \text { o } 6.0-10.0(7.9 \pm 0.87,81) \end{aligned}$ |
| male eye colour | grey biack (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) reddish brown (Suter 1986) | upper lobes - russet to dark brown, lower lobes black |
| antennae colour | pale greyish (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) | golden to tan brown |
| labrum | slight antero-median emargination (Tillyard 1936, Suter 1986) | no apparent or slight anteromedian emargination |
| labrum length width ratio | 2 times wider than long (Suter 1986) | 1.71-2.23(1.99 $\pm 0.12,101)$ times wider than long |
| labrum denticles | 4-6 rounded denticles (Suter 1986) | 5 |
| left mandible | incisors with 3 apical teeth (Suter 1986) | teeth 3,3 |
| right mandible | outer incisors with 3 apical teeth, inner incisors with 2 teeth (Suter 986) | teeth 3,2 |
| maxillae galea-lacinae length width ratio | short and broad (Tillyard 1936) galea-lacinae appears as wide as long (Suter 1986) | 0.90-1.11 (0.99 $\pm 0.06,25)$ |
| thorax | dark brown, meson $\mathrm{th}^{2} \mathrm{dm}$ with two yellowish brown marks above apex. apex itself tipped with blackish (Tillyard 1936) chiefly dark brown (Scholes 1961) | golden to tan brown with darker markings |

Table 7 cont'd.

|  | Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula | newly described Nousia (Australonousia) fusca |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| leg colour | medium brown, semi-transparent, femora with dark line <br> along dorsal ridge, apex fuscous (Tillyard 1936) <br> brown, not banded (Suter 1986) | golden to tan brown, femora apices usually darker, <br> no apparent banding |
| fore femur length to <br> width ratio | 2.74 (Suter 1986) | $2.51-4.11(2.86 \pm 0.20,87)$ |
| abdomen | black above with a mid longitudinal row of pale <br> yellowish brown markings (Tillyard 1936) <br> colour pattern irregular (Suter 1986) | tan to dark brown with golden markings on each <br> segment forming a broken stripe midline, generally <br> with two golden coloured maculae flanking each <br> midline marking anteriorly, pattern highly variable |
| gill shape | double, seven pairs, on segments 1-7, narrowly <br> lanceolate and end in a long slender filament (Tillyard <br> $1936)$ <br> linear (Suter 1986) | double on segment 1-7 tapering to a thin point <br> apically |
| gill colour | pale, semi-transparent brownish (Tillyard 1936) <br> pale (Scholes 1961) | clear to pink |
| gill tracheae | main and lateral branches apparent (Tilyard 1936) <br> main branch apparent, linear branches faint(Suter <br> $1986)$ | main and lateral tracheae strongly or poorly <br> developed |

Table 8. Discrepancies between the literature descriptions and measurements of Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula and Nousia (Australonousia) fusca showing outcomes of t-tests comparing the two species where measurements were available.

|  | Nousia (Australoinousia) fuscula | newly described Nousia (Australonousia) fusca | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago |  |  |  |
| body length | 7.8 (Tillyard 1936) 8 (Scholes 1961) $5.82-7.01(6.58 \pm 0.32,23)$ (Suter 1986) 7.3 -holotype | 5.8-9.0 (7.3 $\pm 0.68,58)$ | *** |
| forewing length | $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Tillyard 1936, Scholes 1961) $5.90-6.97(6.41 \pm 0.28,22)$ (Suter 1986) 7.6 - holotype | $6.0-8.6(7.2 \pm 0.59,59)$ | *** |
| eye colour - upper | brown-grey (Suter 1986) | pink to red to tan brown |  |
| penes shape | turned upwards; lobes separate, cylindrical; irregularly truncated at apex which is divided into 2 blunt lobes with a third lobe arising on the inner side and not reaching the level of the apex, inner lobe carries a small blunt triangular tooth (Tillyard 1936); lobes widely separated, cylindrical, constricted near apex, apex rounded, lobes apparently sheathed, inner margin with a small spine hidden within sheath visible in mounted preparation using transmitted light but not in the scanning electron micrographs (Suter 1986) | fused in basal two-thirds, two lobes distinct, in dorsal view two subapical lobes protruding laterally towards midline; each lobe with a small blunt triangular tooth apparent, generally visible only under transmitted light; |  |
| leg colour | chiefly black, hind femora mostly dark brown (Tillyard 1936); chiefly black (Scholes 1961); forelegs black, middle and hind legs brown, no banding (Suter 1986) | golden to tan or dark brown, femora apices darker, no apparent banding |  |

Table 8 cont＇d．

|  | Nousia（Australonousia）fuscula | newly described Nousia（Australonousia）fusca | Sig． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| foreleg length | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \mathrm{~mm} \text { (Tillyard 1936) } \\ & 5-6 \mathrm{~mm} \text { (Scholes 1961) } \\ & 6.2 \mathrm{~mm} \text { (Suter 1986) } \end{aligned}$ | $5.8-8.9$（7．3土0．94，37） |  |
| foreleg leg length ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.00: 1.29: 0.14: 0.49: 0.44: } 0.28: 0.16(1.64) \\ & \text { (Suter 1986) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 1.35: 0.06: 0.53: 0.50: 0.37: 0.16(1.8 \pm 0.19, \\ & 37) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| tail filament length | subequal， 10 （Tillyard 1936，Scholes 1961） cerci－9．23－11．11（10．32土0．62，12）（Suter 1986） terminal filament 10．77－13．00（11．67 $\pm 0.76,8$ ）） （Suter 1986） | terminal filament longer than cerci |  |
| tail filament colour | dark fuscous（Tillyard 1936） blackish（Scholes 1961） | golden to brown |  |
| Female Imago＿＿＿ |  |  |  |
| sternum seven | no ovipositor（Suter 1986） | small genital extension |  |
| Egg |  |  |  |
| chorion | polar cap with three rings of tubular processes， chorion with small circular tubules regularly placed over surface． | polar cap absent，chorion with large evenly spaced circular shaped protuberances |  |
| Nymph＿＿＿ |  |  |  |
| head width | 1．36－1．50（1．44土0．06，6）（Suter 1986） | 1．25－1．82（1．53 $\pm 0.11,83)$ | ＊＊ |
| general colour | black（Tillyard 1936） blackish（Scholes 1961） | golden to tan brown with darker markings |  |
| head colour | blackish with dark brown（Tillyard 1936，Scholes 1961） <br> dark brown（Suter 1986） | $\tan$ brown |  |
| maxillae palp ratios | 1．00：0．71： 0.78 （0．21）（Suter 1986） | 1．00：0．77： 0.71 （0．24土0．03，50） |  |
| labium palp length ratios | 1．00：0．71： 0.69 （0．29）（Suter 1986） | 1．00：0．77： 0.63 （0．36ı0．04，50） |  |
| total foreleg length | 2.86 （Suter 1986） | 2．45－4．98（3．31 $\pm 0.49,87)$ |  |
| foreleg | 1．00：0．87：0．55（1．18）（Suter 1986） | 1．00：0．85： 0.44 （1．43土0．21，87） |  |
| midleg | 1．00：0．84：0．39（1．19）（Suter 1986） | 1．00：0．86： $0.35(1.51 \pm 0.26,60)$ ， |  |
| hindleg | 1．00：0．91： 0.38 （1．40）（Suter 1986） | 1．00：0．91： 0.31 （1．74 $\pm 0.28,60$ ）． |  |

I do not feel there is enough evidence to conclude that these two taxa are definitely different species. On the other hand, 1 consider there is insufficient evidence to consider them conspecific. A major concern is the lack of specimens for comparison. As a result, I believe I have not been able to determine the correct morphology of the male genitalia and egg. I consider the best option is to leave the two as separate species until more specimens can be examined.

Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula can be distinguished from all other species in the subgenus by the following combination of characters. In the imago: (i) body colour generally dark, brown to black, abdomen colour black with light markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two light coloured maculae flanking each midline marking; (ii) leg banding absent; (iii) hindwing costa joins subcosta at four fifths wing length; (iv) penes with indistinct subapical lobes; (v) female sternum nine deeply cleft. In the nymph: (i) general body colour dark, brown to black, abdomen colour variable but usually black with light markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two light coloured maculae flanking each midline marking; (ii) lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; (iii) labrum subequal to very slightly wider than clypeus, lateral margins rounded; antero-median emargination slight bearing four to six triangular denticles extending less than one-third the width of labrum; frontal setae arranged as a narrow band, secondary hair fringe clearly separated from front band; (iv) mandible incisors with no serrations on lateral margins, no subapical processes; left mandible prostheca robust, moderately serrated; right mandible prostheca simple, slender; (v) maxillae with 15 subpectinate setae; (vi) hypopharynx deeply cleft, superlingua with rounded lateral margins; (vii) leg banding absent; (viii) fore tarsi with 13 ventral spines, fore tarsal claws with 11 ventral teeth; (ix) gills pale, slightly opaque; slender, tapering to a thin point apically; tracheae strongly developed.

Other specimens examined. SOUTH AUSTRALIA. Tookayerta Creek: TI AS1, 84120501, 5 XII 1984, 62 of N, 89 ㅇ N , (most immature); N7 AS1, 84120502,5 XII 1984, 10 or $\mathrm{N}, 11$ \& N , (most immature); S7 ASI, 84120503, 5 XII 1984, 7 of $\mathrm{N}, 10$ \& $\mathrm{N} ; \mathbf{S 7}$ AS2, 84120504, 5 XII 1984, 8 of $\mathrm{N}, 11$ \& N , (many immature); S5, 85012300, 23 I 1985, $14 \mathrm{o}^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{N}, 23$ \& N ; S5 AS3, 85030600, 6 III 1985, 4 of $\mathrm{N}, 7$ $\mp \mathrm{N}$, (most immature); S5, Cleland Gully Rd, location 3956, 95051200, 12 IV 1995, 2 d $\mathrm{N}, 1$ o $\mathrm{N} ;($ (PS). Held at the AWQC. TASMANIA. North Esk River, near Perth, 60011800, 18 I 1960, 2 of l, 2 \% I. (DS), det. E.F. Riek 1960. Heid at the ANIC.
3.3.2.5 Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli sp. nov.

Nousia sp. "AV2" (Dean 1999)
Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli sp. nov.

Types. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli sp. nov. Holotype. Taggerty River, Lady Talbot Drive, outside Marysville, Victoria (Fig. 116) 98101704, $400 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}, 17$ X 1988, 1 \& NSI (reared) (KJF). Paratypes. Taggerty River, 98101704, locality data as above, $17 \times 1988$, 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}$ (reared) (KJF). Donnelly Creek, Donnelly Weir Rd, 97040604, 140m, $145^{\circ} 32^{\prime \prime} 03^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}, 6$ IV 1997, 1 ㅇ NSI (reared) (KJF).

Distribution. New South Wales, Victoria, Queensland (Fig. 117).
Diagnosis. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 7.0-8.6 (7.5士0.52, 10); forewing length 6.9-8.5 $(7.6 \pm 0.50,10)$; hindwing length 1. 13-1.55 (1.27 $\pm 0.13,12)$; forewing hindwing ratio 5.03-6.89 $(5.99 \pm 0.60,10)$. General body colour chocolate brown to black. Head black. Antennae: pedicel and scape dark brown; flagellum light brown. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, almost contiguous, $E S=0.09-0.15$ ( $0.11 \pm 0.02,10$ ); upper lobes orange-brown, lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: pronotum black; mesonotum shiny dark brown to black. Legs: femora yellow to tan brown with very dark brown to black markings giving a banded appearance; fore tibia dark brown, middle and hind tibiae yellow; all other segments yellow; forelegs with seven segments, total foreleg length 6.05-7.35 (6.54 $\pm 0.55,7$; leg length ratios 1.00: 1.48: $0.07: 0.47: 0.44: 0.33: 0.15(1.66 \pm 0.10,7$; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook (Fig. 118). Wings. Forewing (Fig. 119): membrane hyaline, pterostigmal area slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow; costal and subcostal crossveins absent, or if present very faint, in proximal halves of wing, costal space with $0-5$ (10) faint crossveins proximally, 7-13 (10) distally (often some faint, some anastomosed), subcostal space with 0-4 (10) faint crossveins proximally, $9-11(10)$ distally (some faint). Three bullae present on veins Sc, $R_{2}$ and $R_{4+5}$, MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin, $M P_{2}$ connected to MP1 and CUA, CuA and CuP linked by crossvein, $I C U_{1}$ recurved to join CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $I C u_{2}$ recurved to join $I C u_{1}, I C u_{1}$ and $I C u_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by crossvein to $A_{1}$. Hindwing (Fig. 120): mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; costal margin slightly convex at midlength immediately preceding shallow concavity; costa joins subcosta at approximately four-fifths wing length; no crossveins in proximal haives of costal space, 3-4(10) in distal space, 3-6(10) crossveins throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: dark brown to black with golden markings on each segment forming a broken
stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly (Fig. 121), pattern variable. Genitalia (Figs 122-126): forceps three segmented; first segment brown, progressively lighter apically; terminal segment rounded, about the same length as middie segment; penes dark brown, fused along at least two-thirds length in dorsal (Fig. 122, 124) and ventral (Fig. 123, 125) view; not extending beyond length of forceps; apical lobes with shallow concavity; two subapical lobes apparent in dorsal view (Fig. 122, 124), protruding laterally towards midiine, each lobe with a small blunt triangular tooth apparent, generally visible only under transmitted light. Caudal filaments: three; brown; terminal filament longer than cerci. Female imago. Dimensions: body length 6.6-10.1 (8.1 11.2 , $10)$; forewing length $7.6-10.4(8.6 \pm 0.76,10)$; hindwing length $1.20-1.38(1.28 \pm 0.06)$; forewing hindwing ratio 6.08-7.54 ( $6.73 \pm 0.44,10$ ). General paiterning and colouring similar to male. Eyes: grey-black; separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye. Legs: total leg length snorter than male; forelegs with six segments, total foreleg length 4.62-5.34 (5.07 $\pm 0.23,10)$; leg length ratios 1.00 : 1.37: $0.18: 0.16: 0.15: 0.14(1.69 \pm 0.09,10)$. Wings. Forewing (Fig. 127): colour and venation similar to male except crossveins in proximal halves of wing and generally more crossveins on average than male; costal space with 4-6 (10) crossveins proximally (some anastomosed), 12-18 (10) distally, subcostal space with 3-5 (10) crossveins proximally, 10-14 (10) distally. Hindwing (Fig. 128): no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 3-4 (10) in distal space, 4-6 (10) crossveins throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: stornum seven with small genital extension, sternum nine moderately cleft (Figs. 129). Egg. Ovoid; polar cap absent; egg chorion with similarly shaped, circular protuberances medially, surrounded by interlocking polygons which cover the whole surface (Figs. 130, 131). Male and female subimago. Body lengths: $\sigma$ 6.4-7.2 ( $6.7 \pm 0.38,4$ ), -9 6.6-9.9 ( $8.1 \pm 1.2,7$ ). Colour and markings similar to imago. Wings uniformly grey-brown, opaque. Forelegs of male not elongated. Genitalia not fully developed. Mature nymph. (Figs. 132, 133). Body lengths: of 7.2-8.2 (7.7 $\pm 0.39,12)$, o 8.3-10.0 (8.9 $\pm 0.49,15$ ). General colour dark brown with light markings. Head: prognathous; dark brown with darker brown wash between ocelli; width 1.48-1.78 (1.61 $\pm 0.10,11)$. Ocelli; three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel and scape dark brown, flagellum golden; approximately one and a half times length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male reddish-brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus (Fig. 134): lateral margins of clypeus straight or slightly diverging towards arterior; lateral margins of labrum slightly wider than clypeus, rounded; anterior margin of labrum with straight antero-median emargination; labrum length 0.25-0.39 $\mathbf{( 0 . 3 4 \pm 0 . 0 4 ,}$ 20), labrum width 0.66-0.89 ( $0.77 \pm 0.07,20$ ), labrum width length ratio 2.11-2.72 $(2.29 \pm 0.15,20)$; five flat elongate denticles present extending $0.24-0.34(0.30 \pm 0.05,11)$ across labrum, denticle width as proportion of labrum width $0.33-0.51(0.39 \pm 0.08,11)$; frontal setae arranged as a broad band, secondary thair fringe abutts broad band.

Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved, sparse long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins, shorter setae along margin between tufi and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of setae in an inverted L-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandible (Fig. 135): two incisors, each with three apical teeth, outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; prostheca robust, distinctiy serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible (Fig. 136): sparse long spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; inner incisor with two apical teeth; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 137): galealacinae about as wide as long, width length ratio 0.84-1.00 ( $0.95 \pm 0.05,10$ ); subapical row of 13-16 (10) pectinate setae; palpi three segmented; ternsinal palp with single spine apically; palp length ratios 1.00: 0.69: $0.63(0.22 \pm 0.02,10)$. Hypopharynx (Fig. 138): well developed lateral processes; antericr margin of lingua deeply cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, rounded lateral margins. Labium (Fig. 139): glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in the same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, terminal segment with ring of triangular spines circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface; palp length ratios 1.00: 0.81: $0.55(0.35 \pm 0.03,10)$; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: tan to dark brown with darker chocolate brown markings; pronotum width 1.38-1.73 (1.53 $\pm 0.13$, 11), approximately equal to width of head (see above), head pronotum width ratio 0.89 1.06 ( $0.95 \pm 0.06,11$ ). Legs (Fig. 140): tan brown with chocolate brown markings across femora, tibiae and tarsus giving a banded appearance; fore femora length 1.15-1.55 $(1.37 \pm 0.14,13)$, fore femora width $0.44-0.63(0.56 \pm 0.07,13)$, fore femora length width ratio 2.19-2.70 $(2.45 \pm 0.14,13)$; fore tarsi with $6-8(10)$ ventral spines; tarsal claws with . 10-14 (10) ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated (Fig. 141); total foreleg length 2.66-3.53 (3.12 $\pm 0.28,13$ ); leg length ratios, foreleg $1.00: 0.89: 0.40(1.37 \pm 0.14,13)$, midleg $1.00: 0.82: 0.31(1.51 \pm 0.14,13)$, hindleg 1.00: $0.83: 0.28(1.79 \pm 0.16,13)$. Abdomer:. dark chocolate brown to black with yellow to light brown markings medially on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two light coloured maculae flanking each midline markings anteriorly; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Fig. 142): membrane opaque, grey to black; present on segments one to seven, progressively smailer posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed, each gill slender, tapered to a thin point apically; main tracheal branch just apparent, lateral tracheae poorly to moderately well developed. Caudal filaments: three: yellow, darkened apically at each segment giving banded appearance; terminal filament longer than cerci.

Etymology. The species epithet is named for Nigel Ainsworth who was of significant help with field work and general support throughout the project.

Remarks. The nymph of this species has been known for some time but has remained undescribed. It has variously been referred to Atalonella "sp. 2" or Atalonella "sp. E", MMBW "sp. 2", Nousia "sp. 2", Atalophlebioides " sp. B", Atalophlebioides "sp. D" and Dartmouth "sp. 8A" in voucher collections held at various institutions throughout the state. It is listed as Nousia sp. "AV2" in the most recent publication (Dean 1999).

Nousia (Âustralonousia) nigeli can be distinguished from all other species in the subgenus by the following combination of characters. In the imago: (i) general body colour chocolate brown to black, (ii) male upper eye size large, never contiguous; (iii) thorax often shiny bro! 7 to black; (iv) leg banding present; (v) hindwing costa joins subcosta at threequarters wing length; (vi) abdomen colour chocolate brown to black with golden coloured markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking; (vii) penes with subapical lobes; (viii) female sternum nine moderately cleft: (ix) egg chorion with similarly shaped, circular protuberances medially, surrounded ; interlocking polygons which cover the whole surface. In the nymph: (i) antennal lengtl: one and a half times length of head; (ii) lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; (iii) labrum slightly wider than clypeus; more than twice as long as wide; lateral margins rounded; antero-median emargination straight bearing five rounded denticies, denticles extend two-fifths the width of the labrum on average; frontal setae arranged as a broad band secondary hair fringe abutts front band; (iv) mandibles with no subapical processes on incisors, outer incisors inconspicuously serrated on inner niargin; (v) maxillae with 13-16 subpectinate setae; (vi) hypopharynx deeply cleft, superlingua with rounded lateral margins; (vii) leg banding present; (viii) fore femora 2.5 times longer than wide; fore tarsi with 6-8 ventral spines; fore tarsal claws with 10-14 ventral teeth; (ix) abdiomen dark chocolate brown to black with yellow to light brown markings medially on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two light coloured maculae flariking each midline marking anteriorly; ( $x$ ) gills grey-black, opaque, slender, tapering to a thin point apically, tracheae poorly to moderaiely well developed.

Specimens examined. NEW SOUTH WALES. Boonoo Boonoo River tributary, 83052000, 20 V 1983, EPH 1670, $30^{\circ}$ N, (DC). Diggers Creek, Summit Rd near Stonehenge, Kosciusko National Park, 97120201, 02 XII 1997, 1500m, $148^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 22^{\prime}, 1$ \& $N$ (KJF). Friday Flat Creek tributary, near Thredbo, 84011900, 19 11984, 1380m, $148^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1675, 1 of N, 1 \& N (JD). Leather Barrell Creek, Alpine Way, Kosciusko National Park, 97120205, 02 XII 1997, 1000m, $148^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 31^{\prime}, 2$ of N, 2 \& N (KJF). Murray River tributary, Tom Groggin Picnic Area, Kosciusko National Park, 80102400, $24 \times 1980$, EPH 1650, 1 if N, 3 甲 N (DC). No 1 Creek, 6kms downstream
 Swampy Plains River, Alpine Way, Kosciusko National Park, 97120206, 2 XII 1997, $420 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 23^{\prime}, 2$ \% $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Thredbo River, Thredbo Golf Course, 84012501, 25 (1984, $1380 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$, EPH 1656, 5 of $\mathrm{N}, 4$ 9 N (JD). QUEENSLAND. Blackfellows Falls, Springbrook, Warrie National Park, 79120601, 6 XII 1979, 770m, $153^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 28^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$, EPH 1692,1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 3 \neq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Branch Creek, Conondale Ranges, 88070900, 9 VII 1988, $160 \mathrm{~m}, 152^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 26^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$, EPH 1689, $1 \circ \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{SB})$. Upstream Fallis, Natural Bridge National Park, 79120602,6 XII 1979, $800 \mathrm{~m}, 153^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 28^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$, EPH 1791,1 ¢ N (DC). VICTORIA. Acheron River, Granton Rd, 98021505, $15 \|$ 1998, 390m, $145^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\circ} \mathrm{NS}$ (reared, sub em 2 III 1999), 1 甲 $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Badger Creek, junction of Eadger Creek Rd and Bluegum Drive, 97040602, 6 IV 1997, 130m, $145^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}, 1 \circ \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Badger Creek downstream weir, 80011801, 181 1980, 280m, $145^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$, EPH 1582, 1 of NSI (reared), (JD). Bluff Creek, 11.7 km up Mt Stirling Rd, 81110201, 2 XI 1981, 1310m, $146^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 07^{\prime}$, EPH 1742, 1 of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (JD). Bogong Jack Creek, West Kiewa Logging Rd, 97110602, 6 XI 1997, 1070m, $147^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ N, 1 ㅇ NS (reared, sub em 10 XI 1997) (KJF). Bonang River, Bonang Rd, $N$ of Bonang, $99021308,13 \| 1999,640 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 09^{\prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Brandy Creek, Great Alpine Rd, 96111902, 19 XI 1996, $1560 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$, $1{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (KJF); 97011902, 19 I 1997, same locality data, 2 \% N (KJF). Brodribb River, Bonang Rd, 16 kms N of Malinns, 99021305,13 il $1999,100 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 30^{\prime}, 1$ i N (KJF). Bull Creek, Bull Creek Rd, $96113003,30 \mathrm{XI} 1996,360 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$, 1 of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ ¢ N (KJF). Bull Creek tributary, W of Yea Rock, 450m, 96113004, 30 XI 1996, $145^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ}$ NS , 1 of $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Cement Creek, Mount Donna Buang Rd, $76102101,21 \times 1976,670 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1583, 1 \& Nl (reared), (JD); 98030503, 5 III 1998, same locality data, 1 o NSI (reared), (KJF); 00022601, 26 II 2000, same locality data, 1 \& N (KJF). Charity Creek tributary, Mt Baw Baw Tourist Rd, 99021602, 16 II 1999, 1180m, 146 ${ }^{\circ} 15^{\prime \prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$, 1 o $\mathrm{N}, 1$ of (KJF). Clearwater Creek, Bogong High Plains Rd, 96111003, 10 XI 1996, 1410m, $147^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 57^{\prime \prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, 2$ \% N (KJF). Coranderrk Creek, Badger Weir Rd, 98030502, 5 III 1998, 240m,
 Corryong Rd, 11 kms N of Upiands, 99021501, 15 II 1999, 620m, $147^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 49^{\prime}, 2$ o $\mathrm{N}, 4$ i N (KJF). Eurobin Creek, Mt Buffalo Rd, Mt Buffalo National Park, 96110702, 7 XI 1996, 440m, $146^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$, 1 of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ ㅇ N (KJF). Faith Creek, Tarijil Bren Rd, 96101205, $12 \times 1996,540 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 14^{\circ} 37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{N}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Falls Creek, Telephone Box Junction, Mt Stirling Rd, 81110203, 2 X! 1981, $1220 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$, EPH 1572, 1 \% N, (JD); EPH 1574, $2 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, (JD). First Creek, Warburton-Jamieson Rd, 85120700, 7 XII 1985: $750 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1733, 1 ifN, (JD). German Creek, Bright-Tawonga Rd, 96110901 , 9 XI 1996, $440 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}, 5$ of $\mathrm{N}, 4$ of $N(K J F)$. Gibbo River, Benambra-Corryong Rd, 99021502, 15 II 1999, 500m, $147^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ $36^{\circ} 45^{\prime}, 2$ \% $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Growlers Creek, Williams Rd Camp Site, Wandiligong, 96110801, 8 Xi 1996, 380m, $146^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 7$ ㅇ N (KJF); 97110801, 8 XI 1997, same locality data, $1 \& \mathrm{~N}, 1$ \& NS (reared), (KJF). Haunted Stream, Great Alpine Rd, 99021409, 14 II $1999,180 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime}, 4 \circ^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 5 \% \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Hensleigh Creek,
 (reared), 1 o NSI (reared, sub em 291 1998, im em 30। 1998), 1 o $\mathrm{N}, 2$ 오 N (KJF). Hope Creek, Tanjil Bren Rd, 96101204, $12 \times 1996,550 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 51^{\prime \prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, ~ 1$ o N (KJF). Kiewa River west branch, Blairs Hut, 97021901, 1911 1997, 120m, $147^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of N (KJF). King Parrot Creek, Whittlesea Yea Rd, 15km SW of Flowerdale, 98030501,05 III 1998, $350 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}, 1 \neq \mathrm{NSI}$ (reared, sub em 12 III 1998, im em 13 III 1998), 1 i NI (reared, im em ; 13 III 1997), 2 \& $\mathrm{N} ; 1$ \& NSI (reared, imago em 16 III 1998) (KJF). La Trobe River, Big Creek Basin Rd, 5km E of Powelltown, 96101002, $10 \times 1996,320 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}, 2$ of N (KJF). Lawler Springs, Bright-Tawonga Rd, 96110902, $09 \mathrm{XI} 1996,760 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o $^{\circ}$ I, 2 of $\mathrm{N}, 3 \circ \mathrm{~N}$ (KJF). Learmonth Creek, Yarra Junction-Neerim Rd, Powelltown, 96101001, $10 \times 1996,180 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}, 3 \delta^{\circ} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Little River, Maroondah Highway, outside Taggerty, 77122200, 22 XI 1977, 210m, 145²42'40" $37^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1651, 2 of $\mathrm{N}, 7$ \% $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ (JD); 98101702, $17 \times$ 1998, same locality data, 1 \% NS (reared, sub em $21 \times 1998$ ) (KJF). Little Toorongo Falls, Toorongo Falls, Toorongo Falls Rd, 9802090 , 09 II 1998, 420m, $146^{\circ} 02^{\prime \prime} 55^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}, 2$ of NI (reared), 1 \& N (KJF). Loch River, Loch River Rd, $1^{\text {st }}$ bridge from Noojee, 98020903,9 II 1998, 290m, $145^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{NSI}$ (reared, im em 16 II 1998), 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}$ (reared, sub em 17 II 1998, im em 18 II 1998), 1 \& N (KJF). Menzies Creek, Butterfield Wildlif3 Reserve, Emerald-Mcnbulk Rd, 07032403, 24 III 1997, 160m, $145^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 53^{\prime \prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$, 1 ㅇ N (KJF). Middle Creek, Omeo Highway, $96111004,10 \mathrm{XI} 1996,700 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$, $700 \mathrm{~m}, 7$ of $\mathrm{N}, 9$ \& N (KJF). Mitta Mitta River, Omeo Valley Rd, 99021503 , 15 II 1999, $540 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 56^{\prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Mountain Creek, 7 km E of Tawonga South,

90110300,3 XI 1990, $510 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$, EPH 1584, 7 \& $\mathrm{N}, 12$ 오 N, (DC). Myrtle Gully, Syivia Creek Rd, Toolangi State Forest, 96112901, 29 XI 1996, $620 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}, 13^{7} \mathrm{~N}$ (KJF). Myrtie Creek, Donna-Buang Rd, 00031901, 19 III 2000, 780m, $145^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o N (KJF). O'Shannassy River, (access restricted), 75111400, 14 XI 1975, 580m, $145^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$, EPH 1570, 1 o N, (JD); 76121502, 15 XII 1976, same locality data, EPH 1567, 1 or N (JD), EPH 1581, 3 \% $\mathrm{N}, ~(J D) ; 80010401,41$ 1980, sane locality data, EPH 1568, 10 NI (reared), (JD), EPH 1569, 10 NI , reared (JD), EPH 1577, 1 i NI (reared), (JD). Ovens River tributary, upstream Harrietville, 82091000, 10 IX 1982: $540 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1571, 1 \& N (JD), EPH 1579, 1 of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ ㅇ N , (JD). Pioneer Creek, Bennetts Track, Tarago-La Trobe State Forest, $96101003,10 \times 1996$, $330 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ $N(K J F)$. Pretty Valley Creek, Bogong High Plains Rd, 1.5 km S of Bogong, 96110904,9 XI 1996, $740 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o N (KJF). Running Creek, Warburton- Jamieson Rd, 81103102, $31 \times 1981,750 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1580, 2 ㅇ N, (JD). Rubicon River, Rubicon Bridge, Taggerty-Thornton Rd, $98101701,17 \times 1998,220 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o NS (reared, sub em 28 X 1998), 1 \& N (KJF). Rubicon River, Rubicon Falls, 80112001,20 XI 1980, 560m, $145^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}, 37^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1648, 2 \& N, (JD). Russell Creek, off Gunn Rd, 3 km N of Noojee, $96101005,10 \times 1996,280 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}, 1$ i $N(K J F)$. Sandy Creek, Murrindindi Falis, 96112903, 29 XI 1996, 390 m, $145^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o N (KJF). Sassafras Creek, Monbulk-Olinda Rd, 96101302, $13 \times 1996,320 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} ; 2$ of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{N}, 96112101,21 \mathrm{XI}$ 1996, same locality data, 1 \& NS (reared), 1 o NSI (reared), (KJF). Sassafras Creek, Kay's Picnic Ground, Monbulk Rd, 90101303, $13 \times 1996,250 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 3$ 甲 N (KJF); 97032402, 24 III 1997, same locality data, 1 \& N, 1 \& NS (reared), (KJF). Sassafras Creek, Perrins Creek Rd, 97101502, $15 \times 1997,370 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}, 2$ of $N(K J F)$. Shicer Creek, West Ovens Track, Bonang National Park, 96110802,8 XI 1996, 580m, $147^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$, 2 \& N (KJF). Snobs Creek, Snois Rd crossing, 81103103, $31 \times 1981$, 760m, $145^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1573, 1 \& N (JD); EPH 1585, 4 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 10$ \% N (JD). Starvation Creek, upstream weir, 77120901,9 XII 1977, $340 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1566, 1 o $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD})$; EPH 1578, 4 o $\mathrm{N}, 3 \ngtr \mathrm{~N}$ (JD). Steavensons River, Marysville Rd, $98101703,17 \times 1998,320 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $N(K J F)$. Steavensons River, Buxton-Marysville Rd, 98021504, 15 II 1998, 460m, $145^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ}$ NSI (reared), 1 \& NSI (reared, sub em 17 || 1998, im em 18 II 1998), 1 \& NSI (reared, sub em 19 II 1998, im em $20 \|$ 1998), 1 ¢ NS (reared, sub em $19 \|$ 1998), 4 of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ 9 N (KJF). Suggan Buggan River, Snowy River Rd, Suggan Buggan, 99021406, 14 || 1999, $390 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 57^{\prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Swindlers Creek, 6 km from Mt Loch Car Park, off Australian Alps walking track, Mt Hotham, 96111903, 19 XI 1996, $1640 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$
$36^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}, 8 \% \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$ ．Taggerty River， $98101704,17 \times 1998$ ，locality data as per types， $1 \sigma^{*} \mathrm{NSI}$（reared，sub em $21 \times 1998$ ，im em $22 \times 1998$ ）， 1 đ NI， 12 o $\mathrm{N}, 4$ 甲 N （KJF）．Taggerty River headwaters，Royston Gap Rd，Lake Mountain，96102501， 25 X 1996， $1320 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \＆ N （immature）（KJF）．Talbot Creek， downstream Thomson River Reservoir，86040900， 9 IV 1986， $700 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ ，EPH 1753， 1 ㅇ N，（DC）．Tanjil River east branch tributary，Mt Baw Baw Touris！Rd，96101104， $11 \times 1996,500 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}, 5$ o N （KJF）．Taponga River，Eildon－Jamieson Rd，99022008， $20111999,320 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 02^{\prime \prime} 50^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}, 2$ of $\mathrm{N}, 2$甲 N （KJF）．Tarra River，Tarra Bulga National Park，84010900， 9 I 1984，340m， $146^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ ，EPH $1716,10^{\circ} \mathrm{N},(\mathrm{JD}) ; 98112101,21 \mathrm{XI} 1998$ ，same locality data， $1 \circ \mathrm{NSI}$（reared，sub em $30 \|$ 1998，im em 1 XII 1998）， $1 \circ \mathrm{NS}$（reared， $23 \|$ 1998）， 1 ¢ N（KJF）；Tarra River，Tarra Buiga National Park，99021601， 16 II 1999，340m， $146^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}, 3$ if $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$ ．Toorongo River， 5 km NE of Noojee， 96101102,11 X 1996，280m，146 ${ }^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ ， 1 \＆ N （KJF）．Unnamed tributary of Tanjil River east branch－＇Rubbish Tip＇，Nit Baw Baw Tourist Rd，96101206， $12 \times 1996$ ，620m， $146^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \＆ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$ ．William Wallace Creek，Triangle Link Rd， Gembrock Park，97012901， 29 I 1997，150m， $145^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 2$ \＆NSI（reared）， 1 甲 Nl （reared）， 1 甲 NS （reared）， 2 \＆ N （KJF）．

### 3.3.2.6 Nousia (Australonousia) wiltkorringae Finlay

 see Chapter 4
### 3.3.2.7 Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5"

Nousia sp. "AV5" (Dean 1999)

Distribution. Tasmania (Fig. 143).

Diagnosis. Male imago. Unknown. Female Imago. Unknown. Egg. Unknown. Male and female subimago. Unknown. Mature nymph. (Fig. 144). Body lengths: body length $\sigma^{\circ} 7.3-9.3(8.3 \pm 0.70,10) ;$ 8.3-9.0 (8.4 $\left.\pm 0.36,7\right)$. General colour golden to tan brown with light and dark markings. Head: prognathous; golden brown, darker anteriorly and between ocelli; width $1.43-1.65$ ( $1.57 \pm 0.07,11$ ). Ocelli: three, black with grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum golden; approximately one and a half times the length of the head. Eyes: upper lobes of male reddish-brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female grey-black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus (Fig. 145): lateral mârgins of clypeus moderately diverging towards anterior; lateral margins of labrum wider than clypeus, rounded to angular; labrum length 0.31-0.39 ( $0.35 \pm 0.02,10$ ); labrum width $0.73-0.91(0.83 \pm 0.05,10)$; labrum width length ratio 2.21 $2.48(2.36 \pm 0.10,10)$; anterior margin of labrum with slight antero-median emargination; five flat elongate denticles present on anterior margin extending 0.15-0.38 (0.26 $\pm 0.06$, 10) across labrum; denticle width as proporition of labrum width 0.21-0.42 (0.30 $\pm 0.061$, 10); frontal setae arranged as a narrow band; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from narrow band. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved; sparse long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins; shorter setae between tuft and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of setae in an inverted $L$-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandible (Fig. 146): two incisors, each with three apical teeth, both inconspicuously serrated on inner margin; outer incisor occasionally with subapical process; prostheca distinctly robust, serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible (Fig. 147): sparse long spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors; outer incisor with three apical teeth, inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; inner incisor with two apical teeth, serrated on outer lateral margin; prostheca simple, slender, divided apically; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 148): galea-!acinae wider than long, width length ratio 1.06-1.28 (1.20 $\pm 0.07,10$ ); subapical row of 20-23 (10) pectinate setae; subapical process extending lateraliy towards inner margin; palpi three segmented, terminal segments often with single spine apically, palp length
ratios 1.00: 0.95: $0.51(0.30 \pm 0.02,10)$. Hypopharynx (Fig. 149): well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua moderately cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, angular iateral margins with slight indentation. Labium (Fig. 150): glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in the same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface; paip length ratios 1.00:0.90: $0.53(0.38 \pm 0.01,10)$; submentum with sparse spines on lateral margins. Thorax: goiden to tan brown with darker brown markings; pronotum width 1.33-1.60 ( $1.47 \pm 0.08,10$ ), slightly narrower than width of head (see above), head pronotum width ratio $0.88-0.98$ ( $0.93 \pm 0.03,10$ ). Legs (Fig. 151): goiden to tan brown, no apparent markings; femora slightly darker than tibia or tarsus; fore femora length 1.38-1.70 (1.56 $\pm 0.09,11$ ); fore femora width 0.43-0.53 (0.48 $\pm 0.03,11$ ); fore femora length width ratio $3.00-3.50(3.24 \pm 0.15,11)$; fore tarsi with $3-6$ (10) ventral spines; tarsal claws with 9-12 (10) ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated (Fig. 152); total foreleg length 3.03-3.81 (3.54 $\pm 0.21,11$ ); leg length ratios, foreieg $1.00: 0.88: 0.39(1.56 \pm 0.09,11)$, midleg 1.00: $0.88: 0.35(1.57 \pm 0.12$, 10 ), hindieg 1.00: $0.87: 0.31(1.84 \pm 0.15,10)$. Abdomen: predominantly tan brown with underlying yellow colour; yellow patches of colour on segments six to nine, progressively larger posteriorly; segment ten almost entirely yellow; small posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Fig. 153): membrane somewhat opaque, greyish; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; each gill slender, tapering to a thin point apically; main tracheae strongly developed, fateral tracheae absent or weakly developed. Caudal filaments: three; golden; terminal filament longer than cerci.

Remarks. This morphospecies appears to be endemic to Tasmania and is known only from the nymph. It has been known as Nousia sp. 5 (Dean and Cartwright 1992) and is now referred to in the literature as Nousia sp. "AV5" (Dean 1999) but cannot be given species status due to the absence of the adult stages. As its species status remains uncertain, I suggest it remains informally within Nousia (Australonousia) until associations between the nymphs and the adults can be made.

It appears many individuals were found by David Cartwright and John Dean on a collecting trip to the Pelion Valley World Heritage Area (Dean and Cartwright 1992). Much of this area is inaccessible except by foot and is more than a day's walk from civilisation. I did not visit this area for the purpose of rearing species due to the logistic difficultly of transporting live specimens long distances. Further, I was unsuccessful in my attempts to collect this species from relatively accessible sites in and around Cradle Mountain-Lake St. Clair National Park despite visiting numerous streams eg: Watersmeet
(96122701) near Lake St Clair; Litite Navarre River (96122801), Collingwood River (96122803) near Lyell Highway and Pencil Pine Creek (96123002) and Iris River (96123003) near Cradle Mountain. Other collecting sites in Tasmania did not yield any of this species either.

According to Dean and Cartwright (1992) the animal prefers larger streams in the Pelion Valley. Collection trips to similar habitat around Tasmania failed to produce any specimens, despite the fact that it has been found in a few areas outside the Park. A comprehensive survey of the state, concentrating on larger streams, would be of value in attempting to collect some specimens for rearing. Failing that, rearing in situ in the Pelion Valley may be the only way to obtain an associated adult. Such a process is logistically difficult, time-consuming and expensive.

The morphospecies Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5" can be distinguished from all other species in the subgenus by the following combination of characters. In the nymph: (i) antennal length approximately twice length of head; (ii) lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; (iii) labrum slightly wider than clypeus, more than twice as long as wide, lateral margins rounded to angular; antero-median emargination slight bearing five flat elongate denticles; denticles extend one-third width of the labrum on average; frontal setae arranged as a narrow broad, secondary hair fringe separate from front band; (iv) mandibles with incisors inconspicuously to conspicuously serrated on lateral margins; left mandible outer incisor with small subapical process; left mandible prostheca robust, distinctly serrated; right mandible prosthecal simple, slender, divided apically; (v) maxillae galea-lacinae slightly wider than long, 20-23 subpectinate setae, subapical process extending laterally towards inner lateral margin; (vi) hypopharynx moderately cleft, superlingua with slight angular lateral margins; (vii) leg banding absent; (viii) fore femora 3.2 times longer than wide; fore tarsi with 3-6 ventral spines; fore tarsal claws with 9-12 ventral teeth; (ix) abdomen tan brown, yellow patches of colour on segments six to nine, progressively larger apically, segment ten almost entirely yellow; ( x ) gills greyish, opaque; slender, tapering to a thin point apically; main tracheae strongly developed, lateral tracheae absent or very weakly developed.

Specimens examined. TASMANIA. Boyd River, locality $25,82030400,4$ ill 1982, EPH
 $147^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$, EPH 0189, 1 ơ N, 11 ㅇ N (PS \& PG). Douglas Creek, High Bridge near old Pelion Hut, Cradle Mountain-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011801, 181 1990, 840m, $146^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 1532, 3 d N (JD\&DC). Douglas Creek, Pelion Rangers Hut, Cradle Mountain-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011601,16 | 1990, $820 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$, EPH

1533, 1 o $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (JD \& DC). Douglas Creek, upstream of confluence with Lake Ayr outlet stream, Cradle Mountain-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011602, 16 I 1990, 840m, $146^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 15271 N, (JD\&DC), EPH 1528, 1 o N (JD\&DC); EPH 1534, 2 o N , 1 if N (JD\&DC). Huon River, locality 27, 82030702, 7 III 1982, EPH 0155, 4 of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ of (DC). River Forth headwaters, 0.25 km E of Frog Flats, Cradle Mountain-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011802,18 I $1990,760 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ : EPH 1530, I ㅇ $N(J D \& D C)$. River Forth headwaters, Frogs Flat Overland Track, Cradle Mountain-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011803,181 1990, EPH 1529, 1 \& N, (JD\&DC). Tom Creek, locality 16, south of Zeehan, 82022801,28 II 1982, $190 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$, EPH 0125, 1 d N (DC). Wedge River, locality 23, 82030301, 3 III 1982, EPH 0128, 1 \& N , (immature) (DC).

### 3.3.2.8 Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7" <br> Nousia sp. "AV7" (Dean 1999)

Distribution. Tasmania (Fig. 154).

Diagnosis. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 8.3 (1); forewing length 9.0 (1); hindwing length 1.8 (1); forewing hindwing ratio 5 (1). General body colour golden to tan and chocolate brown with light and dark markings. Head tan brown. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum $\tan$ brown. Oceili: three: black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, contiguous, $\mathrm{ES}=0.00$ (1); upper lobes tan orange, lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: pronotum and mesonotum tan brown with darker markings. Legs: femora tan brown; tibiae and tarsi golden; forelegs with seven segments, tarsal claw morphology unknown. Wings. Forewing: membrane hyaline, pterostigmatal region slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow, costal and subcostal crossveins absent from proximal halves of wings, costal space with 12 crossveins distally (1), subcostal space with 10 crossveins distally (1). Three bullae present on veins $S c, R_{2}$ and $R_{4+5}$, MA forked at approximately half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to MP , and CuA , CuA and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved to join CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by crossvein to $A_{1}$. Hindwing: mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; costal margin slightly convex at midlength immediately preceding shallow concavity; costa joins subcosta at approximately four-fifths wing length; no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 6 in distal space, 5 crossveins throughout subcostal space (1). Abdomen: golden with the occasional darker margins, darker stripe apical on each segment. Genitalia: forceps three segmented: first
segment tan brown, progressively lighter apically; terminal segment rounded, slightly shorter than middle segment; penes (Fig. 155): golden brown with darker markings, fused along at least two-thirds length; two apical lobes, conspicuously separate, three embossments on each lobe apically; dorsal view with two subapical lobes extending laterally, one internal spine visible on right hand lobe (may be present on left lobe but detached in this specimen). Caudal filaments: unknown. Female Imago. Unknown. Egg. Jnknown. Male subimago. unknown. Female subimago. unknown. Mature nymph. (Fig. 156). Body lengths: đ̛ 6.0-8.3 (7.2 $\pm 0.85,12)$; $+7.5-9.7(8.8 \pm 0.65,17)$. General colour yellow with tan brown to dark chocolate brown markings, colour variable. Head: prognathous; yellow, tan brown surrounding ocelli; width 1.43-1.80 (1.64士0.10, 20). Ocelli: three; black with grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel, scape ard flagellum golden; approximately twice length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male reddish-brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female grey-black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus (Fig. 157): lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior, lateral margins of labrum slightly wider than clypeus, rounded; anterior margin of labrum with slight antero-median emargination; labrum length 0.37-0.44 (0.40 $\pm 0.02,13$ ); labrum width 0.66-0.98 ( $0.80 \pm 0.08,13$ ); labrum width length ratio 1.74-2.29 (1.99 $\pm 0.16,13)$; five denticles present on anterior margin; denticles rounded to triangular, median denticle moderately enlarged; denticles extending 0.19-0.31 (0.25 $\pm 0.03,13)$ across labrum, denticle width as proportion of labrum width 0.24-0.37 (0.32 $\pm 0.04,13$ ); frontal setae arranged as a narrow band; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from narrow band. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved; sparse long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins; shorter setae between tuft and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of setae in an inverted L-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandible (Fig. 158): two incisors, each with three apical teeth, outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, occasionally with small subapical process; prostheca robust, distinctly serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margirs only. Right mandible (Fig. 159): sparse long spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; inner incisor with two apical teeth; prosthecal simple, slender, divided apically; prostheca: tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 160): galea-lacinae as wide as long, width length ratio 0.98-1.13 (1.04 $\pm 0.05,10)$; subapical row of $16-18$ (10) pecinate setae; palpi three segmented; terminal segment with single spine apicaily, palp length ratios 1.00: 0.97: 0.65 ( $0.23 \pm 0.02,10$ ). Hypopharynx (Fig. 161): well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua moderately cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, angular lateral margins with slight indentation. Labium (Fig. 162): glossae not turned under ventrally and ying in the same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, terminai
segment with a row of triangular process almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface; palp length ratios $1.00: 0.9+0.67(0.36 \pm 0.05,12)$; submentum with sparse robust spines on lateral margins. Thorax: golden brown with darker brown markings; pronotum width 1.30-1.85 (1.64士0.17, 20), approximately equal to width of head (see above), head pronotum width ratio 0.91-1.09 (1.00 $\pm 0.06,20$ ). Legs (Fig. 163): yellow with no apparent markings; fore femora length $1.25-1.83(1.56 \pm 0.15,12)$; fore femora width $0.43-0.60(0.52 \pm 0.05,12)$; fore femora length width ratio 2.83-3.29 (3.03 $\pm 0.17,12)$; fore tarsi with 6-9 (10) ventral spines; tarsal claws with 12-15 (10) ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated (Fig. 164); total foreleg length 3.03$4.24(3.69 \pm 0.32,11)$; leg length ratios, foreleg 1.00: $0.83: 0.42(1.56 \pm 0.15,12)$, midleg 1.00: 0.87: 0.37 ( $1.58 \pm 0.11,12$ ), hindleg 1.00: 0.91: 0.33 ( $1.69 \pm 0.13,12$ ). Abdomen: predominantly tan brown with yellow and dark brown markings, yellow markings form a broken stripe midline, two yellow coloured maculae flanking each midline marking, segment ten predominantly yellow, pattern variable; postero-lateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Fig. 165): membrane clear, present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; linear, tapered to a thin poini apically, upper lamellae with slightly longer tail; main and lateral tracheae present, main and lateral tracheae strongly developed. Caudal filaments: three; golden, terminal filament longer than cerci.

Remarks. This unnamed morphospecies also appears endemic to Tasmania. It was first recorded as Nousia sp. 7 (Dean and Cartwright 1992) and is now referred to as Nousia sp. "AV7" (Dean 1999). It is known from its nymphal stages and one associated male imago located in the MV. There appears much variation in the nymph, particularly in the colour patterns of the abdomen and it is unclear whether this constitutes variation or a separate taxon. For example, Dean (pers. comm.) considers there is a coloured variation of sp . " $A V 7$ " which is distinguished by a dark tergal segment eight. The male genitalia (Fig. 155) is clearly Nousia-like but is drawn from a slide mount enabling only the dorsal view to be detailed and the possibility that the shape may be distorted by flattening. Further, only one internal spine is apparent and it is not known if this is a species characteristic or if the "twin" spine has been accidentally detached. Certainly, there is some indication that a process may have been present on the left hand penis lobe as well.

Despite the similarity with Nousia, the description of one imago does not allow for the full variation of the taxa to be detailed. I feel it would be presumptuous to erect a new species on the basis of one associated individual when so little is known about the fauna.

Like Nousia sp. "AV5" many of the specimens collected were from the Pelion Valley World Heritage Area (Dean and Cartwright 1992). However, this morphospecies seems to have a more widespread distribution than $N$. (A) sp. "AV5" and has been recorded from many other areas throughout Tasmania. Despite this, I was only able to collect one male nymph of this morphospecies at Watersmeet (96122701) which unfortunately did not rear through to an adult.

The morphospecies Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7" can be distinguished from all other species in the subgenus by the following combination of characters. In the imago: (i) general body colour golden to tan brown with darker markings; (ii) male upper eye size large, contiguous; (iii) leg banding absent; (iv) hindwing costa joins subcosta at threequarters wing length; (v) penes with subapical lobes. Iri the nymph: (i) antennal length approximately twice length of head; (ii) lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; (iii) labrum slightly wider than clypeus, about twice as wide as long, lateral margins rounced; antero-median emargination slight bearing five rounded to triangular denticles extending one-third width of the labrum on average, median denticle moderately enlarged; frontal setae arranged as a narrow broad, secondary hair fringe separate from front band; (iv) mandibles with outer incisors inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margins; left mandible outer incisor with small subapical process; left mandible prostheca robust, distiristly serrated; right mandible prostheca simple, slender, divided apically; (v) maxilla with $16-18$ subpectinate setae; (vi) hypopharynx moderately cleft, superlingua with slight angular lateral margins; (vii) leg banding absent; (viii) fore femora 3 times longer than wide; fore tarsi with 6-9 ventral spines; fore tarsal claws with 12-15 ventral teeth; (ix) abdomen tan brown with yellow and dark brown markings, yellow markings form a broken stripe midline, two yellow coloured maculae flanking each midline marking, segment ten predominantly yellow; ( $x$ ) gills with membrane clear, slender, tapering to a thin point apically; main and lateral tracheae strongly developed.

Specimens examined. TASMANIA. Ansons River, Rd to Pioneer, 95020902, 9 II 1995, 80m, $148^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$, EPH 0204, 4 o $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (PS\&PG). Boyd River, locality 25 , 82030400, 4 III 1982, EPH 0114, 1 ơ N, 3 우 (DC). Coquet Creek, Tasman Highway, NE of Nunamara, 95020803, 8 II 1995, 380m, $147^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$, EPH 0246, 2 \& N (PS\&PG), EPH 0247, 3 o N, 1 \& N (PS\& PG). Douglas Creek, Pelion Rangers Hut, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011601 , 16 I 1990 , $820 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$, EPH 1547, 7 o N 11 \& N (JD\&DC). Douglas Creek, upstream Lake Ayr outlet stream, Cradle Mit-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011602, 16 I $1990840 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 1536, 1 of (JD\&DC), EPH 1544, 1 of N, 1 o $N(J D \& D C)$, EPH 1545, 1 o $N, 14$ ㅇ $N$ (JD\&DC). Douglas Creek, High Bridge near old Pelion Hut, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park,
$90011801,18 \mathrm{l} 1990,840 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 1546, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 5$ ㅇ N (JD\&DC). Douglas Creek headwaters, 100 m N of Pelion Gap, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011702, 17 I 1990, 800m, 146ㅇㅇ́ $41^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$, EPH 1537, 1 \& $N$ (JD\&DC), EPH 1548, $1 \sigma^{\circ}$ N, 1 i N (JD\&DC). Douglas Creek tributary, 1.5 km NW of Pelion Gap, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011703,17 I 1990, $146^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$, EPH 1550, 5 of $\mathrm{N}, 17$ क 9 N (JD\&DC). Douglas Creek tributary, 2.5 km N of Pelion Gap, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011704, 17 I 1990, $800 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 1551, 2 of $\mathrm{N}, 3$ 甲 N (JD\&DC). Franklin River, 82030201, 2 III 1982, EPH 0136, 1 ¢ N (DC). George River, Intake Bridge, St Columba Falls Reserve, 95020905,9 II $1995,150 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$, EPH 0232, 3 of $\mathrm{N}, 5$ क N (PS\&PG). Hellyer River Gorge, 82022800, 28 \|l 1982, 220m, $145^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 16^{\prime}$, EPH 0151, 2 o $\mathrm{N}, 2$ \& N (DC). Huon River, locality 27, 82030702, 7 III 1982, EPH 0156, 5 o $\mathrm{N}, 2$ ㅇ N (DC). Iris River tributary, 82022600, 26 II 1982, EPH 0165, 1 \& N (DC). Lake Ayr inlet stream, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011603, 16 I 1990, 880m, $146^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 1542, s $\mathrm{N}, 4$ क N (JD\&DC), EPH 1543, 1 of NSI (JD\&DC). Liffey Falls, 82022301, 23 II 1982, $470 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$, EPH 0142, 1 or $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 4$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Mother Logans Creek, Terrys Hill Rd, 95020907, 9 |l 1995, 130m, $148^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$, EPH 0201, 1 of (PS\&PG). Nelson River, 18km E of Queenstown, 88110200,2 XI 1988, 500m, $145^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 42^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$, EPH 0159, 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (DC). New River, upstream Ralph Falls, 95021003,10 II 1995, $810 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$, EPH 0207, 4 of $\mathrm{N}, 7$ \& N (PS\&PG). Nortri Eldon River, Lake Burbury, 94110100, 1 XI 1994, EPH 1460, i \& N (MRHI), EPH 1461, 1 o N, 1 ㅇ N (MRHI). Ransom Creek, Terrys Hill Rd, 95020908, 9 li 1995, $90 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$, EPH 0175, 2 \& N (PS\&PG). River Forth headwaters, 0.75 km NE of Frog Flats, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011705, 17 I 1990, 1000m, 146 ${ }^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$, EPH 1540, 2 o N (JD\&DC). River Forth headwaters, 0.25 km E of Frog Flats, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011802, 181 1990, $760 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$, EPH 1541, 9 of $\mathrm{N}, 8$ \& N (JD\&DC). River Forth headwaters, Frog Flats Overland Track, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011803, 18 I 1990, $740 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$, EPH 1538, 1 \% N (JD\&DC), EPH 153914 d N, 17 \& N (JD\&DC). River Forth tributary, 2.75 km NW of Pelion Gap, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011701, 17 I 1990, $760 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 1552, 2 of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ of N (DC\&.JD). Russell Falls, near Lonnavale, 82030202, 2 III 1982, $130 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ $42^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$, EPH 0124, 1 ơ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Second River, upstream Lilydale Falls, 82031100, 11 III 1982, $150 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$, EPH 0155, 1 \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Second River, upstream Lilydale Falls, 82031100, 11 III 1982, 150m, $147^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$, EPH 0155, 1 \& N (DC). St Columbia Falls, Pyengana, 82031001, $10 \mathrm{III} 1982,150 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$, EPH 0162,1 or $S$ (DC). St Patricks River, Targa, 82031002, 10 III 1982, $380 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$, EPH $0121,1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ ㅇ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Stoney Creek, $82022302,23 \| 1982,350 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 42^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$,

EPH 0110, 1 o $\mathrm{N}, 1$ ㅇ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Tom Creek, locality 16, S of Zeehan, 82022801, 28 II 1982, $190 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$, EPH 0122, 1 \% $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Unnamed Creek, 3 miles E of Waratah, 82022800,28 H $1982,650 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$, EPH 0161, 2 i N (DC).
Unnamed Creek, Strickland Ave, Hobart, 82030800, 8 III 1982, $110 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 42^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$, EPH 0123, 1 or $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Unnamed Creek, E of Waratah, 88110100, 1 XI 1988, $600 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$, EPH 0166, 1 甲 $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Unnamed Creek, Strickland Ave, Hobart, 88110300, 3 XI 1988, $110 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 42^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$, EPH 0131, 1 o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 2$ ㅇ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Walkers Creek, Mt Saddleback, 95021005,10 II 1995, $750 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$, EPH 0186, 4 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 6$ \& N. (JD\&DC). Watersmeet, conjunction of Cuvier and Hugel Rivers, Cradle MountainLake St Clair National Park, 96122701, 27 XII 1996, 740m, 146 ${ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} 42^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of N (KJF). Wedge River, locality 23, 82030301, 3 III 1982, EPH 0130, 2 of $\mathrm{N}, 5 \% \mathrm{~N}$ (DC). Weld River, E of Weldborough, 94030603, 6 III 1994, $360 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 12^{\prime}$, EPH 0221, 1 or $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{PS})$.

### 3.3.2.9 Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8"

Nousia sp. "AV8" (Dean 1999)

Distribution. Tasmania (Fig. 166).

Diagnosis. Male imago. Unknown. Female Imago. Unknown. Egg. Unknown. Male and female subimago. Unknown. Mature nymph. (Fig. 167). Body lengths: of 5.8-9.0 ( $7.1 \pm 0.91,10$ ); $\rho 6.0-9.1(7.4 \pm 1.10,13)$. General colour golden to tan to dark brown with light to dark markings. Head: prognathous; golden brown with tan brown surrounding ocelli; width 1.32-1.68 (1.51 $\pm 0.12,11$ ). Ocelli: three; black with grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum golden; approximately one and half times length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male purplish-brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female grey-black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus (Fig. 168): lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; lateral margins of iabrum subequal to clypeus, rounded; labrum antero-median emargination abrupt (Figs. 168, 169); labrum length 0.30-0.40 $(0.35 \pm 0.03,10)$; labrum width 0.54-0.72 $(0.63 \pm 0.05,10)$; labrum width length ratio 1.68-1.94 (1.80 $\pm 0.08,10)$; five triangular denticles present on anterior magrin; median denticle greatly enlarged, triangular; denticles extending 0.07-0.09 (0.08 $\pm 0.01$, 10) across labrum; denticle width as proportion of labrum width 0.11-0.15 (0.13 $\pm 0.01,10)$; one large prominent median denticle (Fig. 169); frontal setae arranged as a narrow band; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from narrow band. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved; sparse long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins; shorter setae between tuft and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of
setae in an inverted L-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandibie (Fig. 170): two incisors, each with three apical teeth; outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, occasionally with subapical process; inner incisor stout, broad at base, inconspicuously serrated on outer lateral margin; prostheca robust, distinctly serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible (Fig. 171): sparse spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; inner inciscr with two apical teeth; prostheca more simple, inconspicuously serrated, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 172): galea-lacinae as wide as long, width length ratio 0.88-1.08 (0.96 $\pm 0.07,10$ ); subapical row of 11-13 (10) pectinate setae; palpi three segmented; terminal segments often with single spine apically, palp length ratios $1.00: 0.92$ : 0.64 ( $0.22 \pm 0.01,10$ ). Hypopharynx (Fig. 173): well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua moderately cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, rounded lateral margins. Labium (Fig. 174): glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in the same plane as paraglossae, series of stout spines apically; palpi three segmented, terminal segment with a row of triangular process almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface; palp length ratios 1.00: 0.92: 0.78 (0.29土0.02, 10); submentum with sparse robust spines on lateral margins. Thorax: golden brown with darker brown markings; pronotum width $1.30-1.75(1.49 \pm 0.18,11)$, approximately equal to width as head (see above), head pronotum width ratio $0.88-1.07(0.99 \pm 0.07,11)$. Legs (Fig. 175): golden brown with no apparent markings; fore femora length 0.95-1.40 ( $1.15 \pm 0.11,13$ ); fore femora width 0.40-0.53 ( $0.45 \pm 0.04,13$ ); fore femora length width ratio 2.38-2.86 (2.58 $\pm 0.16,13)$; fore tarsi with 10-15 (10) ventral spines; tarsal claws with 16-18 (10) ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, subapical tooth greatly enlarged, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated (Fig. 176); total foreleg length 2.28-3.31 (2.71 $\pm 0.25$, 13); leg length ratios, foreleg 1.00: $0.87: 0.49(1.15 \pm 0.11,13)$, midleg 1.00: 0.84: 0.41 $(1.19 \pm 0.11,10)$, hindleg $1.00: 0.92: 0.40(1.33 \pm 0.14,10)$. Abdomen: predominantly golden to tan brown with distinctive dark brown markings, segment ten predominantly yellow; postero-lateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Fig. 177): membrane clear, present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; linear, tapered to a thin point apically; main tracheae strongly developed, lateral tracheae present, weakly developed. Caudal filaments: three, golden, terminal filament longer than cerci.

Remarks. Nousia sp. "AV8" (Dean 1999), also known as Nousia sp. 8 (Dean and Cartwright 1992), is also considered endemic to Tasmania. Like N. (A). sp. "AV5" and " $A V 7$ " it came to the attention of the authors on a collecting trip to the Pelion Valley (Dean
and Cartwright 1992). However, further inspection showed it had previously been collected from a wide range of sites throughout Tasmania (see specimens examined).

Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", although similar in many respects to the other species and morphospecies of Nousia, has some profound differences. The unusual shape of the labrum, with the abrupt antero-median emargination, represents a morphology seen in other apparently endemic Tasmanian morphospecies such as N. (A) sp. "AV10" and N. (A) sp. "AV9" (Dean and Cartwright 1992). (Unfortunately I had very few specimens of each of these and therefore did not attempt to describe them). It may be that these three represent a different taxon from Nousia (Australonousia) although one would not be in a position to judge this without the availability of adult specimens. Again, I propose this morphospecies remains informally described as part of Nousia (Australonousia) until nymph and adult associations can be made.

The morphospecies Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8" can be distinguished from all other species in the subgenus by the following combination of characters. In the nymph: (i) antennal length approximately one and a half times length of head; (ii) latera! margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; (iii) labrum subequal to clypeus, less than twice as wide as long, lateral margins rounded: labrum antero-median emargination abrupt bearing five rounded to triangular denticles, median denticle greatly enlarged; denticles extend one-seventh the width of the labrum on average; frontal setae arranged as a narrow broad, secondary hair fringe separate from front band; (iv) mandibles with incisors inconspicuously serrated on lateral margins; left mandible outer incisor with small subapical process; left mandible inner incisor stout, broad at base; prostheca robust, distinctly serrated; right mandible prostheca simple, slender, divided apically; (v) maxillae with 11-13 subpectinate setae; (vi) hypopharynx moderately. cleft, superlingua with rounded lateral margins; (vii) leg banding absent; (viii) fore femora 2.5 times longer than wide; fore tarsi with 10-15 ventral spines; fore tarsal claws with 16-18 ventral teeth; (ix) abdomen golden to tan brown with distinctive dark brown markings, segment ten predominantly yellow; ( $x$ ) gills with membrane clear, slender, tapering to a thin point apically; main and lateral tracheae present, main tracheae strongly developed, lateral tracheae present, weakly developed.

Specimens examined. TASMANIA. Ansons River, Rd to Pioneer, 95020902, 9 ॥ 1995, 80m, 1487º $09^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 11^{\prime}, ~ E P H$ 0205, 2 o N, 2 o N (PS\&PG). Arthur River, Tayatea Bridge, $94102500,25 \times 1994$, EPH 1475, 1 qN (MRHI). Barrow Creek, Tasman Highway, 95020801, 8 II 1995, 390m, $147^{\circ} 21^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$, EPH 0215, 1 of N , (immature), (PS\&PG). Brid River, Tasman Highway, W of Scottsdale, 95020802, 8 1I 1995, 180m, $147^{\circ} 27^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$, EPH 0239, 4 o' $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 5$ 9 N (PS\&PG). Coquet Creek, Tasman Highway,

NE of Nunamara, 95020803, 8 II 1995, $380 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$, EPH 0244, 1 o N , (PS\&PG). Creekton Rivulet, near Dover, 82030701, 7 I! $1982,60 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 57^{\prime}, 43^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$, EPH 0150, 1 o $N(D C)$. Dee River, Lyell Highway, 96121903,19 XII 1996, 200m, $146^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} 42^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Douglas Creek, High Bridge near old Pelion Hut, Cradle Mouritain - Lake St Clair National Park, 90011801, 181 1990, $840 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ $41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 1466, 1 ㅇ N (JD\&DC), EPH 1472, 1 of N (JD\&DC). George River, Goshen, 95020904, 9 II 1995, $60 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 05^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$, EPH 0178, 1 o $\mathrm{N}, 2$ क N (PS\&PG). George River, Intake Bridge, St Columba Falls Reserve, $95020905 ; 9$ II 1995, 150m, $147^{\circ} 59^{\prime}$ $41^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$, EPH 0239, 2 o $^{\circ}$ N, 3 ㅇ N (PS\&PG). Great Musselroe River Rd to Pioneer from St Helens, 95020906, 9 \|I 1995, 60m, 148 ${ }^{\circ} 03^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$, EPH 0206, 2 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 8$ \& N (PS\&PG). Groom River, Tasman Highway, 94030701, 7 lii 1994, 900m, $148^{\circ} 0 \overline{5^{\prime}}, 41^{\circ} 15^{3}, \mathrm{EPH} 0228,2$ i N (PS\&MS). Lake Ayr inlet stream, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011603 , 16| 1990, 880m, $146^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 1464, 1 ㅇ $\mathrm{N}, ~(J D \& D C)$, EFH 1471, 2 of $\mathrm{N}, 4$ \& N , (JD\&JDC). Lake Ayr outlet stream, 100 m downstream Lake, Cradle Mountain-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011605,16 I $1990,800 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 03^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$, EPH 1467, 1 or $^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}$ (immature) (JD\&DC). Lake Ayr outlet stream, upstream Douglas Creek, Cradle Mountain-Lake St Clair National Park, 90011604, 1611990 , $640 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 02^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$, EPH 1465, 1 \& N (JD\&DC), EPH 1470, 3 of $\mathrm{N}, 4$ \& N, (JD\&DC). Lake Crescent, outlet stream, 88110401, 4 XI 1988, $900 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 10^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 07^{\prime}$, EPH 0133, 1 o $\mathrm{N}, 3$ 아 N (DC). Margisons Creek, St Mary's, 95021001, 10 il 1995, 280m, $148^{\circ} 11^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$, EPH 0192, 1 of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (PS\&PG). Mother Logans Creek, Terrys Hill Rd, 95020907, 9 II 1995,
 94030702, 7 III 1994, EPH 0197, 2 đ N (PS\&MS). Pipers Brook, 94030602, 6 III 1994, $147^{\circ} 10^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 09^{\prime}$, EPH 0242, 1 б $\mathrm{N}, 3$ \& N (PS). Powers Rivuiet, 95020901, 9 II 1995, $100 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 05^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$, EPH 0228, 2 ㅇ N (PS\&MS). Ransom Creek, Terrys Hill Rd, 95020908, 9 II 1995, $90 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$, EPH 0174, 3 of $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 4$ q N (PS\&PG).
Ringarooma River, Pioneer, 95020909, 9 II 1995, $147^{\circ} 57^{\prime}, 41^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$, EPH 0213, 1 \& N (PS\&PG). River Forth headwaters, Frog Flats Overland Track, Cradle Mt-Lake St Clair National Park, $90011803,18 / 1990,740 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$, EPH 1479, 1 ơ N (JD\&DC). South George River, St Columba Falls Reserve, $94100500,5 \times 1994$, 150m, $147^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$, $41^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$, EPH 1473, 1 \& $\mathbf{N}$ (MRHI), EPH 1474, 2 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2$ $2 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{MRHI})$. St Patricks River, Nunamara, 95020805, 8 II 1995, 350m, 147º 17', 41º23', EPH 0236, 1 ơ N, 1 \& N (PS\&PG). St Patricks River, Targa, 82031002, 10 III 1982, $380 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$, EPH 0177, 2 \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Township Creek, near Fingal, 95021004,10 \| 1995, EPH 0184, 1 \% N (PS\&PG). Tyenna River, Westerway, 94031100, 11 III 1994, $100 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 47^{\prime}, 42^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$, EPH 0191, 1 ㅇ N (PS).

### 3.3.3 Key to the species (and morphospecies) of Nousia (Australonousia)

This key is accompanied by a matrix of main characters for separating the species as an alternate means of identification (Table 9).

## Male imagos

1. Penes without distinct subapical lobes dorsally; abdomen darkly coloured brown to black with golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking; hindwing costa joins subcosta at four-fifths wing length.
fuscula


#### Abstract

Penes with distinct subapical lobes dorsally; body colour variable, light to darkly coloured; hindwing costa joins subcosta at three-quarters wing length.


2(1) Upper eye lobes large but never contiguous; darkly coloured chocolate brown to black species; legs yellow to tan brown with darker coloured banding; mesonotum often shiny dark brown to black. $\qquad$ nigeli

Upper eye lobes large, often contiguous but not always; body colour variable, light to darkly coloured; no leg banding

3(2) Body colour light, golden to tan brown with darker markings; mesonotum tan brown; legs, femora tan brown, tibiae and tarsi golden; penes straight sided sp. "AV7"

Body colour very variable, ranging from tan brown to dark brown to black; pronotum shiny brown to black; abdomen colour variable but usually with golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking; penes with prominent subapical lobes dorsally. $\qquad$ fusca

## Mature nymphs

Darkly coloured chocolate brown colour with light coloured markings; labrum slightly wider than clypeus, more than twice as wide as long; secondary hair fringe arranged as a broad band, abutting front row; gills dark, grey to black, tracheae poorly to moderately well developed. nigeli
$\qquad$Not as above.2

2(1) Light coloured with distinctive dark brown markings on abdomen segments; labrum subequal to clypeus, less than twice as wide as long; abrupt anteromedian emargination; triangular denticles, median denticle greatly enlarged; tarsal claws with 16-18 ventral teeth, subapical tooth greatly enlarged; Tasmania only. .sp. "AV8"

Not as above 3

Labrum slightly more than twice as long as wide, slightly wider than clypeus, slight anterc-median emargination, denticles extend one-third the width of entire labrum; left mandible oute incisor with small subapical process, prostheca robust, distinctly serrated; right mandible prostheca simple, slender, divided apically; hypopharynx moderately cleft, somewhat angular lateral margins with slight indentations; fore femora about 3 times as long as wide, Tasmania only. .. 4

Labrum about twice as long as wide, subequal to very slightly wider than clypeus, slightly diverging towards anterior, rounded lateral margins; left mandible outer incisor without subapical process; prostheca moderately serrated; right mandible prostheca simple, slender, not divided apically; maxillae galea-lacinae about as wide as long; hypopharynx deeply cleft, rounded lateral margins .5

4(3) Labrum mooisrately diverging towards anterior, rounded to angular lateral margins, denticles flat, elongate; mandible with all incisors serrated, right mandible inner incisor conspicuously serrated; maxillae galea-lacinae slightly wider than long, 20-23 subapical setae, subapical process extending laterally towards inner lateral margin; fore tarsi with 3-6 ventral spines; tarsal claws with 9-12 ventral teeth; gills greyish, opaque; main tracheae strongly developed, lateral tracheae absent or very weakly developed, Tasmania only. .sp."AV5"

Labrum slightly diverging towards anterior, rounded lateral margins, denticles rounded to triangular, median denticle moderately enlarged; maxillae galealacinae as wide as long, 16-18 subapical setae; fore tarsi with 6-9 ventral spines; tarsal claws with 12-15 ventral teeth; gills clear, main and lateral tracheae strongly developed, Tasmania only. .sp."AV7"

5(3) Dark coloured, dark brown to black, with lighter markings; labrum with four-six triangular denticles extending less than one-third the width of entire labrum; incisors without serrations on lateral margins; fore tarsi with more than 10 ventral spines; gills pale, brownish; main and lateral tracheae strongly developed; total leg length shorter than other species. $\qquad$ fuscula

Variously coloured, usually tan to dark brown with light markings; labrum with five flat elongate rounded denticles extending one-third the width of entire labrum; incisors inconspicuously serrated on lateral margins; fore tarsi with $5-10$ ventral spines; gills clear to pink; tracineation variable, main tracheae strongly developed, lateral tracheae weakly to strongly developed. fusca

Table 9. Matrix of main characters useful for separating taxa of Nousia (Austalonousia).

|  | fusca | fuscula | nigeli | "Av5" | "AV7" | "AV8" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imago - male |  |  |  | unknown |  | unknown |
| general body colour | very variable, ranging from tan brown to dark brown to black. | body colour black | chocolate brown to black |  | golden to tan brown with darker markings |  |
| eyes contiguous | sometimes |  | no |  | yes |  |
| eye size (ES) | 0.00-0.16 (0.08) |  | 0.09-0.15 (0.11) |  | 0.00 |  |
| thorax colour | pronotum brown to black; mesonotum shiny brown to black | black | pronotum biack; mesonotum shiny dark brown to black. |  | pronotum and mesonotum tan brown with darker markings. |  |
| total foreleg length | 5.76-8.90 (7.28) | 6.23 | 6.05-7.35 (6.54) |  |  |  |
| leg colour | golden through to tan, medium or dark brown, | brown to black | femora yellow to tan brown with very dark brown to black markings fore tibia dark brown, middle and hind tibiae yellow; all other segments yellow; |  | femora tan brown; tibiae and tarsi golden; |  |
| leg banding | no | no | yes |  | no |  |
| hindwing length where costa joins subcosta | three-quarters | four-fifths | three-quarters |  | three-quarters |  |

Table 9 cont'd.

|  | fusca | fuscula | nigeli | "AV5" | "AV7" | "AV8" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| abdomen colour | tan to dark brown to black with golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two goiden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly colour and pattern highly variable | black with light brown to brown markings forming a broken stripe midline, two maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly. | dark brown to black with golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly |  | golden with the occasional darker margins, darker stripe apical on each segment. |  |
| penes with subapical lobes | yes | not distinct | yes |  | yes |  |
| Imago female |  |  |  |  | unknown |  |
| sternum nine | deeply cleft | deeply cleft | moderately cleft |  |  |  |
| Egg |  | unknown |  |  |  |  |
| egg shape | ovoid |  | ovoid |  |  |  |
| egg polar cap | absent |  | absent |  |  |  |
| egg pattern | large evenly spaced circular shaped protuberances, pattern variable |  | chorion with similarly shaped, circular protuberances medially, surrounded by interlocking polygons which cover the whole surface |  |  |  |

Table 9 cont'd.

|  | fusca | fuscula | nigeli | "AV5" | "AV7" | "AV8" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mature nymph |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| general body colour | golden to tan brown with light and dark markings. | black, light markings | dark brown with light markings. | golden to tan brown with light and dark markings. | goiden to $\tan$ to chocolate brown with light and dark markings, colour variable | golden to $\tan$ to dark brown with dark markings . |
| antennal length | more than twice length of head |  | approximately one and an half times length of head | approximately one and a half times times the length of the head. | approximately twice length of head. | approximately one and half times length of head. |
| lateral margins of clypeus | slightly diverging towards anterior | slightly diverging towards anterior | straight or slightly diverging towards anterior | moderately diverging to anterior; | slightly diverging towards anterior, | slightly diverging towards anterior; |
| labrum compared to clypeus | subequal to very slightly wider than clypeus | subequal to very slightly wider than clypeus, | slightly wider | slightly wider | slightly wider | subequal |
| lateral margins of labrum | rounded | rounded | rounded | rounded to angular | rounded | rounded |
| anteriomedian emargination | straight or very slight, | anterior margin with slight anteromedian emargination | straight | slight anteromedian emargination; | slight anteromedian emargination, | abrupt anteromedian emargination |
| labrum width length ratio | about twice as wide as long | about twice as long as wide | more than twice as wide as long | more than twice as wide as long | more than twice as wide as long | less than twice as wide as long |
| denticle number | 5 | 4-6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| denticle shape | flat, elongate | triangular | flat, elongate | flat, elongate | rounded to triangular, median denticle moderately enlarged | triangular, median denticle greatly enlarged |

Table 9 cont'd.

|  | fusca | fuscula | nigeli | "AV5" | "AV7" | "AV8" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| denticle width / labrum width ratio | denticles extend one-third the width of entire labrum | denticles extend less than one-third the width of entire labrum | denticles extend two-fifths the width of entire labrum | denticles extend one-third the width of entire labrum | denticles extend one-third the width of entire labrum | denticles extend one-seventh the width of entire labrum |
| frontal setae arrangement | narrow band | narrow band | broad band | narrow band | narrow band | narrow band |
| secondary hair fringe separate or abutting front row | separate | separate | abutting | separate | separate | separate |
| left mandible incisors serration | outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin | incisors with no apparent serrations on lateral margins | outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin | incisors inconspicuously to conspicuously serrated on inner margin, | outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, | outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margins, inner incisor stout, broad at base |
| left mandible incisors subapical process | no | no | no | outer incisor with small subapical process; | outer incisor with small subapical process; | outer incisor with small subapical process; |
| left mandible prostheca shape | robust, moderately serrated | robust, moderately serrated | robust, distinctly serrated | robust, distinctly serrated | robust, distinctly serrated | robust, distinctly serrated |

Table 9 cont'd.

|  | fusca | fuscula | nigeli | "AV5" | "AV7" | "AV8" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| right mandible incisors serration | outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; inner incisor inconspicuously serrated on outer lateral margin; |  | outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin | incisors inconspicuously to conspicuously serrated on inner lateral margins, inner incisor conspicuously serrated on outer lateral margin | Outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; | Outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; |
| right mandible <br> - prosthecal <br> shape | simple, slender | simple, slender | simple, slender | simple, slender, divided apically | simple, slender, divided apically | simple, slender, divided apically |
| Maxillae -galea-lacinae width length ratio | about as wide as long | about as wide as long | about as wide as long | slightly wider than long | about as wide as long | about as wide as long |
| maxillae number of subapical pectinate setae | 11-18 | approx. 15 | 13-16 | 20-23 | 16-18 | 11-13 (10) |
| maxillae subapical piocess | no | no | no | yes | no | no |
| hypopharynx superlingua shape | rounded lateral margins | rounded lateral margins | rounded lateral margins | slightly angular lateral margins with slight indentation. | slightly angular lateral margins with slight indentation. | rounded lateral margins |
| hypopharynx cleftness | deeply cleft | deeply cleft | deeply cleft | moderately cleft | moderately cleft | moderately cleft |

Table 9 cont'd.

|  | fusca | fuscula | nigeli | "AV5" | "AV7" | "AV8" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| leg colour | golden to tan brown | medium brown | tan brown with chocolate brown markings | golden to tan brown, | yellow | Golden brown |
| leg banding | no | no | yes | no | no | no |
| total leg length | 2.45-4.98 (3.31) | 2.86 | 2.66-3.53 (3.12) | 3.03-3.81 (3.54) | 3.03-4.24 (3.69) | 2.28-3.31 (2.71) |
| foreleg length ratios | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.85: 0.44 \\ & (1.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.87: 0.55 \\ & (1.18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.89: 0.40 \\ & (1.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.88: 0.39 \\ & (1.56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.83: 0.42 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.87: 0.49 \\ & (1.15) \end{aligned}$ |
| midleg length ratios | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.86: 0.35 \\ & (1.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.00: } 0.84: 0.39 \\ & \text { (1.19) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.00: } 0.82: 0.31 \\ & (1.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.88: 0.35 \\ & (1.57) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.87: 0.37 \\ & (1.58) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.84: 0.41 \\ & (1.19) \end{aligned}$ |
| hindleg length ratios | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.00: } 0.91: 0.31 \\ & (1.74) . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.91: 0.38 \\ & (1.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.83: 0.28 \\ & (1.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.87: 0.31 \\ & (1.84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.91: 0.33 \\ & (1.89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 0.92: 0.40 \\ & (1.33) \end{aligned}$ |
| fore femora length width ratio | fore femora 2.9 times longer than wide |  | fore femora 2.5 times longer than wide | fore femora 3.2 times longer than wide | fore femora 3.0 times longer than wide | fore femora 2.6 times longer than wide |
| fore tarsi number of spines | 5-10 | approx. 13 | 6-8 | 3-6 | 6-9 | 10-15 |
| tarsal claws number of ventral teeth | 10-16 | approx. 11 | 10-14 | 9-12 | 12-15 | 16-18, subapical tooth greatly enlarged |

Table 9 cont'd.

|  | fusca | fuscula | nigeli | "AV5" | "AV7" | "AV8" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| abdomen colour | tan to dark brown with golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, generally with two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly but pattern highly variable | often black with yellow-brown markings, pattern variable. | dark brown to black with yellow to light brown markings medially on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline markings anteriorly | $\tan$ brown with underlying yellow colour; yellow patches of colour on segments six to nine, progressively larger posteriorly; segment ten almost entirely yellow; | $\tan$ brown with yellow and dark chocolate brown markings, segment ten almost entirely yellow; pattern variable; | golden to tan brown with distinctive dark brown markings; segment ten almost entirely yellow |
| gill colour | clear to pink | pale, brownish | grey to black | opaque, greyish; | clear | clear |
| gill shape | slender, tapering to a thin point apically | slender, tapering to a thin point apically | slender, tapered to a thin point apicaliy | linear, apically tapered; | linear, tapering to a thin point apically, upper lamellae with slightly longer taii; | linear, tapering to a thin point apically; |
| gill tracheation | variable - main tracheae strongly developed, lateral tracheae strongly to weakly developed | main and lateral tracheae strongly developed. | weakly to moderately well developed. | main tracheae strongly developed, lateral tracheae absent or weakly developed. | main tracheae strongly developed, lateral tracheae strongly developed. | main tracheae strongly developed, lateral tracheae weakly developed. |

### 3.3.4 Subgeneric separation

As mentioned in 3.3.1 Campbell and Suter (1988) created the new subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) to accommodate the Australian species of Nousia. However, they based this subgeneric separation on five characters which have subsequently been questioned (Dean 1999). The five characters included: from the male imago, distance of the MA fork in the imago forewing and the penes shape; the shape and surface characteristics of the egg and from the nymph, the cleftness of the hypopharynx anterior margin and shape of the left mandible prostheca.

As a result of the revision of Nousia (Australonousia) and the subsequent redefinition of the subgenus (3.3.2.1) I have been able to assess the validity of these characters and identify some others. In the male imago, I found that the MA vein of the forewing was forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin in Nousia (Australonousia) not slightly less than half as espoused by Campbell and Suter (1988). As Pescador and Peters (1985) state that at the genus level the MA of Nousia (Nousia) forks at more than half the distance from wing base to margin this character cannot used as a subgeneric separator. The penes of both taxa are fused in basal two-thirds as Campbell and Suter (1988) claim but the maxim that the remainder is divided and tubular in Nousia (Nousia) and divided and divergent in Nousia (Australonousia) does not always apply (eg $N^{\prime}$ (A) sp. "AV7"). The only other imago character I can determine as a variation between the two taxa is the frequent absence of crossveins in the proximal halves of the costal and subcostal spaces of the male forewing in Nousia (Australonousia) and the presence of such veins, albeit sometimes weakly developed, in Nousia (Nousia). However, this is not a consistent difference as Nousia (Australonousia) also has weakly developed crossveins in this area in some species (eg $N$. (A) fuscula).

As stated in 3.3.2.4 I believe the egg morphology of $N$. (A) fuscula, with the three rings of tubular processes, as represented in Suter (1986) and Campbell and Suter (1988) is false. The true morphology of Nousia (Australonousia) eggs appears to be a chorion with large circular shaped protuberances, sometimes interspersed with smaller ones. The tubular processes, I believe, are representative of the genus Koorrnonga.

The nymphal characters identified by Campbell and Suter (1988) to separate the subgenus are consistent. The lingua of the hypopharynx is shallowly cleft in Nousia (Nousia) and moderately to deeply cleft in Nousia (Australonousia). Also, the left mandible prostheca is relatively slender in Nousia (Nousia) compared with the robust serrated prostheca in Nousia (Australonousia). Other characters identified as variations between the taxa as a result of this study are as follows: denticle width always narrow in

Nousia (Nousia) usually wider in Nousia (Australonousia) (exception N. (A) sp. "AV8"); maxillae with an average 9-12 subpectinate setae in Nousia (Nousia), 11-23 in Nousia (Australonousia); galea-lacinae slightly wider than long in Nousia (Nousia), usually as wide as long in Nousia (Australonousia); hypopharynx superlingua always with rounded lateral margins in Nousia (Nousia), variable in Nousia (Australonousia) from rounded to slightly angular, sometimes with indentations; segment two and three of maxillary palps subequal in length in Nousia (Nousia) segment three usually shorter than segment two in Nousia (Australonousia); subapical denticles of tarsal claws greatly enlarged in Nousia (Nousia), not usually greatly enlarged in Nousia (Australonousia) (exception N. (A) sp. "AV8").

It appears that the male and female imago characters used for subgeneric separation do not hold. The nymphal characters are somewhat more robust, but apart from the original differences proposed by Campbell and Suter (1988) the other differences I elucidated are not distinct, either having exceptions or overlapping with each other.

I consider my revision of Australian Nousia (Nousia) is incomplete, largely due to lack of material. | believe Nousia (Australonousia) is not as morphologically diverse as stated (Dean 1999) but has become a "dumping ground" for undescribed species. Certainly, some of the morphospecies included in this revision do not conform to the definition of the genus sensu Pescador and Peters (1985). After careful consideration I transferred three of these morphospecies into two new genera (See Chapter 4). However, I have still not accounted for seven morphospecies in this revision (not including sp. "AV5", "AV7" and "AV8"). Many of these morphospecies were searched for extensively from the locations of the few specimens that were available to me, with no success. Ultimately, these species will have to caught, reared and identified and included (or not) in Nousia (Australonousia).

I must conclude that although I feel the subgeneric classification is superfluous at this stage, further material must be collected to complete the revision and make a more informed decision. In the interests of stability it would be prudent to retain the subgeneric classification until these possible new species are found and described.

### 3.4 Revision of the genus Koorrnonga

### 3.4.1 History

This genus Koormonga was established by Campbell and Suter (1988) primarily to accommodate the species Nousia inconspicua and Nousia pilosa. These species were described thoroughly as Nousia by Suter (1986) during his revision of the South Australian mayflies. The two species were subsequently considered sufficiently different to warrant the establishment of a rew genus. The other four species placed in the new genus at the time; fusca, simillima, brunnea and parva all became new combinations. They had been previously assigned to Atalonella, then automatically transferred to Nousia when the two genera were synonymized (Pescador and Peters 1985). Very little was known about these species at the time; the descriptions and drawings being scarce and somewhat inadequate. It also appears the types were not examined. Campbell and Suter (1988) admit that some of the placements were considered tentative at the time but generally the characters described in the literature appeared to fit more closely to the nominated type species, Leptophlebia inconspicua Eaton. It was reasonable to assume therefore that they belonged in the new genus but their status remained questionable.

### 3.4.2 Generic designation

I believe that establishment of the genus Koorrnonga was premature. The species Thraulus dentatus (Ulmer 1916) (subsequently renamed Atalophlebia lucida (Ulmer 1919; Ulmer 1920)) and automatically transferred to Atalonella by Harker (1954) is remarkably similar to other species belonging to Koorrnonga. Demoulin (1955c) recognised this fact. He considered that the angular shape of the hindwing, and the wing venation in general, prevented its placement in Atalophlebia or Atalonella. No other genus described at the time seemed to fit the characters of the specimen and he therefore created a new genus Thraulophlebia, nominating Atalophlebia lucida Ulmer as the type specimen.

I have examined the type specimens of Atalophlebia lucida (two male imagos) from the SMNH and they conform to the genus description of Koorrnonga in that the forewings have no crossveins in subcostal and costal spaces, the hind wing has a strong projection on the costa and the penes lobes are not distinctly separated facing inwards towards the midine. As a result of this, and my understanding of the features of the genus as a result of this study, I believe Koornonga should be synonymized with Thraulophlebia. As Thraulophlebia has priority, all species now belonging to Koorrnonga are now transferred to this genus. Atalophlebia lucida becomes the type species for the genus.

### 3.4.3 Descriptions

### 3.4.3.1 Thraulophlebia Demoulin <br> Thraulophlebia Demoulin (Demoulin 1955c) <br> Koormonga Campbell \& Suter (Campbell and Suter 1988)

Type species. Atalophlebia lucida Ulmer (Ulmer 1919)

Species included. Thraulophlebia lucida (Ulmer) comb. nov., Thraulophlebia inconspicua (Eaton) comb. nov., Thraulophlebia parva (Harker) comb. nov., Thraulophlebia simillima (Ulmer) Incertae sedis.

Distribution. New South Wales, South Australia, Queensland, Victoria (Fig. 178).
Diagnosis. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 5.6-7.4; forewing length 5.7-8.1; hindwing length 0.83-1.58; forewing hindwing ratio 4.37-7.56. General body colour variable, ranging from light to tan to chocolate brown to black. Head: tan brown to dark brown to black. Antennae: pedicel, scape medium to dark brown, flagellurrı golden to tan brown. Ocelli: three; black with white to white-grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, often contiguous, $E S=0.00-0.15$; upper lobe colour variable (orange-brown, tan brown, pink brown), lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: pronotum and mesonotum tan to dark brown, mesonotum sometimes shiny brown. Legs: colour variable (golden, light brown, tan brown); femora usually darker; banding present or absent; forelegs with seven segments, total foreleg length 4.45-7.46, tibia approximately 1.4 times length of femur, the five segmented tarsus approximately 1.3-1.6 times length of femur; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook. Wings. Forewing: membrane hyaline, pterostigmal region slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins dark brown, anal veins yeliow; costal and subcostal crossveins usually absent proximally, costal space with 6-11 crossveins distally, subcostal space with 4-10 crossveins distally. Three bullae present on veins $\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4+5}$, MA forked at around half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{CuA}$ and CuP linked by crossvein, ICu1 recurved or joined to CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ joins $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{Cu}_{1}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel or very slightly diverging as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by cross vein to $\mathrm{A}_{1}$. Hindwing mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; strong projection of the costa which joins subcosta at approximately two-thirds to four-fifths wing length; no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 2-4 in distal space, 3-5 throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: colour and pattern variable ranging from tan to dark brown to black with golden to light tan markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline,
two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly. Genitalia: forceps three segmented; first segment usually darker, progressively lighter apically; middle and terminal segment about the same length. Penes: two lobes distinct, lobes joined apically (or very nearly so), fused in basal third to half ventrally, separated at base or fused in basal third dorsally; lobes sometimes indented apically; rounded to angular expanded lateral margin in apical third; ventral view with or without subapical lobes protrucing laterally outwards; with or without small blunt triangular tooth on inner lateral margin, generally visible under transmitted light only. Caudal filaments: three; golden to brown; terminal filament longer than cerci. Female imago. Dimensions: body length 5.6-8.8; forewing length 5.9-8.5; hindwing length 0.69-1.70; forewing hindwing ratio 4.93-8.55. General patterning and colouring similar to male. Eyes: brown-black to grey-black; separated on meson of head by a distance four to five times maximum width of eye. Legs: shorter than male; total foreleg length 3.81-5.13; forelegs with six segments, tibia approximately 1.2-1.4 times longer than the femur, the four segmented tarsus approximately $0.6-0.7$ times longer than the femur. Wings. Forewing: colour and venation similar to males except usually with weakly developed crossveins in proximal halves of wing and generally more crossveins on average than male; costal space with 26 weakly developed crossveins proximally, 7-15 distally, subcostal space with $0-5$ weakly developed crossveins proximally, 6-11 distally. Hindwing: no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 2-4 in distal space, 3-6 throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: sternum seven with small genital extension; sternum nine deeply cleft. Egg. Ovoid to cylindrical with rounded ends, polar cap with two or three rings of tubular processes; chorion with small evenly spaced circular proturbences. Male and female subimago. General colour and markings dull greyish black, similar to imago. Wings opaque, uniformly grey-brown. Forelegs of male not elongated. Genitalia not fully developed. Mature nymph. Body lengths: $\sigma^{5} 5.0-7.5, \circ 5.8-10.0$. General body colour variable, ranging from tan or middle to chocolate brown to black with light and / or dark markings. Head: prognathous; tan to middle to dark brown; width 1.28-1.65. Ocelli: three; black with white to grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum golden; one and a half to more than twice length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male orange to reddish-brown, lower lobes grey-black to black; eyes of female black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus: lateral margins of clypeus very slightly diverging towards anterior; lateral margins of labrum subequal to slightly wider than clypeus, rounded to slightly angular; anterior margin of labrum with slight or distinct antero-median emargination; iabrum length $0.27-0.41$, labrum width $0.51-0.86$, labrum width length ratio 1.69-2.56; four to five elongate denticles present on anterior margin extending 0.08-0.38 times the width of the labrum, denticle width as proportion of labrum width $0.14-0.38$; frontal setae arranged as a single row or narrow band; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from front row, reaching well beyond frontal setae, extending 0.04-0.25 times
the width of the labrum, containing 12-55 setae; secondary hair fringe width to labrum width ratio $0.06-0.35$; thick socketed setae present lateral to secondary hair fringe. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved, long spine-like setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins, occasionally shorter setae between tuft and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; sparse tuft of setae on lower mandible body. Left mandible: two incisors, each with three apical teeth; outer incisor often inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; prostheca robust, serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible: sparse long setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, often inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; inner incisor with two apical teeth; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apicaily, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae: galea-lacinae generally as wide as long, width length ratio $0.88-1.12$; subapical row of 16-22 pectinate setae; palpi three segmented, first segment length $0.22-0.23$, segment two $0.75-0.85$ times the length of first segment, terminal segment 0.64-0.75 times the length of first segment, usually with single spine apically. Hypopharynx: well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua shallowly cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, rounded to slightly angular lateral margins. Labium: glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; paipi three segmented, first segment length 0.32-0.34, segment two 0.84-0.88 times the length of first segment, terminal segment 0.57 - 0.65 times the length of first segment, terminal segment with row of triangular spines aliriost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: colour ranges from tan to middle brown often with dark brown markings; pronotum width 1.10-1.72, approximately equal to width of head; head pronotum width ratio $0.92-1.00$. Legs: golden to tan to dark brown, banding present or absent; total foreleg length 2.53-3.73; fore femora length 1.05-1.60, fore femora width $0.28-0.53$; fore femora length width ratio 2.74-3.75; fore tarsi with $8-14$ ventral spines, tarsal claws with $11-15$ ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated; foreleg length ratios, tibiae 0.92-0.93 times length of femur, tarsi 0.40-0.47 times length of femur; midieg length ratios, tibiae 0.90-0.93 times length of femur, tarsi 0.35-0.39 times length of femur; hindleg length ratios, tibiae 0.93-1.00 times length of femur, tarsi $0.34-0.36$ times length of femur. Abdomen: colour dark brown to black with goiden markings commonly forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills: membrane clear to light-grey or pink; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae dissimilar in size; upper lamellae narrows at three-quarters length with long apical filament or broadened apical third; lower lamellae narrows at four-fifths length,
tapering to a thin point apically; main and lateral tracheae strongly developed. Caudal filaments: three; golden; terminal filament longer than cerci.

Remarks. The genus Thraulophlebia can be distinguished from all other genera in the Leptophlebiidae family by the following combination of characters: In the imago: (i) body length of $5.6-7.4$; $95.6-8.8$; (ii) forewing hindwing ratio, ot 4.4-7.6; o 4.9-8.6; (iii) male upper eye size large, often contiguous; (iv) male and fernale forewing 4.4-8.6 times longer than hindwing; (v) male forewing crossveins faint, or more usually absent, from proximal halves of wing, MA forked at around half to the distance from wing base to margin? $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved or joined to CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein; $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ joins $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by cross vein to $A_{i} ;($ vi) hindwing with strong projection of the costa, joins subcosta at approximately two-thirds to four-fifths wing length; (vii) tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook; (viii) penes fused in basal third to half ventrally, expended lateral margins in apical third; (ix) female eyes separated on meson of head by a distance about four to five times maximum width of eye; ( $x$ ) female sternum seven with small genital extension; (xi) female sternum nine deeply cleft. In the nymph: (i) body length o $5.0-7.5, \% 5.8-10.0$; (ii) antennal length one and a half to more than twice length of head; (iii) lateral margins of clypeus very slightly diverging towards anterior; (iv) labrum slightly less than to slightly more than twice as wide as long; labrum subequal to slightly wider than clypeus, lateral margins rounded to slightly angular; labrum antero-median emargination slight or distinct bearing four to five elongate denticles; denticles extend 0.10 to 0.38 the width of labrum; labrum frontal setae arranged as a single row or narrow band; labrum secondary hair fringe clearly separated, reaching well beyond front band, containing 12-55 setae, extends 0.06 to one-third the width of labrum; thick socketed setae present lateral to secondary hair fringe; (v) mandibles with outer margins slightly curved; incisors often with inconspicuous serrations of lateral margins; (vi) maxillae with galea lacinae generally about as wide as long; subapical row of 16-22 pectinate setae; terminal palp with single spine apically; (vii) hypopharynx shallowly cleft, superlingua with rounded to slightly angular lateral margins; (viii) labium glossae not turned under ventrally; terminal segment elongate with row of triangular spines almost circling apex; submentum with lateral spines; (ix) pronotum about as wide as head; ( x ) legs with fore femora 2.7-3.8 times longer than wide; fore tarsi with 8 -14 ventral spines, tarsal claws with 11-15 ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated; (xi) abdomen with posterolateral spines, progressively larger posteriorly; (xii) gills with margins entire, double, upper and lower lamellae dissimilar in size, upper lamellae narrows at three-quarters length with long apical filament or broadened apical third, lower lamellae narrows at four-fifths length tapering to a thin point apically; main and lateral tracheae strongly developed.

### 3.4.3.2 Thraulophlebia lucida (Uimer) comb. nov.

Thraulus dentatus Ulmer (Ulmer 1916) [partim]
Atalophlebia lucida Uimer (Ulmer 1919)
Atalonella lucida (Ulmer) (Harker 1954)
Thrauloph/ebia lucida (Ulmer) (Demoulin 1955c)
Koormonga sp. "AV1" (Dean 1999)
Koorrnonga pilosa (Suter) (Campbell and Suter 1988)
Thraulophlebia lucida (Ulmer) (Demoulin 1955c)

Types. Holotype (here designated). Atalophlebia lucida Ulmer. Cedar Creek, Queensland. 10030001, Ill 1910-1913, coll. Mjöberg's expedition, 1 đ imago, head and genitalia attached, hindwings missing. Paratype. (here designated). Atalophlebia lucida Ulmer. Same collection data, stored in the same vial, 1 of imago, head and genitalia detached. Labels: a) "Thraulus dentatus Ul. (Type)", b) "Atalophlebia lucida Ulm. (Ulm. 1920) Typus", c) "Queensl. Mjöberg ", d) "Cedar Creek", e) "mars". Held at the SMNH.

Koormonga pilosa (Suter)
Nousia pilosa Suter (Suter 1986)
Koorrnonga pilosa (Suter) (Campbell and Suter 1988)

Types. Holotype. Nousia pilosa. Second Wannon River, Grampians, Victoria. 77112502, 25 XI 1997, grid reference 547396, o imago, alcohol and slide material, coll. D.N and P.J. Suter. Labeis: a) "Holotype M T-8941", b) "Nousia pilosa N.sp. P. SUTER 1986. Second Wannon River South of Hails Gap, Vic. G.R. 547396. 25-11-1977. D.N. and P.J. SUTER Body and Genitalia +2 slides". Paratypes. Same location and collection data, 3 o imagos, 3 o nymphs, 3 \& nymphs. Hitchcock Drain, South Australia, 77112501, 25 XI 1997, 1 ot imago, 1 ot nymph, alcohol and slide material. Labeis: Vial 1 - a) "Paratypes M T-8942-8945", b) "Nousia pilosa N. sp. P. SUTER 1986. Second Wannon R. S of Halls Gap. Vic. G.R. 547396. 25-11-1977. D.N. + P.J. SUTER Imagos"; Vial 2 - a) "Paratypes M T-8946-8947, F T-8948-8950", b) "Nousia pilosa N. sp. P. SUTER 1986. Second Wannon R. S of Halls Gap. Vic. G.R. 547396. 25-111977. D.N. + P.J. SUTER M + F nymphs"; Vial 3 - a) "Paratype M T-8951 b) Nousia pilosa N. sp. P. SUTER 1986. MALE IMAGO. HITCHCOCK DRAIN S.E. South Australia 23-11-1977 D.N. + P.J. SUTER Body + slides (2) ${ }^{n}$. [examined]. Held at the MV.

Distribution. New South Wales, South Australia, Queensland, Victoria (Fig. 179).

Diagnosis. A redescription was considered desirable due to the accumulation of a large number of new specimens. It has also enabled the previously unknown female imago, subimago and nymph stages to be described. Male image. Dimensions: body lengths 5.7-7.4 ( $6.6 \pm 0.45,20$ ); forewing length 5.7-7.4 ( $6.6 \pm 0.51,21$ ); hindwing length 0.83-1.26 ( $0.98 \pm 0.10,17$ ); forewing hindwing ratio 5.87-7.56 ( $6.80 \pm 0.59,17$ ). General body colour ranging from light to tan to chocolate brown, usually quite dark. Head: dark brown. Antennae: pedicel and scape medium brown, flagellum golden. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, often contiguous, $E S=0.00-0.04(0.01 \pm 0.01,10)$; upper lobes orange-brown, lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: pronotum and mesonotum tan to dark brown. Legs: golden brown, femora apices darker, banding inconspicuous or absent; forelegs with seven segments, total foreleg length 5.64-6.61 (6.08 $\pm 0.33,10)$; leg length ratios $1.00: 1.45: 0.06: 0.50: 0.48$ : $0.37: 0.17(1.52 \pm 0.14,10)$; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook (Fig. 180). Wings. Forewing (Fig. 181): membrane hyaline, pterostigmatal area slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins light brown, anal veiris yellow; costal and subcostal crossveins absent from proximal halves of wing, costal space with 7-11 (19) crossveins distally, subcostal space with 5-10 (19) crossveins distally. Three bullae present on veins $\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{R}_{21}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4+5}$. MA forked at arol half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{CuA}$ and CuP linked by crossvein, $I C u_{1}$ recurved to join CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $I C u_{2}$ recurved to join $I C u_{1}, I C u_{1}$ and $I C U_{2}$ parallel or very slightly diverging as wing margin approached, CuP stronjly recurved and linked by crossvein to $A_{1}$. Hindwing (Fig. 182): mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; strong projection of the costa which joins subcosta at approximately two-thirds wing length; no crossveins in proximal half of costal space, 2-3 (17) in distal space, 3-5 (17) throughout subcostal space. Abdomen (Fig. 183): tan to chocolate brown with goiden markings forming a broken stripe midline, two coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly. Genitalia (Figs 184-189): forceps (Figs. 184, 186) three segmented; tan brown basally, becoming lighter apically; terminal segment rounded, about the same length as middle segment; penes light brown; two lobes distinct, with expanded lateral margin in apical third, each lobe indented apically; separated almost at base dorsally (Figs. 184, 186, 187); inner margin of subapical lobes corrugated representing small teeth (Fig. 188); fused in basal half ventrally (Figs. 185, 189), with subapical lobes protruding laterally outwards; no internal spines or processes apparent. Caudal filaments: three; light brown; terminal filament longer than cerci. Female imago. Dimensions: body lengths 5.6-7.6 (6.6 $\pm 0.56,25)$; forewing length 5.9-8.3 (7.2 $\pm 0.58,21$ ); hindwing length 0.69-1.28 (1.04 $\pm 0.14,20)$; forewing hindwing ratio 5.47-8.55 (6.93 $\pm 0.77,20)$. General patterning and colour similar
to male. Eyes: grey-black; separated on meson of head by a distance of about four times maximum width of eye. Legs: total leg length shorter than male; foreiegs with six segments, tutal foreleg length $3.81-4.80(4.25 \pm 0.38,10)$; leg length ratios $1.00: 1.37$ : $0.22: 0.19: 0.15: 0.15(1.38 \pm 0.14,10)$. Wings. Forewing (Fig. 190): colour and venation similar to males except with weakly developed crossveins in proximal halves of wing and generally more crossveins on average than male; costal space with 2-6 (22) faint crossveins proximally, 8-15 (22) distally, subcostal space with 0-5 (22) faint crossveins proximally, 8-11 (22) distally. Hindwing (Fig. 191): no crossveins in proximal half of costal space, 2-3(20) in distal space, 3-4 (20) crossveins throughout subcostal space. Abdomen (Fig. 192, 193): sternum seven with small genital extension; sternum nine deeply cleft. Egg. Ovoid, polar cap with two rings of tubular projections on each apex; chorion with small eveniy spaced circular protuberances (Figs. 194-196). Male and female subimago. Dimensions: body lengths of 5.5-7.2 (6.2 $\pm 0.52,12$ ); \& 6.0-7.3 (6.6 $\pm$ $0.46,9$ ). General colour and markings similar to imago. Wings uniformly opaque. Forelegs of male not elongated. Genitalia not fully developed. Mature nymph. (Fig. 197). Body lengths o $5.0-7.5(6.6 \pm 0.82,20)$, of 5.8-7.9 (7.1 $\pm 0.62,20)$. General colour ranging from tan to middle to chocolate brown, usually dark, colour variable. Head: prognathous; tan to middle brown, width $1.28 \mathrm{~m} 1.53(1.41 \pm 0.08,15)$. Ocelli: three; black with grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum golden; approximately one and a half times length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male orange-brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus (Fig. 198): lateral margins of elypeus very slightly diverging to anterior; lateral margins of labrum subequal to clypeus, rounded; labrum anterior margin with slight antero-median emargination; labrum length $0.29-0.40(0.33 \pm 0.04,25)$, labrum width $0.51-0.75$ ( $0.61 \pm 0.06,25$ ), labrum width length ratio $1.69-2.18(1.86 \pm 0.13,25)$; five elongated denticles present on anterior margin extending 0.14-0.21 ( $0.18 \pm 0.02,24$ ) across labrum; denticle width labrum width ratio $0.23-0.38(0.29 \pm 0.05,24)$; frontal setae arranged as a single row; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from front row, reaching well beyond the frontal setae, containing between 12-25 (20) setae, extends 0.04-0.14 (0.08 $\pm 0.02$, 25) across labrum; secondary hair fringe to labrum width ratio $0.06-0.20(0.14 \pm 0.03,25)$; thick socketed setae present lateral to secondary hair fringe. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved; long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins; shorter setae between long setae and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; sparse tuft of setae on lower mandible body. Left mandible (Fig. 199): two incisors, each with three apical teeth, outer incisor often inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; prothesca robust, serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible (Fig. 200): spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, often inconspicuously serrated on inner
lateral margin; inner incisor with two apical teeth; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 201): galea-lacinae as wide as long, width length ratio $0.91-1.12$ ( $1.00 \pm 0.07,12$ ); subapical row of 16-21 (16) pectinate setae; palpi three segmented, terminal palp with single spine on apex (Fig. 202); palp length ratios 1.00: 0.79: 0.64 ( $0.22 \pm 0.02,19$ ). Hypopharynx (Fig. 203): well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua shallowly cleff; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins; lateral margins slightly angular. Labium (Fig. 204): glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in the same plane as paragiossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface (Fig. 205); palp length ratios $1.00: 0.86: 0.65(0.32 \pm 0.02,12)$; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: tan to middle brown with darker brown markings; pronotum width 1.10-1.63 (1.36 $\pm 0.14,15)$; approximately equal to width of head (see above); head pronotum width ratio $0.84-1.07(0.96 \pm 0.08,15)$. Legs (Fig. 206): golden to tan brown, banding ranges from conspicuous to inconspicuous; total foreleg length 2.53-3.29 $(2.89 \pm 0.21,20)$; fore femora length $1.05-1.38(1.21 \pm 0.10,20)$; fore femora width 0.28 $0.43(0.37 \pm 0.04,20)$; fore femora length width ratio $2.83-3.75(3.31 \pm 0.26,20)$; fore tibiae with numerous short ventral spines, sometimes serrated (Fig. 207); fore tarsi with 8-14 (22) ventral spines, length 0.20-0.34 (0.27 $\pm 0.04,10$ ) width of tarsus; tarsal claws (Fig. 208) with $12-15(12)$ ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated on inner margin; leg length ratios, foreleg 1.00: 0.92: 0.47 ( $1.21 \pm 0.10,20$ ), mid leg 1.00: 0.93: $0.35(1.20 \pm 0.10,15)$, hind leg 1.00: 1.00: 0.34 $(1.26 \pm 0.12,14)$. Abdomen : dark brown with golden markings medially on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two light coloured maculae flanking midline marking, pattern variable; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Fig. 209): membrane clear to light grey; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae similarly shaped; upper lamellae narrows at three-quarters length with long apical filament, lower lamellae narrows at fourfifths length with shorter apical filament; main and lateral tracheae present, strongly developed. Caudal filaments: three; golden; terminal filament longer than cerci..

Remarks. Admittedly there is much confusion surrounding the types species of Thraulophlebia. A large number of specimens referred to as Thraulus dentatus (Ulmer 1916) were described from specimens taken during Dr Mjöberg's Swedish Expedition to Australia 1910-1913. Upon review some time later Ulmer (1919) considered that the light and dark examples he had described were in fact two different species belonging to the genus Atalophlebia. The dark examples were renamed A. fusca and the light examples A. lucida (a new species name was necessary as dentatus was pre-occupied). It was
difficult to tell whether the species /ucida or fusca were being discussed in Ulmer (1916) and this was only clarified by careful examination of the type specimens in conjunction with the literature.

The type specimens were also compared closely with specimens from the MRHI and the MV. Those referred to by Dean (1999) as Koorrnonga sp. "AV1" also proved to be the same species. These specimens, and a large number of new specimens collected as a result of this project, enabled a compleie redescription of the species including the hitherto unknown female imago, male and female subimago and nymph stages (above). The described species was then compared with the original descriptions of the new species $T$. lucida.

The size and shape of the holotype genitalia match exactly with the redescribed species giving a strong case for synonymy. Unfortunately, the genitalia drawing of the holotype (Ulmer 1919, FIG. 11, p.18, reproduced here as Fig. 210) is somewhat enigmatic with what looks like a covering surrounding the two lobes ventrally for at least two-thirds of the length. Ulmer (1919, p.19) describes the ventral surface of the penis in his paper as "..sehr breit; aus einer flach röhrenförmigen Umhüllung, deren Apikalrand etwas wulstig nach außen gebogen ist.." ("..very wide; arising from a flat tubular formed covering, of which the apical margin is somewhat swollen and bowed out.."). I am willing to concede that the rounded ends of the penes lobes could flatten and "bow out" when mounted for viewing under a compound microscope but do not understand the origin of tubular covering on the specimens I examined. Perhaps Ulmer is referring to the rounded lateral ventral margins of each penis lobe which appears to curl inwards and cover some of the inner surface of the lobes although these do not cover the entire ventral surface. Indeed, Ulmer's drawing is so different from the type specimens, I wonder that he has not confused his specimens and drawn from the wrong specimen. Incongruously, in the same paper he refers to the penes lobes being ". ragen zwei nebeneinander liegende, durch einen Spalt getrennte Loben hervor mit unregeimäßig schief abgestutstem Apex;.." ("..two lobes side by side, separated by a crack with an irregular crooked stumpy apex;..") (Ulmer 1919, p.19). This is not shown in the drawing but more clearly describes the type specimens and the redescribed $T$. lucida.

Two other important characters are the forewing venation and hindwing shape, although these characters are generic, rather than species, specific. The type specimens are consistent with the descriptions and drawings of Ulmer (1919) and the description of Demoulin (1955c). As mentioned previously (3.4.2) these features, especially the sharp curve of vein C of the hindwing ("..La C se corbe brusquement à mid-longueur..")
(Demoulin 1955c, p.228), led the author to create a new genus for this species as it was so distinct.

In most other respects the type specimens and literature descriptions of $T$. lucida match closely with the redescription (Table 10). However, there are a number of relatively minor discrepancies between the descriptions of Ulmer (1916) and Ulmer (1919) and the redescription of $T$. lucida which should be mentioned (Table 11). These differences relate solely to colour variations amongst the adults. Colour is quite a subjective character and can be strongly influenced by environmental factors such as development temperature. The differences are minimal and 1 am inclined to disregard this as a major issue. The type specimens do not help to clarify the matter as the colour has facied dramatically to creamy-white and cannot be compared to the darker coloured "fresh" specimens.

Table 10. Similarities between the redescription of Thraulophlebia lucida and the literature descriptions and type measurements of Thraulophlebia lucida (incorporating Thraulophlebia dentatus, Atalophlebia lucida and Koorrnonga sp. "AV1").

|  | Thraulophlebia lucida | type measurements and literature descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago |  |  |
| body length | 5.7-7.4 (6.6 $\pm 0.45,20)$ | 5.8 - holotype, 6.0-paratype, 6 (Uimer 1919) |
| forewing length | forewing length 5.7-7.4 (6.6 $\pm 0.51$. 21) | 6.2 - holotype, 5.6 - paratype, 6-6.5 (Ulmer 1919) |
| hindwing length | 0.83-1.26 (0.98 $\pm 0.10,17)$ | 1.0 - paratype |
| costal crossveins - distally | absent | absent - holotype, paratype |
| costal crossveins - proximally | 7-11 (19) | 10- holotype, 11 - paratype, 8-9 (Ulmer 1919) |
| subcostal crossveins - distally | absent | absent - holotype, paratype |
| subcostal crossveins - proximally | 5-10(19) | 10 - holotype, 8 - paratype, 7 (Ulmer 1919) drawing |
| bullae | Sc, $\mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ | Sc, $R_{2}, R_{4+5}$ - holotype, paratype |
| MA fork | about half | slightly less than half - holotype, paratype approximately half (Uimer 1919) |
| $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and CuA | yes | yes - holotype, paratype |
| CuA, Cup linked by crossvein | yes | yes - holotype, paratype |
| $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ joins CuA | yes | yes - holotype, paratype |
| $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ joins $\mathrm{CCu}_{1}$ | yes | yes - holotype, paratype |
| $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICU}_{2}$ parallel or diverging as wing margin approached. | parailel | parallel - holotype, paratype |
| hindwing - costal crossveins | 2-3 (17) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2 \text { - paratype } \\ 2 \text { (Ulmer 1919) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| hindwing - subcostal crossveins | 3-5 (17) | 3 - paratype <br> 3 (Ulmer 1919) |
| Nymph |  |  |
| leg banding | conspicuous to inconspicuous | conspicuous (Dean 1999) |
| length of spines on foretarsus | 0.20-0.34 (0.27 $\pm 0.04,10)$ | one-third or less the diameter of tarsus (Dean 1999) |

Table 11. Discrepancies between the redescription of Thraulophlebia lucida and the literature descriptions of Thraulophlebia lucida (incorporating Thraulus dentatus, Atalophlebia lucida, and Koorrnonga sp. "AV1").

|  | Thraulophlebia lucida | literature descriptions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male imago | dark brown- | grey-yellow to grey-brown <br> (Ulmer 1919) |
| head colour | upper: orange-brown, <br> lower: grey-black | upper: brick-brown to <br> darkly cream coloured, <br> lower: blackish (Ulmer <br> 1919) |
| eye colour | tan to dark brown | grey-brown with blackish <br> margins (Ulmer 1919) |
| thorax colour | tan to chocolate brown <br> with golden markings | grey-yellow with dark grey- <br> brown (Ulmer 1916, 1919). |
| abdomen colour |  |  |

In addition to the museum and new material used for the redescription, I checked other species for possible matches to T. lucida. Koorrnonga pilosa was extensively and adequately initiaily described as Nousia pilosa (Suter 1986) in the revision of the South Australian mayfly fauna and subsequently placed in the genus Koorrnonga following revision of the Australian Nousia (Campbell and Suter 1988). Indeed, it was this same paper that established the genus Koorrnonga based on the morphological differences these species showed compared to Nousia. I wholeheartedly agree with his assessment which was based on such characters as the width of the secondary hair fringe in the nymph and the shape of the male hindwing and genitalia in the male imago. It was unfortunate then that the genus Thraulophlebia was not considered when the genus Koorrnonga was established.

The $N$. pilosa holotype has been examined and the male genitalia conforms very closely to that of the $T$. lucida types and the redescribed $T$. lucida material (Fig. 211). In addition, as the redescription of $T$. lucida encompassed a large amount of material this enabled the full range of variation within the species to be examined. In doing so, I was able to establish that $K$. pilosa fitted largely within these boundaries (Table 12).

There are a few discrepancies between the two descriptions that must be accounted for (Table 13). A most significant one, is the genitalia drawings of $N$. pilosa (Suter 1986, FIG. 12d, p377, reproduced here as Fig. 212) and the accompanying SEM photo (Suter 1986, FIG. 15f, p.380, reproduced here as Fig. 213). Although the dorsal view is not shown and the lateral margins of the penes are obscured by the forceps, the shape does not appear similar to the $T$. lucida types or newly redescribed species. I am at a loss to explain this
as the holotype genitalia of K. pilosa is more similar to $T$. lucida rather than Suter's drawings and micrographs.

Also in the male imago there is an apparent absence of a crossvein attaching CuP to $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ in K. pilosa. I believe this was an oversight on the part of Suter as both the holotype and paratype show the crossvein present. The issue of the leg colour varying between golden and dark brown between the two "speies" can be dismissed, I believe, as natural variation.

A large number of discrepancies refer to measurti. ents and ratios in both the imago and nymph. For many of the measurements and ratios $K$ pilosa is larger and within, or just outside, the range of measurements for 7 . Iucida specimens both in the imago (body length, forewing length, hindwing length) and the nymph (head width, pronotum width, head/pronotum width ratio, labrum length width ratio). The total fore leg lengths of both the imago and nymph and the palp length ratios of the labium and maxillae, however, are very much larger in K. pilosa than $T$. lucida. As there is no indication of the number of specimens measured for $K$. pilosa (Suter 1986) it is possible that they refer to a few large individuals. In most cases the ratios of the various measurements are similar.

Table 12. Similarities between the redescription of Thraulophlebia lucida and the literature descriptions of Koorrnonga pilosa.

|  |  | Thraulophlebia lucida redescription | Koorrnonga pilosa literature descriptions (Suter 1986) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male imago |  |  |
|  | head colour | dark brown | black-brown |
|  | eye colour | upper lobes orange brown | upper lobes pink brown |
|  | costal crossveins - proximal /.distal | absent / 7-11 (19) | absent / 9 (drawing) |
|  | subcostal crossveins - proximal / distal | absent / 5-10 (19) | absent/7 |
|  | bullae | Sc, $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ | Sc, $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ |
|  | MA fork | around half | around half (drawing) |
|  | $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and CuA | yes | yes (drawing) |
|  | CuA and CuP linked by crossvein | yes | yes (drawing) |
|  | $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ joins CuA | yes | yes (drawing) |
|  | $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ joins $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ | yes | yes (drawing) |
|  | $1 \mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ parallel or diverging | parallel | parallel (drawing) |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { a }}$ | hindwing costa joins subcosta | two - hirds | two-thirds (drawing) |
|  | hindwing crossveins - costal/subcostal | 2-3 (17)/3-5 (17) | 2/3 |
|  | abdomen colour | chocolate brown with golden markings | dark brown, mid dorsal light brown |
|  | caudal filaments | terminal filament longer than cerci | cerci length 9.05, terminal filament length 12.00 |
|  | Nymph |  |  |
|  | head colour | tan to middle brown | light brown |
|  | male eye colour | upper lobes orange brown | upper lobes red-brown |
|  | denticle number | 5 | 6 |
|  | left mandible incisors | 3,3 | 3,3 |
|  | right mandible incisors | 3,2 | 3,2 |
|  | hypopharynx | shallowly cleft | shallowly cleft (drawing) |
|  | legs | golden to tan brown | light brown |
|  | forefemur length to width ratio | 2.83-3.75 (3.31 $0.26,20)$ | 3.13 |
|  | leg banding | ranges from conspicuous to inconspicuous | absent |
|  | tarsal claws - teeth no. | 12-15 (12) | 12 |
|  | abdomen colour | dark brown with light central golden markings | dark brown with light central marking |
|  | gills | double, upper lamellae longer than lower | double, upper lamellae longer than lower |

Table 13. Discrepancies between the redescription of Thraulophlebia lucida and the literature descriptions of Koormonga pilosa.

| 2 |  | Thraulophlebia lucida redescription | Koorrnonga pilosa literature descriptions (Suter 1986) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | body length | 5.7-7.4 (6.6さ0.45, 20) | 7.5 |
|  | forewing length | 5.7-7.4 (6.6 $\pm 0.51,21)$ | 7.67 |
|  | hindwing length | 0.83-1.26 (0.98 $\pm 0.10,17)$ | 1.23 |
|  | legs | golden brown, femora apices darker | femur dark brown, tibia and tarsi light brown |
|  | total foreleg length | 5.64-6.61 (6.08 $\pm 0.33,10)$ | 7.07 |
|  | CuP attached to A1 | yes | no (drawing) |
|  | penes shape | fused in basal half ventrally, separated almost at base dorsally; two lobes distinct, separating then coming together apically, each lobe indented apically; ventral view with subapical lobes protruding laterally outwards; dorsal view with expanded lateral margin in apical third. | penes fused, apex with two lobes, ventral surface lacking lobes. |
|  | Nymph |  |  |
| の | head width | 1.28-1.53 (1.41 $\pm 0.08,15)$ | 1.20 |
|  | pronotum width | 1.10-1.63 (1.36 $\pm 0.14,15)$ | 1.09 |
|  | head pronotum width ratio | 0.84-1.07 (0.96 $\pm 0.08,15)$ | pronotum width $0.91 \times$ head width |
|  | labrum length width ratio | 1.69-\% $18(1.86 \pm 0.13,25)$ | 1.5 times wider than long |
|  | maxillae palp length ratios | 1.00:0.79:0.64 (0.22 $\pm 0.02,19)$ | 1.00: 0.68: 0.68 (0.27) |
|  | labium palp length ratios | 1.00: 0.86: 0.65 (0.32 $\pm 0.02,12)$ | 1.00: 0.77: 0.49 (0.44) |
|  | total foreleg length | 2.53-3.29 (2.89 $\pm 0.21,20)$ | 4.01 |
|  | foreleg | 1.00:0.92: 0.47 (1.21 $\pm 0.10,20)$ | 1.00: 0.96: 0.40 (1.70) |
|  | midleg | 1.00:0.93: $0.35(1.20 \pm 0.10,15)$ | 1.00: 0.95: 0.31 (1.77) |
|  | hindleg | 1.00: 1.00: 0.34 (1.26 $\pm 0.12,14)$ | 1.00: 1.01: 0.29 (1.93) |

I am confident in the synonymy of $T$. lucida, Koormonga sp. "AV1" and K. pilosa based on morphological similarity, especially with regard to the the size and shape of the male genitalia. I therefore formally synonymise T. lucida with K. pilosa. The types of K.pilosa now become part of the type series for T. lucida.

I was unable to collect a specineen from the type locality of Cedar Creek in Queensiand to confirm my diagnosis (see 3.3.2.2). A small number of specimens of Koorrnonga sp. "AV1" have been found in South East Queensland. Whether this encompasses the Cedar Creek mentioned in Ulmer (1916 and 1919) is unknown. A comprehensive survey of Queensland would be required to determine the extent of the distribution of this species.

Thraulophlebia lucida can be distinguished from all other species in the genus by the following combination of characters. In the imago: (i) forewing hindwing ratio comparatively large; (ii) upper eye size large, often contiguous; (iii) leg banding conspicuous to inconspicuous; (iv) penes with expanded lateral margin in apical third; fused in basal half ventrally; separated at base dorsally; lobes indented apically; subapical lobes ventrally protruding laterally outwards; (v) female body length comparatively shorter on average; (vi) egg ovoid with two rings of tubular processes. In the nymph: (i) labrum subequal to clypeus, lateral margins rounded, antero-median emargination slight, length width ratio less then two on average; single row of frontal setae; secondary hair fringe containing 12-25 setae with width approximately one-sixth across labrum; (ii) hypopharynx superlingua with slightly angular lateral margins; (iii) leg banding inconspicuous to absent; (iv) gill shape with upper lamellae narrowing at tnree quarters length with long apical filament, lower lamellae narrows at four-fifths length with long apical filament.

Specimens examined. NEW SOUTH WALES. Allyn River, 12 km N of Eccleston, 80022101, 21 II $1980,300 \mathrm{~m}, 151^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 32^{\circ} 09^{\prime}, 1$ \& I (AC). Jindabyne, $76022100,21 \mathrm{II}$ 1976, $1000 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 24^{\prime}, 1$ o' $\mathrm{N}, 1$ or $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{AN})$. Wentworth Falls, Blue Mountains, 82111600, 16 XI 1982, $800 \mathrm{~m}, 150^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 33^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$, EPH $1130,1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2$ क N (DC).
QUEENSLAND. Branch Creek, Conondale Ranges, 97082601,26 VIII 1997, 160m, $152^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 26^{\circ} 53^{\prime}$, EPH 1264, 3 of N, 1 \& N (JD). Stony Creek, Conondale Ranges, 97082602, 26 VIII 1997, $140 \mathrm{~m}, 152^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 26^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$, EPH 1259, 3 of N (JD). TASMANIA. Aspley River, Bicheno, 72110900, 9 XI 1972, 20m, $148^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 52^{\prime}, 1$ \& I (AN). Chung River, Rd to Pioneer from St Helens, 95020903, $9 \| 1995,130 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 05^{\prime}$, EPH 0203, 4 © N (PS\&PG). North George River, 94030702, 7 III 1994, EPH 0198, 2 \& N (PS\&MS). Powers Rivulet, 94030703,7 III 1994, 100m, 14800 ${ }^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$, EPH 0225, 3 ㅇ N (PS\& MS). Seven Time Creek, Tasman Highway near Targa, 95020804, 8 II 1995, $400 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$, EPH 0216, 1 of $\mathrm{N}, 4 \circ \mathrm{~N}$ (PS\&PG). St Patricks River,

Nunamara, 95020805, 8 II 1995, 350m, $147^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 41^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$, EPH 0233, 3 © $\mathrm{N}, 2$ 우 N (PS\& PG). VICTORIA. Badger Creek, Dalry Rd, 76032500, 25 III 1976, $90 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$, EPH 1503, 1 \& NI (JD), EPH 1504, 3 ㅇ 1 (JD). Badger Creek, downstream weir, 78033000, 30 III 1978, 280m, $145^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$, EPH 1506, 1 ó S (JD); 80010800, 81 1980, same locality data, 1 ㅇ (JD). Barkly River, Lyndon Flat, 9km NW of Liccla, (Mc14), 76120300, 3 XII 1976, 260m, 146 $34^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 33^{\prime}, 1$ s' $^{\prime \prime}$ (AC). Bellbrook Creek, Midiand Highway, Yinnar South, 99030402, 4 III 1999, 100m, $146^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}, 5$ \& N (KJF). Bonang River, Dellicknora, 97031401, 14 III 1997, $600 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Branch Creek, Victoria Range, Grampians, 66121600, 16 XII 1966, 142¹5' 37 ${ }^{\circ} 23^{\prime}, 1 \delta^{\prime} 1,1$ fl(AN). Dairy Creek, Grampians Tourist Rd, 99011601, 16 I 1999, 290m, $142^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{NSI}, 2$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2 \neq \mathrm{N}$ (KJF). Charleys Creek, 5km S of Gellibrand, 82012900,291 1982, $90 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 38^{\circ} 33^{\prime}, 2$ 이 (AN). Chinaman's Creek, Wilsons Promitory National Park, 99030400,4 III 1999, 60m, $146^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 38^{\circ} 55^{\prime}, 1$ б I, MV light, (TN\& KW). Diamond Creek, Hurstbridge, 28 XI 1986, EPH 1507, 2 d N, 12 \& $N(J D)$. Diamond Creek, 7 km SE of Gembrook, 79013100 , 31 I 1979, 130m, $145^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ $37^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 1$ ol 1 (AN\&AW). Dinner Creek, Wangarabell Rd, 99021301, $13 \| 1999,130 \mathrm{~m}$, $149^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}, 5$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 7$ $7 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Deep Spring, Wombat State Forest, 97122003, 20 XII 1997, 480m, 144 ${ }^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}, 4$ ㅇ N (KJF); 98110103, 1 XI 1998, same locality data, 1 o $\mathrm{NSI}, 2$ ㅇ $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ or $\mathrm{N}, 3$ ㅇ N (KJF). Donnelly Creek, upstream Watts River, 78022300, 23 II 1978, 140m, 145 ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime 2}$ $37^{\circ} 37^{\prime}, \mathrm{EPH}$ 1495, 1 o $^{\circ} 1$ (genitalia missing), 2 \& $1,2 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 1 \% \mathrm{~S}$ (JD). Eurobin River, Mount Buffalo Rd, Mt Buffalo National Park, 96110702,7 XI 1996, 440m, 14650'29' $36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ q $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF}) ; 99021101$, 11 if 1999, same locality data, 2 \& $1,1 \neq \mathrm{S}, 3$ o $\mathrm{N}, 10 \% \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Erinundra Creek, 15km iv of Club Terrace, 75111100, 11 XI 1975, 120m, $148^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 22^{\prime}, 1$ ㅇ I, (JB). Fitzroy River, T\&W Rd, off Princes Highway, 99022801, 28 II 1999, $80 \mathrm{~m}, 141^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$, 1 of N (KJF). Fyans Creek, Grampians Tourist Rd, 00012202, 22 । 2000, 290m, $142^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ i N (KJF). Gellibrand River, Pumping Station at Carlisle River junction, 82012500, 251 1982, $40 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 38^{\circ} 33^{\prime}$ (AN). Gellibrand River, 3 km E Gellibrand, 82012600, 26 I 1982, $80 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 38^{\circ} 31^{\prime \prime} 1 \delta^{\circ} \mathrm{I}$ (RStC\& AN). German Creek, Bright-Tawonga Rd, near Bright, 96110901,9 XI 1996, $440 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ 9 N (KJF). Gulf Stream, downstream Epacris Falls, Mount Victory Rd, Grampians, 99011603, 16 1 1999.
 2000, same locality data, 2 ơ NSI, $1 \not \% \mathrm{NSI}, 2$ of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ $\ddagger \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Hensleigh River, Combienbar Rd Ford, 98012505,25 I 1998, 240m, 149 $01^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}, 1$ б $N(K J F)$. Holland Creek, Fords Bridge, Tatong-Tolmie Rd, 99022003, 20 |l 1999, 360m, $146^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$, 1 o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ (KJF). Howqua River, 12km SE of Merriiig, 71113000, 30

XI 1971, 420m, $146^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 11^{\prime \prime} 1$ s 1 (AN). Jackson's Creek tributary, Waterloo Flat Rd, $97102601,26 \times 1997,470 \mathrm{~m}, 144^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ ㅇ $\mathrm{NSI}, 2$ \& $\mathrm{NI}, 2$ ơ N , 2 ; N (KJF). Jimmys Creek, Glenelg, 94111000, 10 XI 1994, 1 il (MRHI). Johnstone Creek, Portland-Nelson Rd, 97030401, 4 III 1997, 110m, 141¹9'16" $38^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}$, 1 \& N (KJF); 97102501, $25 \times 1997$, same locality data, 2 ㅇ $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ ㅇ N (KJF).
Kangaroo Creek, Kangaroo Rd, 5km E of Daylesford, 97010901,91 1997, 600 m , $144^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ \& NSI, 1 \& NI, 1 \& SI, 3 of N, 2 \& N (KJF). King Parrot Creek, Whittlesea-Yea Rd, 15km SW of Flowerdale, 98030501, 5 III 1998, 350m, $145^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o N (KJF). Lardner Creek, Lardners Rd, 96040504, 5 IV 1996, $80 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$ (KJF). Love Creek, Loves Creek Picnic Ground, Colac-Beech Forrest Rd, 98010303, 3 I 1998, 100m, $143^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Main Creek, Baldry's Rd, Green's Bush National Park, 97041601, 16 IV 1997, $110 \mathrm{~m}, 144^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}, 1$ q N (KJF). Martins Creek No. 2, Bonang Rd, 0.5 km S of Malinns, $99021306,13!11999,260 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime}, 2$ i $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Perisher Creek, Smiggin Holes-Guthega Rd, 97120203, 2 XII 1997, 1520m, $148^{\prime 2} 25^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 22^{\prime}, 1$ o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{NSI}, 1$ \& NSI, $1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{NS}, 1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Sassafras Creek, 1 km N of Kallista, $74103000,30 \times 1974$, $320 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 52^{\prime}, 1$ o $^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$ (AN). Scrubby Valley Creek, Flat Rock Crossing, Glenelg River Rd, 161 1999, $290 \mathrm{~m}, 142^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o' $^{\prime} \mathrm{NS}$ (KJF). Spring Creek, 4.5 km upstream Alexandra-Yarck Rd, 81110400,4 XI 1981, 220m, $145^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 08^{\prime}$, EPH 1509, 1 $\sigma^{7}$ NS (JD). Starvation Creek, 76031900, 19 III 1976, 240m, $145^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$, EPH 1490 , 1 on NSI, (genitalia missing) (JD), EPH 1491, 1 or I, (genitalia missing) (JD), EPH 1492, 1 ¢ ! (JD), EPH 1493, 1 ol, (genitalia missing), 1 ㅇ (JD); 76042302, 23 IV 1976, same locality data, EPH 1495, 1 of (JD), EPH 1501, 3 of (JD), EPH 1502, 4 \& NSI, 9 \% S (JD). Stevensons Falls, Upper Gellibrand River, 82012000, 201 1982, 3 \& I, 2 ㅇ I (AN\& AW). Stony Creek, Wonderland Car Park, Grampians, 99011602, 16 I 1999, 410 m , $142^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& NS, 1 \& NS, 3 o $^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 7$ \& N (KJF). Tarra River, Yarram, 91031200, 12 IIf 1991, $320 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{MRHI})$. Tarwin River East, Mirboo Bridge, Mirboo, 99030404, 4 III 1999, 90m, $146^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}, 1$ 우 $N(K J F)$. Thurra River, Princes Highway, 74033000,30 III 1974, $140 \mathrm{~m}, 149^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 34^{\prime}, 1$ of 1,1 i 1 (AN). Thurra River west branch tributary, Thurra Junction Rd, $97101801,18 \times 1997$, $200 \mathrm{~m}, 149^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}, 6$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 5$ \& N (KJF). Wannon River, Serra RdBridge, 25 km S of Halls Gap, 83120400, 4 XII 1983, 320m, $142^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 21^{\prime}, 1$ ㅇ 1 (AN).

Wellington River, Tamboritha Rd, Alpine National Park, 96092204, 22 IX 1996, 340m, $146^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}, 1$ d $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Werribee River, Blakeville-Bunding Rd, 99032601, 26 III 1999, $550 \mathrm{~m}, 144^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o' $^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}, 1$ ot $^{\prime} \mathrm{NS}, 11$ ơ $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 12$ \% $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$.

Wingan River, Drummer Rd, Coopracambra National Park, 98012501, 25 I 1998, 180m, $149^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}, 2$ ㅇ N (KJF). Yzrra Flats, Healesville, 65091400,14 IX 1965, 1 ó

N (AN). Yarra River, near McMahons Creek, 76021900, 19 II 1976, 250m, $145^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ $37^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$, 1 ㅇ I (AN). Yarra River, O'Shannassy Rd, 79022100, 21 || 1979, EPH 1498, 1 o' I, (genitalia missing), (JD). Yarra River, Reefton Rd, 78020900, 9 || 1978, 260m, 1450 $50^{\prime}$ $37^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$, EPH 1500, 1 ơ NS (JD); 79102400, $24 \times 1979$, same locality data, EPH 1496, 1 $\sigma^{\circ} 1$ (JD), EPH 1497, 1 ㅇ NS (JD), EPH 1499, 6 ㅇ (JD). Yarra River, Willgrove, 76022400, 24 II 1976, 1 ¢ I (AN). Yea River, 7km S of Glenburn, 72120100, 1 XII 1972, $330 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 29^{\prime}, 10^{\circ} 1(\mathrm{AN})$.
3.4.3.3 Thraulophlebia inconspicua (Eaton) comb. nov.

Leptophlebia inconspicua Eaton (Eaton 1871)
Atalophlebia inconspicua (Eaton) (Eaton 1883-1888)
Atalophlebia inconspicua (Eaton) (Ulmer 1908)
Atalonella inconspicua (Eaton) (Suter and Bishop 1980)
Atalophlebia inconspicua (Eaton) (Campbell 1986)
Nousia inconspicua (Eaton) (Suter 1986)
Nousia inconspicua (Eaton) (Campbell 1988)
Koorrnonga inconspicua (Eaton) (Campbell and Suter 1988)
Nousia inconspicua (Eaton) (Suter and Bishop 1990)
Koorrnonga inconspicua (Eaton) (Peters and Campbell 1991)
Thraulophlebia inconspicua (Eaton) comb. nov.

Types. Holotype. None designated. Syntypes. Leptophlebia inconspicua Eaton, Adelaide, South Australia, date unknown, 5 o $^{*}$ imago/subimagos, A.E. Eaton, Held at the OUM. (Eaton 1883-1888; Kimmins 1960). [not seen].

Distribution. South Australia (Fig. 214).

Diagnosis. This species has been previously thoroughly described by Suter (1986) and Campbell and Suter (1988). However, access to limited slide material and MRHI specimens from South Australia have enabled a redescription for comparison. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 6.7-7.2 (6.8 $\pm 0.21,5)$; forewing length $6.5-8.1(7.6 \pm 0.42$, 11); hindwing length $1.16-1.58(1.47 \pm 0.11,16)$; forewing hindwing ratio 4.94-5.31 $(5.08 \pm 0.12,9)$. General body colour brown to black. Head: brown to black. Antennae: pedicel and scape dark brown, flagellum golden. Ocelli: three; black with white-grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, almost contiguous, ES = 0.10-0.15 (0.13 $\pm 0.02,5)$; upper lobes tan brown, lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: pronotum and mesonotum brown. Legs: femora light brown, tibiae and tarsi golden,
banding inconspicuous or absent; forelegs with seven segments, total foreleg lengths 6.29-7.46 (6.89土0.32, 10); leg length ratios 1.00: 1.44: 0.08: 0.45: 0.43: 0.32: 0.16 $(1.78 \pm 0.08,10)$; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook (Fig. 215). Wings. Forewing (Fig. 216): membrane hyaline, pterostigmal region slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yeliow; costal and subcostal crossveins absent in proximal halves of wings, costal space with 7-9 (11) crossveins distally, subcostal space with 4-7 (11) crossveins distally. Three bullae present on veins $\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4+5}$, MA forked at around half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and CuA , CuA and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved to join CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{ICu}, \mathrm{ICu}$, and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel or very slightly diverging as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by cross vein to $\mathrm{A}_{1}$. Hindwing (Fig. 217): mostly hyaline; costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; strong projection of the costa, joins subcosta at approximately two-thirds to three-quarters wing length; no cross veins in proximal half of costal space, 34 (11) in distal space, 3-5 (11) throughout subcostal space. Abdomen (Fig. 218): dark brown with golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, a goiden coloured maculae anteriorly and medially on segments two to nine incorporating midline stripe; pattern variable. Genitalia (Figs 219-222): forceps three segmented; first segment brown basally, progressively lighter apically; terminal segment globular, about the same length as the middle segment; penes brown; two lobes distinct, with expanded lateral margin in apical third, each lobe not indented apically; separated almost at base dorsally (Figs. 219, 221); fused in basal third ventrally (Figs 220, 222); ventral subapical lobes protruding laterally outwards; no internal spines or processes apparent. Caudal filaments: three; brown; terminal filament longer than cerci. Female imago. Dimensions: body length 7.0-8.8 (7.6 $\pm 0.80,6$ ); forewing length 7.0-8.5 (7.6 $\pm 0.70,6$ ); hindwing length 1.101.70 ( $1.47 \pm 0.22,6$ ); forewing hindwing ratio 4.93-6.45 (5.30 $\pm 0.65,5$ ). General patterning and colouring similar to male. Eyes: grey-black; separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye. Legs: total leg length shorter than male; forelegs with six segments, total foreleg length 3.95-5.13 (4.54 $\pm 0.83,2)$; leg length ratios $1.00: 1.35: 0.18: 0.17: 0.15: 0.15(1.52 \pm 0.33,2)$. Wings. Forewing (Fig. 223): colour and venation similar to male except with crossveins in proximal halves of wing and generally more crossveins on average than male; costal space with 3-5 (6) weakly developed crossveins proximally, 10-13 (6) distally, subcostal space with $4-5$ (6) weakily developed crossveins proximally, 6-10 (6) distally. Hindwing (Fig. 224): no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 3-4 in distal space (2), 4-5 throughout costal space (2). Abdomen (Fig. 225): sternum seven with small genital extension, sternum nine deeply cleft. Egg. Ovoid, polar cap with three rings of tubular processes on each apex; chorion with small evenly shaped circular protuberances (Figs. 226, 227). Male and female
subimago. General colour and markings similar to imago. Wings uniformly grey-brown, opaque. Forelegs of male not elongated. Genitalia not fully developed. Mature nymph. (Fig. 228). Body lengths © $6.3-7.5(6.9 \pm 0.60,3)$, $96.7-10(8.0 \pm 0.93,9)$. General colour light to middle brown with darker brown markings. Head: prognathous; brown; width 1.50 1.65 ( $1.58 \pm 0.06,11$ ). Ocelli: inree; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum goiden; more than one and half times length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male reddish-brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus (Fig. 229): lateral margins of clypeus very slightly diverging towards anterior; lateral margins of labrum subequal to slightly wider than clypeus, rounded; labrum anterior margin with slight antero-median emargination; labrum length 0.32-0.41 ( $0.37 \pm 0.02,23$ ); labrum width $0.64-0.83(0.73 \pm 0.05,23)$; width length ratio 1.82-2.11 (1.96 $\pm 0.07,23$ ); five elongated denticles present on anterior margin extending $0.10-0.20(0.14 \pm 0.02,23)$ across labrum; denticle width labrum width ratio 0.14-0.25 ( $0.19 \pm 0.03,23$ ); frontal setae arranged as a narrow band; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from narrow band, reaching well beyond the frontal setae, extends $0.15-0.21(0.18 \pm 0.02,22)$ the width of labrum, containing between 20-40 (18) setae; secondary hair fringe width to labrum width ratio 0.19-0.30 (0.25 $\pm 0.03,22$ ); thick socketed setae present lateral to secondary hair fringe. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved, long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins, shorter setae between long setae and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; sparse tuft of setae on lower mandible body. Left mandible (Fig. 230): two incisors, each with three apical teeth, outer incisor often inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; prostheca robust, serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a thin point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible (Fig. 231): spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth; often inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, inner incisor with two apical teeth; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 232): galea-lacinae as wide as long, width length ratio 0.89-1.11 (0.98 $\pm 0.07,19$ ); subapical row of 17-21 (18) pectinate setae; palpi three segmented, terminal palp with single spine on apex; palp length ratios 1.00: 0.83: 0.75 ( $0.23 \pm 0.02,78$ ). Hypopharynx (Fig. 233): well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua shallowly cleft; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, rounded lateral margins. Labium (Fig. 234): glossae not turned under ventraliy and lying in same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface; palp length ratios 1.00 : 0.88 : $0.62(0.3410 .03,17)$; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: brown; pronotum width $1.30-1.72(1.57 \pm 0.09,11)$, equal to width of head (see above); head pronotum width ratio $0.91-1.05$ ( $1.00 \pm 0.05,11$ ). Legs (Fig. 235): golden to tan brown with
banding inconspicuous or absent; total foreleg length 3.13-3.48 (3.31 $\pm 0.12,10)$; fore femora length $1.30-1.45(1.38 \pm 0.05,10)$; fore femora width $0.45-0.53(0.48 \pm 0.03,10)$; fore femora length width ratio $2.74-3.11(2.89 \pm 0.12,10)$; fore tibiae with numerous short ventral spines, sometimes serrated; fore tarsi with 8-13 (10) ventral spines, length 0.25 $0.38(0.30 \pm 0.04,10)$ width of tarsus; tarsal claws (Fig. 236) with 12-15 (10) ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated on inner margin; leg length ratios, foreleg 1.00: $0.93: 0.46(1.38 \pm 0.05,10)$, midleg 1.00: 0.91: $0.39(1.40 \pm 0.06$, 10), hindleg 1.00: 0.96: $0.36(1.49 \pm 0.06,10)$. Abdomen: dark brown with golden markings medially on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, golden patches also laterally on each segment, pattern variable; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Fig. 237): membrane clear to pink; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae similarly shaped; upper lamellae narrows at three-quarters length with long apical filament, fower lamellae narrows at four-fifths length with shorter apical filament; main and lateral tracheae present, strongly developed. Caudal filaments three; golden; terminal filament longer than cerci.

Remarks. There has been much confusion as to the generic designation of inconspicua with numerous changes occurring since it was described. Eaton (1871) originally placed the species in Leptophlebia along with numerous other species. He admitted, however, that some of these species were placed there for lack of anywhere eise to put them, although he did not identify which ones. Once he had established the new genus Atalophlebia (Eaton 1881), and examined the distinction between this genus and Leptophlebia, he created the new combination Atalophlebia inconspicua (Eaton 18831888). Eaton drew the penes fused along most of their length, while Uimer (1908) describes them as divideci while noting they are held tightly together in the alcohol preserved specimens and widely separated in dried specimens. Suter (1986) later claimed the separation of the two lobes readily occurs with air dried specimens and slide mounted material, however, he questions whether it is indeed the same species due to variation in forewing venation. Following Ulmer, Tillyard (1936) included Atalophlebia inconspicua in his key to the Tasmanian species of the genus Atalophlebia but by this stage the description was based on the imago and subimago only, the nymph was yet to be identified. Suter and Bishop (1980) collected the nymph in an intermittent stream in South Australia reierring to it as Atalonella inconspicua. The new combination of Nousia inconspicua was introduced by Suter (1986) some years later and introduced the first full description of all life cycle stages. Arourd the same time a paper was published referring to Atalophlebia inconspicua (Campbell 1986). In the Zoological Catalogue of Ephemeroptera it is referred to as Nousia inconspicua (Campbell 1988), and in the same
year a taxonomic review paper was published in which it was considered there were sufficient differences between this species and Nousia to warrant the establishment of the genus Koorrnonga into which this species was placed (Campbell and Suter 1988). Suter reverted to using the old name Nousia inconspicua in a subsequent paper (Suter and Bishop 1990), probably in error. The correct name Koorrnonga inconspicua was used in the Ephemeroptera chapter of the second edition of "The Insects of Australia" (Peters and Campbell 1991).

Examination of a small number of Suter's specimens and some of those collected for the MRHI has led me to conclude that Koorrnonga inconspicua in fact belongs in the genus Thraulophlebia to which it is hereby transferred. This is confirmed in the main by the shape of the genitalia and hindwing, the venation of the forewing and the fabrum characteristics of the nymph. Although a redescription was not considered entirely necessary due to the comprehensive description of Suter (1986) it was undertaken to confirm the diagnosis.

A comparison was also made between the redescription of $T$. inconspicua and the literature descriptions and was found to conform in many respects (Table 14). However, there are a number of discrepancies that should also be taken account of (Table 15).

Some of the body lengths of the imago as measured by Eaton (1871) and Suter (1986) are well outside the range of my measurements $6.7-7.2(6.8 \pm 0.21,5)$, especially the relatively short body length of Eaton (1871) at $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. It occurs to me that perhaps Eaton's measurements were not precise as he was unable to distinguish between 5 and 6 mm for the one individual. If the animal actually measured closer to 6 mm than 5 it almost fits within the range measured by Suter (1986) (6.07-7.95 (7.27 $\pm 05,26)$ ). I am reassured by the fact that the mean body length measured by $\operatorname{Suter}(1986,7.2)$ is similar to my mean length (6.8). It must be remembered that Suter's measurements $(n=26)$ are likely to be more accurate than mine $(n=5)$ as he had access to more specimens. Similarly, the range of some of the male imago forewing lengths in the literature are outside my measurements. Tillyard (1936) measured the forewing length as 5 mm but I am sceptical of this for, as far as I am aware, $T$. inconspicua does, not occur in Tasmania.

Examination of the forewing in the slide mounted specimens borrowed from Suter clearly showed that CuP is connected to $A_{1}$ by a crossvein yet this feature is not apparent in the drawings of Ulmer (1908), Suter (1986) or Campbell and Suter (1988). (Admittedly, though the forewing drawings of Campbell and Suter (1988) are reproduced from Suter (1986). There is no accounting for this except to say that perhaps the anal veins, which tend to a faint yellow colour, are more difficult to see than the brown longitudinal
crossveins and the feature could easily have been missed and therefore not represented in the drawing.

Although the leg length ratios of the male imago are similar, the total leg length varies significantly between my measurements (6.29-7.46 (6.89 $\pm 0.32,10)$ ) and those calculated from the ratios by Suter $(1986,8.6)$. I cannot account for this large difference although Suter (1986) does not state how many individuals he measured and may have been referring to one aberrant individual.

Despite the fact that the SEM micrographs of the genitalia shown here (Figs. 221, 222) are taken from Suter's specimens they do vary a little from those depicted in Suter (1986, FIG. 15b, p. 380, reproduced here as Fig. 238). Suter's drawing and SEM show the lobes to be quite separate apically, bowing strongly outwards, and curving back in to meet apically whereas Figs. 221 \& 222 show a less dramatic separation. Both of these vary from Ulmer's drawing (Ulmer 1908, FIG. 29a \& b, p. 43, reproduced here as Fig. 239), which I am inclined to discount as over-simplified. He does, however, state that "Der Penis ist tief gespalten, so daß zwei Flügel eentstehen; die Form derselben variiert nach dem höheren oder geringeren Grade der Austricknung." ("The penis is deeply divided so that two wings arise; the shape of it varies according to the degree of drying") (Ulmer 1908, p.43). Perhaps the variation seen in the micrograph taken by Suter and myself are as a result of shrinkage from drying during the process of SEM preparation. In other respects the penes morphology between the two is similar with the distinctive subapical ventral lobes and no internal spines or processes.

The egg morphology, and the question of whether the species has two rings or three rings of tubular processes, has been hampered somewhat by the lack of specimens. The SEM micrographs of $T$. inconspicua from Suter (1986, FIG. 16a, p 281) and Campbell and Suter (1988, FiG. 85, p. 272, the same photo in fact, reproduced here as Fig. 240), clearly shows a polar cap with two rings of tubular processes on each apex. However, 1 have extracted eggs from a female imago from the Sturt River, Coramandel Valley (Suter's collection) which has three rings of tubular processes. I also have access to a slide mount of a female imago abdomen which is labelled "Leptophlebiidae A Atalonella (1) \& abdomen \& eggs Sturt R Coramandel Valley SA 29/4/76 P.S." The eggs contained within the abdomen show much less detail than an SEM but three rings can clearly be seen under transmitted light. The only other egg with the three ring morphology is Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula according to, Suter (1986) and Campbell and Suter (1988) but this has been questioned due to probiems of contamination (see discussion under 3.3.2.4). Both N. (A) fuscula and T.inconspicua have been found in Tookayerta Creek so it may be that this $N$. (A) fuscula egg actually belongs to $T$. inconspicua! I am extremely
confident that the three ringed eggs I extracted from the Sturt River female imago belong to that individual and believe that it is a species characieristic.

The few discrepancies between the descriptions and redescription in the nymphs relate to the length of the maxillary and labium palp. It appears that the length of the second maxillary palp measured by me (1.00: 0.83: $0.75(0.23 \pm 0.02,18)$ is consistently shorter than those measured by Suter (1986, 1.00: 0.92: $0.80(0.22)$. Similarly, the length of the second labial paip measured by me (1.00: 0.88: $0.62(0.34 \pm 0.02,18)$ is consistently longer than those of Suter (1.00: 0.79: $0.64(0.35)$. This is despite the fact that some of my measurements included slide material obtained from Suter! However, it is not clear how many individuals were measured in Suter's paper as no $n$ or standard deviation is given, so comparisons may be spurious.

Further, I considered other nymphs described by Dean (1999) as Koorrnonga sp. "AV3" and Koormonga sp. "AV5" were morphologically very similar to T. inconspicua. As far as I am aware only one specimen of Koorrnonga sp. "AV3" exists; a male nymph from the Wannon River near Dunkeld. Koorrnonga sp. "AV5" has also been found in the Wannon River but also apparently occurs in south-east Queensland and New South Wales. Attempts were made to collect more specimens of Koormonga sp. "AV3" and sp. "AV5" to confirm "synonymy" with $T$. inconspicua but despite two visits to Dunkeld where the Wannon River (99022804), a Wannon river tributary (99022805) and the nearby Wannon River Falls (00122004) were sampled, no such specimens were found.

I am aware that it is dangerous to make these comparisons without seeing the type specimens but it appears that the type specimens have been lost. Several requests were forwarded to the OUM to obtain the fype but they cannot find any trace of this specimen. Further to this there is some confusion as to the whereabouts of the type specimen, for although Eaton clearly states he placed the new combination Atalophlebia inconspicua in the "Hope Museum Oxford" (Eaton 1883-1888) in a previous paper where the species was actually described for the first time Eaton (137i) states "My own types of new species are placed in the British Museum." Subsequent requests made to the NHM for this specimens have also proved fruitless.

Table 14. Similarities between the redescription of Thraulophlebia inconspicua and the literature descriptions of Atalophlebia inconspicua, Nousia inconspicua and Koorrnonga inconspicua.

|  | Thraulophlebia inconspicua | literature descriptions of inconspicua |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% ${ }_{\text {ala }}$ Imago |  |  |
| hindwing length | 1.16-1.58 (1.47 $\pm 0.11,16)$ | 1.2-1.8 (Suter 1986) |
| head colour | brown to black | black (Suter 1986) |
| eye colour | upper: tan brown, lower: grey-black | upper: light brown, lower: grey (Suter 1986) |
| leg length ratios | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 1.44: 0.08: 0.45: 0.43: 0.32: 0.16 \\ & (1.78 \pm 0.08,10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.00: 1.46: 0.14:0.48:0.45:0.33:0.17 (2.13) (Suter } \\ & 1986 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| leg banding | absent | absent (Suter 1986) |
| tarsal claws | similar | similar (Suter 1986) |
| thorax colour | brown | dark brown-black (Suter 1986) |
| costal crossveins - proximal | ábsent | absent (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| subcostal crossveins - proximal | absent | absent (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| bullae | $\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ | Sc, $R_{2}, R_{4+5}$ |
| MA fork | about half | about half (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and CuA | yes | yes (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| CuA, Cup linked by crossvein | yes | yes (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| $\mathrm{CLu}_{1}$ joins CUA | yes | yes(Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| $1 \mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ joins $/ \mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ | yes | yes (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICU}_{2}$ paraliel or diverging | parallel | parallel (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| hindwing - length at which costa joins subcosta | two thirds to three quarters wing length | three-quarters wing length (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| hindwing - costal crossveins | 3-4 | 4-5 (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| hindiwing - subcostal crossveins | 3-5 | 3-5 (Suter 1986) <br> 4 (Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| abdomen colour | dark brown with golden markings, variable | piceous (Eaton 1871) <br> black with light central marking dorsally (Suter 1986) |
| penes - processes | no internal spines or processes | no internal spines or processes (Suiter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988). |
| Female Imago |  |  |
| sternum nine | deeply cleft | deep V shaped incision (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |

Table 14 cont'd.

|  | Thraulophilebia inconspicua | literature descriptions of inconspicua |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nymph |  |  |
| head colour | brown | dark brown (Suter 1986) |
| head width | 1.50-1.65 (1.58 $\pm 0.06,11$ ) | 1.54-1.70 (1.59) (Suter 1986) |
| eye colour - male | upper: reddish-brown, lower: black | upper: dark reddish brown (Suter 1986) |
| labrum antero-median emargination | yes, slight | yes, slight (Suter 1986) |
| labrum length width ratio | 1.82-2.11 (1.96 $\pm 0.07,24$ ) | 2 times wider than long (Suter 1986) |
| left mandible incisors | 3,3 | 3,3 (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| left mandible prostheca | robust serrated, | robust serrated, (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| left mandible prosthecal tuft | slender, hairs on lateral margins only | slender, hairs on lateral margins only (Suter 1986; Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| right mandible incisors | 3,2 | 3,2 (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| right mandible prostheca | robust slender | robust slender (Suter 1986) |
| right mandible prosthecal tuft | slender, hairs on lateral margins only | slender, hairs on lateral margins only (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| hypopharynx | shallowly cleft | shallowly cleft (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| pronotum width | 1.36-1.72 (1.57 $\pm 0.09,11)$ | 1.38-1.56 (1.50 ${ }^{\text {a }} 0.08$, 8) (Suter 1986) |
| leg colour | golden to tan brown | brown (Suter 1986) |
| leg banding | inconspicuous or absent | inconspicuous or absent (Dean 1999) |
| foreleg | 1.00: 0.93:0.46 (1.38 $\pm 0.05,10)$ | 1.00:0.92:0.52 (1.39) (Suter 1986) |
| midieg | 1.00:0.91:0.39 (1.40土0.06, 10) | 1.00:0.92:0.44 (1.39) (Suter 1986) |
| hindleg | 1.00: 0.96: 0.36 (1.49 $\pm 0.06,10)$ | 1.00: 0.98:0.36 (1.49) (Suter 1986) |
| abdomen colour | dark brown with lighter markings, pattern variable | brown dorsally, light stripe mid-line (Suter 1986) |
| gills | double, upper lamellae slightly longer than lower | double, upper lamellae slightly longer than lower (Suter 1986) |
| caudal filaments | terminal filament longer than cerci | terminal filament length 10.8, cerci 9.9 length (Suter 1986) |

Table 15. Discrepancies between the redescription of Thraulophlebia inconspicua and the literature descriptions of Atalophlebia inconspicua, Nousia inconspicua and Koormonga inconspicua.

|  | Thraulophlebia. inconspicua | literature descriptions of inconspicua |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago |  |  |
| body leng:i | 6.7-7.2 (6.8 $\pm 0.21 .5)$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5.6 \text { (Eaton 1871) } \\ 7 \text { (Ulmer 1908) } \\ 6.07-7.95(7.27) \text { (Suter 1986) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| forewing length | 6.5-8.1 (7.6 $\pm 0.42,11)$ | 6.7 (Eaton 1871) 7 (Ulmer 1908) 5 (Tillyard 1936) $5.74-8.36$ (7.25) (Suter 1986) |
| forewing CuP attached to $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | yes | no (Ulmer 1908, Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| total leg length | 6.9 | 8.6 |
| hindwing C joins Sc | at two thirds wing length | at three-quarters wing length (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| penes shape | lateral expansion more apical | lateral expansion appears more distal (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| penes internal spines | yes | no. (Suter 1986) |
| Egg |  |  |
|  | three rings of tubular processes | two rings of tubular processes (Suter 1986, Campbell and Suter 1988) |
| Nymph |  |  |
| maxillae palp length ratios | 1.00:0.83: 0.75 (0.23 $\pm 0.02,18)$ | 1.00: 0.92: 0.80 (0.22) (Suter 1986) |
| labium palp length ratios | 1.00: 0.88: 0.62 (0.34 $\pm 0.03,17)$ | 1.00:0.79: 0.64 (0.35) (Suter 1986) |
| fore tarsi number of ventral spines | 8-13 (10) | 15-20 (Dean 1999) |

T. lucida also appears morphologically very similar to $T$. inconspicua, particularly in the nymph, and it was extremely difficult to separate the two species by eye. However, on further examination differences between the genitalia of the two species proved to be consistent. Subsequent measurements taken revealed consistent morphological variations beiween the two taxa (Table 16). Differences in the means of all the measurements were tested using a two tailed $t$-test assuming unequal variances.

At first glance, the male imagos of the two species are also remarkably similar. Body length, colour and wing venation are all consistent. The most pronounced difference is in the shape of the genitalia. T-tests revealed that the forewing, hindwing, upper eye size and length of foreleg in $T$. inconspicua are significantly larger than those of T. Iucida. Similarly, there is a significant difference in the forewing hindwing ratio with the hindwing of $T$. inconspicua being one-fifth the length of forewing on average, compared with the hindwing of $T$. lucida which is only one-sixth or less the length of the forewing on average.

In the female imago, body and hindwing length vary significantly with $T$. inconspicua being larger. The forewing hindwing ratio also varies significantly in the same direction and with similar dimensions as the male. The foreleg measurements, however, are not significantly different.

The nymph is superficially similar in many respects, however, the $t$-tests reveal many significant differences in size and shape of body parts. Very highly significant to highly significant differences were found in most of the measurements compared including: head width, pronotum width, labrum length, labrum width, labrum length width ratio, denticle width, denticle width labrum width ratio, secondary hair fringe width, secondary hair fringe to labrum width ratio, maxillae galea-lacinae width and length, maxillary palp lengths, labial paip lengths, foreleg lengths, femora length width and length width ratios with $T$. inconspicua always being larger. The labrum ratio measurements in particular reveal that the labrum of $T$. inconspicua is slightly wider than long, has a narrower denticle width and a larger secondary hair fringe relative to that of $T$. lucida. The labrum, I believe, is one of tise few characters of the nymph that can be used to reliably separate the two species morphologically. Other ratios (maxillae galea-lacinae width length ratio, head pronotum width ratio) showed no significant differences indicating that they were proportionately the same shape.

I have enough confidence in the dissimilarity of the two to retain them as separate species. One should be aware that apart from the maie genitalia the two look superficially similar and the differences are difficult to distinguish without dietailed measurements.

Thraulophlebia inconspicua can be distinguished from all other species in the genus by the following combination of characters: In the imago: (i) forewing hindwing ratio comparatively small; (ii) upper eye size large, but never contiguous; (iii) leg banding inconspicuous or absent; (iv) penes expanded lateral margin in apical third, fused in basal third ventrally, separated aimost at base dorsally; lobes not indented apically; subapical lobes ventrally protruding laterally outwards; (v) female body length comparatively longer on average; (vi) egg ovoid with three rings of tubular processes. In the nymph: (i) labrum subequal to slightly wider than clypeus, lateral margins rounded, antero-mecian emargination slight, length width ratio around two on average, narrow band of frontal setae, secondary hair fringe contains 20-40 setae with width approximately one-quarter across labrum; (ii) hypopharynx superlingua with rounded latera: margins; (iii) leg banding inconspicuous to absent; (iv) gill shape with upper lamellae narrowing at three quaters length with long apical filament, lower lamellae narrows at four-fifths length with long apical filament.

Tabic 16. Comparison of the ciaracteristics of Thraulophlebia lucida and Thraulophlebia inconspicua showing outcemes of t-tests comparing the two species where measurements were available.

|  | Thraulophlebia lucida | Thraulophlebia inconspicua | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago |  |  |  |
| body length | 5.7-7.4 (6.6 $\pm 0.45,20)$ | $6.7-7.2(6.8 \pm 0.21,5)$ | ns |
| forewing length | 5.7-7.4 (6.6 $\pm 0.51,21)$ | 6.5-8.1 (7.6 $\pm 0.42,11$ ) | *** |
| hindwing length | 0.83-1.26 (0.98 $\pm 0.10,17)$ | 1.16-1.58(1.47 $\pm 0.11,16)$ | *** |
| forewing hindwing ratio | $5.87-7.56$ (6.80土 0.59, 17) | 4.94-5.31 (5.08 $\pm 0.12,9)$ | ** |
| head colour | dark brown | brown to black |  |
| eye colour | upper: orange-brown, lower: grey-black | upper: tan brown, lower: grey-black |  |
| eye size (ES) | 0.00-0.04 (0.01 $\pm 0.01,10)$ | 0.10-0.15 (0.13 $\pm 0.02,5)$ | *** |
| total length of foreleg | 5.64-6.61 (6.08 $\pm 0.33,10)$; | 6.29-7.46 (6.89 $0.32,10$ ) | *** |
| leg length ratios | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 1.45: 0.06: 0.50: 0.48: 0.37: 0.17 \\ & (1.52: 0.14,10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.00: 1.44: } 0.08: 0.45: 0.43: 0.32: 0.16(1.78 \pm 0.08, \\ & 10) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| foreleg length of segment one | 1.25-1.65 (1.52土 0.14, 10) | 1.60-1.88 (1.78 $\pm 0.08,10)$ | *** |
| leg colour | golden brown, darker markings | light brown to golden |  |
| leg banding | absent | absent |  |
| tarsal claws | similar | similar |  |
| thorax colour | $\tan$ to dark brown | brown |  |
| forewing costal crossveins - distaliy | absent | absent |  |
| forewing costal crossveiris - proximally | 7-11 (19) | 7-9 (11) |  |
| forewing subcostal crossveins - distally | absent | absent |  |
| forewing subcostal crossveins - proximally | 5-10 (19) | 4-7 (11) |  |
| buliae | $\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ | Sc, $\mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ |  |

Table 16 cont'd.

|  | Thraulophlebia fucida | Thraulophlebia inconspicua | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA fork | about half | about half |  |
| $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\overline{\mathrm{MP}} \mathbf{1}_{1}$ and CuA | yes | yes |  |
| CUA, CuP linked by crossvein | yes | yes |  |
| $1 \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{l}_{1}$ joins CuA | yes | yes |  |
| $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ joins $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ | yes | yes |  |
| $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel or diverging | parallel | parallel |  |
| CuP attached to $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | yes | yes |  |
| hindwing - costal crossveins | 2-3(17) | 3-4 (11) |  |
| hirdwing - subcostal crossveins | 3-5 (17) | 3-5 (11) |  |
| abdomen colour | tan to chocolate brown with golden markings | dark brown with golden markings, variable |  |
| penes shape | lateral expansion more distal than inconspicua (two-thirds length) | lateral expansion more apical (four-fifths length) |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Femaie Imago |  |  |  |
| body length | 5.6-7.6 (6.6 $\pm 0.56,25)$ | $7.0-8.8$ (7.6 $\pm 0.80,6)$ | f: |
| forewing length | 5.9-8.3 (7.2 $\pm 0.58,21)$ | 7.0-8.5 (7.6 $\pm 0.70,6)$ | ns |
| hindwing length | 0.69-1.28 (1.04土0.14, 20) | 1.10-1.70 (1.47 $\pm 0.22,6)$ | ** |
| forewing hindwing ratio | 5.47-8.55 (6.93 $\pm 0.77,20)$ | 4.93-6.45 (5.30 $\pm 0.65,5)$ | ** |
| eye colour | grey-black | grey-black |  |
| eye separation | 4 times max width of eye | 4 times max width of eye |  |
| total foreleg length | $3.81-4.80$ (4.25 $\pm 0.38,10)$ | 3.95-5.13 (4.54 $\pm 0.83,2)$ | ns |

Table 16 cont'd.

|  | Thraulophlebia lucida | Thraulophlebia inconspicua | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| leg length ratios | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 1.37: 0.22: 0.19: 0.15: 0.15(1.38 \pm 0.14, \\ & 10) \end{aligned}$ | 1.00: 1.35: $0.18: 0.17: 0.15: 0.15$ (1.52 $\pm 0.33,2)$ |  |
| foreleg length of segment one | 1.24-1.58 (1.38 $\pm 0.14,10)$ | 1.25-1.75 (1.52 $\pm 0.33,2)$ | ns |
| costal crossveins - distal | 2-6 (22) | 3-5 (6) |  |
| costal crossveins - prox | 8-15 (22) | 10-13 (6) |  |
| subcostal crossveins distal | 0-5 (22) | 4-5 (6) |  |
| subcostal crossveins prox | 8-11 (22) | 6-10 (6) |  |
| hindwing - costal crossveins | 2-3(20) | 3-4 (2) |  |
| hindwing subcostal crossveins | 3-4 (20) | 4-5 (2) |  |
| sternum seven | small genital extension | small genital extension |  |
| sternum nine | deeply cleft | deeply cleft |  |
| Egg |  |  |  |
| egg shape | two rings of tubular processes | three rings of tubular processes |  |
| Nymph |  |  |  |
| body length - male | 5.5-7.2 (6.2 $\pm 0.52,12)$ | 6.3-7.5 (6.9土0.60, 3) | ns |
| body length - female | 6.0-7.3 (6.6 $\pm 0.46 .9)$ | $6.7-10$ (8.0 $\pm 0.93,9)$ | * |
| head colour | tan to middle brown | brown |  |
| head width | 1.28-1.53 (1.41 $\pm 0.08,15)$ | 1.50-1.65 (1.58 $\pm 0.06,11$ ) | ** |
| antennae colour | golder | golden |  |
| eye colour - male | upper: orange-brown, lower: black | upper: reddish-brown, lower: black |  |
| eye colour femaie | black | black |  |
| clypeus lateral margins | slightly diverging towards anterior | slightly diverging towards anterior |  |

Table 16 cont'd.

|  | Thraulophlebia lucida | Thraulophlebia inconspicua | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| labrum lateral margins | subequal to slightly wider than clypeus, rounded | subequal to slightly wider than clypeus, rounded |  |
| labrum antero-median emargination | yes, slight | yes, slight |  |
| labrum length | 0.29-0.40 (0.33 $\pm 0.04,25)$ | 0.32-0.41 (0.37 $\pm 0.02,23)$ | *** |
| labrum width | 0.51-0.75 (0.61 $\pm 0.06,25)$ | 0.64-0.83 (0.73 $\pm 0.05,23)$ | *** |
| labrum length width ratio | 1.69-2.18 (1.86 $\pm 0.13,25)$ | 1.82-2.11 (1.96 $\pm 0.07,23$ ) | ** |
| denticles | 5 | 5 |  |
| denticle width | 0.14-0.21 (0.18 $\pm 0.02,24)$ | 0.10-0.20 (0.14土0.02, 23$)$ | *** |
| denticle width labrum width ratio | 0.23-0.38 (0.29 $\pm 0.05,24)$ | 0.14-0.25 (0.19 $\pm 0.03,23)$ | *** |
| Secondary hair fringe width | 0.04-0.14 (0.08士 0.02, 25) | 0.15-0.21 (0.18 $\pm 0.02,22)$ | *** |
| secondary hair fringe / labrum width ratio | 0.06-0.20 (0.14 $\pm 0.03,25)$ | 0.19-0.30 (0.25 $\pm 0.03,22)$ | *** |
| number of hairs in secondary hair fringe | 12-25 (18.5, 20) | 20-40 (32.7, 18) |  |
| left mandible incisors | 3,3 | 3,3 |  |
| left mandible prostheca | robust serrated, | robust serrated, |  |
| left mandible prosthecal tuft | slender, hairs on lateral margins only | slender, hairs on lateral margins only |  |
| right mandible incisors | 3, 2 | 3,2 |  |
| right mandible prostheca | robust slender | robust slender |  |
| right mandible prosthecal tuft | slender, hairs on lateral margins only | slender, hairs on lateral margins only |  |
| maxillae galea-lacinae width | $0.36-0.45$ (0.40 $\pm 0.03,12)$ | 0.40-0.55 (0.48 $\pm 0.04,19)$ | *** |

Table 16 cont'd.

|  | Thraulophlebia fucida | Thraulophlebia inconspicua | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| maxillae galea-lacinae length | 0.34-0.49 (0.40 0 0.04, 12) | 0.43-0.58 (0.50 $\pm 0.04,19)$ | *** |
| maxillae galea lacinae width length ratio | 0.91-1.12 (1.00 $\pm 0.07,12)$ | 0.89-1.11 (0.98 $\pm 0.07,19)$ | ns |
| maxillae - number of pectinate setae in subapical row | 16-21 (16) | 17-21 (18) |  |
| maxillae palp length ratios | 1.00: 0.79: 0.64 (0.22 $\pm 0.02,19)$ | 1.00: 0.83: 0.75 (0.23 $\pm 0.02,18)$ |  |
| total maxillae palp lengths | 0.40-0.58 (0.53 $\pm 0.04,19)$ | (0.50-0.68 (0.59 $\pm 0.05,18)$ | *** |
| hypopharynx | shallowly cleft | shallowiy cleft |  |
| labium palp length ratios | 1.00: 0.86: 0.65 (0.32 $\pm 0.02,12)$ | 1.00: 0.88: 0.62 (0.34土0.03, 17) |  |
| total labium palp length | 0.74-0.88 (0.79 $\pm 0.04,12)$ | $0.75-0.94(0.85 \pm 0.05,17)$ | ** |
| thorax colour | tan to middle brown | brown |  |
| pronotum width | 1.10-1.63 (1.36 $\pm 0.14,15)$ | 1.36-1.72 (1.57 $\pm 0.09,11)$ | *** |
| head pronotum width ratio | 0.84-1.07 (0.96 $\pm 0.08,15)$ | 0.91-1.05 (1.00 $\pm 0.05,11)$ | ns |
| leg colour | goiden to tan brown | golden to tan brown |  |
| leg banding | inconspicuous or absent | inconspicuous or absent |  |
| total foreleg length | 2.53-3.29 (2.89 $\pm 0.21,20)$ | 3.13-3.18 (3.31 $\pm 0.12,10)$ | ** |
| foreleg femora length | 1.05-1.38 (1.21 $\pm 0.10,20)$ | 1.30-1.45 (1.38 $\pm 0.05,10)$ | *** |
| foreleg femora width | $0.28-0.43$ (0.37 $\pm 0.04,20)$ | 0.45-0.53 (0.48 $\pm 0.03,10)$ | *** |
| foreleg femora length width ratio | 2.83-3.75 (3.31 $\pm 0.26,20)$ | 2.74-3.11 (2.89土0.12, 10) | *** |
| fore tarsi spines | 8-14 (22) | 8-13 (10) |  |
| length of fore tarsi spines | 0.20-0.34 (0.27 $\pm 0.04,10)$ | $0.25-0.38(0.30 \pm 0.04,10)$ | ns |

Table 16 cont'd.

|  | Thraulophlebia lucida | Thraulophlebia inconspicua | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tarsal claw teeth | $8-14(22)$ | $12-15(10)$ |  |
| foreleg | $1.00: 0.92: 0.47(1.21 \pm 0.10,20)$ | $1.00: 0.93: 0.46(1.38 \pm 0.05,10)$ |  |
| midleg | $1.00: 0.93: 0.35(1.20 \pm 0.10,15)$ | $1.00: 0.91: 0.39(1.40 \pm 0.06,10)$ |  |
| hindleg | $1.00: 1.00: 0.34(1.26 \pm 0.12,14)$ | $1.00: 0.96: 0.36(1.49 \pm 0.06,10)$ |  |
| abdomen colour | dark brown with golden markings, pattern <br> variable | dark brown with lighter markings, pattern variable |  |
| gills | double, upper lamella longer than lower, longer <br> apical filament | double, upper lamella longer than lower, longer <br> apical filament |  |

Specimens examined. SOUTH AUSTRALIA. Brownhill Creek, 76030300, 3 III 1976, $150 \mathrm{~m}, 138^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 34^{\circ} 59^{\prime}, 3$ ol $^{\prime} 1, \& 1$ (coll. unknown). Bull Creek, '"The Ciiff', Finniss River Survey, location 3929, 89103101, $31 \times 1989,2$ of N, 6 여 (PS\&SS). Deep Creek Tributary, Castambul, 77030400, 04 III 1977, 200m, $138^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 34^{\circ} 52^{\prime}, 1$ o' $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (JEB \& AW). Finniss River, "Riverdale", Finniss River Survey, location 3930, 89103102, 31 X 1989, 1 đ N, 2 ㅇN (PS\&SS). Meadows Creek, Fingerboard corner, Finniss River Survey, location 3173, 89103103, $31 \times 1989,2$ o N, 7 ㅇN (PS\& SS). North East River, near Carnarvan, Kangaroo Island, 77111900, 19 XI 1977, 40m, $136^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 56^{\prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{I}, 1$ 91 (JEB). North Pava River, downstream Orlando Ford, winery discharge, 83081900, 19 VIII 1983, 1 o N. 1 कN (immature), (PS). North Pava River, Tanunda, 91102100, 21 X 1991, $40 \mathrm{~m}, 136^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 56^{\prime}, 3 \& N(P W)$. Rocky River, Flinders Chase National Park, Kangaroo Island: 76121300, 13 XII 1976, 60m, 136 ${ }^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 56^{\prime}, 5$ б N, 9 \&N (WDV); 76121800, 18 XII 1976, same locality data, 8 of $10 \% \mathrm{~N}$ (WDW). Scott Creek, 94110900, 9 XI 1994, 2 o N, 4 ¢N (PG \& CM). South West River, Brigadoon, Kangaroo Island, $77101900,19 \mathrm{XI} 1977,180 \mathrm{~m}, 136^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 52^{\prime}, 1$ © ! (JEB). Spring Creek, 95101100, $11 \times$ 1995, 11 © N, 18 ㅇN (MRHI). Stunsail Broom River, Kangaroo Island, 76121200, $12 \mathrm{XII} 1976,8 \mathrm{~m}, 137^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 59^{\prime}, 2$ \& N (immature), (WDW). Sturt River, Bedford Park, 76112700, 27 XI 1976, 60m, $138^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 02^{\prime}, 2$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (immature), (JES). Sturt River, upstream Minno Creek, $94102600,26 \times 1994,200 \mathrm{~m}, 138^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 02^{\prime}$, 11 б N, $16 \nrightarrow \mathrm{~N}$ (immature), (MRHI). Sturt River, Coramandel Valley: 76042900, 29 IV 1976, $200 \mathrm{~m}, 138^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 03^{\prime}, 2$ of 1,1 이 (PS). Tookayerta Creek, location 3956, 84102200, 22 $X$ 1984, 190m, 1 o $N, 6 \& N$ (immature), (PS). Unnamed Creek, Parawa Rd, near Yankalilla, 78110200, 2 XI 1978, 80m, $138^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 35^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$, (JEB \& AW).

### 3.4.3.4 Thraulophlebia parva (Harker) comb. nov.

Atalophlebia parva Harker (Harker 1950a)
Atalonella panva (Harker) (Harker 1954)
Nousia parva (Harker) (Campbell 1988)
Koorrnonga parva (Harker) (Campbell and Suter 1988)
Koorrnonga sp. "AV2" (Dean 1999)
Thraulophlebia parva (Harker) comb. nov.

Types. Holotype. Atalophlebia parva. Serpentine River, Point Lookout, 4000 feet, 48100000, X 1948, of imago, coli. by J. Harker. Labels: "Holotype Serpentine River Point Lookout 4000' NSW ö imago, 10: 1948 J . Harker ". Paratypes. Same location and collection data, $\uparrow$ imago; 9 subimago, $\circ$ nymph, coll by J. Harker. Labels: $\circ$ imago, a)
"Atalophlebia parva Allotype Serpentine River. Point Lookout, 4,000' N.S.W. 10: 1948 J. Harker"; b) isubimago, "Atalophlebia parva Morphotype (Subimago) Serpentine River. Point Lookout, 4,000' N.S.W. 10: 1948 J. Harker"; c) $\ddagger$ nymph, "Atalophlebia parva Morphotype Nympin Serpentine River. Point Lookout, 4,000' N.S.W. 10: 1948 J. Harker"; Held at the AM.

Distribution. New South Wales, Queensland, Victoria (Fig. 241).
Diagnosis. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 5.6-7.3 (6.7 $\pm 0.65,8$ ); forewing length 5.8-7.1 ( $6.4 \pm 0.49,8$; hindwing length 1.05-1.45 (1.23 $\pm 0.15,7$; forewing hindwing ratio 4.37-5.90 $(5.16 \pm 0.55,7)$. General body colour dark brown to black. Head: tan to dark brown. Antennae, pedicel and scape dark brown, flagellum tan brown. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts, laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, contiguous; upper lobes pink-brown, lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: pronoturn dark brown; mesonotum darker brown, shiny. Legs: brown, femora tan brown with dark brown middle third and dark brown apices; tibiae golden brown, dark brown markings apically; tarsi golden brown; banding conspicuous; forelegs with seven segments, total foreleg length 4.45-5.58 (5.08 $\pm 0.58,4)$; leg length ratios 1.00: 1.44: 0.06: 0.40: 0.38: 0.26: 0.17 $(1.37 \pm 0.09,4)$; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook (Fig. 242). Wings. Forewing (Fig. 243): membrane hyaline, pterostigmatal area slightly opaque, longitudinat and crossveins light brown, anal veins yellow; costal and subcostal crossveins absent from proximal halves of wing, costal space with 6-9 (9) crossveins distally, subcostal space with 5-9 (9) crossveins distally. Three bullae present on veins $\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{R}_{4+5}$, MA forked at around half the distance from wing base to margin, $M P_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and CUA, CuA and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ joins CuA and not linked to CuA -CuP crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel or very slightly diverging as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by crossveins to $A_{1}$. Hindwing (Fig. 244): mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; strong projection of the costa, joins subcosta at approximately two-thirds wing length; no crossveins in proximal half of costal space, 3-4 (7) in distal space, 3-5 (7) crossveins throughout subcostal space. Abdomen (Fig. 245): dark brown to black with light to tan brown patterning on each segment forming a broken stripe midiline, two light coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly. Genitalia (Figs 246-251): forceps three segmented (Figs. 246, 248, 250), tan brown, progressively lighter apically; penes brown, darker apically; each lobe not indented apically, fused in basal third dorsally (Figs. 246, 248, 249) and ventrally (247, 250, 251), no subapical lobes ventrally; small internal spines apparent under transmitted light (Figs. 246, 247). Caudal filaments: three; terminal filament longer than cerci. Female imago. Dimensions: body length 5.7-8.7 (7.1 $\pm 0.80,13)$; forewing length $7.0-7.9(7.4 \pm 0.28,11)$; hindwing length

1．18－1．40（1．29士0．09，10）；forewing hindwing ratio 5．07－6．64（5．74土0．49，10）．General patterning and colour similar to male．Body generally larger than male，abdomen wider． Eyes：brown－black；separated on meson of head by a distance of about five times maximum width of eye．Legs：total leg length shorter than male，forelegs with six segments，total foreleg length 4．19－4．94（4．52 $\pm 0.24,10)$ ；leg length ratios 1．00：1．24： $0.15: 0.15: 0.13: 0.15(1.61 \pm 0.09,10)$ ．Wings．Forewing（Fig．252）：colour and venation similar to males except weakly developed crossveins in proximal halves of wing and generally more crossveins on average than male；costal space with 0－6（14）weakly developed crossveins proximaily，subcostal space with 7－13（14）crossveins distally； subcostal space $v_{i}$ in $0-4$（14）weakly developed crossveins proximally， $5-10$（14） crossveins distally．Hindwing（Fig．253）：no crossveins in proximal half of costal space，3－ 4 （10）in distal space，4－6（10）throughout subcostal space．Abdomen（Fig．254，255）： sternum seven with small genital extension；sternum nine deeply cleft．Egg．Cylindrical with rounded ends，polar cap with two rings of tubular projections on each apex；chorion with small evenly spaced circular protuberances（Figs．256－259）．Male and female subimago．Dimensions：body lengths of 5．8－7．3（6．6 $\pm 0.57,5)$ ； $9.3-8.1(7.3 \pm 0.58,9)$ ． General colour and markings similar to imago．Wings uniformly brown，opaque．Forelegs of male not elongated．Genitalia not fully developed．Mature nymph．（Fig．260）．Body lengths $\sigma^{*} 6.2-7.6(6.7 \pm 0.56,12)$ ，$\circ 6.7-8.4(7.3 \pm 0.53,12)$ ．General colour dark brown to black with lighter tan markings．Head：prognathous；dark brown，tan colour surrounding ocelli，two distinct white circular patches on each mandible；width 1．28－1．63 （ $1.48 \pm 0.11,12$ ）．Ocelli：three；black with grey base surrounding white inserts；laterals larger than medial．Antennae：pedicel，scape and flagellum golden；more than twice length of head．Eyes：upper lobes of male reddish－brown，lower lobes grey－black；eyes of female black．Mouthparts．Labrum and clypeus（Fig．261）：lateral margin of clypeus very slightly diverging to anterior；lateral margins of labrum wider than clypeus，rounded to slightly anguiar；distinct antero－median emargination；labrum length 0．27－0．38（0．34 $\pm 0.04$ ， 15），labrum width 0．59－0．86（0．72 $\pm 0.06,15)$ ，labrum width length ratio 1．92－2．56（2．14 $\pm$ $0.15,15)$ ；four to five elongated denticles extending $0.08-0.18(0.15 \pm 0.03,15)$ across labrum；denticle width as proportion of labrum width 0．14－0．29（0．20土0．04，15）；frontal setae arranged as a single row；secondary hair fringe clearly separated from front row， reaching well beyond the frontal setae，extends $0.16-0.25(0.20 \pm 0.04,15)$ times the width of labrum，contains 41－55（10）setae；secondary hair fringe width to labrum width ratio $0.23-0.35(0.28 \pm 0.04,15)$ ；thick socketed setae present lateral to secondary hair fringe． Mandibles：outer margins slightly curved，long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins， shorter setae between long setae and base，dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins；dark brown basally becoming lighter anteriorly，basal section with golden coloured circular maculae，small tuft of setae flanking the base of each maculae．Left
mandible (Fig. 262): two incisors, each with three apical teeth; outer incisor often inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, prothesca robust, serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible (Fig. 263): spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, often inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, inner incisor with two apical teeth; prothesca simple, slender; prothecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 264): yalea lacinae as wide as long; width length ratio 0.88-1.06 (0.99 $\pm 0.06,10$ ); subapical row of 18-22 (10) pectinate setae; palpi three segmented, terminal palp with single spine on apex; palp length ratios $1.00: 0.85$ : 0.64 ( $0.22 \pm 0.01,10$ ). Hypopharynx (Fig. 265): well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua shallowly cleft; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, rounded lateral margins. Labium (Fig. 265): glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in the same plane as paraglossae, series of spines apically; palpi three segmented, terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface; palp length ratios $1.00: 0.84: 0.57(0.33 \pm 0.02,10)$; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: light tan to medium brown with darker brown markings; pronotum width 1.20-1.53 (1.36 $\pm 0.11,12$ ); slightly narrower than head width (see above), head pronotum width ratio 0.85-0.97 (0.92 $\pm 0.03,12$ ). Legs (Fig. 267): colour light tan with dark brown markings giving a banded appearance; femora with middle third dark brown and dark brown at apices; tibiae with proximal sixth and middle third dark brown; tarsi with middle four-fifths clark brown; total foreleg length 2.68-3.73 (3.07 $\pm 0.27,12$ ); fore femora length 1.15-1.60 (1.32 $\pm 0.12,12)$, fore femora width $0.40-0.48(0.44 \pm 0.02,12)$; fore femora length width ratio $2.78-3.33(3.01 \pm 0.18,12)$; fore fibiae : :ith numerous short ventral spines, sometimes serrated; fore tarsi with 8-12 (12) ventral spines; tarsal claws (Fig. 268) with 11-14 (10) ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated on inner margin; leg length ratios, foreleg 1.00: 0.93: 0.40 (1.32 $\pm 0.12,12$ ), middle leg 1.00: 0.90: $0.35(1.36 \pm 0.15,12)$, hind leg 1.00: $0.93: 0.34$ ( $1.48 \pm 0.16,12$ ). Abdomen: dark brown to black, golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two light coloured maculae flank the marks anteriorly on each segment; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Fig. 269): membrane clear to light grey; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae dissimilar in size; upper lamellae narrow at approximately two-thirds length and broadens again in apical third, inner margin with small emargination near base of broadened apical third; lower lamellae narrow at two-thirds length tapering to a point; main and lateral tracheae present, strongly developed. Caudal fiiaments three, golden brown, terminal filament longer than cerci.

Remarks. This species was first described by Harker (1950a) as Atalophlebia parva from specimens collected in Armidale, New Sourh Wales (type specimens). It was then transferred to Atalonella (Harker 1954) after she established the differences between the two genera in that paper. A subsequent transfer was made from Atalonella to Nousia following Campbell (1988) although no explanation was given for this change. Finally, in revision of the genus Nousia (Campbell and Suter 1988) the species was placed in the new genus Koorrnonga based on the egg morphology and genitalia shape. Subsequently this species has been referred to as Koorrnonga sp. "AV2" by Dean (1999).

I have examined all four types of parva from the Australian Museum. Unfortunately, they are all quite damaged and give very fittle useful information regarding the status of this species. The holotype has no forelegs or hindwings and the forewings are so badly damaged it is difficult to distinguish the all important absence of crossvein venation in the costal and subcostal spaces. I was able to examine the penes, which had been dissected from the body, but they definitely do not conform to the original drawings (Harker 1950a). This may be because the specimens lodged in the museum are from the Serpentine River, while those she nominated as the types in her paper (Harker 1950a) are from the Gara and Dumaresque Rivers. It could be inferred, therefore, that the specimens from the three locations may not be the same species. The paratypes are equally frustrating. The female imago has half of the abdomen missing and the hindwings in both the female imago and subimago are damaged or missing. There are, however, no crossveins in proximal halves of the costal and subcostal spaces of the imago and subimago forewing which conforms to the genus diagnosis. Finally the nymph has an indistinct body pattern, all but one hindleg missing and no gills. Despite this, however, the descriptions and drawings of these characters (Harker 1950a) do conform to the species description above. The species has several other quite distinguishing features such as the egg morphology, genitalia and shape of the nymphal gill with the broadened apical third of the upper lamellae, which make it unlikely to be any other species in the genus.

Thraulophlebia parva can be distinguished from all other species in the genus by the following combination of characters: In the infago: (i) forewing hindwing ratio comparatively small; (ii) upper eye size large, aiways contiguous; (iii) leg banding conspicuous; (iv) penes expanded lateral margin in apical third less distinct, fused in basal third dorsally and ventrally, lobes not indented apically; subapical tobes absent; small internal spines apparent under transmitted light; (v) egg cylindrical with rounded ends, two rings of tubular processes. In the nymph: (i) labrum subequal to wider than clypeus, lateral margins rounded to slightly angular, antero-median emargination distinct, length width ratio higher than two on average, single row of frontal setae, secondary hair fringe contains 41-55 setae and extends approximately one-third the width across labrum;
(ii) hypopharynx superlingua with rounded lateral margins; (iii) leg banding conspicuous; (iv) gill shape with upper lamellae narrowing at two-thirds length and broadens again in apical third, inner margin with small emargination near base of broadened apical third, lower lamellae narrows at two-thirds length with long apical filament tapering to a point.

Dther specimens examined. QUEENSLAND. Careys Creek, S of Calen, N of Mackay, 79112001, 20 XI 1979, $140 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 20^{\circ} 52^{\prime}, 2$ o $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Mother Mountain, 12km SE of Gympie, $80102900,29 \times 1980,100 \mathrm{~m}, 152^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 26^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime}$, EPH 1127, 1 of $N(A N)$. Priors Creek, Atherton, 96040600,6 IV 1995, 760m, 145² $8^{\prime} 79^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} 17^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1439, 1 of N, 1 of $N(B H)$. Stony Creek, Conondale Ranges, 97082602, 26 VIII 1977, $140 \mathrm{~m}, 152^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$ $26^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$, EPH 1251, 1 \& $\mathrm{N}, 1$ क N (JD). VICTORIA: Acheron River, 87030500, 5 III 1987, $320 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$, nymphs (TD \& RM). Aura Vale Lake, Hentys Picnic Area, 97112401, 24 XI 1997, 97112401, 140m, 145 $23^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ㅇ N (KJF). Coliban River, Lyells Bridge, Redesdale-Bendigo Rd, 97040800, 8 IV 1997, 210 m, 144 $^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 9^{\prime} \mathbf{\prime 2}^{\prime \prime}$ $36^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$, N (JD). East Errinundra River, junction with west branch, 32031200, 12 III 1982, $300 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{n} 54^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 20^{\prime}$, nymphs. (JB); 84010600,611984 , same locality data, nymphs (JB). Mclvor Creek, 6km downstream Heathcote, 81091300, 13 IX 1981, 250m, $144^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 57^{\prime}$, EPH 1523, 1 \& N (JD). Plenty River, Mernda, 77110900, 9 XI 1977. $160 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$, EPH 1512, 1 o NI (reared), (JD), EPH 1513, 1 o' I (JD), EPH 1515, 2 \& NI (reared), (JD), EPH 1516, 3 ơ N (JD); 77120700, 7 XII 1977, EPH 1514, 1 $\sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (JD), EPH 1517, 1 o NS, 1 \& NS (reared), (JD), EPH 1518, 1 o NS, 1 \& NS (reared), (JD), EPH 1519, 1 ㅇ NS (reared), (JD), EPH 1520, 3 o NS, 2 \& NS (reared), (JD). Upper Broken River, Mansfield-Whitfield Rd, 9km N of Mansfield, 99022005, 20 II 1999, $340 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}, 4$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 4 \circ \mathrm{~N}$ (KJF). West Errinundra River, Hammond Rd, $82031500,15 \mathrm{III}$ 1982, $960 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 18^{\prime}$, nymphs (JB). Wild Duck Creek, Heathcote-Redesdale Rd, 1km SW Heathcote, 99022304, 23 II 1999, 210m, $144^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}, 2$ ơ NSI, 2 ㅇ NS, 6 ơ N, 7 ㅇ $\mathrm{N} ; 99040701,7$ IV 1999, same locality data, 1 of $\mathrm{NSI}, 3 \oplus \mathrm{NSI}, 1 \circ \mathrm{NI}, 2 \mp \mathrm{~N} ; 00022001,20 \mathrm{ll} 2000$, same locality data, 3 $\sigma^{\circ}$ NSI, $3 \circ$ NSI, $1 \circ$ NI, $2 \neq$ NS, 2 of, $2 \circ$ N(KJF). Wombat Creek, Wombat Creek Dam Picnic Area, near Daylesford, 97122004, 20 XII 1997, 635m, $144^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 23^{\prime 2} 25^{\prime \prime}$, 1 \& NSI (KJF). Yan Yean Reservoir, 76021800, 18 I! 1976, $180 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 33^{\prime}$, EPH 1522,1 \& NI (reared), 1 ol N (JD); 76032900, 29 ill 1976, same locality data, EPH 1511. 1 of l (JD); 77102600, $26 \times 1977$, same locality data, EPH 1521, 2 of I, 2 \& 1 (JD).

# 3.4.3.5 Thraulophlebia simillima (Ulmer) Incertae sedis <br> Atalophlebia australasica Ulmer (Ulmer 1916) <br> Atalophlebia simillima Uimer (Ulmer 1919) <br> Atalonella simillima (Ulmer) (Harker 1954) <br> Nousia simillima (Ulmer) (Campbell 1988) <br> Koormonga simillima (Ulmer) (Campbell and Suter 1988) <br> Thraulophlebia simillima (Ulmer) comb. nov. <br> Incertae sedis 

Types. Holotype. Atalophlebia simillima Uimer. Cedar Creek, Queensland, 10040000, N 1910-1913, ơ imago. coll. Mjöberg Expedition [examined]. Labels: a) "Ataiophlebia simillima Ulm (1920) Type", b) "Cedar Creek, April, Mjöberg". Held at SMNH.

Distribution. Queensland (no locality data available).

Diagnosis. The following description encompasses the only available description of the species (Ulimer 1919) and examination of the type specimen. Male Imago. Dimensions: body length 9 , forewing length 9.5 . Head: yellow-brown with black markings. Eyes: upper eye size large; upper lobes reddish-grey, lower lobes black. Thorax: pronotum light yellow brown with black markings; mesonotum light brownish yellow on dark brown background; metanotum dark brown. Legs: forelegs missing; middle and hind legs yellowish-grey, femora with dark brown band medially and at apex, tarsal apices also dark. Wings. Forewing: membrane hyaline, pterostigmatal area opaque, brownish-brick coloured; longitudinal and crossveins brown or reddish-brown; costal and subcostal crossveins present in the proximal halves of wing, costal space with 5 crossveins proximally, 17 distally. Hindwing: hyaline, venation similar to Atalophlebia australasica. Abdomen: colour dark brown from tergal segments two to seven with two dark longitudinal light stripes, tergal segments nine and ten darker; sternites brown. Genitalia: forceps three segmented, yellow-brown, progressively lighter apically; penes grey brown, divided into two square-shaped very wide lobes, distal edge weakly bent into an S-shape, between the lobes a blunt heart shaped lobe is apparent, each penis lobe is narrowed from a wider base to a triangle. Caudal filaments: two, umber brown, banding apparent. Female imago. unknown. Egg. unknown. Male and female subimago. unknown. Mature nymph. unknown.

Remarks. Very little is known of this species as the account by Ulmer (1919) was incomplete, largely consisting of descriptions of colour patterns on the body of the male imago. Further, no females, subimagos or nymphs were described and remain unknown today. By the definition of Harker (1954) it was considered to be part of the Atalonella
group. It was subsequently placed in Nousia by Campbe!l (1988), then Koormonga following revision of Australian Nousia by Campbell and Suter (1988). The placement in the genus Koorrnonga was probably based on the broad based geritalia with the narrow apex although Campbell and Suter (1988) considered the placement tentative.

There is a also a complication regarding the type description created by Uimer himself. He writes of the specimen he called Atalophlebia simillima that: "..Dies Stück fand sich noch nachträglich im Material der A. costalis, das Mjöberg auf seinen Expeditionen 19101913 gesammelt hatte und von mir als A. australasica Pict. in Ark f. Zoologi, 10 No. 4 1916 p 2. f. I.2, beschrieben wurde;.." ("..This specimen was later found in the material of A.costalis that Mjöberg collected on the expedition of 1910-1913 and was described by myself as A. australasica Pict. in Ark. f. Zoologi 10(4) 1916 p.2. f. 1.2..") (Ulmer 1916, p.17). Unfortunately, it is not clear which specimen was confused with the numerous specimens of $A$. australasica described therein, and which descriptions, if any, actually relate to $T$. simillima. I have decided to consider the description of Atalophlebia simillima (Uimer 1919) as the valid one. Any references to the previous paper (Ulmer 1916) will only be made if directly referred to by Ulmer (1919).

The single type specimen from the Stockholm Museum is very damaged. It is somewhat shrivelled as if it has been dried out and dehydrated making it difficult to see the characters especially the wing venation. Even so, the genitalia shape does reasonably approximate the drawing by Ulmer (1919) with the two wide lobes converging medially. This is a generic characteristic of Thraulophlebia and I can see why it would be considered to relate to this genus. However, other genera, such as Atalophlebia have penes lobes which are similar.

In other respects the type specimen does not conform to Thraulophlebia. Although difficult to see, the type definitely has crossveins proximally in the costal and subcostal space of the forewing; a fact that Ulmer mentions in the text: "..im Costalraume von der Bulla fünf starke, dunkel umschattete Queradern.." ("..in the costal space in front of the bulla five strong, darker shaded cross-veins") (Uimer 1919, p.16). I would also say the forewing size of the type at 9.5 mm is significantly larger than Thraulophlebia forewings which I have found to range in size from $5.7-8.1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The simillima hindwings appear to be missing from the type but a clue to their shape is provided by Ulmer (1919) when he writes "..Costalraum und seine Adern, ebenso die übrige Nervatur wie bei A. costalis Burm. (A. australasica Pict.) " ("..the costal space, its veins and the remaining venation are the same as A. costalis Burm. (A. australascia Pict..)" (Ulmer 1919, p. 16). If we take Ulmer's drawing of the hindwing of A. australasica
to represent simillima as mentioned previously (Ulmer 1916; FiG. 1, p. 2 reproduced here as Fig. 270) it is clear that the wing does not have the characteristics of Thraulophlebia with the absence of the strong projection of the costal margin which gives the wing a somewhat triangular shape.

Another characteristic of the type which is incommensurate with Thraulophlebia is the large body size $(9 \mathrm{~mm})$. I found the Thraulophlebia species range in size from 5.67.4 mm .

The option of trying to recollect this species has been ruled out by the ambiguousness of the type locality - Cedar Creek in Queensland (see. 3.3.2.2) and, of course, a full survey of the mayflies of that state was beyond the scope of this project.

As a result of the genus revision, all species belonging to Koorrnonga are formally transferred to Thraulophlebia. I believe, however, that simillima clearly does not belong to this genus. I feel it would perhaps would be better placed in Atalophlebia due to the similarities it appears to have to other members of this genus. As it is not possible to determine this without a revision of the genus Aialophlebia, I feel there is no choice but to declare this species Incertae sedis.

### 3.4.4 Key to current species of Thraulophlebia

This key is accompanied by a matrix of main characters for separating the species as an alternate means of identification (Table 17).

## Male imagos

1 Penes fused in basal third dorsally and ventrally, with narrowly expanded outer lateral margins in apical third, without distinct subapical lobes dorsally, small internal spines apparent under transmitted light; upper eye size large, always contiguous; forelegs with conspicuous banding
parva

Penes almost separated at base dorsally, with widely expanded outer lateral margins in apically third, with distinct subapical lobes dorsally, small internal spines absent; leg banding inconspicuous or absent. . .2

2(1) Penes fused in basal half ventrally, lobes indented apically; upper eye size large, often contiguous; forewing hinging ratio comparatively large with forewing 5.9-7.6 times larger than hindwing. lucida

Penes fused in basal third ventrally, lobes not indented apically; upper eye size large, never contiguous; forewing hindwing ratio comparatively small with forewing 4.9-5.3 times larger than hindwing. inconspicua

Female imagos
1 Egg cylindrical with rounded ends, two rings of tubular processes. parva
Egg ovoid with two to three rings of tubular processes. ..... 2

2(1) Egg ovoid with two rings of tubular processes; body length comparatively smaller; forewing hindwing ratio comparatively larger with forewing 5.5-8.6 times larger than hindwing. lucida

Egg ovoid with three rings of tubular processes, body length comparatively larger; forewing hindwing ratio comparatively smaller with forewing 4.9-6.5 times larger than hindwing
inconspicua

## Mature nymphs

1 Labrum wider than clypeus with distinct antero-median emargination, length width ratio generally larger than two, secondary hair fringe contains 41-55 setae and extends approximately one-third the width across labrum; gills with upper lamellae narrowing at two-thirds length and broadens again in apical third, inner margin with small emargination near base of broadened apical third, lower lameilae narrow at two-thirds length with long apical filament tapering to a point
.parva

Labrum subequal to slightly wider than clypeus with slight antero-median emargination, length width ratio two or less, secondary hair fringe with 40 or less setae extending one-quarter or less the width across labrum; gills with upper lamellae narrowing at three-quarters length with long apical filament, lower lamellae narrows at four-fifths length with shorter apical filament

2(1) Labrum subequal to clypeus, length width ratio usually less than two, frontal setae arranged as a single row, secondary hair fringe with 12-25 setae extending one sixth the width across labrum; hypopharynx superlingua with slightly angular lateral margins lucida

Labrum subequal to slightly wider than clypeus, length width ratio usually around two, frontal setae arranged as a narrow band, secondary hair fringe with 20-40 setae extending one quarter the width across labrum; hypopharynx superlingua with rounded lateral margins $\qquad$ inconspicua

Table 17．Matrix of main characters useful for separating the species of Thraulophlebia．

|  | Thraulophlebia lucida | Thraulophlebia inconspicua | Thraulophlebia parva |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago |  |  |  |
| forewing ／hindwing ratio | $5.87-7.56$（6．80土0．59，17） | 4．94－5．31（5．08 $\pm 0.12,9)$ | 4．37－5．90（5．16 $\pm 0.55 .7)$ |
| eyes contiguous | often | no | always |
| eye size（ES） | 0．00－0．04（0．01 $\pm 0.01,10)$ | 0．10－0．15（0．13 $\pm 0.02,10)$ |  |
| leg banding | inconspicuous or absent， | inconspicuous or absent | conspicuous |
| total leg length | 5．64－6．61（6．08 $\pm 0.33,10)$ | 6．29－7．46（6．89土0．32，10） | 4．45－5．58（5．08 $\pm 0.58,4)$ |
| leg length ratios | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 1.45: 0.06: 0.50: 0.48: 0.37: \\ & 0.17(1.52 \pm 0.14,10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 1.44: 0.08: 0.45: 0.43: 0.32: \\ & 0.16(1.78 \pm 0.08,10) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00: 1.44: 0.06: 0.40: 0.38: 0.26: \\ & 0.17(1.37 \pm 0.09,4) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| penes shape | widely expanded lateral margin in apical third | widely expanded lateral margin in apical third | more narrowly expanded lateral margin in apical third |
| penes fusion | fused in basal half ventrally， separated almost at base dorsally | fused in basal third ventrally， separated almost at base dorsally | fused in basal third dorsally and ventrally |
| penes lobes apically | each lobe indented apically | lobes not indented apically | lobes not indented apically，less distinct |
| penes－ventral | yes ventral view with subapical lobes protruding laterally outwards | ventral view with subapical lobes protruding laterally outwards | ventral view without subapical lobes |
| penes with processes inner margins | no | no | yes |
| Female Imago |  |  |  |
| body length | 5．6－7．6（6．6 $\pm 0.56,25$ ） | 7．0－8．8（7．6 $\pm 0.80,6)$ | 5．7－8．7（7．1 $\pm 0.80,13)$ |
| forewing hindwing ratio | $5.47-8.55$（6．93 $\pm 0.77,20)$ | 4．93－6．45（5．30 $\pm 0.65,5)$ | $5.07-6.64$（5．74士0．49，10） |
| Egg |  |  |  |
| egg shape | ovoid | ovoid | cylindrical with rounded ends |
| egg polar cap | two rings of tubular processes | three rings of tubular processes | two rings of tubular processes |

Table 17 cont'd.

| Mature nymph |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| labrum wider than clypeus | subequal to clypeus | subequal to slightly wider than clypeus | lateral margins of labrum wider than clypeus |
| lateral margins of labrum | rounded | rounded | rounded to slightly angular |
| antero-median! emargination | slight | slight | distinct antero-median emargination |
| labrum length /width ratio | 1.69-2.18 (1.86 $\pm 0.13,25)$ | 1.82-2.11 (1.96 $\pm 0.07,23)$ | 1.92-2.56 (2.14 $\pm 0.15,15)$ |
| frontal setae arrangement | single row | narrow band | single row |
| Secondary hair fringe width to labrum width ratio | $0.06-0.20$ (0.14 $\pm 0.03,25)$ | 0.19-0.30 (0.25 $\pm 0.03,22)$ | 0.23-0.35 (0.28 $\pm 0.04,15)$ |
| secondary hair fringe <br> - number of setae | 12-25 (20) | 20-40(18) | 41-55 (10) |
| hypopharynx superlingua lateral margins | slightly angular | rounded lateral margins | rounded lateral margins |
| leg banding | conspicuous to inconspicuous | inconspicuous or absent | yes |
| gill shape | upper lamellae narrow at threequarters length with long apical filament, lower lamellae nerrow at four-fifths length with shorter apical filament | upper lamellae narrow at threequarters length with long apical filament, lower lamellae narrow at four-fifths length with shorter apical filament | upper lamellae narrow at approximately two-thirds length and broadens again in apical third, inner margin with small emargination near base of broadened apical third; lower lamellae narrow at two-thirds length tapering to a point |

### 3.4.5 Investigation of the congenerity of Nousia (Australonousia) and Thraulophlebia

It is clearly acknowledged that the two taxa are very closely related as intimated by Campbell and Suter 1988). However, due to the current revision, some of the characters used to separate them no longer apply. For example, Campbell and Suter (1988) state that in Koormonga (now Thraulophlebia) the crossvein MA is forked slightly less than half the distance from wing base to margin and the penes lack a sclerotised process on the inner margin. I have found that in Thraulophlebia MA is forked at around half the distance from wing base to margin. Campbell and Suter (1988) also state that the male forewing is without crossveins proximally in Thraulophlebia which I have also found to be true, but I have noted it in the subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) as well. Finally, although the question of the egg morphology has not been put to rest because of the lack of Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula specimens, the presence of polar caps and rings of tubular processes appears to be characteristic of Thraulophlebia. If this is the case, the difference in the number of tubular rings to distinguish between the two genera as outlined in Campbell and Suter (1988) is no longer valid. As for the nymph, Koorrnonga is considered to be distinguished from the Australian Nousia by the presence of 4-6 smooth regular denticles and segment one of the labial palpi is 1.3 times longer than segment two (Campbell and Suter 1988). I have found that the revised subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) contains some species with 4-6 elongate regular denticles and that there are no apparent differences in the labial palp length of the labium of the two taxa.

Despite this anomalies, there are consistent variations between the two taxa which I believe warrant their separate status. The most significant are the shape of the hindwing, penes and egg and the arrangement and type of setae on the labrum (see Table 18).

Table 18. Main sharacters separating the subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) from the genus Thraulophlebia.

|  | Nousia (Australonousia) | Thraulophlebia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago |  |  |
| hindwing shape | costal margin slightly convex at midlength immediately preceding shallow concavity rounded shape | strong projection of the costa - triangular shape |
| penes fusion | fused in basal two-thirds, lobes separate apically | fused in basal third to half ventrally, separated at base or fused in basal third dorsally, lobes joined or nearly so apically |
| Female Imago |  |  |
| forewing - proximal crossveins in costal and subcostal space | present | weakly developed |
| Egg |  |  |
| egg polar cap | absent | with two or three tubular processes |
| egg pattern | large and small circular shaped protuberances, pattern variable | small evenly spaced circular protuberances |
| Mature nymph |  |  |
| labrum frontal setae | arranged as a narrow or broad band | arranged as a single row or narrow band |
| labrum secondary hair fringe | extends more than one half the width of labrum | extends no more than twofifths the width of labrum |
| labrum thick socketed setae | absent | present |
| mandible - setae on lower body | row of setae in an inverted L-shape | small tuft of setae |
| hypopharynx | moderately to deeply cleft | shailowly cleft |
| pronotum width | equal to slightly greater than width of head | always equal to width of head |

### 3.5 General discussion

According to Dean (1999) there are eight morphospecies of Nousia (Australonousia) not accounted for in these revisions, all of which have been determined by the nymph stages only. At least four appear to be Tasmanian endemics. One is from Western Australia and previously considered to belong to an unnamed genus ("genus R") (Dean and Suter 1996) but subsequently transferred to Nousia in a later revision (Dean 1999). The other taxa apparently occur in Victoria but are represented by only one or two specimens. Despite repeated efforts to collect them from Mt Baw Baw, Mt McKay at Falls Creek and the Tarra River in Tarra-Bulga National Park, I was unable to collect or rear specimens that proved different from the other species of Nousia (Australonousia).

With regard to Thraulophlebia it is considered there are four morphospecies not accounted for in these revisions (Dean 1999). Two are supposedly found in Victoria. Koorrnonga sp. "AV3" and sp. "AV5". Superficially they appear very similar to $T$. inconspicua but their species status was unable to be verified due to an inability to collect more specimens (see 3.4.3.3). The other two morphospecies of this genus apparently occur only in northern Queensland. Examination of the one or two specimens available in each case revealed Thraulophlebia-like characteristics, such as the secondary hair fringe and unequal length of the gills, but there were too few specimens to assess differences that would confer species status.

In summary in the subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) two species have been redescribed, one new species described and three morphospecies described. Of the two species redescribed one has been synonymised with two other species, $N$. (A) delicatula and K. brunnea. One species, $N$. (A) wiltkorringae has been removed and placed in a monotypic genus (See Chapter 4) and one species $N$. (A) darkara has been declared Incertae sedis. The subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) now consists of three species: N. (A) fusca, N. (A) fuscula and N. (A) nigeli sp. nov. The subgeneric status of Nousia (Australonousia) has been investigated and considered somewhat unnecessary but in the interests of stability should stay until the remaining species of Australian Nousia are described.

With regards to Koorrnonga, it was established that is not congeneric with Nousia (Australonousia) but should be synonymised with Thraulophlebia. T. pilosa was synonymised with $T$. lucida and $T$. simillima has been declared Incertae sedis. The genus Thraulophlebia now contains three redescribed species: T. lucida comb.nov., T. inconspicua comb.nov., and T. parva comb.nov.

These revisions must be considered incomplete as they clearly do not contain all the species. However, the revision of these two taxa has been hampered by the deficiencies of some of the early descriptions and drawings. Further, many type specimens were either unavailable or too damaged to be of sufficient use. A number of the type localities were ill-defined and there was also limited time and too few resources to conduct sufficient field collecting and rearing over the large geographical areas involved. In particular, collecting trips to Tasmania and Queensland are necessary to fill in the gaps and ultimately complete the revisions. Although the geographical restraint became more apparent as the project progressed, the research focus on Victoria allowed a concentration of work in the species-rich south-east. Despite these limitations, substantial progress has been made towards a comprehensive revision, providing a sound platform from which subsequent work can proceed.

## 4. DESCRIPTIONS OF TWO NEW GENERA FROM SOUTH-EASTERN AUSTRALIA (LEPTOPHELBIIDAE: ATALOPHLEBIINAE).

"The stimulating delight of the systematist is that he never knows what remarkable unknown organism or structure of form or function or habit may come to his attention tomorrow, or the next day or the next; the tragedy of the systematist is that he knows that his work can never lee completed."

Elwood C. Zimmerman, Entomologist 1991

### 4.1 Introduction

In revising the subgenus Australonousia (Chapter 3) it was recognised that two species, currently considered as Nousia (Australonousia) (Dean 1999), were morphologically distinct and did not belong as part of that taxon. Two new genera have been erected here to accommodate them. The first, Montikola, encompasses two species and the second, Gemmayaluka is monotypic. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae has already been published as a species of Nousia (Australonousia) (Finlay 2000, Appendix 3). This paper was written in 1999 and I then believed this taxon correctly belonged in the sutigenus Nousia (Australonousia) based on the available evidence. Following a further two years intensive study on the subject I have come to the conclusion that the species does not readily fit within the revised generic and subgentis diagnosis.

### 4.2 Methods and conventions

See 3.2

### 4.3 Description of Montikola gen. nov.

### 4.3.1 Montikola gen. nov.

## Type species. Atalophlebia kala (Harker)

Distribution. Southern New South Wales, Eastern Victoria (Fig. 271).

Diagnosis. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 8.6-13.5; forewing length 8.8-13.2; hindwing length 1.9-3.3; forewing hindwing ratio 3.81-5.79. General body colour brown, head dark brown, antennae tan to dark brown. Ocelli: three; black with white-grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, sometimes contiguous, $E S=0.00-$ 0.11 ; upper lobes orange brown; lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: brown to shiny brown with darker markings. Legs: femora tan brown with darker apices, tibiae and tarsal golden; total leg length 7.4-11.4; forelegs with seven segments, tibia approximately 1.41.5 times length of femur, the five segmented tarsus approximately 1.2-1.5 times length of femur; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook. Wings. Forewing: membrane hyaline, pterostigmal area slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow, costal and subcostal veins present in proximal and distal halves of wing; costal space with 5-11 crossveins proximally, 12-20 distally (sometimes anastomosed), subcostal space with 5-10 crossveins proximally, 11-16 distally. Three bullae present on veins $S c, R_{2}$ and $R_{4+5}$, MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{CuA}$ and

CuP linked by crossvein, $I C u$, recurved to join CuA and not linked to $\mathrm{CuA}-\mathrm{CuP}$ crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$, CuA and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by crossvein to $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Hindwing: mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; costal margin slightly convex and midlength immediately preceding shallow concavity, costa joins subcosta at approximately four-fifths wing length; no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 5-9 in distal space, 5-9 throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: light to tan brown with golden and darker brown markings, colour and patterning variable. Genitalia: forceps three segmented; tan brown, progressively lighter apically, terminal segment angular, about the same length as middle segment. Penes golden to tan brown, fused in basal half dorsally, fused almost along entire length ventrally, two lobes distinct, outer lateral margins relatively straight to bulbous; each lobe pointing outwards, small triangular process present apically (although sometimes missing), two pairs of internal spines. Caudal filaments: three, tan brown; terminal filament ionger than cerci. Female imago. Dimensions: body length forewing length 8.4-15.6; hindwing length 1.4-3.5; forewing hindwing ratio 3.94-6.00. General patterning and colour similar to male. Eyes: grey-black, separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye. Legs: total leg length shorter than male, 5.8-9.3; forelegs with six segments; tibia approximately 1.4 times length of femur, the four segmented tarsus approximately 0.7 times length of femur. Wings. Forewing: colour and venation similar to male except wings with generally more crossveins on average; costal space with 6-11 crossveins proximally, 13-20 distally (sometimes anastomosed), subcostal space with 5-10 crossveins proximally, 12-17 distally. Hindwing: no crossveins in proximal half of costal space, 5-8 in distal space, 5-10 throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: sternum sever with small genital extension, sternum nine moderately cleft. Egg. Ovoid; polar cap absent, chorion with large and small circular shaped protuberances over surface, pattern variable. Male and female subimago. General pattern and colouring similar to imago. Wings uniformly grey-brown, opaque. Forelegs of male not elongated. Genitalia not fully developed. Mature nymph. Body lengths of 10.0-13.3, $\mp 8.0-14.9$. General colour tan brown with darker markings. Head: prognathous; tan to dark brown, width 1.9-2.8. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts: laterals larger than medial. Antennae: golden to tan brown; more than twice length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male orange to reddish-brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus: lateral margins of clypeus very slightly diverging towards anterior; lateral margins of labrum slightly wider than clypeus, rounded: anterior margin of labrum straight with no apparent antero-median emargination; labrum length $0.40-0.63$, labrum width $0.95-1.33$, labrum width length ratio $2.00-2.45$; five elongated denticles present on anterior margin extending $0.24-0.58$ times the total width of the labrum; denticle width as proportion of labrum width 0.21-0.53; frontal setae arranged as a broad band; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from
broad band. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved; sma!l indentation and sparse long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins; shorter setae along margin between midpoint and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of setae in inverted L-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandible: two incisors, each with three apical teeth, outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, with or without subapical process; prostheca robust, serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a thin point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible: spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, short spines on inner mesal surface; inner incisor with two apical teeth; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae: galea-lacinae slightly wider to as wide as long, width length ratio 0.85-1.17; subapical row of 16-23 pectinate setae; palpi three segmented, first segment length 0.37 , segments two and three of approximately equal length, 0.69-0.71 times the length of first segment, segment three with single spine apically. Hypopharynx: well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua deeply cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, lateral margins slightly angular. Labium: glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, first segment length 0.51-0.53, segment two 0.81-0.83 tirs length of first segment, segment three approximately half the length of the first segment, terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: tan brown with darker brown markings; pronotum width 1.98-2.90, slightly wider than width of head (see above), head pronotum width ratio 1.00-1.17. Legs: golden to tan brown, femora usually darker at apices; fore femora occasionally with white patch on proximally but this is not always apparent; total foreleg length $3.80-7.33$, fore femora length $1.75-3.13$; fore femora width 0.63-0.90; fore femora length width ratio 2.69-4.05; fore tibiae with numerous short ventral spines, fore tarsi with 6-11 ventral spines; tarsal claws with 11-15 ventral teeth, progressively larger apically; average leg length ratios, tibiae 0.87-0.93 times length of femur, tarsi 0.29-0.37 times length of femur. Abdomen: golden to tan brown with golden and darker brown markings, colour and patterning variable; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills: membrane clear or opaque, light grey to grey-black colour; present on segments one to seven, progressively smailer posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; each gill tapered to a thin point apically; main and lateral tracheae present, strongly developed. Caudal filaments: three; golden; terminal filament longer than cerci.
*Following examination of this thesis an external examiner has pointed out that the generic name Montikola has potential to cause confusion and should be changed. The genus name should therefore be regarded as a temporary tag and the genus will be published under an aliernative name.
*The new genus is erected according to the criteria outlined by Peters and Edmunds (1970) for separating genera: ie 'if two or more groups of species are separated by a distinct gap of characters evident in both adults and nymphs.' These characters are outlined in the table below.

Main characters separating the genus Nousia from the newly erected genus Montikola

| GENUS | Nousia |  | Montikola |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBGENUS | Nousia (Nousia) | Nousia (Australonousia) |  |
| Male imago |  |  |  |
| body length | less than 9 mm | less than 9mm | generally more than 10 mm |
| forewing length | less than 10 mm | less than 10 mm | generally more than 10 mm |
| forewing costal and subcostal crossveins | reduced or absent | reduced or absent | present |
| forewing - number of crossveins in costal space | usually 10-15 | usually 10-15 | usually 20 or more |
| penes | fused in basal twothirds, remainder divided | fused in basal twothirds, remainder divided | fused in basal half dorsally, fused almost along entire length ventrally |
| Female imago |  | - |  |
| body length | less than 10 mm | less than 10 mm | generally more than 10 mm |
| Mature nymph |  |  |  |
| body length - male | less than 10 mm | less than 10 mm | more than 10 mm |
| maxillae no. subapical setae | less than or equal to 15 | less than or equal to 15 | equal to or more than 17 |
| maxillae first segment length | unknown | $0.22-0.30 \mathrm{~mm}^{\prime}$ | 0.37 mm |
| hypopharynx superlingua lateral margins | rounded | rounded to slightly angular, sometimes with slight indentations. | angular |
| labium first segment length | unknown | $0.29-0.38 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $0.51-0.53 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

Etymology. The genus name is derived from the Latin Monticola meaning mountaineer and refers to the species preference for high altitude mountainous habitats. The distinct spelling of Montikola was adopted as Monticola was pre-occupied. see opposite*

Remarks. The genus Montikola can be distinguished from all other genera in the Leptophlebiidae family by the following combination of characters: In the imago: (i) body length or 8.6-13.5; ; 8.0-13.6; (ii) male upper eye size large, sometimes contiguous (iii) male and female forewing 3.3-6.0 times longer than hindwing; (iv) forewing crossveins present in proximal halves of wing, male with 17-31 crossveins in costal space (sometimes anastomosed distally), 16-26 in subcostal space, female with 19-31 in costal space (sometimes anastomosed distaliy), 17-27 subcostally; (v) MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin; $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to MP , and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{ICU}_{1}$ recurved or joined to CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein; $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ joins $\mathrm{ICu}_{1} ; \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ paraliel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by cross vein to $A_{1 i}$ (vi) hindwing costa joins subcosta at four-fifths wing length; (vii) tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook; (viii) fused in basal half dorsally, fused almost along entire length ventrally, two lobes distinct, small triangular process present apically (although sometimes missing); each lobe pointing outwards, two pairs of internal spines; (ix) female eyes separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye; $(x)$ female sternum seven with small gential extension (xi) female sternum nine moderately cleft; (xi) egg ovoid, polar cap absent, chorion with small to large circular shaped protuberances. In the nymph: (i) body length of 10.0-13.3, 9.0 14.9. (ii) antennal length more than twice length of head; (iii) lateral margins of ciypeus very slightly diverging towards anterior; (iv) labrum slightly wider than clypeus, 2-2.5 times wider than long; lateral margins rounded; no antero-median emargination; five elongate denticles extend one-fifth to one-half the width of labrum; frontal setae arranged as a broad band, secondary hair fringe clearly separated from broad band; (v) mandibles with outer margins slightly curved; incisors with inconspicuous serrations on inner lateral margins, sometimes with subapical lobe; (vi) maxillae with galea lacinae generally about as wide as long; subapical row of 16-23 pectinate setae; terminal palp elongate, usually with single spine apically; (vii) hypopharynx deeply cleft; (viii) labium glossae not turned under ventrally: terminal segment elongate with row of triangular spines almost circulating apex; submentum with spines on lateral margins; (ix) pronotum generally slightly wider than head; $(x)$ legs with fore femora 2.7-4.0 times longer than wide; fore tarsi with 6-11 ventral spines; tarsal claws with 11-15 ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated; (xi) abdomen with posterolateral spines, progressively larger posteriorly; (xii) gills with nargins entire, double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; slender, tapering to a thin point apically, main and lateral tracheae strongly to weakly developed. see opposite*

### 4.3.2 Montikola kala (Harker) comb. nov.

Atalophlebia kala Harker (Harker 1954)
Nousia sp. "AV3" (Dean 1999)
Montikola kala (Harker) comb. nov.

Types. Holotype. Atalophlebia kala (Harker), Lake Albina, Mount Kosciusko, New South Wales, 29020200, 2 II 1929, o" imago pinned, R.J. Tillyard. Labels: a) "Atalophlebia kala", b) "Abdomen in slide cabinet", c) Mt. Kosciusko, Lake Álbina 2.2.29, R.J. Tillyard", d) "Type", e) Brit. Mus. 1937-408". Paratypes. Lake Cootapatamba, Mount Kosciusko, New South Wales, 30012700, 27 :1930, R. J.Tillyard, $q$ imago, subimagos [not seen]. Heid at the NHM.

Distribution. Southern New South Wales, Eastern Victoria (Fig. 272).

Diagnosis. Male Imago. Dimensions: body length 9.3-13.1 (11.1 $\pm 1.25,15$ ); forewing length 10.3-12.8 (11.8 $\pm 0.80,14$ ); hindwing length 1.9-2.9 (2.52 $\pm 0.36,13$ ); forewing hindwing ratio 4.07-5.79 (4.74 $40.52,13$ ). General body colour tan to dark brown. Head dark brown. Antennae: pedicel and scape dark brown, flagelluin tan brown. Ocelli: three; black with white-grey inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, sometimes contiguous; $E S=0.00-0.11(0.06 \pm 0.04,10)$; upper lobes orange-tan brown, lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: pronotum dark brown; mesonotum tan brown with darker brown markings. Legs: femora tan brown, apices darker; tibiae and tarsi yellow; total leg length $9.8-11.4(10.9 \pm 0.57,10)$; forelegs with seven segments; length ratios 1.00: 1.51: $0.05: 0.45: 0.45: 0.37: 0.15(2.7 \supseteqq \pm 0.21,10)$; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook (Fig. 273). Wings. Forewing (Fig. 274): membrane hyaline, pterostigmal region opaque, longitudinal and crossveins bro: Nn , anal veins yellow; costal and subcostal crossveins present in proximal and distal halves of wing; costal space with 5-9 (12) cross veins proximally, 12-20 (12) distally (some anastomosed), subcostal space with 5-8 (12) cross veins proximaily, 11-16 (12) distally. Three bullae present on veins $S c, R_{2}$ and $R_{4+5}$. MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to MP , and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{CuA}$ and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved to join CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $\mathrm{ICU}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$, CuA and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by crossvein to $A_{1}$. Hindwing (Fig. 275): mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; costal margin slightly convex at midlength immediatefy preceding shallow concavity, costa joins subcosta at approximately four-fifths wing length; no cross veins in proximal half of costal space, $5-8$ (11) in distal space, 6-8 (11) throughout subcostal space. Abdomen (Fig.
276): $\tan$ brown, darker posteriorly, usually with golden markings on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking anteriorly, segments eight and nine usually darker, segment ten usually light, colour and pattern variable. Genitalia (Figs 277-283): forceps three segmented (Figs. 277); tan brown; terminal segment angular, sometimes indented, about the same length as middle segment; penes tan brown; fused in basal half dorsally (Fig. 277), fused almost along entire length ventrally (Figs. 278, 282); outer lateral margins bulbous; two lobes distinct, each lobe pointing outwards, small triangular processes present apically (Figs. 277, 278, 282, 283), although sometimes missing (Figs. 279, 280); two pairs of internal spines (Figs. 277-281), although sometimes not apparent. Caudal filaments: three; tan brown; apex of each segment washed dark brown giving a banded appearance; terminal filament longer than cerci. Female imago. Dimensions: body length 9.6-12.6 (11.2 $\pm 1.00$, 15); forewing length 11.3-15.6 (12.8 $\pm 1.02,14)$; hindwing length 2.2-3.0 (2.62 $\pm 0.25,13$; forewing hindwing ratio 4.27-5.61 (4.93 $\pm 0.44,13$ ). General patterning and colouring similar to male. Eyes: grey-black, separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye. Legs: total leg length shorter than male, total foreleg length 7.6-9.4 (8.3 $\pm 0.59,10)$; forelegs with six segments, leg length ratios 1.00: 1.43: $0.21: 0.18$ : 0.15 : $0.13(2.69 \pm 0.23,10)$. Wings. Forewing (Fig. 284): colour and venation similar to male except wings with generally more crossveins on average; costal space with 7-8 (11) cross veins proximally, 16-20 (11) distally (some anastomosed), subcostal space with 7-9 (11) crossveins proximally, 14-16 (11) distally. Hindwing (Fig. 285): no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, $6-8(10)$ in distal space, $7-10(10)$ throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: sternum seven with small genital extension; sternum nine moderately cleft (Fig. 286). Egg. Ovoid; polar cap absent, chorion with large and smail circular shaped protuberances over surface, pattern variable (Fig. 287, 288). Male and female subimago. Body lengths: of 9.3-10.6 (9.7 $\pm 0.61,10)$; o $10.0-13.7(11.5 \pm 1.05,15)$. General colour and markings similar to imago. Wings uniformly grey-brown, opaque. Forelegs of male not elongated. Genitalia not fully developed. Mature nymph. (Fig. 289). Body lengths: ơ 10.0-12.5 (11.1 $\pm 0.86,10)$; $\& 11.5-14.9(13.0 \pm 1.00,16)$. General colour tan to darker brown markings. Head: prognathous; tan to dark brown, golden patches surrounding ocelli; width 1.94-2.75 (2.28 $\pm 0.21,18)$. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum golden brown; more than twice length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male orange-brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus (Fig. 290): lateral margins of clypeus very slightly diverging towards anterior; lateral margins of labrum slightly wider than clypeus, rounded; arterior margin of labrum straight with no apparent antero-median emargination; labrum length $0.40-0.63$ ( $0.51 \pm 0.07,20$ ); labrum width 0.95 1.33 (1.11 $\pm 0.12,20)$; width length ratio 2.00-2.45 (2.20 $\pm 0.15,20)$; five elongated denticles
present on anterior margin extending 0.28-0.58 ( $0.40 \pm 0.07,20$ ) times the total width of the labrum; denticle width as proportion of labrum width $0.25-0.53(0.37 \pm 0.08,20)$; frontal setae arranged as a broad band; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from broad band. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved; small indentation and sparse long spinelike setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins, shorter setae along margin between midpoint and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of setae in an inverted L-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandible (Fig. 291): two incisors, each with three apical teeth, outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; Frostheca robust, serrated; prosthecal tuft siender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible (Fig. 292): spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, short spines on inner mesal surface; inner incisor with two apical teeth; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 293): galea-lacinae as wide as long, width length ratio 0.85-1.17 (1.03 $\pm 0.10,10$ ); subapical row of 16-23 (12) pectinate setae; palpi three segmented, terminal palp with single spine apicaliy (Fig. 294); palp length ratios 1.00: 0.69: 0.67 ( $0.37 \pm 0.04,10$ ). Hypopharynx (Fig. 295): well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua deeply cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, lateral margins slightly angular. Labium (Fig. 296): glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, first segment with sharp spines, terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface (Fig. 297); palp length ratios 1.00: 0.83: 0.50 ( $0.53 \pm 0.05,10$ ); submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: tan brown with darker brown markings; pronotum width 1.98-2.85 ( $2.43 \pm 0.23,18$ ), slightly wider than width of head (see above), head pronotum width ratio $1.00-1.12(1.07 \pm 0.04,18)$. Legs (Fig. 298): yellow to tan brown, femora usually darker at apices; fore femora occasionally with white patch on proximally but this is not always apparent; total foreleg length 4.96-7.33 (5.93 $\pm 0.73,15$ ); fore femora length 2.25-3.13 (2.56 $\pm 0.28,15)$; fore femora width $0.63-0.90(0.77 \pm 0.08,15)$; fore femora length width ratio 2.88-4.05 ( $3.32 \pm 0.30,15$ ); fore tibiae with numerous short ventral spines, fore tarsi with 6-10 (10) ventral spines; tarsal claws (Fig. 299) with 11-15 (10) ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated; leg length ratios, foreleg 1.00: $0.93: 0.36(2.56 \pm 0.28,15)$, mid leg 1.00: $0.87: 0.31$ ( $2.52 \pm 0.29,15$ ), hind leg 1.00: 0.89: 0.27 (2.96 $\pm 0.32,15$ ). Abdomen: $\tan$ brown with darker brown markings, goiden markings medially on each segment, pattern variable; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Fig. 300): membrane clear, light grey colour; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; each gill tapered to a
thin point apically; main and lateral tracheae present, strongly developed. Caudal filaments: three; golden brown; terminal filament longer than cerci.

Remarks. The larval stage of this species has been recognised previously and referred to as "Genus Y sp. 2" or Atalophlebioides "sp. 2" in various unpublished keys from the Museum of Victoria. Recently, it carried the name Nousia sp. "AV3" (Dean 1999).

This species, however, appears to have been described previously as Atalophlebia kala by Harker(1954) from specimens collected by Tuvard twenty years earlier. Her description only included the adult stages and her basis for including this species in Atalophlebia is not clear, although I presume the large size of the animal influenced her decision. I have examined the holotype and type slide of genitalia from the NHM and it clearly represents Atalophlebia kala Harker (Harker 1954, FIG. 36, p. 251, reproduced here as Fig. 301).

Dean (1999) considers the nymph to belong to Nousia (Australonousia) and it is clear that there are many similarities in mouthpart, leg and gill morphology. However, only the nymph was documented and no association made with the clearly distinct adults. I have also examined a large number of nymphs from the type localities of Lake Albina and Lake Cootapatamba, collected by John Dean in the mid 1980's, which I am satisfied represent Nousia sp. "AV3" sensu Dean. Specimens collected by me from a small unnamed tributary of Charity Creek underneath a chairlift (Fig. 302) near the summit of Mt Baw Baw were reared in the laboratory and proved to be this species. These specimens and a wide range of other reared material (see below) has confirmed the association between nymph and adult of this species.

Montikola kala can be distinguished from other species in the genus by the following combination of characters. In the imago: (i) male forewing length longer; (ii) male forewing hindwing ratio larger, ie hindwing smaller in proportion to forewing; (iii) male foreleg lengths longer; (iv) abdomen with golden markings medially on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking; (v) outer lateral margins of penis more bulbous. In the nymph: (i) denticles on labrum extend approximately two-fifths the width of the labrum; (ii) total foreleg length longer, particularly femora; (iii) abdomen with golden markings medially on each segment forming a broken stripe midline, two golden coloured maculae flanking each midline marking; (iv) gills with membrane clear.

Other specimens examined. NEW SOUTH WALES. Lake Albina outlet stream (Lady Northcotes Creek), 85020903, $9 \| 1985,1920 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 26^{\prime}$, EPH 1776, 1 \& S (DC),

EPH 1777, 3 © $\mathrm{N}, 2$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Lake Albina inflowing stream, Mt Kosciusko, 85020901, 9 II 1985, 1920m, $148^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1778, $1 \circ$ N. (JD). Lake Albina, 79042000, 20 IV 1979, 1950m, $147^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 26^{\prime}, 1$ \& N (IC). Lake Cootapatomba inflowing stream, Mt Kosciusko, 84012400,241 1984, $2160 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 28^{\prime \prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1774, 1 ه $\mathrm{N}, 13$ 9 N (JD). Ramshead Creek, upstream Kosciusko Walking Track, 84012703, 27 I 1984, $1940 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$, EPH 1780, 1 \& N, (JD). South Ramshead, 82032500, 25 ill 1982, 1950m, $148^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 31^{\prime}, 1$ ol $^{\prime}$ (RG). Unnamed tributary, small creek crossing track, Upper Snowy Valley, Ramshead, 85020902, 9 II 1985, 1940m, $148^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 36^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 8^{\prime}$, EPH 1785, 1 o $N(J D)$. Unnamed creek, south slope of North Ramshead, 84012702, 27 I 1984, 1940m, 148 ${ }^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 36^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 8^{\prime}$, EPH 1787, 3 of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ \& N (JD). VICTORIA. Albert River, Albert River Rd, 84053100, $31 \mathrm{~V} 1984,330 \mathrm{~m}$, $146^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1768, 3 of N 4 \& N. (DC). Badger Creek, downstream weir, 80011801, 18 i 1980, $280 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$, EPH 1726, 1 ol $^{\circ} \mathrm{I}$, (reared), (JD); 80032001 , 20 III 1980, same locality data, EPH 1725, 1 o NS (reared), (JD). Badger Creek, upstream weir, Badger Weir Park, 5km SE of Healesville, 80011802, 18। 1980, 300m, $145^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1714, $5 \& \mathrm{~N}$ (JD). Buckland River, Mt Buffalo Rd, 96110701, 7 XI 1996, $96110701,280 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Bull Creek, Bull Creek Rd, 96113003,30 XI 1996, $360 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$, 1 \& NS (reared), 1 ㅇ N (KJF). Bull Creek tributary, W of Yea Rock, 96113004, 30 XI 1996, 450m, $145^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Buller Ck, Mirimbah, 81110202, 2 XI 1981, 670m, $146^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$, EPH 1760,4 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 7$ $\% \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{JD})$. Cement Creek, Mount Donna Buang Rd, $670 \mathrm{~m}, 76040800,8 \mathrm{IV} 1976,145^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1696, 1 \& N, (JD), EPH 1704, 1 \& NSI (reared) (JD), EPH 1706, 1 \& NS (reared) (JD); 76042301, 23 IV 1976, same locality data, EPH 1705, 1 ㅇ NSI (reared) (JD); 76120100, 1 XII 1976, same locality data, EPH 1698, 1 ol $^{\circ}$ (JD); $77041400,14 \mathrm{IV}$ 1977, same locality data, EPH 1695, 1 of N (JD),
 data, EPH 1699, 4 \& N (JD), EPH 1700, 1 of (JD); 78100600, $6 \times 1978$, same locality data, 1 of NI (reared) (JD); 79120700, 7 XII 1979, same locality data, EPH 1697, 1 of NI (JD); 80030300, 3 III 1980, same locality data, EPH 1703, 3 o 1, 3 ㅇ 1,3 9 S (JD), 96092501, 25 IX 1996, same locality data, 1 \& NS (KJF); 98030503, 5 III 1998, same locality data, 1 \& N (KJF); 99012301, 23 I 1999, same locality data, 1 申 NSI (reared), 1 i NS (KJF); 00022601, 26 II 2000, same locality data, 1 of NSI (reared), 1 of $N$ (KJF). Charity Creek tributary, Mt Baw Baw Tourist Rd, 99021602, 16 II 1999, 1180m, $146^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime} ; 1$ of $\mathrm{NSI}, 1$ ㅇ NSI, 1 \& NI, 1 of $\mathrm{NS}, 1$ o N (KJF). Clearwater Creek, Bogong High Plains Rd, $96111003,10 \times 1$ 1996, $1410 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o N (KJF). Clematis Creek, Monbuik Rd, 97101501 , $15 \times 1997,290 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 1^{\prime}{ }^{\prime 2} 2^{\prime \prime} 37$ ${ }^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}, 2$ ㅇ NSI (reared), 1 of NS (reared) (KJF). Coranderrk Creek, Badger Weir Park,

97040606， 06 IV 1997， $240 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}, 2$ 和 $\mathrm{N}, 1$ 우 N （KJF）．Donnelly Creek，Donnelly Weir Rd，97040604， 6 IV 1997，140m， $145^{\circ} 32^{\prime \prime} 03^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}, 1$ i NS （reared）， $1 \delta \mathrm{~N}$（KJF）．Errinundra River，1．5km upstream Combienbar Rd，81052101， 21 V 1981，120m， $148^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ ，EPH 1750， 2 ot（JD）．Falls Creek，Telephone Box Junction，Mt Stirling Rd，81110203， 2 XI 1981，1220m， $146^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}, ~ E P H ~ 1740$, 1 \＆N（JD）．Four Mile Creek，Horners Rd，Warburton，97042501， 25 IV 1997，185m， $145^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o 1 NS（reared）（KJF）．German Creek，Bright－Tawonga Rd， 96110901， 9 XI 1996，440m， $147^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$（KJF）．Grace Burn upstream weir， 78042700,27 IV $1978,250 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ ，EPH 1721， 1 \＆ NS （reared） （JD）．Grace Burn，Wallace Parade，Healesville， 97040601,6 IV 1997， $110 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}, 1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$（KJF）．Hope Creek，Tanjil Bren Rd， $96101204,12 \times 1996,550 \mathrm{~m}$ ， $146^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ ， 1 ¢ NS （reared）， 2 ㅇ N （KJF）．Icy Creek，Tooronga Rd， $96101103,11 \times 1996,530 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}, 2$ \＆ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$ ．Keppel Creek，upper site， $94091700,17 \mathrm{IX} 1994,800 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 27^{\prime}, 8$ \＆ N （SP）； $94101000,10 \times 1994$ ， same locality data， 1 \＆NS（reared）（SP）；94111900， 19 XI 1994，same locality data， 1 of NS（reared）（SP）；94120501， 05 XII 1994，same tocality data， 1 ㅇ NS（reared）（SP）； 95031300， 13 III 1995，same locality data， 1 of $S$（SP）；95031400， 14 III 1995，sanie locality data， $1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}$（reared）， 2 ； NSI （reared）， $1 \circ \mathrm{NS}$（reared）， $1 \mp \mathrm{NS}$（reared）（SP）； 95031500， 15 III 1995，same locality data， 10 NS（reared）， 18 NS（reared），（SP）； 95032100， 21 III 1995，same locality data， 1 ㅇ I（SP）；95032700， 27 III 1995，same locality data， 1 or NS（reared）（SP）；95033100， 31 III 1995，same locality data， 1 o NSI （reared）（SP）；95041000， 10 IV 1995，same locality data， 1 甲 NSI（reared）（SP）．King Parrot Creek，Wallaby Creek Rd， $760 \mathrm{~F} 1600,16$ VIII 1976： $350 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 56^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ ， EPH 1710， 1 ㅇ S（JD），EPH 1711， 1 of 1 ， 1 \＆S（JD）．King Parrot Creek，4WD irack off Whittlesea－Yea Rd，Kinglake West， $96100701,7 \times 1996,96100701,340 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$ ．Lake Elizabeth，inlet stream，Otways，88052200， 22 V 1988， $210 \mathrm{~m}, 143^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ ，EPH 1751， 2 o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$（DC）．Loch River，Noojee，93050200， 2 V 1993， $240 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 53^{\prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}(\mathrm{SP})$ ．Martins Creek No．2，Bonang Rd， 0.5 km S of Malinns， 990 1306， 13 II 1999，260m， $148^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime}, 1$ i N （KJF）．Mit Baw Baw，

 $37^{\circ} 08^{\prime}, 3$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{l}, 2 \neq 1,1 \neq \mathrm{S}, 3 \neq \mathrm{N}$（IC）．Myrtie Gully，Sylvia Creek Rd，Toolangi State Forest， 96112901,29 XI 1996， $620 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 3$ \＆ N （KJF）．North Cascade Creek，Thomson Valley Rd， 91011300,13 I 1991， $920 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ ， EPH 1722， 1 ㅇ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD})$ ． $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Shannassy River， 75111400 ， $14 \mathrm{XI} 1975,580 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ $37^{\circ} 36^{\prime \prime}$ ，EPH 1713， 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$（JD）；80010401， 4 I 1980，same locality data，EPH 1759， 1 ot N， 1 甲 $N(J D)$ ．Perrins Creek，Warwick Rd，97032406， 24 III 1997，350m， $145^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$
 Rd, $\vdots .5 \mathrm{~km}$ S of Bogong, $96110904,9 \mathrm{XI} 1996,740 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}, 36^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, 2$ 2 N (KJF). Razor Creek, Mt Stirling Rd, 89030300, 30 III 1989, 1150m, 146 ${ }^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1754, 1 \& N(AN) Rum Creek, Thomson Valley, 85041700, 17 IV 1985, $640 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1758, 1 ơ $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 5$ \% N, (JD). Running Creek, Warburton- Jamieson Rd, $81103102,31 \times 1981,750 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$, EPH 1724, 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, 2 \% N (JD). Running Jump Creek tributary, Mt Buffalo Rd, 96110705, 7 XI 1996, 1500m, $146^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}, 1$ q $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Sassafras Creek, Perrins Creek Rd, 97101502, $15 \times 1997,370 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}, 1 \circ \mathrm{NSI}$ (reared) (KJF). Snobs Creek tributary, Snobs Creek Rd, $81103103,31 \times 1981,760 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$,
 Starvation Creek, upstream weir, $77102800,28 \times 1977,340 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1712, 1 os $^{*}$ S (JD). Talbot Creek, downstream Thomson River Reservoir, 10 VIII 1983, $700 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1757, 1 o $\mathrm{N}, 7$ \% N (DC); 86040900, 9 IV 1986, same locality data, EPH 1752, 3 o $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$. Tanjil River east branch tributary, Mt Baw Baw Tourist Rd, 96101104, 11 X 1996, 500m, 146́11'29" $37^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\prime \prime}$ NS (reared) (KJF). Tarra River, Tarra-Bulga National Park, 84010900, 91 1984, 340m, $146^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1715, 1 \& N (JD). Tarra River, 20m upstream Tarra Falls, 84120900, 9 XII 1984, 320m, $146^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ}{ }^{\prime} \prime^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$ ", EPH 1769, 4 of $\mathrm{N}, 6$ 9 N (JD). Tarra River, Tarra-Bulga National Park, 99021601, 16 il 1999, $340 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ $38^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}, 1$ 아 NSI (reared), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2$ ㅇ N (KJF). Traralgon Creek, Guntzler Bridge, $84052900,29 \mathrm{~V} 1984,110 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 38^{\circ} 20^{\prime}, 3$ of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ o N (DC).

### 4.3.3 Montikola adamus sp. nov.

Nousia sp. "AV11" (Dean 1999)

Types. Here designated. Montikola adamus sp. nov. Holotype. Frying Pan Raceline tributary, Teimark St, Falls Creek, 99020505, 5 II 1999, 1560m, $147^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 52^{\prime \prime} 00^{\prime \prime}, 1$ $\sigma^{*}$ NSI (KJF). (Figs. 303-304). Paratypes. Frying Pan Raceline tributary, same collection data, 2 ㅇ NSI, $4 \circ \mathrm{~N}$ (KJF). McKay Creek tributary - waterfall, Mt McKay, Alpine National Park, 99020504, 5 II 1999, 1700m, $147^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime \prime}, 1$ o' NSI (KJF). Tanjil River $^{\prime}$ east branch headwaters, Mt Baw Baw Alpine Village, 99021603, 16 II 1999, 1440m, $146^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of NSI (KJF).

Distribution. Southern New South Wales, Eastern Victoria (Fig. 305).

Diagnosis. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 8.6-13.5 (10.8土1.96, 10); forewing length 8.8-13.2 (10.5土1.66, 10); hindwing length 2.05-3.30 (2.50 $\pm 0.46,10)$; forewing
hindwing ratio $3.81-4.78(4.24 \pm 0.31,10)$. General body coiour tan to brown with dark brown ma،kings. Head dark brown. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum dark brown. Ocelli: three, black with white-grey inserts, laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, sometimes contiguous, $E S=0.00-0.09(0.03 \pm 0.03,10)$; upper lobes orangebrown, lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: pronotum and mesonotum shiny tan brown with darker markings. Legs: femora tan brown, apices darker, tibiae and tarsi goiden; total foreleg length 7.4-11.4 ( $9.0 \pm 1.32,10$ ); forelegs with seven segments; leg length ratios 1.00: 1.39: $0.06: 0.37: 0.35: 0.28: 0.16(2.50 \pm 0.35,9)$; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook (Fig. 306). Wings. Forewing (Fig. 307): membrane hyaline, pterostigmal slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow; costal and subcostal crossveins present in proximal and distal halves of wing; costal space with 7-11 (10) crossveins proximally, 14-17 (10) distally (some anastomosed), subcostal space with 7-10 (10) crossveins proximally, 11-14 (10) distally. Three bullae present on veins $\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{R}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{4+5,}$ MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{CuA}$ and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved to join CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$, CuA and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parailel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by crossvein to $A_{1}$. Hindwing (Fig. 308): mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; costal margin slightly convex at midlength immediately preceding shallow concavity; costa joins subcosta at approximately four-fifths wing length; no crossveins in proximal half of costal space, 5-8 (10) in distal space, 5-9(10) crossveins throughout subcostal space.
Abdomen (Fig. 309): light to tan brown with darker brown markings, dark brown diamond shaped maculae forming central stripe on segments two to nine, flanked by golden markings anteriorly and posteriorly on each segment, segments one and ten predominantly brown. Genitalia (Figs 310-315): forceps three segmented (Figs. 310, 312, 314); tan brown, progressively lighter apically, terminal segment angular, about the same length as middle segment; penes golden brown, fused in basal half dorsally (Figs. 310, 312,313 ), fused almost along entire length ventrally (Figs. 311, 314, 315), two lobes distinct, outer lateral margins relatively straight; each lobe pointing outwards: two pairs of in : nal spines. Caudal filaments: three, tan brown; terminal fliament longer than cerci. Fer. $:>$ imago. Dimensions: body length $8.0-13.6$ ( $11.5 \pm 1.86,13$ ); forewing length $8.4-$ $13.8(11.8 \pm 1.91,12)$; hindwing length 1.4-3.5 $(2.5 \pm 0.59,12)$; forewing hindwing ratio 3.94-6.00 (4.69 $\pm 0.54,12$ ). General patterning and colouring similar to male. Eyes: grey black, separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye. Legs: totai leg length shorter than male, total leg length $5.8-9.5(7.5 \pm 1.29,10)$; forelegs with six segments, leg length ratios 1.00: 1.36: 0.21: 0.19: 0.16: $0.14(2.44 \pm 0.42,11)$. Wings. Forewing (Fig 316): colour and venation similar to male except wings generally
with more crossveins on average; costal space witin 6-11 (12) crossveins proximally, 1318 (12) distally, subcostal space with 5-10 (12) crossveins proximaliy, 12-17 (12) distally. Hindwing (Fig. 317): no crossveins proximal halves of costal space, 5-8 (12) in distal space, 5-9 (12) crossveins throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: sternum seven with genital extension, segment nine moderately cleft (Fig. 318). Egg. Ovoid; polar cap absent, chorion with large and small circular shaped protuberances over surface, pattern variable (Figs 319, 320). Male and femaie subimago. General colour and markings similar to imago. Wings uniformly grey-brown, opaque. Forelegs of male not elongated. Genitalia not fully developed. Mature nymph. (Fig. 321). Body lengths: of 10.0-13.3 $(11.7 \pm 1.25,10)$, \& $8.0-13.7$ (11.8 $\pm 1.75,14$ ). General colour tan brown with darker markings. Head: prognathous; tan brown, slightly darker posteriorly; width 1.98-2.48 ( $2.20 \pm 0.16,11$ ). Ocelli: three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel and scape tan brown, flagellum golden; more than twice length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male reddish brown, lower lobes black; eyes of female black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus (Fig. 322): laterai margins of clypeus very slightly diverging towards anterior; lateral margins of labrum slightiy wider than clypeus, rounded; anterior margin of labrum straight with no apparent antero-meaian emargination; labrum length 0.45-0.60 (0.50 $\pm 0.04,14)$, labrum width $1.00-1.25(1.08 \pm 0.08,14)$, width length ratio 2.00-2.33 (2.16 $\pm 0.09,14$ ); five denticles present on anterior margin extending 0.24 $0.34(0.28 \pm 0.03,14)$ times the total width of the labrum; denticle width as proportion of labrum width 0.21-0.31 ( $0.26 \pm 0.03,14$ ); frontal setae arranged as a broad band; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from broad band. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved; small indentation and sparse long spine-like setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins, shorter setae along margin between midpoint and base; dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of setae in an inverted L-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandible (Fig. 323): two incisors, each with three apical teeth, outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner laieral margin, often with subapical process; prostheca robust, serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible (Fig. 324): spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, short spines on inner mesal surface; inner incisor with two apical teeth; prostheca simple, slender; prostheca tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Maxillae (Fig. 325): galea-lacinae slightly wider than long, width length ratio 1.00-1.22 (1.10 $\pm 0.06,10$ ); subapical row of 16-19 (10) pectinate setae; palpi three segmented, terminal palp with single spine apically; palp length ratios 1.00: 0.71: 0.67 ( $0.37 \pm 0.03,11$ ). Hypopharynx (Fig. 326): well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua deeply cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, tateral margins slightly angular. Labium (Fig. 327): glossae not
turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, first segment with sharp spines, terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface; palp length ratios 1.00: $0.81: 0.51(0.51 \pm 0.05,10)$; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: tan brown with darker brown markings; pronotum width 2.15-2.90 (2.42 $\pm 0.22$, 11), slightly wider than width of head (see above), head pronotum width ratio 1.03-1.17 ( $1.10 \pm 0.05,11$ ). Legs (Fig. 328): goiden to tan brown, femora usually darker at apices; fore femora occasionally with white patch proximally but this is not always apparent; total foreleg length 3.80-6.03 (4.84 $\pm 0.62,12)$; fore femora length 1.75-2.38 (2.14 $\pm 0.23,12$ ), fore femora width $0.63-0.90(0.74 \pm 0.07,12)$, fore femora length width ratio 2.69-3.14 ( $2.91 \pm 0.15,12$ ); fore tibiae with numerous shiort ventral spines, fore tarsi with 7-11 (10) ventral spines; tarsal claws (Fig. 329) with 11-14 (10) ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated; leg length ratios, foreleg 1.00: 0.89: 0.37 (2.14 $\pm 0.23,12$ ), mid leg 1.00: 0.87: 0.35 (2.23 $\pm 0.27,10$ ), hind leg 1.00: 0.88: 0.29 ( $2.58 \pm 0.36,10$ ). Abdomen: golden brown with darker brown markings, dark brown diamond shaped maculae forming central stripe on segments two to nine flanked by tan and golden markings anteriorly and posteriorly on each segment, segment ten predominantly golden; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger apically. Gills (Fig. 330): membrane opaque, grey-black, present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equaliy developed; each gill tapered to a thin point apically; main tracheal branch apparent, lateral tracheae poorly developed and visible under transmitted light only. Caudal filaments: three; golden, terminal filament longer than cerci.

Etymology. The species epithet is derived from the Latin word adamus (masc.) meaning diamond and refers to the diamond-shaped marks on the dorsum of both the imago and nymph abdomen.

Remarks. The nymph of this species has previously been identified as a morphospecies and referred to as Nousia sp. "AV11" (Dean 1999).

The genitalia of $M$. adamus is remarkably similar to that of $M$. kala with two lobes pointing outwards and two internal spines. They do appear, however, to be somewhat slimmer and with straighter lateral margins than M. kala although this difference is slight and not always apparent. One obvious difference from the SEM photographs is that $M$. kala has a process apically on the penes lobes which is apparently missing from $M$. adamus. However, this lobe is sometimes missing from M. kala specimens as well. As the indentation for the process is obvious on the $M$. kala photographs I have concluded that
the process itself may be very fragile and easily removed especially during the rigorous process of critical point drying required for electron microscopy. The indentation for the process is not apparent on the $M$. adamus genitalia and $I$ have been unable to determine whether this is a standard feature.

Montikola adamus can be distinguished from other species in the genus by the following combinations of characters. In the imago: (i) male forewing length shorter; (ii) male forewing hindwing ratio smaller, ie hindwing larger in proportion to forewing; (iii) male foreleg lengths shorter; (iv) abdomen with dark brown diamond shaped maculae forming central stripe; (v) outer lateral margins of penis more straight. In the nymph: (i) denticles on labrum extend approximately one-third width of the labrum; (ii) total foreleg length shorter, particularly femora; (iii) fore femora 2.9 times longer than wide; (iv) abdomen with dark brown diamond shaped maculae forming central stripe; (v) gills with membrane opaque, grey-black.

Other specimens examined. NEW SOUTH WALES. Ramshead Creek, "the Cascades", Merritts Track, 84012701, 27!1984, EPH 1783, 1 of N, 1 \& N (JD). Ramshead Creek, upstream Kosciusko Walking Track, 84012703, 27 ! 1984, 1940m, $148^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$, EPH 1781, 2 o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 1 \circ \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{JD})$. Unnamed tributary, south slope of North Ramshead, 84012702, 27 I 1984, EPH 1788, 1 \& I (JD). Unnamed trickle, small trickle on Cascade Trail, 1 km upstream Deadhorse Gap, 84012100, 21 I 1984, EPH 1782, 1 \% $\mathrm{N}, 7 \circ \mathrm{~N}(J \mathrm{D})$. VICTORIA. Baldy Creek, Mt Stirling Rd, 75011700, 17 IV 1975, 1220m, $146^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$, EPH 1727, 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (RM). Bluff Creek, 11.7 km up Mt Stirling Rd, 81110201, 2 XI 1981, $1310 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1741, $48^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 10$ of (JD). Bogong Jack Creek, West Kiewa Logging Rd, 97110602, 6 XI 1997, 1070m, 147¹0'12" $36^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Brandy Creek, Great Alpine Rd, Alpine National Park,
 96111802, 18 XI 1996, same locality data, 1 o N (KJF); 96111902, 19 XI 1996, same locality data, 1 \& N (KJF); 97011902, 191 1997, same locality data, 1 ه N (KJF). Breakfast Creek, Tamboritha Rd, Alpine National Park, 97020701, 7 Il 1997, 320m, $146^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of 1 (KJF). Caledonia River, Howitts Hut, $96051400,14 \mathrm{~V} 1996$, 1480m, 147 ${ }^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 14^{\prime}$, EPH 1793, 2 \& N (coll. unknown), EPH 1794, 2 $\mp \mathrm{N}$ (coll. unknown). Cement Creek, Mount Donna Buang Rd, 78112300, 23 XI 1978, 670m, $145^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1734, 1 \&N (JD), EPH 1736, $16^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ \% N (JD). Charity Creek tributary, Mt Baw Baw Tourist Rd, 99021602, 16 II 1999, 1180m, $146^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{NSI}, 1 \neq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Falls Creek, Telephone Box Junction, Mt Stirling Rd, 81110203, 2 XI 1981,1220m, $146^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1737, 1 \& N (JD), EPH 1738, 1 of $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD})$, EPH 1739, 8 of $\mathrm{N}, 3 \circ \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{JD})$. First Creek, Warburton-Jamieson Rd,

85120700, 7 XII 1985, 750m, $145^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1731, 1 \& N, (JD), EPH 1732, 2 $0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 2$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD})$. Frosty Creek, Frosty Creek Rd, 96110803, 8 XI 1996, 1380m, $147^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o' NS, 1 ㅇ S, 6 o $\mathrm{N}, 3$ ㅇ N (KJF). Frying Pan Raceline tributary, Telmark St, Falls Creek, 99020505, 5 II 1999, $1560 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}, 4$
 tributary, track between Blairs Hut and Westons Hut, Mt Hotham, 91110301, 3 XI 1991, 1320m, $147^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$, EPH 1755, 1 б N, 1 ㅇ N (JD). McKay Creek, Mt McKayHowmans Gap Rd, 80011100, 11 I 1980, 1580m, $147^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$, EPH 1770, 3 of N, 3 \& N(JD). McKay Creek tributary - waterfall, Mt McKay, Alpine National Park, 96110905, 9 XI 1996, $1700 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}, 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 10$ o $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Merritts Creek, Charloites Pass, Mt Kosciusko National Park, 96120802, 8 XII 1996, 1920m, 148 ${ }^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$ $36^{\circ} 25^{\prime}, 1$ ¢ $\mathrm{SI}, 1$ ¢ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Midale Creek tributary, Alpine National Park, 96111002, 10 XI 1996, 1620m, $147^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Mt Baw Baw, 75011400, 14 I
 $1680 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 08^{\prime}$, EPH 1756, 1 ot $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 1$ ㅇ $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{AN})$. Myrtle Creek, Mt Donna-Buang Rd, $97040603,6 \mathrm{IV} 1997,780 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 3$ ㅇ N (KJF); 00022602, 26 II 2000, same locality data, 1 o $\mathrm{NSI}, 3$ o $\mathrm{NS}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{S}, 4$ \& N (KJF); 00031901, 19 III 2000, same locality data, $1 \not \& \mathrm{NSI}, 3 \circ \mathrm{NS}, 1$ or $^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 2 \neq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Sawpit Creek, Donnelly Weir Rd, 97040605, 6 IV 1997, 100m, $145^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. South Buller Creek headwaters, Mt Buller Summit, $97021501,15 \|$ I $997,1680 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 146^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 08^{\prime}, 1$ \& NS (KJF). Tanjil River headwaters, Mt Baw Baw Alpine Village, 96101201, $12 \times 1996$, 1440m, $146^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}, 2$ o' $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ (KJF); 99021603, 16 II 1999, 99021603, same locality data, 1 o NS, 1 ㅇ NSI (KJF). Target Creek tributary, Heyfield-Jamieson Rd,
 River Tarra-Bulga National Park, 98112101, 21 XI 1998, 340m, $146^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 26^{\prime \prime} 57^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Tarra River, "̈arra-Bulga National Park, 98112102, 21 XI 1998, 340m, $146^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 38^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} .1$ \& NS, $1 \sigma^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Unnamed Creek, NE slope of Mt Builer,
 96110703,7 XI 1996, 1060m, $146^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}, 1$ of N (KJF). Upper Perkins Creek, 941 i2100, 21 XI 1994, EPH 1748, 1 ¢N (JBa), EPH 1749, 3 i N (JBa).

### 4.3.4 Differentiation of the species

I have considered the possibility that the these two species are conspecific due to the similarity of the genitalia. However, there are number of differences between the two species that are consistent (Table 19). In particular there are some significant differences in the length of the jorewing and foreleg of the two speciss with M. kala being larger. The
forewing hindwing ratio of the $M$. kala is also larger which presents a smaller hindwing in relation to the forewing. In the nymph there are highly significant differences in the denticle width of the labrum and the denticle width in relation to the labrum width. The total foreleg length and fore femora length are also significant, again with $M$. kala possessing the longer lengths. In addition to these measurements, there are definite differences in the abdomen pattern of the imago and nymph, and in the colour of the nymphal gills. All factors combined I feel warrant the establishment of separate species.

Table 19．Comparison of the characteristics of the two species of Montikola showing outcomes of t－tests．

|  | Montikola kala | Montikola adamus | Sig． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Male Imago＿＿ |  |  |  |
| body length | 9．3－13．1（11．1 $\pm 1.25,15)$ | 8．6－13．5（10．8 $\pm 1.96,10)$ | ns |
| forewing length | 10．3－12．8（11．8 $\pm 0.80,14)$ | 8．8－13．2（10．5 $\pm 1.66,10)$ | ＊ |
| hindwing length | 1．9－2．9（2．52 $\pm 0.36 .13)$ | 2．05－3．30（2．50 $\pm 0.46,10)$ | ns |
| forewing hindwing ratio | 4．07－5．79（4．74土0．52，13） | 3．81－4．78（4．24士0．31，10） | ＊ |
| eye size（ES） | $\begin{gathered} 0.00-0.11(0.06 \pm 0.04 \\ 10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0．00－0．09（0．03 $\pm 0.03,10)$ | ns |
| total length of foreleg | 9．8－11．4（10．9 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ． 57,10 ） | 7．4－11．4（9．0 1 1．32，10） | ＊＊ |
| Female Imago |  |  |  |
| body length | 9．6－12．6（11．2土1．00，15） | 8．0－13．6（11．5 $\pm 1.86,13)$ | ns |
| forewing length | 11．3－15．6（12．8 $\pm 1.02,14)$ | $8.4-13.8$（11．8 $\pm 1.91,12)$ | ns |
| hindwing length | 2．2－3．0（2．62 $\pm 0.25,13)$ | 1．4－3．5（2．5 $\pm 0.59,12)$ | ns |
| forewing hindwing ratio | 4．27－5．61（4．93 $\pm 0.44,13)$ | $3.94-6.00$（4．69 $\pm 0.54,12)$ | ns |
| total foreleg length | 7．6－9．4（8．3土0．59，10） | $5.8-9.5(7.5 \pm 1.29,10)$ | ns |
| Nymph |  |  |  |
| body length－male | 10．0－12．5（11．1 $\pm 0.86,10)$ | 10．0－13．3（11．7 $\pm 1.25,10)$ | ns |
| body length－ female | 11．5－14．9（13．0 $\pm 1.00,16)$ | 8．0－13．7（11．8土 1．75，14） | ns |
| head width | 1．91－2．75（2．28土0．21，18） | 1．98－2．48（2．20 $\pm 0.16,11)$ | ns |
| labrum length | $0.40-0.63(0.51 \pm 0.07,20)$ | $0.45-0.60(0.50 \pm 0.04,14)$ | ns |
| labrum width | $0.95-1.33(1.11 \pm 0.12,20)$ | 1．00－1．25（1．08 $+0.08,14)$ | ns |
| labrum length width ratio | 2．00－2．45（2．20 $\pm 0.15,20)$ | 2．00－2．33（2．16 $\pm 0.09,14$ ） | ns |
| denticle width | $0.28-0.58(0.40 \pm 0.07,20)$ | 0．24－0．34（0．28 $\pm 0.03,14$ ） | ＊＊ |
| denticle width labrum width ratio | 0．25－0．53（0．37 $\pm 0.08,20)$ | 0．21－0．31（0．26 $\pm 0.03,14$ ） | ＊＊＊ |
| Maxillae galea lacinae width length ratio | 0．85－1．17（1．03 $\pm 0.10,10)$ | 1．00－1．22（1．10 $\pm 0.06,10)$ | ns |
| pronotum width | 1．98－2．85（2．43 $\pm 0.23,18)$ ， | 2．15－2．90（2．42 $+0.22 .11)$ | ns |
| head pronotum width ratio | 1．00－1．12（1．07 $\pm 0.04,18)$ | 1．03－1．17（1．10 $0.05,11$ ） | ns |
| total foreleg length | 4．96－7．33（5．93 $\pm 0.73,15)$ | $3.80-6.03$（4．84土0．62，12） | ＊＊ |
| foreleg femora length | 2．25－3．13（2．56 $\pm 0.28,15)$ | 1．75－2．38（2．14土0．23，12） | ＊＊＊ |
| foreleg femora widtin | 0．63－0．90（0．77 $\pm 0.08,15)$ | 0．63－0．90（0．74土0．07，12） | ns |
| foreleg femora length width ratio | 2．88－4．05（3．32 $\pm 0.30,15)$ | 2．69－3．14（2．91 $\pm 0.15,12)$ | ns |

## Male Imagos

Abdomen with golden markings midine, lateral margins of penes more
bulbous.................................................................................................................a
Abdomen with dark brown diamond shaped maculae midline, lateral margins of penes straight. adamus

## Nymphs

> Abdomen with golden markings midiline, denticles extending two-fifths the total width of the labrum, gills with membrane clear........................................................................................

Abdomen with dark brown diamond shaped maculae midline, denticles extending one third the total width of labrum, gills with membrane opaque, greyblack adamus

### 4.4 Description of Gemmayaluka gen. nov.

### 4.4.1 Gemmayaluka gen. nov.

Type species. Nousia (Australonousia) wiltkorringae (Finlay)

Distribution. Southern New South Wales, Eastern Victoria (Fig. 331).

Diagnosis. Male imago. Dimensions: body length 7.0-8.9; forewing length 8.6-9.6; hindwing length 1.39-1.64; forewing hindwing ratio 5.34-6.96. General body colour golden-brown to orange-brown with darker brown markings. Head brow.. Antennae: pedicel and scape light brown, flagellum golden. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, often contiguous, $E S=0.00-0.09$; upper lobes orange, lower lobes grey-black. Thorax: pronotum and mesonotum golden to orange or tan brown with darker brown markings. Legs: golden brown, femora apices darker; forelegs with seven segments, total foreleg length 6.90-7.77; forelegs with seven segments, tibia approximately 1.4 times the length of femur, the five segmented tarsus 1.4 times the length of femur; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook. Wings. Forewing: membrane hyaline, pterostigmal area slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow, costal and subcostal veins present
in proximal and distal halves of wing; costal space with 6-7 crossveins proximally, 10-14 distally; subcostal space with 5-7 crossveins proximally, 9-14 distally. Three bullae present on veins $S c, R_{2}$ and $R_{4+5}$, MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin, $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{CuA}$ and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved to join CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{IC} \mathrm{I}_{1}$. CuA and CuP linked by crossvein, $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approashed, CuP strongly recurved and linked by crossvein to $A_{1}$. Hindwing: mostly hyaline, costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque; costal margin slightly convex and midlength immediately preceding shallow concavity, costa joins subcosta at approximately four-fifths wing length; no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space, 4-5 in distal space,4-5 throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: golden to orange brown, distinct dark brown markings forming distinctive W -shaped pattern which is more apparent on the posterior segments. forceps three segmented; yellow; terminal segment anguiar, slightly indented at apex; penes yellow, laterally broad with penes extending to approximately half the length of the forceps; ventral surface fused in basal third, remainder divided, divergent; dorsal surface separate, two laterally expanded lobes meeting at two-thirds length; two small trianguiar processes projecting from inner margin. Caudal filaments: three, $\tan$ brown; terminal filament longer than cerci. Female imago. Dimensions: body length 7.8-9.7; forewing length 9.8-10.9; hindwing length 1.46-1.95; forewing hindwing ratio 5.75-7.12. General patterning and colouring similar to male. Eyes: grey-ituck; separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye. Legs: total leg length shorter than male, 5.1-6.4; forelegs with six segments; tibia approximately 1.4 times the length of femur, the four segmented tarsus approximately 0.8 times the length of femur. Wings. Forewing: colour and venation similar to male except wings with generally more crossveins on average; costal space with 6-9 crossveins proximally, 13-16 distally, subcostal space with $5-8$ crossveins proximally, 11-16 distally. Hindwing: no crossveins in proximal half of costal space, 5-6 in distal space, 5-6 crossveins throughout subcostal space. Abdomen: sternum seven with relatively prominent genital extension, sternum nine shallowly cleft. Egg. Ovoid, polar cap absent, chorion with similarly sized evenly spaced flower shaped protuberances. Male and female subimago. General pattern and colouring similar to imago. Wings uniformly grey-brown, opaque. Forelegs of male not elongated. Genitalia not fully developed. Mature nymph. Body lengths © $6.2-9.8$, . 7.9-11.4. General colour golden to orange or tan brown with light and dark markings. Head: prognathous; goiden or orange brown with darker brown wash between ocelli and anterior margins of eyes; width 1.58-2.20. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts: laterals larger than medial. Antennae: pedicel, scape and flagellum golden brown; slightly longer than length of head. Eyes: upper lobes of male tan, lower lobes grey-black; eyes of female grey-black. Mouthparts. Labrum and clypeus: lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; lateral margins of labrum
subequal to slightly wider than clypeus, rounded: anterior margin of labrum with slight antero-median emargination bearing small medial convex 'hump'; labrum length 0.300.45 , labrum width 0.72-1.02, labrum width length ratio 2.05-2.46; four to five prominent triangularly shaped denticles extending 0.08-0.15 across labrum, denticle width as proportion of labrum width 0.10-0.19; frontal setae arranged as a broad band; secondary hair fringe clearly separated from broad band. Mandibles: outer margins slightly curved; sparse long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins; shorter setae along margin between midpoint and base; dark. coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins; row of setae in inverted L-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandible: two incisors, each with three apical teeth, outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin, inner incisor stout at base; prostheca robust, serrated; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a thin point apically, hairs on lateral margins only. Right mandible: sparse long spine-like setae on inner lateral margin; two incisors, outer incisor with three apical teeth, conspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin; inner incisor with two apical teeth; prostheca simple, slender; prosthecal tuft slender, tapering to a point apically, hairs on lateral margins oniy. Maxillae: galea-lacinae as wide as long, width length ratio 0.90-1.10; subapical row of 15-20 pectinate setae; palpi three segmented, terminal palp with single spine apically, first segment length 0.23 , segments two 0.74 times length of first segment, segment three 0.65 times length of first segment, terminal segment with single spine apically. Hypopharynx: well developed lateral processes; anterior margin of lingua moderately cleft, lined with short setae; superlingua with thick tufts of setae on anterior margins, rounded lateral margins. Labium: glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae, series of blunted spines apically; palpi three segmented, first segment length 0.36 , segment two 0.81 times the length of first segment, segment three 0.61 times the length of the first segment, terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circulating apex and stout spines on lateral margins; submentum with spines on lateral margins. Thorax: golden to orange or tan brown with darker brown markings; pronotum width 1.75-2.43 wider than head, head pronotum width ratio 1.06-1.25. Legs: golden to orange or tan brown, femora tend to be darker than tibiae or tarsi, distinct white patches present, blunt spines on inner lateral margin for about half the total length; fore femora length 1.13-1.65, fore femora width 0.50-0.73, fore femora short and squat, lergth width ratio 1.95-2.69; fore tarsi with 5-9 stout ventrai spines; tarsal claws with $9-11$ ventral leeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated; total foreleg length 2.51-3.50 average leg length ratios, tibiae 0.76-0.81 times length of femur, tarsi 0.32-0.36 times length of femur. Abdomen: golden to orange or tan brown with distinct dark brown markings; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills: membrane pale, clear, sometimes slightly tinged pink or yeliowish; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; each gill large, wide and ovate, apically tapered; main and
lateral tracheae present, strongly developed. Caudal filaments: three; golden with darker colouration at segment join giving a slightly banded appearance; terminal filament longer than cerci.

Etymology. The genus name is derived from the amalgamation of the Latin gemma (fem.) meaning gem and the aboriginal word yaluk referring to a creek or a river, that is: gem of the river. The aboriginal word is derived from the language of the Wurundjeri Woiwurung; the people whose land occupies the area of the type locality.

Remarks. The genus Gemmayaluka can be distinguished from all other genera in the Leptophlebiidae family by the following combination of characters: In the imago: (i) male upper eye size large, often contiguous (ii) male and female forewing 5.3-7.1 times longer than hindwing; (iii) forewing crossveins present in proxima! halves of wing, male with 1621 crossveins in costal space, 14-21 in distal space, female with 19-25 in costal space, 16-24 distally; (iv) MA forked at half to just over half the distance from wing base to margin; $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved or joined to CuA and not linked to CuA-CuP crossvein; $I \mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ joins $I \mathrm{Cu}_{1}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $I C u_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached, CuP strongly recurved and linked by cross vein to $A_{1}$; (v) hindwing costa joins subcosta at four-fifths wing length; (vi) tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apicaily hooked with an opposing hook; (vii) abdomen golden to orange brown, (viii) laterally broad with penes extending to approximately half tine length of the forceps; ventral surface fused in basal third, remainder divided, divergent; dorsal surface separate, two laterally expanded lobes meeting at two-thirds length; two small triangular processes projecting from inner margin. (ix) female eyes separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye; $(x)$ female sternum seven with relatively prominent gential extension (xi) female sternum nine shailowly cleft; (xii) egg ovoid, polar cap absent, chorion with similarly sized evenly spaced flower shaped protuberances. In the nymph: (i) antennae slightly longer than length of head; (ii) lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior; (iii) labrum subequal to slightly wider than clypeus, 2-2.5 times wider than long; lateral margins rounded; slight antero-median emargination bearing small medial convex "hump"; five triangular denticles extending approximately one-tenth across labrum; frontal setae arranged as a broad band, seconciary hair fringe clearly separated from broad band; (iv) mandibles with outer margins slightly curved; incisors with inconspicuous to conspicuous serrations on lateral margins; (v) maxillae with galea lacinae as wide as long; subapical row of 15-20 pectinate setae; terminal palp with single spine apically; (vi) hypopharynx moderately cleft; (vii) labium giossae not turned under ventrally: terminal segment elongate with row of triangular spines almost circulating apex; submentum with spines on lateral margins; (viii) pronotum moderately wider than head; (ix) legs with fore femora 2.0-2.7 times longer than wide; fore tarsi with 5-9 ventral spines; tarsal claws with
*The new genus is erected according to the criteria outlined by Peters and Edmunds (1970) for separating genera: ie 'if two or more groups of species are separated by a distinct gap of characters evident in both adults and nymphs.' These characters are outlined in the table below.

Main characters separating the genus Nousia from the newly erected genus Gemmayaluka.

| GENUS | Nousia |  | Gemmayaluka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBGENUS | Nousia (Nousia) | Nousia (Australonousia) |  |
| Male imago |  |  |  |
| forewing costal and subcostal crossveins | reduced or absent | reduced or absent | present |
| penes | fused in basal twothirds, remainder divided | fused in basal twothirds, remainder divicied | fused in basal third ventrally, not fused dorsally |
| forewing - number of crossveins in costal space | usually 10-15 | usually 10-15 | usually 20 or more |
| Femaie imago |  |  |  |
| sternum nine | moderately or deeply cleft | moderately or deeply cleft | shallowly cleft |
| egg | ovoid, polar cap absent, chorion granulate with scaitered circular ridges enclosing a short cylindrical process, | ovoid, polar cap absent, chorion with large circular shaped protuberances, sometimes interspersed with smalier ones, pattern variable | ovoid, polar cap absent, chorion with similarly spaced evenly shaped flowershaped protuberances |
| Mature nymph |  |  |  |
| antennae cf length of head | 1and $1 / 2$ times length of head | 1and $1 / 2$ to more than 2 | only slightly longer than length of head |
| anterior margin of labrum | broad, U-shaped with 5 irregular denticles. | straight, slight or distinct anteromedian emargination | slight anteromedian emargination bearing hump |
| denticle width as proportion of labrum width | approx 0.50 | 0.10-0.51 | 0.10-0.19 |
| left mandible inner incisor shape | narrow | narrow | stout |
| maxillae no. subapical setae | less than or equal to 15 | less than or equal to 15 | equal to or more than 17 |
| gill shape | slender | slender | wide, ovate |

9-11 ventral teeth, progressively larger apically, apical tooth inconspicuously serrated; ( $(x)$ Abdomen colour golden to orange or tan brown with distinct dark brown markings; (xi) double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; each gill large, wide and ovate, apically tapered; main and lateral tracheae present, strongly developed. see opposite*

### 4.4.2 Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae (Finlay) comb. nov.

Nousia sp. "AV4" (Dean 1999)
Nousia (Australonousia) wiltkorringae Finlay (Finlay 2000) Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae (Finlay) comb. nov.

Distribution. Southern New South Wales, Eastern Victoria (see Fig. 331).

Types. Nousia (Australonousia) wiltkorringae Finlay. Holotype. Cement Creek (Fig. 332), Mt Donna Buang Rd, outside Warburton, Victoria, 98030503, 05 III 1998, 670 m , $145^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}, 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{NI}$ (reared) (KJF). Paratypes. Victoria. Cement Creek, same collection data: 76120100, 1 XII 1976, EPH 1587, 1 of I (JD); EPH 1588, 10 NI (JD); EPH 1602, 1 오 (JD), 78112300, 23 XI ^978, EPH 1589, 1 ㅇNI (reared) (JD), EPH 1590, 1 o NSI (reared) (JD), EPH 1591, 1 o NS (reared) (JD), EPH 1592, 1 \& NS (reared) (JD), EPH 1594, $1 \circ \mathrm{NI}$ (reared) (JD), EPH 1596, 1 ¢ Nl (reared) (JD), EPH 1597, $1 \circ \mathrm{NS}$ (reared) (JD), EPH 1598, 1 ¢ NS (JD), EPH 1599, 1 o NS (reared), 1 \& NS (reared) (JD); 80030300, 3 III 1980, EPH 1593, 1 \& NS (JD); 98030503, 05 III 1998, 1 ㅇ SI (reared), 1 of N (KJF); 98112901, 29 XI 1998, 1 o NSI (KJF); 99012301, 23 I 1999, 2 or $\mathrm{N}, 1$ ㅇ N (KJF); 99032004, 20 III 1999, 1 \& N (KJF). Heid at the MV.

Diagnosis. Male imago. Dimensions: body lengih 7.0-8.9 (8.1 $\pm 0.65,10)$; forewing length 8.6-9.6 ( $9.0 \pm 0.40,10$ ); hindwing length 1.39-1.64 (1.48 $\pm 0.11,10)$; forewing hindwing ratio $5.34-6.96(6.23 \pm 0.61,8)$. General body colour ranging from goldenbrown to orange-brown with darker-brown markings. Head brown. Antennae: pedicel and scape light brown, flagellum golden. Ocelli: three; black with white inserts; laterals larger than medial. Eyes: upper eye size large, often contiguous, ES=0.00-0.09 $(0.02 \pm 0.04,5)$; upper lobes orange, lower lobes grey-iiack. Thorax: pronotum and mesonotum goiden to orange or tan brown with darker brown markings. Legs: golden brown, femora apices darker; forelegs with seven segments, total foreleg length 6.90-7.77 ( $7.39 \pm 0.36,5$ ); leg length ratios $1.00: 1.37: 0.10: 0.43: 0.36: 0.30: 0.16(1.99 \pm 0.07,5)$; tarsal claws of a pair similar, each apically hooked with an opposing hook (Fig. 333). Wings. Forewing (Fig. 334): membrane hyaline, pterostigmatal region slightly opaque, longitudinal and crossveins brown, anal veins yellow; costal and subcostal crossveins present in proximal halves of wing; costal space with 6-7 (10) crossveins proximally, 10-

14 （10）distally；subcostal space with 5－7（10）crossveins proximally，9－14（10）distaily． Three bullae present on veins $S c_{1} R_{2}$ and $R_{4+5}$ ．MA forked at just over half the distance from wing base to wing margin， $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ connected to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{CuA}, \mathrm{CuA}$ and CuP linked by crossvein， $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ recurved to join CuA and not linked to CuA－CuP crossvein， $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ recurved to join $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}, \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ parallel as wing margin approached，CuP strongly curved and linked by crossvein to $A_{1}$ ．Hindwing（Fig．335）：mostly hyaline，costal space washed with yellow and very slightly opaque；costal margin slightly convex at midlength immediately preceding shallow concavity；costa joins subcosta at approximateiy four－ifths wing length； no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space，4－5（10）in distai space，4－5（10） crossveins throughout subcostal space．Abdomen：golden to orange brown，distinct dark brown markings forming distinctive W －shaped pattern which is more apparent on the posterior segments（Fig．336）．Genitalia（Figs．337－34．1）：forceps three segmented（Figs． 340），yeilow；terminal segment angular，slightly indented at apex；penes yellow，raterally broad with penes extending to approximately half the length of the forceps；dorsal surface separated at base，two laterally expanded lobes meeting at two－thirds length；two small triangular processes projecting from inner margin（Fig．337，339），ventral surface fused in basal third，remainder divided，divergent（Figs．338，340，341）．Caudal filaments：three； generally yellow；dark brown at proximal edge of each segment giving banded appearance；terminal filament longer than cerci．Female imago：Dimensions：body length 7．8－9．7（8．9 $\pm 0.60,10)$ ；forewing length $9.8-10.9(10.3 \pm 0.30,10)$ ；hindwing lengiti 1．46－1．95（1．54土0．13，13）；forewing hindwing ratio 5．75－7．12（6．35士0．42，10）．General patterning and colouring similar to male．Eyes：grey－black；separated on meson of head by a distance about four times maximum width of eye．Legs：total leg length shorter than male；forelegs with six segments，total foreleg length 5．07－6．43（5．78土0．51，10）；leg length ratios 1．00： $1.35: 0.24: 0.21: 0.17: 0.17(1.85 \pm 0.11,10)$ ．Wings．Forewing（Fig．342）： colour and venation similar to male except with generally more crossveins on average than male；costal space with 6－9 crossveins proximally，13－16（10）distally，subcostal space with $5-8$ crossveins proximally，11－16（10）distally．Hindwing（Fig．343）：no crossveins in proximal halves of costal space，5－6（10）in distal space，5－6（10）crossveins throughout subcostal space．Abdomen：sternum seven with relatively prominent genital extension，sternum nine shallowly cleft（Fig．344）．Egg．Ovoid；polar cap absent；chorion with similarly sized evenly spaced flower shaped protuberances（Figs．345，346）．Male and female subimago．Colour and markings similar to imago．Wings uniformly greyish－ yeilow，opaque．Forelegs of male not elongated．Genitalia not fully developed．Mature nymph．（Fig．347）．Body lengths：ơ 6．2－9．8（8．3 $\pm 0.84,18$ ），$\% 7.9-11.4$（ $9.5 \pm 1.21,16$ ）． General colour golden to orange or tan brown with light and dark markings．Head： prognathous；golden or crange brown with darker brown wash between ocelli and anterior margins of eyes；width 1．58－2．20（1．74 $\pm 0.17,15$ ）．Ocelli：three；black with white inserts； laterals larger than medial．Antennae：pedicel，scape and flagellum golden brown；slightly
longer than length of head．Eyes：upper lobes of male tan，lower lobes grey－black；eyes of female grey－black．Mouthparts．Labrum and clypeus（Fig．348）：lateral margins of clypeus slightiy diverging to anterior；lateral margins of labrum subequal to slightly wider than clypeus，rounded；anterior margin with slight antero－median emargination bearing small medial convex＇hump＇；labrum length $0.30-0.45(0.37 \pm 0.04,10)$ ，labrum width 0.72 － $1.02(0.84 \pm 0.10,10)$ ，labrum width length ratio $2.05-2.46(2.29 \pm 0.15,10)$ ；four to five prominent triangularly shaped denticles（Fig．349）extending 0．08－0．15（0．12土0．02，10） across labrum，denticle width as proportion of labrum width 0．10－0．19（0．14土0．03，10）； frontal setae arranged as a broad band；secondary hair fringe clearly separated from broad band．Mandibles：outer margins slightly curved，sparse long setae at midpoint on outer lateral margins，shorter setae along margin between midpoint and base；dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner lateral margins；row of setae in an inverted L－shape on lower mandible body．Left mandible（Fig．350）：two incisors，each with three apical teeth；outer incisor inconspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin；inner incisor stout at base；prostheca robusi，serrated；prosthecal tuft siender，tapering to a point apically， hairs on lateral margins only．Right mandible（Fig．351）：sparse long spine－like setae on inner lateral margin；two incisors，outer incisor with three apical teeth，conspicuously serrated on inner lateral margin，inner incisor with two apical teeth；prostheca simple， slender；prosthecal tuft slender，tapering to a point apically，hairs on lateral margins only． Maxillae（Fig．352）：galea－lacinae as wide as long，width length ratio 0．90－1．10 （ $1.00 \pm 0.06,10$ ）；subapical row of $15-20(10)$ pectinate setae；palpi three segmenied， terminal palp with single spine apically（Fig．353）；palp length ratios 1．00：0．74： 0.65 （ $0.23 \pm 0.02,10$ ）．Hypopharynx（Fig．354）：well developed lateral processes；anterior margin of lingua moderately cleft，lined with short setae；superlingua with＂＇ick tufts of setae on anterior margins，rounded lateral margins．Labium（Fig．355）：glossae not turned under ventrally and lying in same plane as paraglossae，series of blunted spines apically；palpi three segmented，terminal segment with row of triangular spines almost circling apex and stout spines on dorsal surface（Fig．356）；palp length ratios 1．00：0．81： $0.61(0.36 \pm 0.05,10)$ ；submentum with spines on lateral margins．Thorax：golden to orange or tan brown with darker brown markings；pronotum width 1．75－2．43（2．08 $\pm 0.20$ ， 15），wider than head（see above），head pronotum width ratio 1．06－1．25（1．20 $\pm 0.06,15)$ ． Legs（Fig．357）：golden to orange or tan brown，femora tend to be darker than tibiae or tarsi，distinct white patches present，blunt spines on inner lateral margin for about half the total length；fore femora length 1．13－1．65（1．38士0．20，11），fore femora width 0．50－0．73 $(0.59 \pm 0.07,11)$ ，fore femora short and squat，length width ratio 1．95－2．69 $(2.34 \pm 0.21,11)$ ； fore tarsi with 5－9（10）stout ventral spines；tarsal claws with 9－11（10）ventral teeth， progressively larger apically，apical tooth inconspicuously serrated（Fig．358）；total foreleg length 2．51－3．50（2．94 $\pm 0.40,11$ ）；leg length ratios，foreleg 1．00：0．77： 0.36 $(1.38 \pm 0.20,11)$ middle leg $1.00: 0.76: 0.36(1.35 \pm 0.19,10)$ ，hindieg 1．00：0．81： 0.32
( $1.58 \pm 0.20,10$ ). Abdomen: golden to orange or $\tan$ brown with distinct dark brown markings forming a distinctive $W$-shaped pattern which is which is more apparent on the posterior segments; posterolateral spines present, progressively larger posteriorly. Gills (Fig. 359): membrane pale, clear, sometimes slightly tinged pink or yellowish; present on segments one to seven, progressively smaller posteriorly; double, upper and lower lamellae equally developed; each gill large, wide and ovate, apically tapered; main and !ateral tracheae present, strongly developed. Caudal filaments: three; golden with darker rolouration at segment join giving a slightly banded appearance; terminal filament longer than :erci.

> Etymology. The species epithet is derived from the aboriginal language of the Wurundjeri Woiwurung. The word wilt-korring translates as wide and refers to the shape of the gills.

Remarks. In unpublished keys it has also been referred to as "Atalophlebioides sp. $\mathrm{E}^{\text {" }}$ (MDRFC), "Atalonella sp 5" (MV) and Nousia "sp. 4" (MRHI). More recently it has been referred in the literature as "Nousia AV4" (Dean 1999) based on the nymphal morphology. Following this it was published as Nousia (Australonousia) wiltkorringae (Finlay 2000) but has herewith been moved to its own genus.

Specimens examined. NEW SOUTH WALES. No. 1 Creek, 6 km downstream Thredbo, 78121401, 14 XII 1978, 1260m, $148^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 28^{\prime}$, EPH 1678, $2 \circ \mathrm{~N}$ (JD). Thredbo River, Thredbo Golf Course, 78121401,14 XII 1978, $1380 \mathrm{~m}, 148^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$, EPH 1655 , 1 o N , 2 i N (JD). VICTORIA. Acheron River, Marysvilie Rd, 97120500, 5 XII 1997, 560m, $145^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}, 3 \mathrm{~N},(\mathrm{MRHI})$. Badger Creek, upstream weir, Badger Weir Park, 5 km SE of Healesville, 80011802,18 I 1980, $300 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$, EPH 1605, 1 of $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD}) ; 80032002,20$ III 1980, same locality data, EPH 1606, 1 б $\mathrm{N}, 1$ \& N (JD). Buller Creek, Mirimbah, 81110202, 2 XI 1981, $670 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 06^{\prime}$, EPH 1761, 1 i N (JD). Charity Creek, Mt Baw Baw Tourist Rd, 97111200, 12 XI 1997, 700m, $146^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} 3 \mathrm{~N}$ (MRHI). Charity Creek tributary, Mt Baw Baw Tourist Rd, 99021602, 16 II 1999, $1180 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}, 3$ o $^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 7 \not \approx \mathrm{~N}$ (KJF). Deep Creek, Benambra-Corryong Rd, 11 km N of Uplands, 99021501,15 II 1999, $620 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ $36^{\circ} 49^{\prime}, 1$ \& N (KJF). Erinundra River, Erinundra, 94111500, 15 XI 1994, 320m, 14851'42" $37^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$, 1 N(MRHI). Eurobin Creek, Mount Buffalo Rd, Mount Buffalo National Park, 96110702,07 XI 1996, 440m, 146 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 29^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o' $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (KJF).
Growlers Creek, Williams Rd camp site, Wandiligong, 96110801, 8 XI 1996, 380m, $146^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}, 1 \sigma^{\circ} \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Kiewa River, West Kiewa Logging Rd, 5 km NE of Mt Hotham, $1180 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime} 36^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}, 1 \circ \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}(\mathrm{KJF})$. King Parrot Creek, Whittlesea-

Yea Rd, 15 km SW of Flowerdale, $98030501,3 \mathrm{~V}$ 1998, $350 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 25^{\prime \prime} 50^{\prime \prime}, 1$ ot $^{\circ}$
 of Powelltown, 96101002, $10 \times 1996,320 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$, 1 ㅇ NSI (reared) (KJF). Learmonth Creek, Yarra Junction-Neerim Rd, Powelltown, 96101001, $10 \times 1996$, 180m, $145^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}, 3$ $9 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Mountain Creek, 7 km E of Tawonga South,
 Gully, Sylvia Creek Rd, Toolangi State Forest, 96112901, 29 XI 1996, 620m, $145^{\circ} 31^{\prime \prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}, 1$ \& $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{KJF})$. Myrtle Creek, Donna-Buang Rd, 00022602, 26 || 2000, 780m, $145^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}, 2$ ㅇ $N(K J F)$. O'Shannassy River, 75111400, 14 XI 1975, 580m, $145^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$, EPH 1603, 1 o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 2 \neq \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{JD}) ; 80010401,4$ । 1980, same locality data, EPH 1604, 1 ơ $^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}$ (reared) (JD); 94121502,5 XII 94, same locality data, 2 N, (MRHI). Rodger River, Deddick, 90112300, 23 XI 1990 , 560m, $148^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 1$ i N (MRHI). Snowy River, Wanton Bridge, 74031700, 17 III 1974, EPH 1647, 1 o N (coll. unknown). Steavensons River, Buxton-Marysville Rd, 98021504, 15 || 1998, 460m, $145^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ $37^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{NSI}$ (reared) (KJF). Taggerty River, Lady Talbot Drive, outside Marysville, $98101704,17 \times 1998,400 \mathrm{~m}, 145^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} 37^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}, 1$ o $^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (KJF). Thomson River, 12 km NNW of Walhalla, $77111200,12 \mathrm{XI} 1977,290 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 52^{\prime}, 1 \mathrm{~N}$, (MDFRC). West Ovens River, Harrietville, $90111200,12 \mathrm{XI} 1990,540 \mathrm{~m}, 147^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 36^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$ 2 क N (MRHI). Whitelaw Creek, Whitelaw Portal upstream weir, 77021100, 2 XI 1977, $470 \mathrm{~m}, 146^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 37^{\circ} 43^{\prime}, 1 \mathrm{~N}$, (MDFRC).
5. FACTORS CONTROLLING THE DISTRIBUTION OF NOUSIA (AUSTRALONOUSIA) FUSCA COMB. NOV. AND NOUSIA (AUSTRALONOUSIA) NIGELISP. NOV. IN VICTORIA WITH NOTES ON THRAULOPHLEBIA, MONTIKOLA GEN. NOV. AND GEMMAYALUKA GEN. NOV.

[^1]William Shakespeare - Hamlet $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{ii}$

## 5.1 introduction

Mayflies have a short adult life span, are easily subject to desiccation (Edmunds 1962) and are regarded as poor fliers. As a result they have a poor dispersal ability. For example, there are few representatives on oceanic islands such as Micronesia and Polynesia (Edmunds 1962) and no native species on the Hawaiian islands (Edmunds 1972). Distribution patterns, therefore, are likely to be highly influenced by evolutionary and vicariance events in addition to current availability of suitable habitat.

There is little published information on the distribution of the species described in Chapters 3 and 4. Nor has anything been published on their habitat requirements other than an occasional note accompanying the taxonomic descriptions. Admittedly this deficiency is largely due to the poor state of taxonomic knowledge of the Australian insect fauna. This chapter attempts to address these deficiencies.

Large numbers of specimens were collected for taxonomic purposes (see Chapter 2). This, along with information from previous surveys, enabled the creation of the first broad scale distribution maps for the taxon descriptions in Chapters 3 and 4. These distribution maps accompany the species descriptions and diagnoses.

Fundamental to the study of ecology is understanding the factors affecting the distribution of organisms (Lawton 1996; Gaston and Blackburn 1999). Presence-absence prediction is one such method which has been valuable to the discipline of applied ecology (Manel et al. 2001). It has wide reaching applications to conservation biology, invasion ecology, pest species management and biogeography (Manel et al. 2001). In stream ecology, presence-absence data have been primarily and successfully used to evaluate water quality and assess stream degradation (Parsons and Norris 1996; Wright et al. 1997; Manel et al. 2001).

In general positive prediction becomes more robust with inclusion of detailed environmental data in the model (Manel et al. 1999). At medium to large spatial scales, which can include multiple sites, there is an increasing need for survey methods that can be easily employed by non-specialists, be cost effective and reduce time and effort in the field (Cullen 1990; Chessman 1995). To this end, rapid biological assessment techniques were developed and have been widely employed in stream ecology in the Northern Hemisphere (Wright et al. 1993; Plafkin et al. 1998). This has been the case more recently in Australia, with the development of the Monitoring River Heaith Initiative (Anonymous 1994; Davies 1994; Marchant et al. 1994; Chessman 1995). As the primary focus of this project was to collect specimens for taxonomic revision (and to try and
assess the full range of the distribution for those species), collecting from a large number of sites enabled the construction of represeniative distribution maps and offered the best ch!nce of locating new species. This priority prevented very detailed habitat assessments which would have added to the biogeographical analysis. Ultimately the bioassessment variables collected were restricted to those easily and rapidiy collected. Therefore the variables collected in the field were divided into three categories: (1) local land use and riparian characteristics, (2) stream abiotic conditions and (3) stream biotic conditions. In addition, topographic, spatial and climate data were added post-field.

Large scale disturbances such as land clearance, urbanisation, forestry or mining activities are often reflective of general catchment degradation. For streams and rivers this can incorporate decreases in bank stability and subsequent increases in sedimentation, decreases of bankside native vegetation (often accompanied by an increase in exotic vegetation), increases in pollution and exotic species and alterations in stream flow, all of which can affect the stream community structure (Boulton and Brcck 1999). Such disturbances can be assessed by surrounding land use, including an assessment of the riparian vegetation, both on a local (Marchant et al. 1997) and catchment (Hunter and Zampatti 1994) scale.

Abiotic variables such as velocity, substrate composition and temperature have long been known to affect macroinvertebrate community structure in streams (Minshall 1988; Lampert and Sommer 1997). The components of flow regime (volume, depth and current velocity) interact to produce continual alteration to stream characteristics, such as a restructuring of the stream bed and changing the aquatic plant composition, for example (Lampert and Sommer 1997). In turn such changes lead to macroinvertebrate community restructuring due to changes in habitat requirements and increases (or decreases) in invertebrate drift (see review by Brittain and Eikeland 1988)). Depending on their intensity, high water flow events (floods) can result in small scale disturbances to the substrate or may scour the entire stream bed and alter channei morphology (Lake 1995). Macroinvertebrate recolonisation following these events has been shown to vary greatly between small and large scale disturbances (Brooks and Boulton 1991). A reduction in flow (and subsequently stream depth and width) may decrease oxygen concentrations, causing organsims to die or actively drift in search of more favourable habitat.

Substratum characteristics have been the one of the most extensively studied types of abictic variables (Minshall 1984) and greatly affect the micro-environmental conditions experienced by the benthos. Preferences for particular substrate types may involve protection from disturbance and predation and oxygen availability. High substrate
complexity (number and variety of crevices) and particle diversity (varying grain sizes) have been shown to sustain a higher species richness than uniform substrate (Brooks and Boulton 1991; Jowett et al. 1991). Further, individuals have consistently preferred to colonise pebble size particles ( 16 to 64 mm ) (Brooks and Boulton 1991), which tend to collect more fine organic matter which is the principal food source for many aquatic invertebrates including mayflies (Minshall 1984).

Water temperature cannot be discounted as one of the more important abiotic variables affecting metabolic rate, activity, feeding, growth, emergence and reproduction of freshwater fauna (Lampert and Sommer 1997; Boulton and Brock 1999) leading to changes in the abundance, distribution and diversity of such fauna (see Chapter 2) (Hynes 1970; Ward and Stanford 1982; Merritt ard Cummins 1996). Temperature in lotic environments can vary depending on the volume of water present. For example small headwater streams (with small depths and widths) can be rapidly warmed by sunlight whereas larger watercourses further downstream are subject to smaller daily temperature fluctuations (Lampert and Sommer 1997).

Macroinvertebrate distribution is also dependent on the instream biological conditions. Coarse particulate organic matter (CPOM) provides useful habitat for small stream macroinvertebrates such as mayflies (Boulton and Brock 1999). Australian Leptophiebiids are predominantly collector-gatherers or scrapers using the functionalfeeding terminology of Cummins and Klug (1979) feeding predominantly on detritus, periphyton and other biofilms (Edmunds and Waltz 1996) and are therefore likeiy to be greatly influenced by the amount of CPOM.

An assessment of the periphytic and filamentous algae in the field can help determine the amount of photosynthetic production in a stream. Low light levels in heavily shaded upland streams can limit the abundance of plants. Further, the coarse rocky substrate of these environments provides few holdfasts for emergent vegetation (Boulton and Brock 1999). High plant biomass can provide habitat for benthos and emerging invertebrates and can also reduce velocity. However, high productivity can lead to eutrophication and can cause bacterial or algal blooms (Boulton and Brock 1999).

Topographical and spatial data, derived from maps, were used to supplement the information already gathered from each site. It is recognised that altitude, distance from stream source and slope (or steepness) can be considered surrogate terms for other variables such as temperature, substrate, flow regime and oxygen availability that directly affect the fauna (Hynes 1970).

Finslly, climate data were obtained from BIOCLIM, a bioclimatic predictive computer program (Houlder et al. 2000). BIOCLIM approximates energy and water balances for a given location derived from bioclimatic parameters such as mean, minimum and maximum monthly values for temperature, precipitation, solar radiation and evaporation. The monthly mean estimates summarise annual and seasonal mean conditions which uitimately may prove to have biological significance (Nix 1986).

### 5.2 Aims

1. To document the habitat preferences of the Victorian species of Nousia (Australonousia), Thraulophlebia, Montikola and Gemmayaluka.
2. To identify some of the factors that may determine the geographical distributions of these species
3. To discover the extent to which distribution is predictabie from site characteristics.

### 5.3 Methods

### 5.3.1 Study sites

For biogeographic purposes it was decided to limit the study area to Victoria and southern New South Wales as collections made in other places did not constitute large enough sample sizes for analysis. Three seasons of data only were used from the summer field work collecting periods: 96-97 (season 1), 97-98 (season 2) and 98-99 (season 3). The fourth season (summer 99-00) was not included in the analysis because this comprised very few sites. Within the main study area (Victoria) the aim was to attempt to sample the majority of the Australian Water Resources Council (AWRC) drainage divisions (Fig. 360) (Anonymous 1989) in order to obtain a good coverage of the state. Within each division multiple sites were searched where conditions for the target mayflies might be suitable. A smailer number of sites which did not immediately appear highly suitable (because of high turbidity, slow flow, uniform muddy or silty substrate) were also searched in order to better define the habitat requirements. However, these sites were limited in number because they were unlikely to contribute to the taxonomic work and time in the field was limited.

Some potentially interesting areas, such as the Wongungarra River, in the north of the Mitchell Basin (24), were deliberately excluded due to difficulty of access. The effort required to reach such places was considered to be excessive for the extra information that vould have been obtained. Drainage divisions such as the Mallee (14) and the Millicent Coast (39) were excluded due to the lack of water in these areas. These are areas of low elevation (height above sea level less than 130m) and low rainfall (average
annual rainfall less than 400 mm , mean annual runoff 25 mm or less) with very few, if any, permanent streams (Anonymous 1989). Yet other sites such as the Avoca (8) and the Wimmera-Avon (15) were investigated but were predominantly dry at the time of sampling. Accessible, although still poorly studied, sites were considered preferable to maximise the geographic range of taxa able to be collected in the time available.

The total number of sites where environmental data were collected was 267 (Fig. 361). A small proportion of these sites (34) were collected from more than once. The environmental data for these sites were combined so that the site was only represented once in the final analysis. The large number of sites visited ensured a good coverage of the study area, increased the chance of discovering any new species and allowed for documenting cistributions in relation to environmental conditions. For each of the 267 sites mayfly nymphs from the family Leptophlebiidae were collected with an emphasis on the taxa of interest. The collection process was an attempt to find all relevant taia, not to estimate relative abundance of different taxa. Therefore the data obtained are presence/absence at species leve!.

### 5.3.2 Site characteristics

At each of the site locations iapid biological assessment techniques were employed to determine site characteristics.

Initially the area surrounding the stream was assessed and the following characteristics determined. As there is evidence that benthic invertebrate communities are more influenced by local conditions rather than regional ones (Corkum 1990; Richards et al. 1993) local land use was considered more biologically appropriate to the presence of a particular species presence rather the the larger scale catchment land use categories of Hunter and Zampatti (1994) where only a determination of the major activity of the catchment (eg: 'agriculture', 'forestry' or 'redevelopment') is recorded. Therefore local land use was determined using a method previously employed by Marchant et al. (1997) where the riparian zone and adjacent land use was placed in one of the four following categories with category one representing the most disturbance and category four representing the least disturbance:

1. Agriculture, urban development, grass, no native vegetation
2. Agriculture, native vegetation, introduced plant species, no urban development
3. Native vegetation, introduced plant species, no agriculture or urban development
4. Native vegetation, no introduced plant species, no agriculture or urban development.

Riparian vegetation was assessed by two factors. Shade caused by riparian vegetation over the river was assessed as a percentage to the nearest $10 \%$ taken at midday, although these estimates were later placed in the following five categories due to concern over the accuracy of field data:

1. $\leq 5 \%$
2. $6-25 \%$
3. $26-50 \%$
4. $51-75 \%$
5. $76 \%$

Dominant vegetation type of the riparian zone was recorded using the following categories:

1. grasses, ferns, sedges, rushes etc.
2. shrubs, vines
3. trees ( $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ )
4. trees ( $>10 \mathrm{~m}$ )

No attempt was made to distinguish between native and exotic vegetation as this was, in part, assessed by the local land use categories.

Instream physical condition of the stream (ie: abiotic variables) were also assessed. Water temperature was taken as a spot measurement at the time of sampling using a celsius thermometer. It is recognised that a spot temperature is not considered a sufficient record of the temperature regime of the stream, however it did give some information on summer daytime temperature and may be associated with the presence or absence of a particular species. Stream width was estimated in metres at the sampling point from water's edge to water's edge. Stream depth was measured in the field using a metre ruler and recording the mean of five points. Stream velocity was assessed subjectively by characterising the flow into one of the categories below:

1. no flow or very smail flow
2. slow flow
3. moderate flow
4. high flow

Sediments in the area immediately surrounding the sample site and 10 m upstream and downstream were classified by eye in the field. The substratum composition was estimated in the field using apparent abundance of particle sizes. Traditionally, substratum particles are grouped in millimetre size classes according to the Wentworth scale (Cummins 1962) each class being given a descriptive term such as boulder, cobble or gravel, sand, silt or clay. Grade scales for particle size can be extremely
comprehensive (Brakensiek et al. 1979; Gordon et al. 1992; Boulton and Brock 1999) yet for the purposes of this study that level of accuracy was not feasible and a reduced scale was considered sufficient. Each size class is assigned a phi ( $\phi$ ) value which equates to the negative logarithm (base 2) of the mean particle diameter in mm , resulting in a whole number. This tactic is used as a method of eliminating cumbersome numbers when dealing with the smaller size classes. The following size classes used in this analysis with the accompanying $\phi$ scale were:

1. Bedrock: $\phi=-9.5$
2. Boulder: 256-2048mm, $\phi=-9.0$
3. Cobble: $64-256 \mathrm{~mm}, \phi=-6.5$
4. Pebble: $16-64 \mathrm{~mm}, \phi=-4.5$
5. Gravel: $2-16 \mathrm{~mm}, ~ \varsigma=-2.0$
6. Sand: $0.06-2 \mathrm{~mm}, \phi=2.0$
7. Silt: $0.004-0.06 \mathrm{~mm}, \phi=6.5$
8. Clay $<0.004 \mathrm{~mm}, \phi=9.5$

The percentage of the total area estimated as covered by each of the particle size categories was recorded and multiplied by the $\phi$ value for each particle size category. The sum of these values was then divided by 100 to give a mean $\phi$ value for each site. A higher value of mean $\phi$ corresponds to an increased representation of fine substrata. Using the same data as above, substrate heterogeneity was measured as the number of particle size categories that covered $\geq 10 \%$ of the sample area. The possible values for this variable therefore ranged between one and eight.

Coarse particulate organic matter (CPOM), defined as woody and non-woody material larger than 1 mm in size (Cummins 1974), was estimated by eye at the time of sampling. The percentage of CPOM in a 20 m stretch of stream was categorised into three levels:

1. $<5 \%$
2. between $5-20 \%$
3. $20 \%$

Fine particulate organic matter or FPOM (ie: particle size between $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and 1 mm ) (Cummins and Klug 1979) is considered the dominant food source for Leptophlebiids in the Northern Hemisphere (Edmunds and Waltz 1556; Merritt and Cummins 1996). However, there are indications that this classification does not readily apply to Australian aquatic invertebrates, many of which appear to be generalist and opportunistic feeders (Lake 1995). Therefore CPOM may also provide a valid food source for mayflies as well as a valuable habitat.

Algal (periphytic and filamentous) and macrophyte cover in the same 20 m stretch were determined by eye and percentage of area covered noted according to the following categories.

1. $<10 \%$
2. $10-35 \%$
3. $36-65 \%$
4. $66-90 \%$
5. $>90 \%$

The remaining site attributes were determined from maps. Altitude was determined in metres to the nearest 10 to 20 m depending on the map scale used (1:25,000 maps or 1:50,000 respectively). Stream gradient or slope was determined from 1:25,000 maps. The horizontal distance in metres bitween one contour interval upstream and downstream of the site was determin:ad, then the gradient was calculated as a percentage. For very steep slopes several centour intervals had to be used. Distance from source was measured on 1:10c. 000 maps to the nearest half km with the use of an opisometer. The source was considered as the highest branch from the original sampling point. Streams marked as intermittent or semi-permanent were considered to be flowing. The highest point of an inlet stream of a regulated waterbody was considered to be the source of the outlet stream. Table 20 summarises the characteristics recorded for each site.

Table 20. Summary table of site characteristics. For var. (variable) type CAT=categorical, CONT= continuous.

| Variable name | Var. type | Unit of measurement and precision | Method of determination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. local land use | CAT | descriptions of surrounding land use and vegetation type in four categories | determined by eye in field |
| 2. shade | CAT | percentage ranges in five categories | determined by eye in field |
| 3. dominant vegetation type | CAT | percentage ranges for four vegetation classes | determined by eye in field |
| 4. water temperature | CONT | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to nearest $0.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | spot temperature, liquid thermometer |
| 5. stream width | CONT | metres to nearest half metre | estimated by eye in field |
| 6. stream depth | CONT | centimetres to nearest 15 cm | mean of five metre ruler depths taken around sampling site. |
| 7. flow | CAT | flow estimate in four categories | estimated in field |
| 8. substrate phi | CONT | estimates of each substrate class as a percentage of 20 m stretch of stream bed; each size class converted to $\phi$ | mean $\phi$ of all substrate classes. |
| 9. substrate heterogeneity | CAT | whole numbers, eight possible categories | number of particle size categories accounting for $\geq$ $10 \%$ of the total sample area. |
| 10. coarse particulate organic matter | CAT | percentage in three classes | percentage cover of 20 m stretch determined by eye in field. |
| 11. algae | CAT | percentage cover in five classes | percentage cover of 20 m stretch determined by eye in field. |
| 12. macrophytes | CAT | percentage cover in five classes | percentage cover of 20 m stretch determined by eye in field. |
| 13. altitude | CONT | nearest 10 or 20 m | 1:25,000/1:50,000 maps |
| 14. slope | CONT | percentage to 2 decimal places | 1: 25,000 maps, horizontal distance in metres of one or more contour interval(s) upstream and downstream of site; expressed as a percentage |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15. distance from } \\ & \text { source } \end{aligned}$ | CONT | km | 1: 100,000 maps, measured using opisometer |

For each collection site, determined by longitude and latitude, nineteen climate variables were obtained from the BIOCLIM program. These were:

1. annual mean temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
2. mean diurnal range (monthly maxmin)
3. isothermality (mean diurnal range / mean seasonal range)
4. temperature seasonality (co-efficient of variation)
5. maximum temperature of warmest period
6. minimum temperature of coldest period
7. temperature annual range
8. mean temperature of wettest quarter
9. mean temperature of driest quarter
10. mean temperature of warmest quarier
11. mean temperature of coldest quarter
12. annual mean precipitation
13. precipitation of wettest period
14. precipitation of driest period
15. precipitation seasonality (co-efficient of variation)
16. precipitation of wettest quarter
17. precipitation of driest quarter
18. precipitation of warmest quarter
19. precipitation of coldest quarter

### 5.3.3 Statistical analysis

### 5.3.3.1 Logistic regression

Logistic regression was used to identify the factors or combination of factors most strongly associated with the presence or absence of each taxon. However, this strategy could only be used for Nousia (Australonousia) fusca and Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli as the other species occurred at too few sites (19/267 or less). Summaries of the characteristics of sites where each species was present are provided in Appendix 4, with the exception of species that were found at fewer than 10 sites. This allows some assessment of the type of sites at which each of the less common species were found.

Logistic regression is useful when describing a relationship between a number of factors (independent variables) and a dichotomous dependent variable with the values of 0 or 1.
The logit (or log of the odds) function calculates the probability of being present or absent in one group divided by the probability of being present or absent in another and can determine the combined effect of several variables on the outcome (Hosmer and

Lemeshow 1989; Kleinbaum 1994; Tabachnick and Fidell 1996). The technique is very flexible as it can analyse a group of independent variables which can include continuous, discrete, dichotomous or a mix. It does not require that the independent variables be normally distributed, lineariy related or of equal variance. Further, there is no requirement for assumptions relating to the distribution of the independent variables to be tested, although transformation may improve the power of the analysis and is recommended (Tabachnick and Fidell 1996). Although this technique has rarely been used in the field of freshwater ecology (Peeters and Gardeniers 1998) recent comparisons of presenceabsence data analyses using discriminant analysis, artificial neural networks and logistic regression on aquatic invertebrates in Himalayan streams concluded that logistic regression was best suited for this sort of work (Manel et al. 2001).

Using Systat version 7.0 (Systat 1997) simple logistic regression was used to determine which individual variables, considered singly, best predicted the presence of each species. Multiple logistic regression was then used to determine which site characteristics were significant predictors for the presence of the species.

### 5.3.3.2 Preliminary screening methods

### 5.3.3.2.1 Normality of distributions for continuous variables

Box plots were used for all continuous variables to identify distribution patterns. Following this, all pairs of continuous variables were plotted against each other to check for complex non-linear relationships. All continuous variables were transformed as required to approximate a normal distribution. Box-plots of these independent variables revealed that no transformation was necessary for the foliowing BIOCLIM variables: mean diurnal range (monthly maxmin) (MDR), temperature seasonality (TS), temperature annual range (TAR), maximum temperature of the warmest period (MAXTWARM) and mean temperature of the wettest quarter (MTWET). The following transformations were used to normalise the distribution of the remaining continuous variables, abbreviated names of transformed variables in parentheses:

- Loge transformation for altitude (LGALT), water temperature (LGTEMP), stream width (LGWIDTH), stream depth (LGDEPTH), precipitation of the wettest period (LGPWETP), precipitation of the driest period (LGPDRYP), precipitation seasonality (LGPSEAS) and precipitation of the wettest quarter (LGPWETQ), precipitation of the driest quarter (LGPDRYQ), precipitation of the warmest quarter (LGPWARMQ), precipitation of the coldest quarter (LGPCOLDQ). For slope (LGSLOPE) and
distance from source (LGDFS) a constant of 1 was added before transformation to cope with zero values.
- Square root transformations for substrate phi (PHISQR), isothermality (ISOSQR) and minimum temperature of the coldest period (MTCOLDSQR). A constant of 8 was added to substrate phi and 2 to the minimum temperature of the coldest period to eliminate negative values before transforming.
- The remaining variables were reflected, a strategy applied for correcting negative skewness. Reflection involves creating a constant by adding one to the largest score and subtracting each score from the constant to produce a new variable. Normal transformation procedure is then applied. All the reflected variables (above) were then subject to a $\log _{e}$ transformation: annual mean temperature (LREFAMT), mean temperature of the driest quarter (LREFMTDRY), mean temperature of the warmest quarter (LREFMTWARM) and mean temperature of the coldest quarter (LREFMTCOLD)


### 5.3.3.2.2 Review of discrete variables

Each of the discrete variables were analysed to assess the number of cases in each category. If less than $10 \%$ of the cases were present in any category this category was merged with the closest one. Four out of the eight categorical variables had such problems. Too few cases in a category can lead to large parameter estimates and standard errors in the analysis. Tabachnick and Fidell (1996) recommend that categories be collapsed to accommodate larger values at each level or, if this is not possible, the variable be removed from the analysis. Each of the offending variables (shade, substrate heterogeneity, algae and macrophytes) were able to have categories merged so each of the remaining level contained at least $10 \%$ of the total values. New categories of each variable are listed below.

The percentage of shade covering the river was reduced from the original five categories to four as there were too few individuals in the $\leq 5 \%$ categories. The new categories were as follows:

1. $0-25 \%$
2. $26-50 \%$
3. $51-75 \%$
4. $76-100 \%$

With substrate heterogeneity, the total number of categories possible was eight. In the current data set the maximum number of categories recorded was six, and this had to be
subsequently reduced to four in order that each category was adequately represented. Categories for this variable were then as follows:

1. $\leq 2$
2. 3
3. 4
4. $\geq 5$

The percentage of algae and macrophytes in a 20 m length of stream was originailly divided into five categories. However, there were so few levels containing very high percentages of either algal or macrophyte cover at the collecting locations that both categories were reduced to three:

1. $10 \%$
2. $11-35 \%$
3. $36 \%$

To maintain the power of goodness-of-fit tests that compare observed with expected frequencies in cells formed by combinations of discrete variables Tabachnick and Fidell (1996) suggest that all expected frequencies should be greater than one and no more than $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ should be less than five. This was assessed for every pair-wise combination of discrete variables including the two dependent variables; presence of $N$.(A) fusca and $N$. (A) nigeli.

For the 28 combinations of discrete independent variables only two pairs had more than $20 \%$ of ceils with predicted frequencies less than five ( $4 / 16$ in both cases). No predicted frequencies less than one were observed in any combination of variables. Over the total 367 individual predicted frequencies only 25 (6.8\%) were less than five. In view of these results, no further collapsing of categories was considered necessary. For the two pairs where more than $20 \%$ of expected frequencies were less than five (landuse*substrate heterogeneity and landuse*flow) it was decided to accept possibly reduced power of goodness-of-fit tests without making any further adjustment.

For combinations of independent discrete variables with the presence-absence data for each species, only one predicted frequency less than five was found and none less than one was found. Expected frequencies were now considered adequate for all subsequent analyses.

Names for discrete variables shown in statistical output are as follows: landuse (LANDUSE), dominanf riparian vegetation (DOMRIP), shade (SHADE), substrate
heterogeneity (SUBHET), flow (FLOW), CPOM (CPOM), algae (ALGAE) and macrophytes (MACROP).

### 5.3.3.2.3 Collinearities

Once transformed, all continuous variables were checked for multicolinearities using the Pearson's product-moment correlation co-efficient. At this stage, some variables which were found to be highly correlated with each other were dropped from the analysis. Collinearity between the discrete variables was assessed using chi-squared analysis.

Multicollinearity between the eight discrete variables against the continuous ones was assessed by analysis of variance testing the null hypothesis that the continuous variables are consistent across each level of the discrete variables.

### 5.4 Results

### 5.4.1 Collinearities

### 5.4.1.1 Continuous variables

High correlations amongst these variables may result in errors in estimation of the output and can introduce high standard errors for each estimate. Statistical problems created by multicollinearity only occur at very high correlation of $\pm 0.9$ or more (Tabachnick and Fidell 1996) although correlations above $\pm 0.7$ may introduce redundancy in the variables.

Scatter plots of the continuous variables indicated relationships between slope and distance from source, slope and width and slope and water temperature. Temperature plotted against width and depth also revealed reasonably strong relationships. None of the correlations between the above variables exceeded 0.7, although a Pearson correlation co-efficient was calculated at -0.699 for slope versus distance from source indicating that these two variables must be carefully considered.

The remaining correlations occurred only between the BIOCLIM climatic variables. In many ways this is not unexpected as many of the climatic variables record similar information. Outputs from the Pearson correlation co-efficient revealed many correlations at or above $\pm 0.7$ (Table 21), all involving the BIOCLIM variables. A strategy for dealing with high correlations, especially those between $\pm 0.7$ to $\pm 0.9$, is to omit one of the variables (Tabachnick and Fidell 1996). Annual mean temperature, for example, may be considered less biologically significant than the variables with which it correlated (mean
temperature of the warmest and coldest quarter) as conditions in a particular season are more likely to affect a mayfly than an overall average figure. Accordingly, the following variables were excluded: mean diurnal temperature range, temperature annual range, maximum temperature of the warmest period, minimum temperature of the coldest period, annual mean precipitation and precipitation of the driest quarter.

Continuous variables retained for analysis, therefore, were all the instream characteristics (water temperature, stream width and depth and substrate phi), all the topographic and spatial data (altitude, slope, distance from source) and the remaining nine BIOCLIM variables.

Table 21. Pearson product-moment correlation co-efficients for highly correlated ( $\geq \pm 0.7$ ) pairs of transformed continuous variables.

|  | Transformed variables |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pearson <br> correlation <br> co-efficient |  |
| Annual mean temperature vs mean temperature of the warmest <br> quarter | 0.769 |
| Annual mean temperature vs mean temperature of the coldest <br> quarter | 0.736 |
| Mean diurnal range vs temperature seasonality | 0.850 |
| Mean diurnal range vs maximum temperature of ihe warmest <br> quarter | 0.880 |
| Mean diurnal range vs temperature annual range | 0.968 |
| Temperature seasonality vs maximum temperature of the warmest <br> period | 0.811 |
| Temperature seasonality vs minimum temperature of the coidest <br> quarter | 0.698 |
| Temperature seasonality vs temperature annual range | 0.953 |
| Maximum temperature of the warmest period vs temperature <br> annual range | 0.885 |
| Maximum temperature of the warmest period vs mean temperature <br> of the warmest quarter | -0.874 |
| Minimum temperature of the coldest period vs mean temperature <br> of the coldest quarter | -0.846 |
| Mean temperature of the driest quarter vs mean temperature of <br> the warmest quarter | 0.728 |
| Annual mean precipitation vs precipitation of the wettest period | 0.958 |
| Annual mean precipitation vs precipitation of the driest quarter | 0.811 |
| Annual mean precipitation vs precipitation of the wettest quarter | 0.955 |
| Annual mean precipitation vs precipitation of the driest quarter | 0.838 |
| Annual mean precipitation vs precipitation of the warmest quarter | 0.799 |
| Precipitation of the wettest period vs precipitation of the wettest <br> quarter | 0.995 |
| Precipitation of the wettest period vs precipitation of the coldest <br> quarter | 0.975 |
| Precipitation of the driest period vs precipitation of the warmest <br> quarter | 0.985 |
| Precipitation of the driest period quarter vs precipitation of the <br> driest quarter | 0.988 |
| Precipitation of the wettest quarter vs precipitation of the coldest <br> quarter | 0.980 |
| Precipitation of the driest quarter vs precipitation of the warmest <br> quarter | 0.989 |

### 5.4.1.2 Discrete variables

Chi-square analysis between each discrete variable against each other discrete variable revealed a high degree of collinearity (Table 22). Despite the high incidence of multicollinearity in these variables, decisions of whether to exclude some from the analysis were postponed until the predictive power of each variable had been separately assessed.

Table 22. Chi-squared p-values determining collinearities between discrete variables.

|  | DOMRIP | SHADE | SUBHET | FLOW | CPOM | ALGAE | MACROP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LANDUSE | 0.000 | 0.000 | $0.403 \dagger$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0} \dagger$ | 0.123 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |
| DOMRIP |  | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | 0.162 |
| SHADE |  |  | 0.077 | 0.837 | 0.000 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 2}$ | 0.627 |
| SUBHET |  |  |  | 0.738 | 0.630 | 0.865 | 0.383 |
| FLOW |  |  |  |  | 0.309 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |
| CPOM |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3}$ | 0.000 |
| ALGAE |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 0}$ |

$\dagger$ suspect due to low predicted frequencies in some category combinations.

### 5.4.1.3 Continuous and discrete variables combined

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed some strong relationships between the continuous and discrete variables (Table 23). There were a number of significant relationships between the categorical variables and the continuous ones especially altitude, temperature, slope, distance from source and stream width and depth. However, the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ values were all very low ( $<0.150$ ) and on that basis it was considered unnecessary to delete any correlated variables at this stage.

Table 23. ANOVA output testing multicollinearity between continuous and discrete variables.

|  |  | LANDUSE |  |  | DOMRIP |  |  | SHADE |  |  | SUBHET |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $F$ | P | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $F$ | P | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | F | P | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | F | P | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |
| N | LGALT | 13.957 | 0.000 | 0.134 | 5.960 | 0.001 | 0.062 | 0.463 | 0.708 | 0.005 | 0.815 | 0.487 | 0.009 |
|  | LGTEMP | 10.564 | 0.000 | 0.105 | 2.349 | 0.073 | 0.026 | 4.703 | 0.003 | 0.050 | 1.169 | 0.322 | 0.013 |
|  | LGSLOPE | 12.215 | 0.000 | 0.120 | 2.092 | 0.102 | 0.023 | 13.015 | 0.000 | 0.126 | 5.286 | 0.001 | 0.055 |
|  | LGDFS | 6.634 | 0.000 | 0.069 | 3.140 | 0.026 | 0.034 | 9.968 | 0.000 | 0.100 | 3.272 | 0.022 | 0.035 |
|  | LGWIDTH | 0.785 | 0.503 | 0.009 | 6.597 | 0.000 | 0.069 | 4.623 | 0.004 | 0.050 | 1.512 | 0.212 | 0.017 |
|  | LGDEPTH | 4.816 | 0.003 | 0.051 | 0.695 | 0.556 | 0.008 | 3.498 | 0.016 | 0.037 | 0.763 | 0.515 | 0.008 |
|  | LREFMTWARM | 2.453 | 0.064 | 0.027 | 2.157 | 0.093 | 0.023 | 1.751 | 0.157 | 0.019 | 0.567 | 0.637 | 0.006 |
|  | LREFMTCOLD | 1.810 | 0.146 | 0.020 | 2.785 | 0.041 | 0.030 | 0.131 | 0.942 | 0.001 | 0.498 | 0.684 | 0.006 |
|  | LREFMTDRY | 3.564 | 0.015 | 0.038 | 1.372 | 0.252 | 0.015 | 0.914 | 0.435 | 0.010 | 1.787 | 0.150 | 0.019 |
|  | MTWET | 0.360 | 0.782 | 0.004 | 2.247 | 0.083 | 0.024 | 0.252 | 0.860 | 0.003 | 0.177 | 0.912 | 0.002 |
|  | TS | 0.454 | 0.715 | 0.005 | 0.998 | 0.394 | 0.011 | 0.566 | 0.638 | 0.006 | 0.335 | 0.800 | 0.004 |
|  | ISOSQR | 1.518 | 0.210 | 0.017 | 1.098 | 0.350 | 0.012 | 0.339 | 0.797 | 0.004 | 0.396 | 0.756 | 0.004 |
|  | LGPDRYP | 2.794 | 0.041 | 0.030 | 2.767 | 0.042 | 0.030 | 0.415 | 0.742 | 0.005 | 0.756 | 0.520 | 0.008 |
|  | LGPWETP | 1.584 | 0.194 | 0.017 | 2.721 | 0.045 | 0.029 | 0.395 | 0.756 | 0.004 | 0.136 | 0.938 | 0.002 |
|  | LGPSEAS | 2.472 | 0.062 | 0.027 | 0.347 | 0.792 | 0.004 | 1.323 | 0.267 | 0.014 | 0.554 | 0.646 | 0.006 |

Table 23 cont'd.

|  | FLOW |  |  | CPOM |  |  | ALGAE |  |  | MACROP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $F$ | P | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | F | P | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | F | P | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | F | $p$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |
| LGALT | 1.560 | 0.199 | 0.017 | 0.278 | 0.758 | 0.002 | 17.947 | 0.000 | 0.117 | 22.018 | 0.000 | 0.140 |
| LGTEMP | 11.980 | 0.000 | 0.118 | 3.156 | 0.044 | 0.023 | 9.795 | 0.000 | 0.068 | 5.950 | 0.003 | 0.042 |
| LGSLOPE | 1.600 | 0.190 | 0.017 | 7.863 | 0.000 | 0.055 | 8.037 | 0.000 | 0.056 | 3.389 | 0.035 | 0.024 |
| LGDFS | 1.352 | 0.258 | 0.015 | 11.990 | 0.000 | 0.081 | 0.082 | 0.921 | 0.001 | 0.200 | 0.819 | 0.001 |
| LGWIDTH | 8.550 | 0.000 | 0.088 | 4.381 | 0.013 | 0.032 | 7.231 | 0.001 | 0.051 | 1.784 | 0.170 | 0.013 |
| LGDEPTH | 5.026 | 0.002 | 0.053 | 7.801 | 0.001 | 0.054 | 1.114 | 0.330 | 0.008 | 2.131 | 0.121 | 0.015 |
| LREFMTWARM | 0.689 | 0.560 | 0.008 | 0.112 | 0.895 | 0.001 | 0.530 | 0.589 | 0.004 | 0.024 | 0.976 | 0.000 |
| LREFMTCOLD | 0.155 | 0.926 | 0.002 | 0.835 | 0.435 | 0.006 | 0.564 | 0.570 | 0.004 | 0.290 | 0.748 | 0.002 |
| LREFMTDRY | 0.161 | 0.923 | 0.002 | 0.615 | 0.541 | 0.005 | 1.787 | 0.150 | 0.019 | 0.054 | 0.947 | 0.000 |
| MTWET | 1.389 | 0.246 | 0.015 | 3.524 | 0.031 | 0.025 | 0.177 | 0.912 | 0.002 | 0.244 | 0.784 | 0.002 |
| TS | 0.096 | 0.962 | 0.001 | 1.198 | 0.303 | 0.009 | 0.200 | 0.819 | 0.001 | 0.212 | 0.809 | 0.002 |
| ISOSQR | 1.115 | 0.343 | 0.012 | 1.180 | 0.309 | 0.009 | 0.396 | 0.756 | 0.004 | 0.355 | 0.701 | 0.003 |
| LGPDRYP | 0.702 | 0.552 | 0.008 | 0.627 | 0.535 | 0.005 | 0.756 | 0.520 | 0.008 | 0.517 | 0.597 | 0.004 |
| LGPWETP | 0.788 | 0.501 | 0.009 | 1.259 | 0.286 | 0.009 | 0.136 | 0.938 | 0.002 | 0.185 | 0.832 | 0.001 |
| LGPSEAS | 1.105 | 0.348 | 0.012 | 2.263 | 0.106 | 0.016 | 0.554 | 0.646 | 0.006 | 0.327 | 0.722 | 0.002 |

### 5.4.2 Simple logistic regression

### 5.4.2.1 Nousia (Australonousia) fusca

All the variables retained following screening were tested in simple logistic regression models to assess whether they were related to the presence or absence of the species without taking into account the other independent variables (Table 24). For N. (A) fusca, $o^{\prime}$ ly two of the sixteen continuous variables (temperature seasonality and mean 'emperature of the coldest quarter) proved to be significant. For both the direction of the effect is negative. Therefore, for temperature seasonality the higher the co-efficient of variation the less chance of finding the species (Fig. 362). This reflects the variation between the warmest and coldest portions of the year and shows that the presence of the animal is associated with a small difference between the summer and winter temperatures. For the mean temperature of the coldest quarter, the direction of the effect is positive so that the greater the mean temperature of the coldest quarter the more chance of finding the species (Fig. 363) suggesting the animal's propensity for winter temperatures that are not too cold. However, there must be caution in interpreting these results as air temperatures do not always correlate closely with water temperatures.

With regard to the eight discrete variables landuse, dominant riparian vegetation, substrate heterogeneity and CPOM all proved significant (Table 24). Significances of individual comparisons of each category with the reference (highest) category are shown in Table 25. For landuse (Fig. 364), the proportion of sites where the species was found was greatest in category three (second least disturbance). There were significant effects between landuse categories one relative to four and three relative to four. Landuse category one (most disturbance) is significantly negative relative to category four so that the probability of finding the species decreases with more disturbance. However, landuse category three relative to category four is slightly positive so there is a slightly greater chance of finding the species with a small degree of disturbance compared to none. Similarly, dominant riparian vegetation (Fig. 365) is significant when comparing category three (trees $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) to category four (trees $>10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) which translates to a greater chance of finding the animal when the tree size is relatively small. For substrate heterogeneity (Fig. 366) categories one ( $\$ 2$ substrate size ciasses) and three (4 substrata size classes) were also significant relative to category four ( $\geq 5$ substrate size classes). The probability of finding the species when the number of classes is $\leq 2$ is reduced compared to $\geq 5$ while the probability of species presence when the number of classes is 4 is greater compared to $\geq 5$.

CPOM (Fig.367) was significant overall (Table 24) showing that the species was more likely to be found at the highest percentage category $(>20 \%)$. However, none of the individual comparisons between the highest category and the others were significant (Table 25).

The firal dscrete variable to show significance was flow (Fig. 368). In this case the variable wias not significant overall, however category one (no or very small flow) shows a significant negative effect relative to flow category four (high flow) so that the chance of finding the species decreases as the flow decreases (Table 25). However, the proportion of sites where the species was found when the flow was category one (no or very small flow) was still approximately $30 \%$; evidently the species occurs in a wide range of flows as assessed by this technique.

Also of note is the extremely high odds ratio (195.185) for isothermality for tnis species (Table 24). This occurs because isothermality varies only between 0.3 and 0.5 (ie: when transformed 0.55-0.71) and thus a theoretical change of 1 unit in this variable has an extreme influence on the probability.

### 5.4.2.2 Nousia (Austraionousia) nigeli

For this species the continuous variables altitude, water temperature, slope and distance from source are significant (Table 24). The higher the altitude, the lower the water temperature, the steeper the slope and the greater the distance from source the greater the probability of finding the species (Figs. 369-372).

Among the discrete variables shade, flow, CPOM, algae and macrophytes also proved to be significant (Table 24) although few individual comparisons were significant (Table 25). Exceptions include flow category one (no or very small flow) relative to flow category four (high flow) where low flow indicates a reduced probability of finding the species (Fig. 373). Algae and macrophytes also proved to be highly significant for category one ( $\leq 10 \%$ cover) relative to category three ( $\geqq 36 \%$ cover). In both cases the direction of the effect was positive indicating a greater success rate for finding the species if the algal and macrophyte percentage cover was low (Figs. 374, 375).

Shade and CPOM were significant overall (Table 24) although none of the individual comparisons between the highest and other categories were significant (Table 25). For shade, the proportion of sites with $N .(A)$ nigeli present was greatest in categories with high percentages of shade (ie: category three $51-75 \%$ and category four $76-100 \%$, Fig.
376) indicating a preference for shady streams. Similarly, the animal was found in a higher proportion of sites with the highest percentage of CPOM (category three, $\mathbf{> 2 0 \%}$, Fig. 377).

As with $N$. (A) fusca, an extremely high odds ratio for isothermality (475.681) was calculated for this species in the simple regression model (Table 24).

Table 24. Simple logistic regression analysis for the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca and Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli. The odds ratio indicates the probability of the species being present at a site relative to it being absent.

|  | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca |  |  | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variables | Estimate | Odds ratio | p-value | Estimate | Odds ratio | p-value |
| LGALT | -0.062 | 0.940 | 0.595 | 0.398 | 1.489 | 0.013 |
| LGTEMP | 0.036 | 1.037 | 0.927 | -1.528 | 0.217 | 0.002 |
| LGSL.OPE | 0.062 | 1.064 | 0.643 | 0.396 | 1.486 | 0.014 |
| LGDFS | -0.133 | 0.876 | 0.241 | -0.293 | 0.746 | 0.041 |
| LGWIDTH | -0.044 | 0.957 | 0.746 | 0.085 | 1.088 | 0.620 |
| LGDEPTH | -0.101 | 0.904 | 0.511 | -0.222 | 0.801 | 0.246 |
| PHISQR | -0.128 | 0.879 | 0.558 | 0.021 | 1.022 | 0.938 |
| TS | -1.253 | 0.286 | 0.043 | -0.171 | 0.843 | 0.824 |
| LREFMTWARM | -0.237 | 0.789 | 0.435 | -0.001 | 0.999 | 0.998 |
| LREFMTCOLD | -0.480 | 0.619 | 0.042 | 0.067 | 1.069 | 0.819 |
| LREFMTDRY | 0.125 | 1.133 | 0.635 | -0.182 | 0.834 | 0.582 |
| MTWET | 0.073 | 1.076 | 0.056 | 0.030 | 1.031 | 0.524 |
| LGPWETP | -0.646 | 0.524 | 0.065 | 0.272 | 1.313 | 0.529 |
| LGPDRYP | -0.574 | 0.563 | 0.163 | 0.407 | 1.502 | 0.435 |
| ISOSQR | 5.274 | 195.185 | 0.204 | 6.165 | 475.681 | 0.259 |
| LGPSEAS | -0.320 | 0.726 | 0.338 | 0.006 | 1.006 | 0.989 |
| LANDUSE | see Table 25 |  | 0.005 | see Table 25 |  | 0.187 |
| DOMRIP |  |  | 0.039 |  |  | 0.056 |
| SHADE |  |  | 0.820 |  |  | 0.043 |
| SUBHET |  |  | 0.009 |  |  | 0.868 |
| FLOW |  |  | 0.081 |  |  | 0.000 |
| CPOM |  |  | 0.042 |  |  | 0.031 |
| ALGAE |  |  | 0.245 |  |  | 0.000 |
| MACROP |  |  | 0.159 |  |  | 0.002 |

Table 25. Simple logistic regression analysis for the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca and Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli showing discrete variables category by category.

|  | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca |  |  | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variables | Estimate | Odds ratio | pvalue | Estimate | Odds ratio | pvalue |
| landuse category 1 relative to category 4 | -1.304 | 0.271 | 0.006 | -1.273 | 0.280 | 0.103 |
| landuse category 2 relative to category 4 | 0.317 | 1.371 | 0.255 | 0.278 | 1.321 | 0.478 |
| landuse category 3 relative to category 4 | 0.865 | 2.375 | 0.004 | 0.531 | 1.700 | 0.193 |
| dominant riparian category 1 relative to category 4 | -0.409 | 0.664 | 0.086 | -0.431 | 0.650 | 0.172 |
| dominant riparian category 2 relative to category 4 | 0.088 | 1.092 | 0.696 | -0.431 | 0.650 | 0.172 |
| dominant riparian category 3 relative to category 4 | 0.507 | 1.660 | 0.010 | 0.161 | 1.175 | 0.510 |
| shade category 1 relative to category 4 | -0.169 | 0.845 | 0.416 | -0.496 | 0.609 | 0.077 |
| shade category 2 relative to category 4 | -0.071 | 0.931 | 0.733 | -0.426 | 0.653 | 0.130 |
| shade category 3 relative to category 4 | 0.090 | 1.094 | 0.680 | 0.388 | 1.474 | 0.126 |
| substrate heterogeneity category 1 relative to category 4 | -0.926 | 0.396 | 0.017 | -0.015 | 0.985 | 0.970 |
| substrate heterogeneity category 2 relative to category 4 | 0.113 | 1.120 | 0.605 | 0.101 | 1.106 | 0.700 |
| substrate heterogeneity category 3 relative to category 4 | 0.664 | 1.942 | 0.003 | 0.194 | 1.214 | 0.476 |
| flow category 1 relative to category 4 | -0.545 | 0.580 | 0.044 | -1.431 | 0.239 | 0.011 |
| flow category 2 relative to category 4 | 0.465 | 1.591 | 0.053 | -0.555 | 0.574 | 0.174 |
| flow category 3 relative to category 4 | 0.186 | 1.204 | 0.335 | 0.475 | 1.607 | 0.092 |
| CPOM category 1 relative to category 3 | -0.166 | 0.847 | 0.332 | -0.296 | 0.744 | 0.182 |
| CPOM category 2 relative to category 3 | -0.306 | 0.736 | 0.103 | -0.293 | 0.746 | 0.226 |
| algae category 1 relative to category 3 | 0.094 | 1.099 | 0.586 | 1.021 | 2.776 | 0.000 |
| algae category 2 relative to caiegory 3 | 0.280 | 1.323 | 0.164 | -0.343 | 0.709 | 0.330 |
| macrophyte category 1 relative to category 3 | 0.353 | 1.423 | 0.060 | 0.908 | 2.479 | 0.003 |
| macrophyte category 2 relative to category 3 | -0.012 | 0.988 | 0.956 | -0.206 | 0.813 | 0.572 |

### 5.4.3 Multiple logistic regression

All discrete variables were retained because many of them had significant individual predictive power for one or other of the species (Table 24) and it was considerer preferable to retain all eight at the risk of introducing redundancy into the final model, rather than exclude such potentially important predictors.

Further screening of continuous variables was necessary due to the large number of variables and the need to obtain an acceptable ratio of cases to variables for the final analysis. This was accomplished by complete, stepwise forward and stepwise backward regression runs. These were run with the following settings: convergence (the largest relative change in any cocrdinate before iterations terminate) $=1 \times 10^{-6}$; tolerance (entry of a variable that is hignly correlated with the independent variables already included in the model) $=1 \times 10^{-12}$, probability to enter (enters a variable into the model if its alpha value is less than the specified value) $=0.15$; probability to remove (removes a variable from the model if its alpha value is greater than the specified value) $=0.15$. The entry and removal values are those suggested by (Hosmer and Lemeshow 1989) to ensure entry of variables with coefficients greater than zero. Maximum number of steps was set at 20 although this was never reached.

As a result of the regression runs, it was concluded that the variables to be retained for the final stepwise logistic regression analysis for $N$. (A) fusca were: distance from source, subphi, temperature seasonality, mean temperatures of the warmest, driest and wettest quarters. For $N$. (A) nigeli the variables retained were altitude, water temperature, slope, distance from source, width, subphi, temperature seasonality, mean temperature of the coldest quarter and isothermality.

While distance from source remained a potentially good predictor the other non-BIOCLIM variables (water temperature, width, depth, slope, altitude) showed questionable predictive power. However, these were considered such fundamental variables that interactions between them were tested before deciding whether to remove them from the final analysis. The test was assessed by running multiple logistic regressions for both species for each of the fifteen possible interactions, each of which included two continuous variables plus the interaction term (Table 26).

For both species there was a high number of significant interaction terms. In order to avoid excluding potentially useful interaction terms by applying a strict $p=0.05$ cut-off, it was decided arbitrarily that all interaction terms with a p-value $<0.075$ would be included. For $N$. (A) fusca these interactions were identified as altitude*water temperature,

Table 26. Multiple logistic regression analysis for Nousia (Australonousia) fusca and Nousia (Australonousia) nigell; interactions between non-BIOCLIM continuous variables. McF Rho ${ }^{2}$ (McFaddens Rho ${ }^{2}$ ) is a measure of strength of association between the outcome and predictors.

|  | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca |  |  |  | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| interaction | p-value | Odds ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chi }^{2} \\ \text { p-value } \end{gathered}$ | McF Rho ${ }^{2}$ | p-value | Odds ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chi }^{2} \\ \text { p-value } \end{gathered}$ | McFRho ${ }^{2}$ |
| altitude water temperature. altitude*water temperature. | $\begin{aligned} & 0.001 \\ & 0.001 \\ & 0.001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.020 <br> 0.000 <br> 4.480 | 0.007 | 0.034 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.070 \\ & 0.025 \\ & 0.051 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.068 \\ & 0.000 \\ & 3.256 \end{aligned}$ | 0.002 | 0.059 |
| altitude slope altitude*slope | 0.009 <br> 0.000 <br> 0.000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.888 \\ & 46.83 \\ & 0.542 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.001 | 0.047 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.108 \\ & 0.262 \\ & 0.376 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.662 \\ & 3.676 \\ & 0.850 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.023 | 0.037 |
| altitude distance from source altitude*DFS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.164 <br> 0.010 <br> 2.102 | 0.000 | 0.077 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.325 \\ & 0.051 \\ & 0.066 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.651 \\ & 0.093 \\ & 1.435 \end{aligned}$ | 0.012 | 0.043 |
| altitude <br> stream width <br> altitude*stream width | $\begin{aligned} & 0.073 \\ & 0.061 \\ & 0.068 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.714 \\ & 0.209 \\ & 1.290 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.252 | 0.011 | 0.140 0.799 0.672 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.441 \\ & 0.750 \\ & 1.080 \end{aligned}$ | 0.059 | 0.029 |
| altitude <br> stream depth <br> altitude*stream depth | $\begin{aligned} & 0.082 \\ & 0.019 \\ & 0.024 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.654 \\ & 0.081 \\ & 1.515 \end{aligned}$ | 0.083 | 0.019 | 0.271 <br> 0.836 <br> 0.909 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.059 \\ & 0.760 \\ & 1.026 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.089 | 0.025 |
| water temperature slope water temp.*slope | $\begin{aligned} & 0.022 \\ & 0.004 \\ & 0.003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.194 \\ & 0.032 \\ & 4.253 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.012 | 0.031 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.490 \\ & 0.039 \\ & 0.368 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.438 \\ & 0.148 \\ & 1.573 \end{aligned}$ | 0.007 | 0.047 |
| water temperature distance from source water temp*DFS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.001 \\ & 0.002 \\ & 0.001 \end{aligned}$ | 26.88 25.60 0.253 | 0.002 | 0.043 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.754 \\ & 0.091 \\ & 0.073 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.406 \\ & 7.417 \\ & 0.415 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.004 | 0.052 |
| water temperature stream width water temp.*stream width | $\begin{aligned} & 0.845 \\ & 0.919 \\ & 0.877 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.096 \\ & 1.097 \\ & 0.946 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.986 | 0.000 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{0 . 0 0 5} \\ & 0.719 \\ & 0.602 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.162 \\ & 0.675 \\ & 1.271 \end{aligned}$ | 0.014 | 0.041 |

Table 26 cont'd.

|  | Nousia (Australonousia) fusca |  |  |  | Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| interaction | p-value | Odds ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { Chi }^{2} \\ \text { p-value } \end{gathered}$ | McF Rho ${ }^{2}$ | p-value | Odds ratio | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{C h i}^{2} \\ \text { p-value } \end{gathered}$ | McFRho ${ }^{2}$ |
| water temperature stream depth water temperature*stream depth | $\begin{aligned} & 0.132 \\ & 0.096 \\ & 0.081 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.240 \\ & 10.72 \\ & 0.378 \end{aligned}$ | 0.301 | 0.010 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.250 \\ & 0.877 \\ & 0.943 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.249 \\ & 0.766 \\ & 1.050 \end{aligned}$ | 0.019 | 0.039 |
| slope distance from source slope*DFS | $\begin{aligned} & 0.003 \\ & 0.002 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.397 \\ & 0.500 \\ & 1.765 \end{aligned}$ | 0.000 | 0.051 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.491 \\ & 0.081 \\ & 0.018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.790 \\ & 0.605 \\ & 1.490 \end{aligned}$ | 0.007 | 0.048 |
| slope <br> stream width <br> slope*stream width | $\begin{aligned} & 0.652 \\ & 0.169 \\ & 0.083 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.928 \\ & 0.746 \\ & 1.263 \end{aligned}$ | 0.323 | 0.010 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.088 \\ & 0.986 \\ & 0.179 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.446 \\ & 1.005 \\ & 1.257 \end{aligned}$ | 0.013 | 0.042 |
| slope stream depth slope*stream depth | $\begin{aligned} & 0.046 \\ & 0.051 \\ & 0.038 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.887 \\ & 0.598 \\ & 1.424 \end{aligned}$ | 0.149 | 0.015 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.039 \\ & 0.265 \\ & 0.241 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.155 \\ & 0.680 \\ & 1.254 \end{aligned}$ | 0.055 | 0.029 |
| distance from source stream width DFS*siream width | $\begin{aligned} & 0.935 \\ & 0.168 \\ & 0.138 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.987 \\ & 1.474 \\ & 0.861 \end{aligned}$ | 0.287 | 0.011 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline .047 \\ & 0.258 \\ & 0.935 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.626 \\ & 1.424 \\ & 1.011 \end{aligned}$ | 0.057 | 0.029 |
| distance from source stream depth DFS*stream depth | $\begin{aligned} & 0.047 \\ & 0.140 \\ & 0.078 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.614 \\ & 1.719 \\ & 0.782 \end{aligned}$ | 0.191 | 0.013 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.232 \\ & 0.864 \\ & 0.710 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.691 \\ & 1.075 \\ & 0.938 \end{aligned}$ | 0.219 | 0.017 |
| stream width stream depth stream width*stream depth | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.759 \\ & 0.271 \\ & 0.189 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.070 \\ & 0.736 \\ & 0.825 \end{aligned}$ | 0.515 | 0.006 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.672 \\ & 0.265 \\ & 0.771 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.736 \\ & 1.162 \\ & 0.950 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0.407 | 0.011 |

altitude*slope, altitude*distance from source, altitude*width, altitude*depth, water temperature*slope, water temperature*distance from source, slope*distance from source and slope*depth. For $N$. (A) nigeli, the important interactions were determined as altitude*water temperature, altitude*distance from source, water temperature*distance from source and slope*distance from source. These results indicate that the interactions might have high predictive power and should remain in the final analysis. The Systat manual (Systat 1997) recommends that individual variables constituting such interactions should also remain in the final analysis.

As ? result of this screening, 29 variables were retained for the final stepwise logistic regression analysis for $N$. (A) fusca, 21 continuous and eight discrete: substrate phi, distance from source, temperature seasonality, mean temperatures of the warmest, driest and wettest quarters, altitude*water temperature, altitude*slope, altitude*distance from source, altitude*width, altitude*depth, water temperature*slope, water temperature*distance from source, slope*distance from source, slope*depth, waier temperature, altitude, distance from source, stream width, stream depth, slope, landuse, dominant riparian vegetation, shade, substrate heterogeneity, flow, CPOM, algae and macrophytes.

For $N$. (A) nigeli, 21 variables were selected for inclusion in the final stepwise logistic regression, 13 continuous and eight discrete: substrate phi, mean temperature of the coldest quarter, isothermality, altitude*water temperature, altitude*distance from source, water temperature*distance from source, slope*distance from source, altitude, water temperature, slope, distance from source, stream width, temperature seasonality, landuse, dominant riparian vegetation, shade, substrate heterogeneity, flow, CPOM, algae and macrophytes.

These variables were included in final stepwise forward and backward logistic regressions using the settings listed earlier. These were run using the Systat command editor to force the individual variables involved in interaction terms into the model. Although the screening process had already substantially reduced the number of variables it was considered that with 29 and 21 variables retained the ratios of cases to variables were still excessively low. Therefore, stepwise analyses to refine the models further were considered appropriate. For N. (A) fusca, both the forward and backward processes produced the same model (Table 27). For N. (A) nigeli, the stepwise backward model aborted after six steps, so the forward regression was considered the final model (Table 28).

Table 27. Multiple !ogistic regression model for Nousia (Australonousia) fusca.


Table 28. Multiple logistic regression model for Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli.


### 5.4.3.1 Nousia (Australonousia) fusca

For $N$. (A) fusca, significant continuous variables from the final multiple logistic regression (Table 27) model included altitude and distance from source. The lower the altitude the greater the chance of finding the species, and as distance from source increases the probability of finding $N$. (A) fusca decreases. This appears contradictory and is evidertly related to the significant interaction between these two variables. From examination of the 3D plot of calculated probabilities from a model containing both terms and their interaction (Fig. 378), it is clear that the predicted probability of presence of the species increases with altitude to approximately 500 m then decreases as the altitude increases further. In conjunction with this, the predicted probability of finding the species also increases with distance from source up to approximately 9 km and then decreases with greater distance from the source.

The only other continuous variable of note is the mean temperature of the warmest quarter which is almost significant ( $p$-value $=0.051$ ). The higher the temperature of the warmest quarter the higher the probability of finding the species.

Of the discrete variables only macrophytes and substrate heterogeneity were significant. Macrophyte category one relative to category three reveals that the lower the percentage of macrophyte cover the greater the chance of findirig the species. Both substrate heterogeneity categories one and three are significant relative to four but iri different directions. If substrate heterogeneity is $\leq 2$ the chances of finding the species are reduced relative to $\geq 5$. However, if substrate heterogeneity is 4 the probability of finding the species is increased compared to $\geq 5$.

### 5.4.3.2 Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli

The multiple logistic regression model for $N$. (A) nigeli (Table 28) shows far fewer significant variables than for $N$. (A) fusca. Subphi is the only significant continuous variable, showing that a high $\phi$ value (ie: greater number of smaller sized particles) corresponds to a greater chance of finding the species. Of the categorical variables, only two showed significant comparisons. Shade category two (26-50\%) is negative relative to category four (76-100\%) so that the probability of finding the species increases as the percentage of shade slightly increases. Algae category one ( $\leq 10 \%$ ) is positive relative to category three ( $11-35 \%$ ) indicating that the lower the percentage of algae the greater the chance of finding the species.

### 5.4.4 Model performance evaluation

For the simple logistic regression each of the variables in the final model can be considered to predict preferred habitat for each species when considered individually. However, McFadden's Rino (a measure of strength of association between the outcome and the predictors) is quite low for all the predictor variables ( $<0.07$, see Table 24). According to Tabachnick and Fidell (1996) McFadden's Rho ${ }^{2}$ is only considered "very satisfactory" between the values of 0.20 and 0.40 . The low valuer "r both $N$. (A) fusca ath $N$. (A) nigeli indicate that the predictive power of the significant variables is relatively low when considered individually.

Multiple logistic regression model performance was assessed by alternative measures recommended by Fielding and Bell (1997 and Systat (1997) and Manel et al. (2001) for comparison. Values were obtained or calculated from the model prediction success output and the deciles of risk output from Systat. Measures used, with an explanation of how they were derived, and an overall percentage or ratio figure are shown in Table 29.

Model performance appears to vary greatly depending on which measure is used. Overall prediction success is often used by ecologists and shows that the models obtained very successfully place sites into presence or absence categories $(70.4 \%$ for $N$. (A) fusca and $83.9 \%$ for $N$. (A) nigell). A criticism of this measure, however, is that it does not take into account chance success in correctly predicting cases (Manel et al. (2001).

The sensitivity calculation (percent of true positive correctly predicted) shows a $55 \%$ success in correctly predicting the presence of $N$. (A) fusca and a $32 \%$ success in predicting the presence of $N$.(A) nigeli. The Systat default method of calculating sensitivity, assigning outcomes partiaily to each class according to predicted probability, produces very similar figures ( $53 \%$ and $39 \%$ respectively). Specificity (percent of true negatives correctly predicted) shows a extremely high success for both species ( $N$. (A) fusca $81 \%, N$. (A) nigeli $96 \%$ ); somewhat higher than the Systat specificity of $69 \%$ and $86 \%$ respectively. Sensitivity tends to be more correctly predicted when there is a high prevalence and specificity more correctly predicted when a prevalence is low (Manel et al. (2001). This explains why the predictions for specificity for both species are better than sensitivity as there is a higher proportion of negative cases for each species (eg 161/267 for $N$. (A) fusca and 217/267 for N. (A) nigell). For N. (A) nigeli, in particular, as the prevalence is so low the prediction success for absence is extremely high ( $96 \%$ ).

Table 29. Assessment of multiple logistic regression model performance for Nousia (Australonousia) fusca and Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli using alternative measures.

|  |  |  | N. (A) fusca |  | N. (A) nigeli |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model performance measure | Definition | Formulae $\dagger$ | \% | value | \% | value |
| Overall prediction success | \% of all cases correctly predicted | $a+d / n$ | 70.41 |  | 83.90 |  |
| Sensitivity | \% of true positives correctly predicted | $a /(a+c)$ | 54.72 |  | 32.00 |  |
| Systat sensitivity | \% of true positives correctly predicted, but outcomes assigned partially to each class according to predicted probability | $a /(a+c)$ | 52.80 |  | 38.70 |  |
| Specificity | \% of true negatives correctly predicted | $d /(b+d)$ | 80.75 |  | 95.85 |  |
| Systat specificity | \% of true positives correctly predicted but outcomes assigned partially to each class according to predicted probability | $d(b+d)$ | 68.90 |  | 85.90 |  |
| Odds ratio | ratio of correctly assigned cases to incorrectly assigned cases | $(\mathrm{a} * \mathrm{~d}) /(\mathrm{c} * \mathrm{~b})$ |  | 5.07 |  | 10.87 |
| McFadden's Rho ${ }^{2}$ | strength of association between outcome and predictors | ${ }^{-}$ |  | 0.182 |  | 0.252 |
| Cohen's kappa $(\mathrm{k})$ | proportion of specific agreement. | $\begin{gathered} {[(a+d)-(((a+c)(a+b)+(b+d)(c+d)) / n] /} \\ {[n-((a+c)(a+b)+(b+d)(c+d) / n]} \end{gathered}$ |  | 0.365 |  | 0.345 |

$\dagger$ (a) correctly predicted positive occurrences, (b) falsely predicted positive occurrences, (c) falsely predicted negative occurrences and (d) correctly predicted negative occurrences, ( $n$ ) overall number of cases

An alternative assessment of model success is the odds ratio which is the ratio of correctly assigned cases to incorrectly assigned cases. However, Manel et al. (2001) also found the effects of prevalence to be highly significant, evident here in the high odds ratio for $N$. (A) nigeli.

Multiple logistic regression output also gives an overall McFadden's Rho ${ }^{2}$. McFadden's Rho ${ }^{2}$ for $N$. (A) nigeli is 0.252 (Table 28) which is considered "very satisfactory" (ie: between 0.20 and 0.40 , Tabachnick and Fidell 1996). The prediction success for $N$. (A) fusca is somewhat less than satisfactory at 0.182 (Table 27).

Manel et al. (2001) suggest as an alternative measure of model performance Coher's kappa ( k ), or proportion of specific agreement, which is easily calculable and provides a more accurate measurement of prediction success by accounting for falsely predicted positive and negative occurrences. Further, Cohen's kappa is considered largely insensitive to prevalence. Alternative interpretations of the value of kappa have been made by various authors. Landis and Koch (1977) suggested < 0.4 indicates poor agreement, while $>0.4$ indicates good agreement but expanded this to encompass more categories where values of $0.0-0.4$ were considered as slight to fair model performance, 0.4-0.6 moderate, 0.6-0.8 substantial and 0.8-1.0 almost perfect (Landis and Koch 1977; Manel et al. 2001). Comparison of simulated vegetation maps with field derived maps led Monserud and Leemans (1992) to propose the following comprehensive scale for kappa values: $0.00-0.05=$ no agreement, $0.05-0.20$ very poor, $0.20-0.40$ poor, $0.40-0.55$ moderate, $0.55-0.70$ good, $0.70-0.85$ very good, $0.85-0.99$ excellent, $0.99-1.00$ perfect. A.scordingly, the final multiple model for $N$.(A) fusca has 'slight to fair' prediction success according to Landis and Koch (1977) and 'very poor' according to Monserud and Leemans (1992). N.(A) nigeli prediction success is also 'slight to fair' Landis and Koch (1977) or simply 'poor' Monserud and Leemans (1992).

If we accept kappa as the more accurate assessment of model performance, it is clear that the predictive power of both models could be substantially improved. One obvious possible reason for poor model performance is that the species were overlooked at sites where they were actually present. In this respect it is unfortunate that collecting was done over three relatively dry summers in Victoria (Beaureau of Meterology 2002) so a number of potentially interesting sites were dry or running at very low flow. A greater number of visits to each individual site would obviously have been an advantage.

Other possible improvements that may be considered are the inclusion of more environmental variables such as pH , oxygen, conductivity, flow variability, turbidity, nutrient concentrations. For example (Marchant eí al. 1994) found changes in
macroinvertebrate communities in Victorian streams were closely associated with altitude (and correlated variables such as water temperature and dissolved oxygen', substratum and conductivity.

Spot water temperature measurements spread over several long collecting seasons probably failed to adequately represent the thermal regime of the streams. Continuous temperature recording at such a large number of sites was impractical. The relatively strong response of $N$. (A) nigeli to the spot water temperature measurements suggests that better temperature data may well have had high predictive value.

### 5.5 Discussion

### 5.5.1 Nousia (Australonousia) fusca

The simple logistic regression model reveals a number of variables which individually help predict the distribution of the species. The temperature preferences for small differences between warmest and coldest portions of the year and winter temperatures that are not too cold may relate to the uptimal thermal regime hypothesis (Sweeney and Vannote 1978). Although the species may still be present outside the optimal thermal regime, it may have reduced abundance at such sites, diminishing the likelihood of it being found.

Several other instream variables (CPOM, flow and substrate heterogeneity) were shown to have an effect on the distribution of the species. $N$. (A) fusca prefers high CPOM, relatively high flows and a high diversity of substrate classes, which is typical of an upland stream environment.

It is easy to interpret a reduced number of substrate size classes as unfavourable habitat since this would reduce the complexity of the environment that the majority of benthos prefer (jowett and Richardson 1990; Brooks and Boulton 1991; Marchant et al. 1994). However, a preference for four substrate size classes as opposed to five or more than five is more difficult to interpret. Perhaps a high number of size classes introduces the extremes of the $\phi$ scale into the equation (bedrock, silt or clay) which might indicate either a headwater stream or lowland reach. In either case, food availability may be reduced along with the fine organic matter that accumulates between the abundant crevices of pebble-sized particles (Minshall 1984; Brooks and Boulton 1991). Predator avoidance may also be more difficult for mayflies in an environment with a reduced number of crevices.

Undisturbed surrounding landuse and the height of dominant bankside vegetation does not directly affect the species. They can however have an effect on the stream through processes such as erosion, which in turn can alter the hydrological regime of the stream and also substrate composition and dissolved oxygen concentration. Surprisingly, the species prefers the bankside vegetation to be dominated by small ( $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) rather than larger $>10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) trees. It is conceivable that introduced plant species may indeed make up a large part of the small trees ( $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) of the riparian zone as native eucalypts tend to be the dominant riparian trees over 10 metres in height for a large part of Victoria at least (Conn 1993). However, this pattern may also reflect the sampling strategy where easy access to the stream was preferable to more isolated areas. Vehicle access and the accompanying disturbance is likely to promote the introduction of weeds and exotic plants.

Each of the variables in the final model can be considered to have predictive power for the probability of finding the species when considered individually. In the multiple logistic regression model, however, the predictive power may increase or decrease depending on the influence of the other variables. in fact, only one variable (substrate heterogeneity) was significant both singly and in the multiple regression model for $N$. (A) fusca.

It appears that altitude, distance from source and the interaction term are some of the more useful variables for predicting the presence of the species. Conditions at altitudes above 500 m and closer than 9 km from the source appear to be progressively less suitable. This may be related to changes in instream conditions from high altitude streams with high proportions of bedrock/boulders and little CPOM to a more mixed substrate with higher CPOM. For example, substrate heterogeneity increases with distance from source within the first 9 km (Fig. 379) then generally decreases with distance from source from this point (Fig. 380). Also, CPOM increases with decreasing altitude until 500 m (Fig. 381) then, if anything, the trend is reversed (Fig.382).

In fact, the interpretation that the animal prefers to be at high altitudes and close to the source only until a certain point also appears consistent with the effects of temperature variables in the simple logistic regression models, probably due to the close relationship between altitude and temperature (Minshall 1988; Power et al. 1988). Preference for litlle variation between the winter and summer temperatures and for winter temperatures that are not extremely cold indicates that the very highest altitudes would be unsuitable for the species. Unsuitability of lower altitudes may relate to excessive summer water temperature and perhaps associated deoxygenation.

### 5.5.2 Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli

The simple logistic regression models for $N$. (A) nigeli reveal a greater number of significant variables than for $N$. (A) fusca. Each significant variable, whether directly impacting on the stream biota (low water temperature, high flow, low percentages of algae and macrophytes and high percentages of shade and CPOM) or indirectly impacting (high altitude, small distance from source and steeper slopes) taken together are all indicative of an upland stream, notwithstanding the limitations of the RCC in Australia (Vannote et al. 1980). However, high levels of CPOM in the stream are predominantly the result of litter drop from bankside vegetation and can be directly associated with high levels of shade. The species preference for high CPOM probably precludes arid and alpine zones where riparian shading is sparse (Boulton and Brock 1999) therefore a wooded upland stream is indicated. In fact Fig. 367 does show that CPOM is reduced at higher altitude sites.

The importance of all the above variables is not reflected in highly significant effects in the multiple logistic regression model for $N$. (A) nigeli. Only three variables in the final model are significant with only shade and algae common between the simple and multiple models. The high percentages of shade and low percentages of algae shown to predict the probability of finding the species strengthen the findings of the simple regression model.

The oniy other variable that was significant was substrate phi, where the presence of smaller sized particles increased the probability that the species was present. The mean $\phi$ value for sites where the species was present was -2.1 which corresponds to gravel sized particles $(2-16 \mathrm{~mm})$. This is somewhat finer than the suggested preferred particle size class for Australian macroinvertebrates of 16-64mm (Brooks and Boulton 1991), however it must be borne in mind that the substrate phi figures here are an average for the whole stream bed; therefore presence of very fine particles, such as silt, may reduce the average and the species could, in fact, be inhabiting coarser parts of the substrate. However, this species was certainly recovered, on a number of occasions, from pools dominated by finer particles and is clearly able to tolerate such conditions.

Altitude, slope, distance from source, water temperature and the interaction of distance from source*slope were not significant in the final model, despite their low p-values when considered in isolation. This could be because the discrete variables present in the final model rendered these continuous variables redundant.

### 5.5.3 Conclusions

For each species there are numerous differences between the variables significantly associated with presence. CPOM is the only variable which was significant for both species in simple logistic regression analysis (Table 24) although this variable was only included in the final multivariate model for $N$. (A) rigeli (Table 28). Of the remaining discrete variables landuse, dominant riparian vegetation and substrate heterogeneity were significant only for $N$. fusca and shade, flow, algae and macrophytes only for $N$. nigeli (Table 24).

For $N$. (A) nigeli, altitude, water temperature, slope and distance from source were all individually significant compared to $N$. (A) fusca where none of these variables were significant (Table 24) unless included in a model which contained interactions between them (Table 26). For example, for $N$. (A) fusca there is a clear peak probability of occurrence at intermediate altitude and water temperature (interaction term $p=0.001$, Table 26) whereas with $N$. (A) nigeli the peak probability is located at high altitudes and cooler water temperatures (interaction term $p=0.051$, Table 26). $N$. (A) nigeli is associated with steeper slopes, shorter distance from source, higher altitudes and colder temperatures than $N$. (A) fusca. In particular maximum water temperature recorded at sites where $N$. (A) nigeli was found was only $17^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ compared to a maximum of $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at sites where $N$. (A) fusca was present. Clearly, $N$. (A) nigeli is more restricted to high altitude cool streams. This is entirely consistent with $N$. (A) fusca preferring low temperature seasonality and higher mean temperature of the coldest quarter, whereas $N$. nigeli shows no significant response to either variable (Table 24).

Another difference between the species is that the final model for $N$. nigeli inciudes a highly significant effect of substrate phi (Table 28) whereas this variable has no importance for $N$. (A) fusca (Table 27). This may indicate tolerance of a broader range of substrate conditions, consistent with the wider range of average substrate phi values encountered at sites where $N$. (A) fusca was found ( -7.6 to 6.8 ) compared with $N$. (A) nigeli ( -6.5 to 3.6 ). Alternatively, $N$. (A) nigeli may prefer finer substrates.

It is clear that the two species differ substantially in which environmental variailes have most influence on them, and illustrates the desirability of recording a wide range of bioassessment variables in order to capture the information relevant to different species.

## 6. THE PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS OF THE AUSTRALIAN LEPTOPHLEBIIDAE.

# "I am beginning to push Species Plantarum completely out of my thoughts.... <br> Shall I work myself to death? <br> Shall I never get to se:: a taste of the world? <br> What do I gain by all this?" 

### 6.1 Introduction

The family Leplophlebiidae is considered to be one of the oldest and most diversified mayfly families (Peters 1988). The Leptophlebiidae are distributed woridwide with over 100 genera; predominantly in the southern Hemisphere (Hubbard 1990).

Leptophlebiid species occur in a wide variety of habitats including fast flowing upland streams, lowland standing or slow flowing waters and lakes. Nymphs are predominantly categorised as swimmers, clingers or sprawlers (Edmunds and Waltz 1996) which are adapted to clinging to submerged objects and swimming short distances. Morphological adaptations include dorso-ventral flattening, long curved tarsal claws and mouthparts sometimes arranged as a suction disc. This is in stark contrast to the robust burrowing mayflies (superfamily Ephemeroidea) which have developed anteriorly directed mandibular tusks to accommodate their burrowing behaviour.

Although the monophyly of the family Leptophlebiidae within the superfamily Leptophlebioidea was in question for some time (Edmunds 1972; McCafferty and Edmunds 1979), it is now well established (Lancia and Soldán 1985; McCafferty 1991b). However, phylogenetic relationships within the family have not been considered in total (due to the large number of species invoived) but rather studied in smaller groups within geographic regions such as the Southern Hemisphere (Tsui and Peters 1975), the Eastern Hemisphere (Peters and Edmunds 1970), South America (Pescador and Peters 1980a) and New Zealand (Towns and Peters 1979; Towns and Peters 1996) or within certain genera, eg: Meridialaris and Massartellopsis (Pescador and Peters 1987), Miroculis (Savage and Peters 1983), Nousia (Pescador and Peters 1985), Penaphlebia (Pescador and Peters 1991), Thraulus (Grant 1985) and Ulmeritus (Domínguez 1995).

Relationships amongst the Australian genera are virtually unknown. Apart from the inclusion of some Australian genera in an investigation of the cool-adapted Leptophlebiidae of South America (Pescador and Peters 1980a), and more recently a cladistic analysis of the Meridialaris lineage (sensu Pescador and Peters 1980a) in Australia (Christidis 2001) no cladistic analysis of the Australian Leptophlebiidae has been performed.

### 6.2 Origins of the Leptophlebiidae

The earliest recognition of a leptophlebiid taxon was a discussion of the newly established genus Leptophlebia proposed by Westwood (1840). He identified a number of characters in both the larvae and adult form that distinguished it from the genus

Ephemera (Ephemeridae) to which it formerly belonged; namely the lack of horns and pairs of thin tapering gills in the nymph, the "delicacy of the veins of the wings" (p.32) and the absence of numerous crossveins in the anal region of the forewing in the adult. Despite these differences, however, the genus was still considered part of the family Ephemeridae.

Pictet (1843-1845) identified seven genera based on the number of crossveins in the hindwings, the condition of the male oculi, the number and proportions of caudal setae in the imago and the nymph habitat. Unfortunately, he included all Leptophlebia in Potamanthus perhaps not realising the existence of the name Leptophlebia. He did admit that he assumed some of these species did not belong in Potamantius but was prevented from reaching a definitive decision by lack of available material.

The designation of Potamanthus remained unchanged until 1868 when Eaton published a catalogue of genera together with named types and notes on geographical distribution in the Entomologists' Monthly Magazine (Eaton 1868-69). Here he retained Potamanthus but named a new genus Leptophlebia based on differences in the wings and genitalia. Later, Eaton attempted another revision (Eaton 1871) and although Leptophlebia was retained he had significant doubts as to the placement of the taxon, frustrated primarily by the lack of nymphal material.

Following this "A Revisional Monograph of the Recent Ephemeridæ" (Eaton 1883-1888) was published but this resulted only in minor changes to the family despite the inclusion of the examination of nymphs. Eaton recognised a group (which he called a section) of nine genera which he referred to as "the Leptophlebia type" (Atalophlebia, Leptophlebia, Elastur:s, Adenophlebia, Hagenulus, Thraulus, Calliarcys, Habrophlebia and Choroterpes). This was essentially the beginning of the family Leptophlebiidae which was charasterised by the following: in the adult (1) the pronotum of the male with a lengthwise ridge and excised posterior border; (2) hind tibia longer than femur and tarsus shorter than tibia; (3) anal veins ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ) convergent and in the nymph (1) first maxillary palp longer than lacinia; (2) labium paips small; (3) pairs of tracheal abdominal gills on segments one to seven and (4) posterolateral projections on posterior abdominal segments.

Banks (1900) stibsequently established authorship for the family Leptophlebiidae by the recognition of seven tribes (Bætiscins, Polymitarcini, Leptophlebini, Siphlurini, Ephemerini, Bætini and Cænini ) which are essentially analogous to modern families (Peters and Edmunds 1964). Characteristics of the Leptophlebini include: (1) three
caudal filaments; (2) male eyes contiguous; (3) hind tarsi four jointed; (4) hindwings not angulated on costa near base and (5) numerous costal crossveins.

Subsequent revisions and taxonomic descriptions (eg. Klapálek 1909; Ulmer 1920; Phillips 1930; Barnard 1932; Uimer 1932-33; Needham et al. 1935; Burks 1953; Grandi 1960) have enabled a more complete definition of the family to emerge. The most comprehensive diagnosis of the family was outlined by Peters and Edmunds (1964) and updated in Towns and Peters (1996). It is summarised in Table 30.

### 6.3 Inter-familial phylogenetic relationships of the Leptophlebiidae

Perhaps the earliest phylogenetic classification of Ephemeroptera was attempted by Spieth (1933) using North American fauna. The extensive study was based on the gills and mouthparts of the nymp.s and the wings and genitalia of the adults. The resulting classification puts the families Leptophlebiidae and Ephemeridae within the superfamily Ephemeroidea, showing the two families to be closely related. However, the classification was limited by the phenetic, rather than cladistic, nature of the data. Edmunds and Traver 1954a) placed the family Leptophthiidae with Ephemerelidae and Tricorythidae in new superfariily Leptophlebioidea wir: no explanation, although it was presented as a preliminary revision. Demoulin (1958) Hiciwever disagreed, placing Leptophlebiidae with Heptageniidae and Ametropodidae within the new superfamily Heptagerioidea. A new superfamily Ephemerelloidea was created for Ephemerellidae and Tricorythidae based on new fossil evidence. However, he believed Leptophlebiidae to be somewhat intermediary between Heptageniidae and Ephemerelloidea and that given more evidence Leptophlebiidae might ultimately require a superfamily of its own.

A revision of the phylogeny of the order Ephemeroptera placed Leptophiebiidae back with the superfamily Leptophlebioidea along with Ephemereilidae and Tricorythidae (Edmunds 1962). The superfamily Leptophlebioidea was presented as most closely related to Ephemeroidea. This revision was based on the cumulative knowledge gained from various investigations (Edmunds and Traver 1954b; Edmunds 1957; Edmunds 1959; Edmunds and Traver 1959). Paleontological data was not included as it was considered too inadequate to determine homologies of extant forms. Edmunds et al. (1963) followed up on this work with the production of a key designed to distinguish between nymphs of the families. He stated Leptophlebiidae were distinguished by: (1) the presence of morphologically variable double lameilate gills; (2) mandibular tusks rarely present and (3) the absence of an enlarged thoracic notum forming a shield which partly covers the abdomen. He noted that the inclusion of some highly modified southern hemisphere Leptophlebiidae has resulted in a somewhat altered diagnosis of the family.

Table 30. Diagnosis of the family Leptophlebiidae based on the work of Peters and Edmunds (1964) and Towns and Peters (1996)..

| Imago |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| eyes | male eyes divided - upper portion with medium to large facets, lower portion with small facets; on a stalk or sessile; female eyes not divided, with small facets |
| ocelli | median and lateral ocelli well developed |
| forewings | veins C and Sc well developed; vein $\mathrm{MA}_{2}$ attached at base to $\mathrm{MA}_{1}$, one intercalary present between the two; vein $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ free at base or attached at base to $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ or attached at base to $\mathrm{CuA}_{\text {; }}$ one intercalary between $M P_{2}$ and $M P_{1}$; no intercalaries between $M P_{2}$ and $C u A_{i}$ vein $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ parallel or strongly divergent distally to CuA; several varied intercalaries between veins CuA and CuP; vein CuP strongly recurved; anal veins present, all strongly recurved; cross veins few to n!merous |
| hindwings | present or absent, if present reduced to moderately large; costal projection well developed to absent; venation varied; crossveins few to numerous |
| legs | male foreleg tarsi 5 segmented with segment 1 shortest, middle and hind legs 4 segmented; female leg tarsi 3 segmented; tarsal claws similar or dissimilar |
| female genitalia \& associations | ovipositor present or absent; egg guide present or absent; $9^{\text {mh }}$ abdominal sternum entire to deeply cleft |
| male genitalia | forceps 2-4 segmented; segments 2 and 3 , when present, shorter than segment 1 ; penis lobes divided to fused, with or without spines or appendages. |
| caudal filaments | 3, well developed, terminal filament shorter or longer than cerci. |
| Nymph |  |
| body shape | depressed |
| head | prognathous, semi-prognathous or hypognathous |
| clypeus | fused entirely or parily to frons |
| labrum | with or without anteromedian emargination, emarginatio. 7 with or without denticles |
| mandibles | outer margin straight to angular, naked or with hair |
| maxillae | galea-lacinia bearing an apical row of sparse to dense long narrow setae, a subapical row of pectinate spine-like setae and a row of long hair like setae on the inner margin; with or without antero-lateral tooth like projection; cardo with or without a row of marginal setae; palpi 3 segmented |
| hypopharynx | well developed, with or without lateral processes. |
| labium | glossae and paraglossae well developed; glossae straight to strongly curved ventrally; submentum with or without setae on lateral margins palpi 3 segmented |
| pronotum | with or without spine-like setae on antero-lateral margins |
| tarsal claws | with or without denticles |
| gills | on abdominal segments 1-7, 2-7 or 1-6; slender to plate like; vertral lamellae present or absent |
| caudal filaments | 3; well developed; with whorls of setae, without lateral (swimming) setae |

Criticisms of Edmunds' work were put forward by Tshernova (1970) who disagreed with the exclusion of the paleontological evidence. Her classification took into account evidence from the newly discovered Mesozoic and Recent fauna. She includes Leptophlebiidae within the Siphlonuroidea, a relatively new superfamily first proposed by Demoulin (1958), along with the Metropodidae, Ametropodidae, Isonychiidae, Siphlonuridae, Baetidae and Siphlaenigmatidae, based on the unspecialised venation of the forewing, small or absent hindwings, and the free swimming mode of locomotion of the nymph.

Around the same time, examination of the comparative external anatomy of nymph and adult mayflies and new paleontological evidence led to the placement of Leptophlebiidae as a primitive clade of what Edmunds (1962) recognised as Ephemeroidea (Landa 1969; Landa 1973). Edmunds (1972) then produced a classification based on data from biogeographical, morpinological and the palaeontologic sources representing Leptophlebiidae as a highly diverse distinct lineage primitive to the closely allied Ephemeroidea. This classification was updated in Edmunds et al. (1976). An alternative classification was presented by Riek (1973), based largely on nymphal characters, that once again closely allied the Leptophlebiid, Ephemerellid arid Trichorythid families.

The classification proposed by McCafferty and Edmunds (1979) was little modified from Edmunds et al. (1976) with the establishment of six superfamilies within two suborders: the Schistonata, (Baetoidea, Leptophiebioidea and Ephemeroidea) and the Pannota (Ephemerelloidea, Caenoidea and Prosoptomatoidea). The classification indicates the ephemerellid-tricorythid lineage as derived from a common ancestor with the superfamilies Caenoidea and Prosopistomatoidea. Leptophlebioidea had a common ancestor with Ephemeroidea both of which stemmed from a pre-leptophlebioid or leptophlebioid-like ancestor. Suborder ciassification was based on thoracic structure of the nymph and, to a lesser extent, imago morphologies.

The next major phylogenetic classification involved a comprehensive study of the comparative anatomy of the larvae (Landa and Soldán 1985) which included the ventral nerve chord, tracheal system, alimentary canal, malpighian tubules and internal reproductive organs. The resultant classification varied from McCafferty and Edmunds (1979) by altering the composition of the two suborders. Schistonota contained four superfamilies (Baetoidea, Leptophlebioidea, Ephemeroidea and Heptagenioidea) and Pannota contained only two (Ephemerellidae and Caenoidea [encompassing Prosopistomatidae]). The placement of the major stem group Leptophlebioidea (containing only the family Leptophlebiidae) was unchanged showing a close association and common ancestor with Ephemeroidea.

The higher phylogenetic classification was again revisited by McCafferty (1991b) where the suborder Schistonota was identified as a paraphyletic grouping and became no longer valid. Pannota became an infraorder within three new suborders: Rectracheata, Setisura and Pisciforma. The Rectracheata, which now contained the three infraorders Vetulata, Lanceolata and Pannota, was established based on synapomorphies elucidated by the internal anatomy studies (Landa and Soldán 1985). Lanceolata contained the superfamilies Leptophiebioidea, Ephemeroidea, and the new Behningioidea, upgraded to superfamily status because it is highly specialised and contains many autapomorphies. Within the Lanceolata, Leptophlebiidae is shown as more closely refated to Behningiidae than Ephemeroidea.

The latest revision of the higher classification of mayflies has been completed for the North American fauna (McCafferty 2002). Rectracheata lost its suborder status and the new suborder Furcatergalia now contained three infraorders: Lanceolata (leptophlebiids), Palpatarsa (primitive burrowing mayflies, Behningiidae) and the Scapphodonta (burrowing mayflies, Ephemeroidea). Essentially, these three infraorders replaced the superfamilies of the former infraorder Lanceolata. The relationships between the infraorders within the new suborder have remained the same.

### 6.4 Intra-familial phylogenetic relationships of Leptophlebiidae

The first phylogenetic analysis of any group of Leptophlebiidae was attempted by Peters and Edmunds (1970). They proposed a phylogeny for the Eastern Hemisphere genera of Leptophlebiidae including all Palearctic, Ethiopian and Oriental regions but excluding Australia and New Zealand as they considered these taxa to have closer affinities with the Neotropical fauna. This hypothesis had been previously inferred from a revision of the Ethiopian Leptophlebiidae (Peters and Edmunds 1964) and examination of the morphology of certain Gondwana genera (Tsui and Peters 1975).

Peters and Edmunds (1970) proposed an ancestial leptophlebiid type in line with (McCafferty and Ed́munds 1979). The most primitive !ineage, representing an early dispersai to the Northern Hemisphere, was based on a deeply cleft ninth sternum of the male imago and the brush on the anterior margin of the maxiliae of the nymph being completely composed of fine hair. The lineage included eight genera: Paraleptophlebia, Leptophlebia, Habroleptoides, Habrophlebia, Calliarcys, Habrophlebioides,
Dipterophlebioides and Gilliesia. Of these, it was considered Paraleptophlebia and Leptophlebia were the most primitive of the Leptophlebiidae based on wing shape, venation, penis shape and body shape.

Subsequent phylogenetic analysis determined the eight genera to be sufficiently different to warrant the establishment of the subfamily Leptophiebiinae (Peters 1980). All other genera in the Leptophlebiidae were placed in a new subfamily designated as Atalophlebiinae.

The question of close affinities between the amphinotic fauna was revisited in an examination of the relationships among the South American taxa (Pescador and Peters 1980a). This smrall but significant paper provided a basis for further phylogenetic work on the Leptophlebiidae. Five phyletic lineages were established based on cladistic analysis of the cool-adapted Leptophiebiidae of southern South America and were considered to apply to other Southern Hemisphere fauna including Austraiia. The lineages are shown in Fig. 383 which has been adapted from Pescador and Peters (1980a) and includes the genus designation for 'New genus A' which was subsequently described as Dactylophlebia (Pescador and Peters 1980b). The phylogenetic characters defining the members of the five lineages, which may be analogous to tribes, are summarised in Table 31. Although Australian and New Zealand fauna were not included in the analysis, cursory examination of such fauna inferred close reiationships between the cool-adapted fauna (referring to cool mountain waters and regular freezing episodes) of the three areas and affinities of the Australian taxa with each of the lineages have been identified (Fig. 383, Table 32). As a result of the analysis, it was hypothesized that the fauna of South America were more closely related to that of Australia rather than New Zealand. This is exemplified, in part, by the genus Nousia which the two continents share (Hubbard 1990) and the fact that neither Australia nor South America share any taxa in common with New Zealand. Among insect groups this hypothesis was first intimated by Brundin (1966) studying the biogeography of chironomid midges and coincides with the generally accepted view of the sequential break up of Gondwana (Norton and Sclater 1979). Examination of the phylogenetic relationships of the Ephemeropteran Gondwanan fauna may fend further support.

Subsequent to the study by Pescador and Peters (1980a), authors of new taxa have suggested placement within the lineages based on morphological similarities. Table 32 is a compilation of the current genera thought be included in each lineage. References are given for those genera added since the publication of the original paper. Any genera without references were included in the original study. On the most part, however, these assumptions have not been cladistically tested due to the enormity of the task. The approach has been to analyse each country's or continents fauna separately in the hope that these analyses can be combined into a full scale phylogenetic analysis of all the Gondwanan fauna combined. Relationships between various Gondwanan taxa have
been postulated for the Eastern Hemisphere (Peters and Edmunds 1970), New Caledonia (Peters et al. 1978; Peters and Peters 1979; Peters and Peters 1981a; Peters and Peters 1981b; Peters et al. 1990; Peters et al. 1994), Africa (Peters and Edmunds 1964) and Madagascar (Peters and Edmunds 1984). However, cladistic ana!ysis has only been completed for the New Zealand (Towns and Peters 1979; Towns and Peters 1996) and South American fauna (Pescador and Peters 1980a; Domingues 1995). The one Australian cladistic study (Christidis 2001) focussed on an examination of the Meridialaris lineage at the species level, although other Australian genera were included for comparison. It is clear that a major gap in our understanding of the Southern Hemisphere Leptophlebiidae is the lack of knowiedge regarding the Australian fauna.

### 6.5 Aims of the phylogenetic analysis

This study aims to:

1. establish the relationships between the Australian Leptophlebiid genera in order to provide a basis for the inclusion of Australian taxa into a future phylogenetic analysis of the Gondwanan fauna.
2. compare and contrast the relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiid genera with the lineages defined by Pescador and Peters (1980a) and those established for other Southern Hemisphere fauna.

### 6.6 Methods

Representatives of the majority of the species of each of the 17 known Australian genera and one subgenus Nousia (Australonousia), along with the South American subgenus Nousia (Nousia), were examined for the phylogenetic analysis (see 6.6.1). Type species material was borrowed where possible and examined in preference to other species in the genus. The various sources of the type material included the Museum of Victoria (MV), the Australian National insect Collection (ANIC), the Queensland Department of Primary Industry (DFIQ), the Swedish Museum of Natural History (SMNH) and the Fiorida Agricultural and Mechanical University collection (FAMU). Other material examined was borrowed from the private collections of I.C. Campbell (Campbell collection), P.J. Suter (Suter collestion), Y.J. Bae collection (Bae collection) or was collected by myself during the course of this study (Finlay collection).

Table 31. Phylogenetic characters defining the five lineages of Pescador and Peters (1980a) from the most primitive (Hapisphlebia) to the most derived (Meridialaris). Bold text refers to apomorphic conditions. Blank spaces are due to the methodology of Pescador and Peters (1980a) where each furcation includes only characters relevant to the present branch and the branches above ie the Penaphlebia furcation includes characters relevant to Atalonella, Dactyophlebia and Meridialaris but excludes those of Hapsiphlebia.

| Charactar | Hapsiphlebia | Penaphlebia | Ata/onel/a | Dactyoph/ebia | Meridialaris |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clypeus width compared with labrum width | wider | subequal to slightly narrower | narrower | narrower | narrower |
| Labrum lateral margins | subparallel | rounded to angular | rounded to angular, smoothly curved | rounded to angular, abruptly to angularly curved | rounded to angular, abruptly to angularly curved |
| Mandible outer margin | almost straight | rounded to angular | rounded to angular smoothly curved | rounded to angular slightly angular | rounded to angular distinctly angular |
| Mandible right outer incisor | with broad subapical denticles | smooth or spinose | smooth or spinose | smooth or spinose | smooth or spinose |
| Abdominal terga lateral margin setae | prominent | bare or minute | bare or minute | bare or minute | bare or minute |
| Maxillae inner margin of palp 2 |  | pectinate setae | non-pectinate setae | non-pectinate setae | non-pectinate setae |
| Abdominal terga posterior margin |  | prominent spines and long tassellike setae | spines and scattered fine hairs | spines and scattered fine hairs | spines and scattered fine hairs |
| Forewings MP ${ }_{2}$ |  | strongly recurved | moderately recurved | moderately recurved | moderately recurved |
| Costal crossveins |  | more than 25 | less than 25 | less than 25 | less than 25 |

Table 31 cont'd.

| Character | Hapsiphlebia | Penaphlebia | Atalonella | Dactyophlebia | Meridialaris |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clypeus lateral margins |  |  | parallel | divergent | divergent |
| Clypeus width / labrum width |  |  | less than 4/5 | more than 4/5 | more than $4 / 5$ |
| Labrum - anteromedial emargination |  |  | broad with prominent denticles | narrow (may be cleft or hooded), denticles reduced; if cleft, Vshaped dorsally and ventrally | narrow (may be cleft or hooded), denticles reduced; if cleft shallow U-shaped or deep Vshaped ventrally |
| Sternum nine of female |  |  | deeply cleft | entire or shallow | entire or shallow |
| Labrum length / width |  |  |  | 2/3-3/4 | 1/3-1/2 |
| Maxillae galea-lacinia |  |  |  | narrow at apex | broad at apex |
| Maxillae - subapical pectinate setae |  |  |  | $\leq 15$ | > 15 |
| Labium submentum |  |  |  | thick lateral setae | lateral margins bare |
| Body of nymph |  |  |  | robust | flattened |

Table 32. Genera currently thought to belong to the five lineages outlined by Pescador and Peters (1980a).

| Lineage | Genus | Country 1 Continent | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Hapsiphlebia | Aprionyx | Africa |  |
|  | Atalophlebia | Australia |  |
|  | Atalomicria | Australia |  |
|  | Jappa | Australia |  |
|  | Kalbaybaria | Australia | (Campbell 1993) |
|  | Ulmerophlebia | Australia |  |
|  | Papposa | New Caledonia | (Peters and Peters 1981b) |
|  | Acanthophlebia | New Zealand | (Towns and Peters 1996) |
|  | Hapsiphlebia | South America |  |
| 2.Penaphlebia | Garinjuga | Australia | (Campbell and Suter 1988) |
|  | Nesophlebia | Madagascar | (Peters and Edmunds 1984) |
|  | Massartella | South America |  |
|  | Penaphlebia | South America |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3. Atalonella | Atalonella $=$ Nousia | Australia/South America | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Pescador and Peters } \\ & \text { 1985) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Neozephlebia | New Zealand | (Towns and Peters 1996) |
|  | Nyungara | Australia | (Dean 1987) |
|  | Rhigotopus | South America |  |
|  | Archethraulodes | South America |  |
| 4. Dactylophlebia | Austroclima | New Zealand | (Towns and Peters 1996) |
|  | Mauiulus | New Zealand | (Towns and Peters 1996) |
|  | Dactylophlebia | South America | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Pescador and Peters } \\ & 1980 \text { b) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Magallanella | South America | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Pescador and Peters } \\ & 1980 \mathrm{~b}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Meridialaris | Austrophlebioides (Atalophlebioides) | Australia | (Campbell and Suter 1988) |
|  | Kirrara | Australia | (Campbell and Peters 1986) |
|  | Tillyardophlebia | Australia | (Dean 1997) |
|  | Petersophlebia | Madagascar |  |
|  | Atalophlebioides | New Zealand | (Towns and Peters 1996) |
|  | Deleatidium | New Zealand | (Towns and Peters 1996) |
|  | Massaretellopsis | South America |  |
|  | Meridialaris | South America |  |
|  | Secochela | South America | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Pescador and Peters } \\ & \text { 1982) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Sulawesia | Sulawesi | (Peters and Edmunds 1990) |

*Although the method of polarising characters a priori has been used extensively it is considered by some to be outdated and preferably should be discarded in favour of analysing ingroup and external, closely related outgroup taxa simultaneously and determining character polarity from the cladogram produced (Nixon and Carpenter 1993). For completeness, then, an alternative analysis was performed using the same morphological characters (Table 33) with closely related taxa as outgroups and assuming no polarity of characters. The three outgroups chosen were Paraleptoplebia and Leptophebia belonging to the primitive subiamily Leptophlebiinae (Peters 1980) and Ephemera from the sister group Ephemeroidea (McCafferty 1991b, McCafferty 2002).

Morphologicai characters ('rable 33) were determined from careful examination of the species and from the species descriptions in the literature. Key sources of information for each of the genera include: Atalophlebia (Tillyard 1933b; Suter 1986); Atalomicria (Campbell and Peters 1993), Austrophlebicides (Campbell and Suter 1988), Bilikmena (Dean 1987), Garinjuge (Campbell and Suter 1988), Jappa (Skedios and Polhemus 1986), Kalbaybaria (Campbell 1993), Kaninga (Dean 2000), Kirrara (Campbell and Peters 1986), Loamaggalangta (Dean et al. 1999), Neboissophlebia (Dean 1988), Nousia (Pescador and Peters 1980a; Campbell and Suter 1988), Nyungara (Dean 1987), Thraulophlebia (Demoulin 1955b; Campbell and Suter 1988), Thraulus (Grant 1985; Suter 1992), Tillyardophlebia (Dean 1997), Ulmerophlebia (Suter 1986). In the case of the newly revised taxa, Nousia (Australonousia) and Thraulophlebia (Chapter 3), and the newly established genera Montikola and Gemmayaluka (Chapter 4), extensive revision was required to determine generic status and as a result these chapters subsequently became the primary sources of information.

In line with Edmunds and Allen (1966), Riek (1973) and Pescador and Peters (1980a) nymph characters were found to be more taxonomically informative than those of the imago, hence the disproportionately low number of adult characters in Table 33. Further, one of the major characteristics of the adult, wing venation, can be subject to significant parallel evolution (Edmunds 1972).

Plesiomorphic and apomorphic, characters states were assessed by comparison with all available outgroups following the traditional methods of Hennig (1966) and Ross (1974) where the polarity of characters was determined prior to analysis. The nearest outgroup was the subfamily Leptophlebinae, considered a pirmitive furcation in the evolution of the Eastern Hemisphere Leptophlebiidae (Peters and Edmunds 1970, see 6.4). Within this subfamily the plesiotypic Paraleptophlebia and Leptophlebia provided particularly valuable cladistic information. see opposite*

The latest revisions of the higher classification of mayflies (McCafferty 1991b; McCafferty 2002), encompassing the work of Landa and Soldan (1985), provide the next nearest outgroups within infraorder Lanceolata: that of the sister group Ephemeroidea (Polymitarcyidae, Euthyplociidae, Potamanthidae, Ephemeridae, Palingeniidae) followed by the superfamily Caenoidea (Ephemerellidae, Tricorythidae and Caenidae). Although the superfamily Behningoidea (containing the single family Behningiidae) is considered more closely related to Leptophlebioidea (McCafferty 1991b) its use as an outgroup is limited due to its highly distinctive and specialised nature in both adult and nymphal forms.

Table 33. Morphological characters used in phylogenetic analysis.

| No. | Character | State (0)-plesiomorphy | State (1)-apomorphy | State (2)-apomorphy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nymphal characters |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | Mouthparts. | hypognathous | prognathous |  |
| 1 | Body and gills fringed with fine setae (Figs. 384387). | no | yes |  |
| 2 | Setation or spination on lateral margins of abdominal terga. | bare, minute or fine setae | prominent spines |  |
| 3 | Spines on posterior margins of abdominal terga. | none or small | prominent |  |
| 4 | Posterolateral spines on abdomen. | on segments 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 $\text { to } 9$ | on segments 2 to 9 |  |
| 5 | Labrum width in relation to clypeus (Figs. 388-407). | narrower | subequal to slightly wider | significantly wider |
| 6 | Labrum lateral margins (Figs. 388-407). | parallel | rounded | angular |
| 7 | Labrum cross section (Fig. 408). | oval | triangular |  |
| 8 | Labrum 'hood' (Figs. 390, 406). | absent | present |  |
| 9 | Labrum secondary hair fringe (Figs. 388-407). | absent | present |  |
| 10 | Labrum denticles on anteromedian emargination (Figs. 388-407). | absent | present | present with one prominent denticle |
| 11 | Frontal horns (Figs. 409, 410). | absent | present |  |
| 12 | Clypeus lateral margins (Figs. 388-407). | converging towards anterior | parailel | diverging towards anterior |
| 13 | Mandible outer margins (Figs. 411-430). | relatively straight | smoothly curved | angular |
| 14 | Mandible with enlarged process (Fig. 418). | absent | present |  |
| 15 | Mandible prosihecal tuft (Figs. 431-450). | wide, flap-like; setae on acical and lateral margins | spine-like; hairs on lateral margins only |  |
| 16 | Right mandible outer incisor inner margin (Figs. 451-470). | smooth or spinose | denticulate |  |
| 17 | Maxillae galea-lacinae (Figs. 471-490). | narrow at apex; widthlength ratio < 1 | relatively broad at apex; width-length ratio $\approx 1$ (as wide as long) | very broad at ape ${ }^{\text {. }}$ width-length ratio > 1 |

Table 33 cont'd.

| No. | Character | State (0)-plesiomorphy | State (1) - apomorphy | State (2) - apomorphy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | Average number of pectinate setae on maxillae (Figs. 471-490). | $\leq 15$ | $>17$ |  |
| 19 | Maxillary palp morphology (Figs. 471-490). | extending just beyond galea-lacinae | greatly elongate |  |
| 20 | Labium glossae (Figs. 491-510). | turned over ventrally | straight, upright |  |
| 21 | Spines on inner margins of labial terminal palp (Fig. 511-530). | prominent | minor or absent |  |
| 22 | Labium submentum lateral setae. | present | absent |  |
| 23 | Hypopharynx lateral processes (Figs. 531-550). | absent | present |  |
| 24 | Tarsal claws (Figs. 551-570). | smooth or with minor processes | denticulate |  |
| Imago characters |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Size of male imago dorsal eye lobes. ES=B/D (sensu [Bae, 1991 \#906], see chapter 3. | eye size large ( $\leq 0.2$ or contiguous) | eye size medium ( $\geq 0.3$ ) |  |
| 26 | Male dorsal eye facets. | hexagonal | square |  |
| 27 | Position of forewing veins $1 \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{I}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ (Figs. 571 590). | strongly diverging as wing margin approached | parallel to very slightly diverging as wing margin approached |  |
| 28 | Average number of crossveins in the costal space of forewings (Figs. 571-590). | $\approx 20$ or more | $\approx 10-15$ |  |
| 29 | costal crossveins in proximal half of male forewings (Figs. 571-590). | present | reduced or absent |  |
| 30 | Hindwing length relative to forewing (Figs. 571590). | hindwing large ( $\approx 1 / 3$ th the length of forewing) | hindwing smaller ( $\approx 1 / 4$ to $1 / 5$ th length of forewing) | hindwing very small ( $\approx$ 1/10th length of forewing) |
| 31 | Length of hindwing subcostal vein (Figs. 591-610). | $\geq 0.9 \times$ length of hindwing | $\leq 0.85 \times$ length of hindwing |  |
| 32 | Hindwing midlength costal projection (Figs. 591610). | absent | present |  |
| 33 | Sternum nine of female (Figs. 611-630). | cleft | entire |  |

Outgroup material was borrowed from various sources including the ANIC, FAMU and various private collections. However, insufficient material was an issue and literature was relied upon for some of the taxa. Sources of information for the various taxa included are as follows. Leptophlebinnae - Paraleptophlebia, Leptophlebia (Burks 1953; Peters and Edmunds 1970), Habroleptoides, Habrophlebia, Calliarcys, Habrophlebioides, Dipterphlebioides (Peters and Edmunds 1970), Gillesia (Gillies 1951; Peters and Edmunds 1970). Ephemeroidea - Ephemeridae: Aethephemera (McCafferty 1971b; McCafferty 1973), Afromera (Demoulin 1955a; McCafferty and Gillies 1979; Elouard 1986a); Eatonica (McCafferty 1971a; Elouard 1986b; Elouard et al. 1998), Ephemera (McCafferty 1973; NicCafferty 1975; Hubbard 1982; Hubbard 1983; Balasubramanian et al. 1991; Kang and Yang 1994; Bae 1995; Ishiwata 1996), Hexagenia (Spieth 1941; McCafferty 1975; Keltner and McCafferty 1986), Ichthybotus (Eatur: .899), Litobrancha (Lestage 1939; McCafferty 1975). Euthyplociidae: Afroplocia (Demoulin 1952a), Campylocia (Demoulin 1952a; Pereira and Da Silva 1990), Euthyplocia (Lestage 1918; Lestage 1939; Demoulin 1952a), Exeuthyplocia (Lestage 1918; Lestage 1939; Gillies 1980), Proboscidoplocia (Demoulin 1966), Polyplocia (Demoulin 1952a), Mesoplocia (Demoulin 1952a). Palingeniidae: Cheirogenesia (McCafferty and Edmunds 1976; Sartori and Elouard 1999), Chankagenesia (Demoulin 1952b). Palingenia (Sartori 1992), Pentagenia (Lestage 1918; McCafferty 1972; McCafferty 1975; Keltner and McCafferty 1986). Polymitarcyidae: Campsurus (Eaton 1868-69; McCafferty 1975), Ephoron (Lestage 1918; Spieth 1933; Demoulin 1952a; McCafferty 1975; Ishiwata 1996), Tortopus (Needham and Murphy 1924; McCafferty 1975; McCafferty and Bloodgood 1989; LugeOrtiz and McCafferty 1996), Povilla (Lestage 1918; Lestage 1939; Hubbard 1984). Potamanthidae (Bae 1991): Anthopotamus (McCafferty and Bee 1990), Neopotamanthus (Wu and You 1986), Potamanthodes (You 1984; You and Su 1987), Potamanthus (Uéno 1928; McCafferty 1975; Elpers and Tomka 1994; Kang and Yang 1994; Vuori 1999); Rhoenanthus (Soldán and Putz 2000), Stygifloris (Bae et al. 1990).

Taxa ( $n=21$ ) and characters ( $n=34$ ) were compiled into a data matrix (Table 34). NONA version 2.0 (Goloboff 1993) was used to construct a cladogram using the tree bisectionreconnection command (mult*). A strict consensus tree (nelsen command) was constructed from the most parsimonious trees. Winclada version 0.9.99i (beta) (Nixon 1999) was used to redraw the tree with the characters and character states mapped.

For the alternative analysis using multiple outgroups and making no a priori assumptions of character polarity, three outgroup taxa plus the same ingroup taxa ( $n=23$ ) and the same characters $(n=34)$ were compiled into a data matrix (Alternative Table 34 [see adjacent to page 298]). The methodology described above was used to construct a new strict consensus tree.

Alternative Table 34. Data matrix of phylogenetic character states for the Australian genera of Leptophlebiidae."

111111111122222222223333

| 01234 | 56789 | 01234 | 56789 | 01234 | 56789 | 0123 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Paraleptophlebia | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 0000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Letophlebia | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 0000 |
| Ephemera | 0000 | 00000 | 00001 | -0001 | 00000 | $10-00$ | 0000 |
| Atalonticria | 10000 | 00001 | 10000 | 01001 | 00010 | 11000 | 1100 |
| Ataiophlebia | 10001 | 00001 | 10000 | 01000 | 00010 | 11000 | 0100 |
| Austrophlebioides | 10011 | 22011 | 10220 | 10210 | 11111 | 01100 | 0001 |
| Bibulmena | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 01010 | 01000 | 1000 |
| Garinjuga | 10010 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | 01000 | 1000 |
| Gemmayaluka | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | 01100 | 1100 |
| Jappa | 11100 | 00001 | 21000 | 01000 | 00010 | 01000 | 1100 |
| Kalbaybaria | 10000 | 00000 | 00001 | $011-0$ | 00010 | 01100 | 1000 |
| Kaninga | 10010 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 01010 | 01100 | 1000 |
| Kirrara | 10001 | 22100 | 00220 | -0210 | 11111 | 01000 | 1001 |
| Loamaggalangta | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 01010 | 01100 | 1000 |
| Montikola | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | 01100 | 1100 |
| Neboissophlebia | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10010 | 01010 | 01111 | 1100 |
| Nousia(Nousia) | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10100 | 11011 | 01111 | 1100 |
| Nousia (Australonousia) | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10100 | 11011 | 01111 | 1100 |
| Nyungara | 10000 | 11000 | 10110 | 10100 | 11011 | 01110 | 2110 |
| Thraulophlebia | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | 01111 | 1100 |
| Thraulus | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | $0111-$ | 1111 |
| Tillyandophlebia | 10011 | 22011 | 10220 | 10210 | 11111 | 01100 | 1001 |
| Ulmerophlebia | 11100 | 00001 | 20000 | 01000 | 00010 | 01000 | 1100 |

Table 34. Data matrix of phyiogenetic character states for the Australian genera of Leptophlebiidae.

111111111122222222223333 0123456789012345678901234567890123

| Outgroup | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 00000 | 0000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Atalomicria | 10000 | 00001 | 10000 | 01001 | 00010 | 11000 | 1100 |  |
| Atalophlebia | 10001 | 00001 | 10000 | 01000 | 00010 | 11000 | 0100 |  |
| Austrophlebioides | 10011 | 22011 | 10220 | 10210 | 11111 | 01100 | 0001 |  |
| Biblumena | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 01010 | 01000 | 1000 |  |
| Garinjuga | 10010 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | 01000 | 1000 |  |
| Gemmayaluka | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | 01100 | 1100 |  |
| Jappa | 11100 | 00001 | 21000 | 01000 | 00010 | 01000 | 1100 |  |
| Kalbaybaria | 10000 | 00000 | 00001 | 011 | 0 | 00010 | 01100 | 1000 |
| Kaninga | 10010 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 01010 | 01100 | 1000 |  |
| Kirrara | 10001 | 22100 | 00220 | -0210 | 11111 | 01000 | 1001 |  |
| Loamaggalangta | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 01010 | 01100 | 1000 |  |
| Montikola | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | 01100 | 1100 |  |
| Neboissophlebia | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10010 | 01010 | 01111 | 1100 |  |
| Nousia(Nousia) | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10100 | 11011 | 01111 | 1100 |  |
| Nousia (Australonousia) | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10100 | 11011 | 01111 | 1100 |  |
| Nyungara | 10000 | 11000 | 10110 | 10100 | 11011 | 01110 | 2110 |  |
| Thraulophlebia | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | 01111 | 1100 |  |
| Thraulus | 10000 | 11001 | 10110 | 10110 | 11011 | $0111-$ | 1111 |  |
| Tillyardophlebia | 10011 | 22011 | 10220 | 10210 | 11111 | 01100 | 1001 |  |
| Ulmerophlebia | 11100 | 00001 | 20000 | 01000 | 00010 | 01000 | 1100 |  |

## ©.6.1 Specimens examined

## Leptophlebiidae

## Atalophlebiinae

## Atalomicria Harker

## Atalomicria banjdjalama Campbell and Peters

- holotype, male imago; paratypes, female imago, male and female nymphs; slide material; Booloumba Creek, Connondale Ranges, QLD, 29 xi 89, coll. I.C. Campbell (MV)


## Atalomicria bifasciaia Campbell and Peters

- holotype, male imago; paratypes, female imago, subimagos, nymphs; slide material; Booloumba Creek, Connondale Ranges, QLD, 5 xil 89, coll. I.C. Campbell (MV)

Atalomicria dalgara Campbell and Peters

- holotype, male imago; paratypes, nymphs; slide material; Booloumba Creek, Connondale Ranges, QLD, 29 xi 89, coll. I.C. Campbell (MV)

Atalomicria chessmani Campbell and Peters

- holotype, male imago; paratypes, male and female imagos, nymphs; slide material; Ferntree Creek, 10 km S of Goongerah, VIC, 18 ; 1990, coll. I.C.Campbell (MV)

Atalomicria sexfasciata (Ulmer)

- holotype, male imago; paratype, female imago; Cedar Creek, QLD, Dr Mjöbergs Swedish Expedition to Australia 1910-1913 (SMNH).
- slide material, Yuccabine Creek, Kirrama Rd, QLD, 27 ix 87, coll. I.C. Campbell (MV).


## Atalomicria uncinata (Ulmer)

- holotype, male imago; Cedar Creek, QLD, Dr Mjöbergs Swedish Expedition to Australia 1910-1913 (SMNH).

Atalomicria sp. "AV1" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs and imagos, NSW, QLD, VIC (MV)
- nymphs, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)
- slide material, QLD (Campbell collection)


## Atalophlebia Eaton

Atalophlebia albiterminata Tillyard

- nymphs and imagos, reared, VIC (Finlay collection)

Atalophlebia australis (Walker)

- nymphs and imagos, reared, VIC, NSW, NT, TAS (NMV, Finlay collection, Campbell collection)
- slide material, VIC (MV)

Atalophlebia sp. "AV2" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs and imagos, reared, VIC (Finiay collection)


## Atalophlebia sp. "AV4" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs and imagos, reared, VIC (Finlay collection)

Atalophlebia sp. "AV5" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs and imagos, reared, VIC, TAS (Finlay collection)

Atalophlebia sp. "AV7" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs and imagos, reared, VIC (Finlay collection)

Atalophlebia sp. "AV9" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs and imagos, reared, VIC (Finlay collection)

Atalophlebia sp. "AV13" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs and imagos, reared, VIC (Finlay collection)

Atalophlebia sp. "AV15" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, VIC (Finlay collection)

Atalophlebia sp. "AV21" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, VIC (Finlay collection)

Atalophlebia spp.

- nymphs and imagos, reared, VIC, NSW, TAS (Finlay collection)


## Austrophlebioides Campbell and Suter

## Austrophlebioides booloumbi Parnrong and Campbell

- holotype, male imago \& paratypes, male and female nymphs; Booloumba Creek, Connondale Ranges, QLD, 5 xii 89, coll. I.C. Campbell (MV).

Austrophlebioides marchanti Parnrong and Campbell

- holotype, male imago \& paratypes, female imago, female and male nymphs; Loch River, Noojee, i 1995, coll. S. Parnrong (MV)
- nymphs, imagos, slide material, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)

Austrophlebioides pusillus (Harker)

- nymphs, imagos, NSW, VIC (MV)
- nymphs, imagos, slide material, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)
- slide material (Campbell collection)

Austrophlebioides sp. "AV2" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, imagos, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)

Austrophlebioides spp.

- nymphs, imagos, VIC (Finlay collection)


## Bilflumena Dean

Bithídmena kadina Dean

- holotype, male imago, reared, North Dandalup River, North Dandalup, WA, 01 vi 1984, coll. S. Bunn (MV)
- paratypes, male and female imago, slide material, Foster Brook, North Dandalup, 1983, coll. S. Bunn (MV)
- paratype, nymph, slide material, Wungong Brook, Jarrahdale, 2 xii 1981, coll. S. Bunn (MV)
- nymphs, imagos, WA (MV)


## Ganinjuga Campbe! and Suter

Garinjuga maryannae Campbell and Suter

- holotype, male imago \& paratypes, subimagos, female and male nymphs; Thredbo River, Summit Rd at entrance to Kosciusko National Park, $19 \times 1995$, coll. I.C. Campbell (ANIC)
- nymphs, imagos, slide material, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)
- nymphs, imagos, slide material, NSW (Campbell collection)

Garinjuga sp. "AV1" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, imagos, NSW, TAS, VIC (MV)
- nymphs, imagos, slide material, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)

Garinjuga spp.

- nymphs, imagos, slide material, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)


## Gemmayaluka gen.nov.

## see Chapter 4

## Jappa Harker

## Jappa edmundsi Skedros and Polhemus

- holotype and paratypes, nymphs, Hutchinson Creek, Cape Tribulation Rd, N of Daintree Landing, 17 viii 1983, coll. D.A. \& T.J. Polhemus (ANIC)
- slide material, QLD (Campbell collection)


## Jappa kutera Harker

- nymphs, imagos, slide material, NSW, NT, QLD,
- nymphs, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)
- slide material, VIC (Suter collection)

Jappa serrata Skedros and Polhemus

- holotype, nymph, Hutchinson Creek, Cape Tribulation Rd, N of Daintree Landing, 17 viii 1983, coll. D.A. \& T.J. Polhemus (ANIC)
- nymphs, imagos, slide materiai, QLD (Campbell collection)

Jappa sp. "AV3" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, imagos, VIC (Finlay coliection)

Jappa sp. "AV4" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, imagos, VIC (Finlay collection)

Jappa spp.

- nymphs, imagos, VIC (Campbell collection)
- slide material, QLD (Campbell collection)


## Kalbaybaria Campbell

Kalbaybaria doantrangae Campbell

- holotype, male imago; paratypes, male and female imago, nymphs; slide material, Romeo Creek, near Helenvale, QLD, 5 v 1988, coll. I.C. Campbell (ANIC)
- slide material, Palmer River, QLD, 20 vi 1971, coll. E.F. Riek (ANIC)
- slide material, Millstream Falls, W of Ravenshoe, QLD, 25 vi 1971, coll. E.F. Riek (ANIC)


## Kaninga Dean

Kaninga gwabbalitcha Dean

- nymphs, slide material, WA (MV)

Genus Q sp. "AV1" (Dean 1999)

- holotype, male imago; paratypes, male imagos, Carey Brook, Staircase Road, WA, 15 vii 1989 coll. I. Growns (MV)
- nymphs, subimagos, WA (MV)


## Kirrara Harker

Kirrara procera Harker

- nymphs, imagos, slide material, VIC, NSW (MV)
- nymphs, imagos, slide material, VIC (Firilay collection)

Kirrara sp. "AV1" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, slide material, QLD (Campbell collection)


## Loamaggalangta Dean, Forteath \& Osborn

Loamaggalangta pedderensis Dean, Forteath \& Osborn

- holotype, male imago; paratypes, male imagos, subimagos; Lake Pedder, Trappes Bay, TAS, if 1997, coll. N. Forteath (ANIC)
- nymphs, slide material, TAS (MV)

Genus K sp. "AV1" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, NSW, VIC (MY)
- nymphs, TAS (Finlay collection)

Genus K sp. "AV2" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, QLD (MV)

Montikola gen. nov.
see Chapter 4

## Neboissophlebia Dean

## Neboissophlebia hamulata Dean

- holotype, male imago; paratypes, male imago, female imagos, subimagos; slide material, Tarago River, 7 km W Neerim, VIC, 1 iii 1972, coll. A. Neboiss (MV)
- nymphs, imagos, NSW, VIC (MV)
- nymphs, imagos, NSW, VIC (Finlay coilection)


## Neboissophlebia occidentalis Dean

- paratypes, male imagos, female imagos, slide material, Harvey River, 15 km E Harvey, WA, 21 xi 1978, coll. A. Neboiss (MV)


## Nousia Navás

## subgenus Nousia (Nousia) Navás

## Nousia delicata Navás

- male imagos, Santiago Province, CHILE, xi 1972, coll. M.L. Pescador \& G. Barria
- nymphs, Rio Caren, Hacienda Illapel, Coquimbo Province, CHILE, 17 xi 1972, coll. M.L. Pescador (FAMU)
- nymphs, Rio Caren, Hacienda lllape!, Coquimbo Province, CHiLE, 18 xi 1972, coll. M.L. Pescador \& G. Barria (FAMU)

Nousia grandis (Demoulin)

- nymphs; female imago reared, male subimago reared; El Coigual, Curico Province, CHILE, i 1964, coll. L. Peña (FAMU)

Nousia minor (Demoulin)

- male imago, Valdivia, CHILE, $19 \times 1957$, coll. J. Illies.
- nymphs, Río Piquiquen, El Manzano, 35 km W Angol, Maileco Province, CHILE, xii 1972, coll. M.L. Pescador (FAMU)


## Nousia Navás

## subgenus Nousia (Australonousia) Campbell and Suter

## See Chapter 3

## Nyungara Dean

Nyungara bunni Dean

- holotype, male imago reared; paratype, nymph; slide material, Foster Brook, North Dandalup, WA, 22 ix 1983, coll. S. Bunn (MV)
- paratypes, male imago, female imago, nymphs, slide material, Waterfall Gully, Jarrahdale, WA, 1981-1982, coll. S. Bunn (MV)
- imagos, nymphs, slide material, WA (MV)


## Thraulophlebia Demoulin

## See Chapter 3

## Thraulus Eaton

Thraulus sp. "A" sp. nov.(informal description, Grant 1985)

- "holotype", maie imago, Drysdale River, WA, viii 1975, coll. I.F. Common and M.D. Upton (ANIC)
- "paratypes", male imagos, Nourlangie Creek, 6km W Cahill, NT, 18 xi 1972, coll. J.C. Cardale (ANIC)
- "paratypes", male subimagos, Cooper Creek, 19km SE of Mt Borrdaile, NT, vi 1973, coll. J.C. Cardale (ANIC)

Thraulus sp. "AV1" (Dean 1999)

- imagos, nymphs, NT (MV)

Thraulus sp. "AV2" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, QLD (MV)

Thraulus sp. "AV3" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, QLD (MV)

Thraulus spp.

- nymphs, QLD (DPIQ)


## Tillyardophlebia Dean

## Tillyardophlebia alpina Dean

- imagos, nymphs, NSW (MV)

Tillyardophlebia rufosa Dean

- holotype, male imago; paratypes, male and female imagos, nymphs; slide material, Badger Creek downstream weir, VIC, 23 ii 1984, coll. J. Dean (MV)
- imagos, nymphs, NSW, VIC (MV)
- imagos, nymphs, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)

Tillyardophlebia spp.

- imagos, nymphs, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)


## Uimerophiebia Demoulin

IImerophlebia pippina Suter

- nymphs, subimago, VIC (Finlay collection)

Ulmerophlebia sp. "AV2" (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, imagos, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)
- nymphs, imagos, NSW, VIC (Finlay collection)

Ulmerophlebia sp. "AV6" -mjobbergi? (Dean 1999)

- nymphs, imagos, QLD. (MV)

Ulmerophlebia spp.

- nymphs, imagos, VIC (Finlay collection)


## Leptophleblinae

Gillesia hindustanica (Gillies)

- imagos, Assam, INDIA (FAMU)

Leptophlebia cupida (Say)

- nymphs, Indiana, USA (Bae collection)

Leptophlebia sp.

- nymphs, Missouri, USA (Bae collection)

Paraleptophlebia bicornuta (McDonnough)

- nymphs, Idaho, USA (Bae collection)

Paraleptophlebia chocc'ata Imanishi

- nymphs, imago, reáred, Kyonggi-do, KOREA (Bae collection)

Paralepíophlebia packi (Needham)

- nymph, imago, reared, Utah, USA (Bae collection)

Paraleptophlebia sp.

- nymphs, Wyoming, USA (ANIC)


## Ephemeroidea

Ephemera danica Müller

- nymphs, near Silkeborg, DENMARK (Campbell collection)

Ephemera simulans Walker

- nymphs, Wyoming, USA (ANIC)


## Euthyplocia spp.

- nymphs, San Marin Province, PERU (ANIC)
lchthybotus hudsoni McLachian
- nymphs, Taupo, NEW ZEALAND (ANIC)

Povilla adusta Navás

- nymphs, Lake Kivu, BELGIAN CONGO (ANIC)

Rhoenanthopsis spp.

- nymphs, Chiangmai Province, THAILAND (ANIC)


### 6.7 Results and Discussion

The relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiidae are shown in the itrict consensus tree (Fig. 631) constructed from the three most parsimonious trees initially produced. This resulted in a relatively short tree length (total number of character changes required to support relationship of the taxa) of 62 . The relative amount of homoplasy was shown to be reasonably low as shown by the high consistency index ( $\mathrm{ci}=0.66$ ). Finally a high retention index (ri=0.82), or the proportion of apparent synapomorphy which can expected as true synapomorphy in the clacogram, indicates true evolutionary trends within the family.

A number of synapomorphies were found to define the ingroup taxa (clade A): prognathous nymphal mouthparts (character 0), presence of hypopharynx lateral processes (character 23, Figs. 531-550) and the presence of square dorsal eye facets in the male imago (character 26). As each of these characters is present amongst the Atalophlebiinae world-wide an analysis including other Gondwana taxa would be required to investigate the potential monophyly of Australian groups. Square facets have been noted before as a characteristic of all but one of the Atalophlebiinae taxa (Peters and Peters 1995), a condition thought to have evolved to catch a greater proportion of the ultraviolet light available (Horridge et al. 1982). All Leptophlebiinae and Ephemeroidea were found to have hexagonal dorsal eye facets (Peters and Peters 1995). The presence of lateral processes on the hypopharynx applies to Atalophlebiinae world-wide, although may be secondarily lost in some species, (Peters and Edmunds 1970) but they are lacking in all Leptophlebiinae and Ephemeroidea. Their evolutionary function is unknown but one may presume it is related to feeding behaviour. The head position in the nymph in Leptophlebiidae can be either hypognathous, semi-hypognathous or prognathous (Peters and Edmunds 1964). I have found the mouthpart position to be more or less stable, even if the head position can be somewhat ambiguous. For example, the position of the head of Jappa and Ulmerophlebia can sometimes be orientated partly downwards (possibly representing the semi-hypognathous position of Peters and Edmunds (1964) but the mouthparts are most
commonly directed forward. The habit of the Atalophlebiinae as sprawlers, swimmers and clingers (Edmunds and Waltz 1996) translates into a streamlined body capable of maintaining a position of least resistance on the stream bed. The Leptophlebiinae tend to be more laterally rather than dorso-ventrally flattened, often with prognathous heads (Peters and Edmunds 1970). The Ephemeroidea are very robust and laterally flattened to accommodate their burrowing behaviour and their mouthparts are generally hypognathous for filtering and gathering detritus.

The other synapomorphies defining clade $A$ are all homoplasic. For example, the right mandible outer incisor (character 16, Figs. 451-470) is apomorphic ie: denticulate and triangularly shaped for all taxa below clade $F$ where it reverts to the primitive condition. The width length ratio of the maxilla galea-lacinae (character 17, Figs. 471-490) approximates 1 for most of the ingroup taxa, except for those at clade $C$ where the taxa possess the primitive condition of a narrow apex and those of clade H where the taxa possess the highly derived apomorphy of a very broad apex. All but three of the ingroup taxa possess the derived condition of a small hindwing in comparison to the forewing (character 30, Figs. 571-590). Atalophlebia and Austrophlebioides possess the plesiomorphic larger hindwings while Nyungara has the highly derived condition of a very small hindwing in relation to the forewing. Finally, members of clade A also possess the derived condition of the parallel to slightly diverging veins $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ of the male forewing (character 27, Fig. Figs. 571-590). This character state appears to have reverted back to the primitive condition in taxa comprising clade C as well as Bibfumena, Garinjuga and Kirrara. The primitive condition tends to be associated with more triangular shaped wings of the outgroup, where the junction of the hind and outer margin form an approximate 90 degree angle. This tends to expand the length of the hind margin and therefore separates $\mathrm{CCu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICU}_{2}$ as the wing margin is approached. The relatively larger size of Jappa, Ulmerophlebia, Atalomicria, Atalophlebia, Kirrara and Garinjuga may lead to the possession of this character state from the possession of larger more robust forewings. The explanation for Bilflemena is less clear. It does not clearly fit into the lineages outlined by Pescador and Peters (1980a) (Fig. 383, Table 32) and has variously been attributed to the Hapsiphlebia, Atalonella and Penaphlebia groups (Dean 1987).

Clade $B$ is defined by two synapomorphies. The presence of a secondary hair fringe on the labrum (character 9, Figs. 388-407) is shared by all ingroup taxa except Kalbaybaria, Kirrara and Nyungara. Secondary hair fringes are distinctive to Atalophlebiinae and it appears that this feature has been secondarily lost in the three genera. Similarly the ingroup all share the apomorphy of the presence of denticles on the labrum (character 10, Figs. 388-407) except Kalbaybaria and Kirrara and this may also represent a secondary convergent loss. These hypotheses, however, will only be supported by the examination
of Gondwanan genera from other countries. The monotypic genus Kalbaybaria is separated from the rest of the ingroup by the autapomorphy of the mandible extending anteriorly into an enlarged flattened process (character 14, Fig. 418); a feature not homologous with the horns of Jappa which are derived from the head capsule. Certain Leptophlebiinae (eg Paraleptophlebia) also possess tusks derived from the outer incisor of the mandible (Needham et al. 1935) but more work is required to establish the possible homology of Kalbaybaria and Ephemeroidea mandibular tusks (Bae and McCafferty 1995). There are some indications that the tusk robustness and setation of the Ephemeroidea is more related to burrowing and fitter feeding (Bae and McCafferty 1995) as opposed to the spatulate Kalbaybaria tusks which, it is suggested, are used io navigate through leaf packs (Campbell 1993).

Clade C is not supported by any synapomorphies. As discussed, character 17 and character 27 are reversions to the primitive condixion and are shared by various other taxa within the ingroup. The length of the hindwing subcostal vein relative to the hindwing length (character 31, Figs. 591-610) is equal to or less than 0.85 of the total wing length in the derived condition and is possessed by all members of clade C and clade J .

The monophyly of the Hapsiphlebia lineage (Pescador and Peters 1980a) which is considered to include five genera in Australia (Kalbaybaria, Jappa, Ulmerophlebia, Atalomicria, Atalophlebia) (Pescador and Peters 1980a; Campbell 1993) has not been supported in this cladogram. Pescador and Peters (1980a) found only two synapomorphies to support this lineage (see Table 32): abdominal terga with laterai margins possessing prominent setae (character 2) and the right outer incisor with broad subapical denticles (character 16). All five 'Hapsiphlebia' genera possess the apomorphic condition of an outer incisor of the right mandible being triangularly shaped and denticulate which is considered to form a phenocline from parallei sided and smooth to becoming more triangularly-shaped and denticulate (Towns and Peters 1979; Pescador and Peters 1980a). However, only Jappa and Ulmerophlebia possess thick prominent spines on the lateral margins. Campbell (1993) assumed Kalbaybaria to belong to the Hapsiphlebia lineage as it shares several other character states with the other members of the lineage, such as labrum narrower than clypeus (character 5, Fig. 395), labrum lateral margins parallel (character 6, Fig. 395) and mandibles with straight outer margin (character 13, Fig. 418). However, all these characters represent symplesiomorphies which do not provide phylogenetic information. The distinct morphology and habitat of this tropical species, found only in Far North Queensland, may indicate a highly evolved condition although the adaptive or historical origins of its morphology remain obscure.

Clade D shows Jappa and Ulmerophlebia are closely related by the possession of three synapomorphies: body and gills fringed with fine setae (character 1. Figs. 384-397); abdominal terga with prominent setae on lateral margins (character 2 ) and one prominent median denticle on the labrum (character 10, Figs. 394, 408). Jappa is clearly separated by the autapomorphy of the presence of frontal horns (character 11, Figs. 384, 409, 410). Suggestions have been made that the two taxa are congeneric (Riek 1970; Suter 1986) due to the significant similarities other than the frontal horm. This proposal is currently being disputed (Bae, Finlay and Campbell, unpublished data).

A synapomorphy at clade E reveals a close relationship between the genera Atalomicria and Atalophlebia. These are the only genera to possess the apomorphic character of medium sized male imago upper eye lobes although this character can be subject to convergence (Bae 1991). However, the two genera are separated by Atalomicria possessing the autapomorphy of greatly elongate maxillary palps (character 19, Fig. 471). Atalophlebia possesses the convergent state of posterolateral spines on abdominal segments 2-9 (character 4), a homoplasic condition shared with clade $H$, and also the plesiomorphic larger hindwings.

There are a large number of synapomorphies at Clade F which separate the 'Hapsiphlebia' taxa from the rest of the ingroup. There are four multi-state characters for which all members possess the derived condition except for the Kirrara, Austrophlebioides, Tillyardophlebia trichotomy (clade H) which possess a more highly derived state. Thus, for clade $F$ the labrum is subequal to slightly wider than the clypeus (character 5), the labrum has rounded lateral margins (character 6) the clypeus possesses parallel sided lateral margins (character 12), and the outer margin of the mandible is smoothly curved (character 13) (see Figs. 391-393, 396, 398-405, 414-416, 419, 421-428), while for clade $H$ taxa the labrum is wider than the clypeus (character 5) and has angular lateral margins (character 6), the clypeus has diverging lateral margins (character 12) and the outer margin of the mandible is angular (character 13) (see Figs. 390, 397, 406, 413, 420, 430). These patterns have also been observed in the South American (Pescador and Peters 1980a) and New Zealand fauna (Towns and Peters 1979) with the primitive condition belonging to the Hapsiphlebia, the derived belonging to Penaphlebia and Atalonella and the highly derived belonging to the Meridialaris.

There are also several other synapomorphies possessed by all the members of clade $F$. For example, the mandible with spine-like prosthecal tuft (character 15, Figs 433-436, 439, 441-449). This character is regarded as a phenocline (Towns and Peters 1979) ranging from the primitive flap-like prosthecal tuft with hairs on apical and lateral margins gradually becoming more spine-like with hairs on the lateral margins only, until the highly
derived state is reached where the prosthecal tuft is reduced to a few hairs (eg. Deleatidium of New Zealand) although no such maximum reduction occurs within the Australian genera. The average number of pectinate setae on the maxillae (character 18, Figs 473-476, 479-484, 487-489) increases in the apomorphic condition. This character state is related to the width-length ratio of the galea-lacinae (character 17) where a large ratio ( $>1$ ) is associated with more pectinate setae. However, the number of setae varies greatly between genera and is somewhat subjective. Therefore the number of setae was chosen as a mean rather than raw numbers but this was only able to delineate two groups rather than the three as with character 17. The condition of fewer pectinate setae reverts to the plesiomorphic condition for members of clade C, Nyungara and clade N (the South American subgenus Nousia (Nousia) and the Australiari subgenera Nousia (Australonousia)). Finally, minor or absent spines on the terminal palp of the labium (character 21) are considered apomorphic for the all members of clade $F$ (Figs. 513-516, 519-529) The stout equilateral triangular shaped third labial palp considered primitive by Towns and Peters (1979) is apparently associated with the stoui spines on the inner margin. In Australia, these plesiomorphic states are retained only by Atalomicria, Atalophlebia and Jappa. Although Ulmerophlebia and Kalhaybaria possess spines along the inner margin of the third palp the palp is more elongated in shape. Kalbaybaria, in particular, has a rather elongate hirsute third labial palp which appears to be a highly derived condition.

Clade $G$ is well supported by two synapomorphies: labium with straight upright glossae (character 20, Figs 493, 495, 496,500, 502, 504-509) and denticulate nymphal tarsal claws (character 24, Figs $553,555,556,560,562,564-569$ ). The clade contains taxa belonging to the Penaphlebia, Atalonella and Meridialaris lineages of Pescador and Peters (1980a).

The position of Bib风umena, Kaninga and Loamaggalangta is unresolved within the cladogram. All possess the pleisiomorphic conditions of the labium glossae turned over ventrally (character 20. Figs. $494,499,501$ ) and tarsal claws which are smooth or have minor processes (character 24, Figs. 554, 559, 561). Loamaggalangta is considered close to Bibllmena (Dean et al. 1999). In turn, Bith/mena is considered to be intermediate between the Hapsiphlebia and Atalonella lineages sharing many characters of both. The possibility has been put forward that Bibllmena may belong to the Penaphlebia lineage (Dean 1987) although it does not share the derived character states of the Penaphlebia lineage such as the absence of pectinate setae on the inner margin of palp 2 and prominent spines or pectinate, long setae on the posterior margins of the abdominal terga (Pescador and Peters 1980a). Kaninga has only recently been established as a genus (Dean 2000) and its single species is confined to south-west

Western Australia. It has been considered similar to BibThmena (Dean 2000) and Loamaggalangta (Dean et al. 1999) but the symplesiomorphic characters, such as the smooth tarsal claws and the turned over labial glossae, do not demonstrate a close relationship. Biblumena and Kaninga are found only in south west Western Australia, an indication that the origins of these species may vary from their eastern Australian counterparts. Loamaggalangta has been found solely in Tasmania where it occurs only at water depths of greater than five metres clinging to submerged objects (Dean et al. 1999) which may account for some of its more unusual features such as extremely elongated leg lengths of the nymph including a long curved smooth tarsal claw which might heip it grip tightly to the substrate.

Garinjuga is the only Australian taxon considered a member of the Penaphlebia lineage (Campbell and Suter 1988). It possesses all the 'Penaphlebia' characteristics of the clypeus width subequal to labrum (character 5, Fig. 392), rounded labrum lateral margins (character 6, Fig. 392), smoothly curved outer margin of mandit :es (character 13, Fig. 415) the relatively smooth right mandible inner margin of the outer incisor (character 16, Fig. 455) and the strongly recurved forewing vein $\mathrm{MP}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (Fig. 575). However, all of these character states, apart from the latter, are also shared by the Atalonella, Dactyophlebia and Meridialaris lineages (Pescsdor and Peters 1980a, see Table 31). The forewing MP2 character state is ambiguous as 'strongly recurved' (terminology used by Pescador and Peters 1980a) is somewhat subjective. I assessed this character amongst the Austraiian taxa using the proviso that 'strongly recurved' as referred to more than 90 degrees but was still not able to separate the taxa, therefore this character was not considered in the phylogenetic analysis. Of the other 'Penaphlebia' characteristics there is no evidence of pectinate setae on the inner margin of maxillary palp 2 as suggested by Campbell and Suter (1988) or the long tassel-like setae on the abdominal terga posterior margins, although it does possess prominent spines in this area (character 3). Further, Garinjuga does not possess more than 25 costal crossveins in the forewing. Therefore, in the present phylogeny Garinjuga is distinguished by the prominent spines on the posterior margin of the abdominal terga (character 3) and the strongly diverging $\mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{ICu}_{2}$ (character 27, Fig. 575); botn convergent apomorphic states. Thus the placement of Garinjuga within the lineages defined by Pescador and Peters (1980a) is unclear and will depend upon a phylogenetic analysis including all the Gondwanan fauna.

The monophyly of the three genera constituting Clade $H$ is extremely well supported with many apomorphies. The genera all share the following apomorphies with the Meridialaris lineage (Pescador and Peters 1980a) where they are considered to belong: labrum misch wider than clypeus (character 5), lateral margins of labrum angular (character 6), lateral margins of clypeus slightly diverging towards anterior (character 12) (see Figs. 390, 397,
406), mandible with outer margin angular (character 13, Figs. 413, 420, 429), maxillae galea-lacinae broad at apex (character 17, Figs. 473, 480, 489), labium submentum with no lateral setae (character 22) and ninth sternum of female entire, not cleft (character 33, Figs. 613, 620, 629). This final character is homoplasic, being convergent with the genus Thraulus (Fig. 628). One more character was found for this clade that was not considered by Pescador and Peters (1980a) which is the presence of posterolateral spines on abdominal segments 2-9 (character 4), although this character state is convergent within the genus Atalophlebia as well.

Within clade H Austrophlebioides and Tillyardophlebia are shown to be closely related and form a clade possessing two apomorphies (clade I). Pescador and Peters (1980a) refer to a narrow anteromedian emargination of the labrum with reduced denticles and a U and/or V -shaped cleft as a derived condition possessed by the Meridialaris lineage (Table 31). Austrophlebioides and Tillyardophlebia have a U-shaped labrum cleft with reduced denticles which is often referred to as a labrum 'hood' (character 8, Figs. 390, 406). This character is absent from all other members of the ingroup including the other purported Meridialaris taxon in Australia (Kirrara). The unnamed Genus 'Z' from southeastern Australia (Dean 1999) is not well known but has a wide labrum and distinct $V$ shaped cleft. It is considered to be related to Austrophlebioides and may well prove to be part of the Meridialaris lineage. Austrophlebioides and Tillyardophlebia are also distinguished by the apomorphic possession of prominent spination on the abdominal posterior margins (character 3); a character state shared by Kaninga and Garinjuga. Finally Austrophlebioides is distinguished from Tillyardophlebia by a relatively large hindwing (character 30, Fig. 573), also possessed by Atalophlebia. (Fig. 572).

Kirrara is distinguished from clade I by the possession of an autapomorphic labrum which is triangular in cross sectior with quite a wide anterior margin in apical view (Fig. 408). The previously named 'Genus $T$ ' (Dean and Suter 1996), which has recently been referred to Kirrara as an unnamed species (Christidis 2001), also possesses an apically expanded labrum where the frontal setae have been modified to form a suction disc. Kirrara is very similar to the highly derived Deleatidium of New Zealand (Towns and Peters 1996) and Lepegenia of New Calendonia (Peters et al. 1978) which have laterally and apically expanded labrum and gills forming a suction disc on the venter of the abdomen (not present in all Deleatidium). These are considered adaptations to extremely rapid flow which enable the species to cling tightly to the substrate. 'Genus T', Lepegenia and several species of Deleatidium, for example, have all been found in association with vertical rock faces of waterfalls. Other distinguishing characteristics of Kirrara include an absence of a secondary hair fringe in the labrum (character 9 , Fig. 397) and an absence of labrum denticles (Fig. 397) which appears to have evolved separately for Kirrara,

Kalbaybaria and Nyungara. The genus also has the strongly diverging $\mathrm{CCu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{iCu}_{\mathrm{U}_{2}}$ veins (character 27, Fig. 580), which are probably associated with large forewings as discussed earlier.

The remaining taxa (Clade $J$ ) are united only by the homoplasic character state of hindwing subcostal vein being equal to or less than 0.85 times the length of hindwing (character 31, Figs. $591,592,596,597,602-608,610$ ) (convergent with all members of Clade $C$ ). For clade $J$ this may be related to a reduced number of crossveins in the hindwing costal space ( 6 or less). For the independently evolved clade $C$ however, the number of costal crossveins is always more than six in line with the rest of the ingroup and probably reflects the larger imago size. Montikola and Gemmayaluka are unresolved within clade J but do not appear closely related to Nousia (Australonousia), the genus to which they were previously assigned (Dean 1999), lending strength to the establishment of the new genera.

All members of clade K possess the synapomorphy of a reduction in the average number of crossveins in the costal space of the male forewing (character 28, Figs. 583-588) which may be related to the relatively smaller size of the imagos where the cross support between the costal and subcostal veins is not needed. This clade appears to possess a strong affinity with the Atalonella lineage (Pescador and Peters 1980a) (with the exception of Thraulus). Nyungara, Thraulophlebia, Nousia (Nousia), Nousia (Australonousia) and Neboissophlebia share the only derived condition of the Atalonella lineage (Pescador and Peters 1980 a , Table 31), that of a labrum with a broad anteromedian emargination with prominent denticles. This character, however, proved to be somewhat ambiguous, especially with respect to the definition of 'broad', and as it could not be objectively defined, was not included in the phylogenetic analysis.

Nyungara and Thraulus (ciade L) both possess the apomorphic well developed midength costal projection of the hind wing (character 32, Figs 606, 608). Although this character is also possessed by the Leptophlebiinae in some cases (eg Habrophlebiodes, Gillesia) it is nut present in the Ephemeroidea which usually possess an apical costal projection and is therefore considered a derived condition. Thraulus was included in the analysis as it is part of the Australian fauna, although its Gondwanan status is unclear, being primarily distributed throughout the Oriental and Ethiopian regions and Europe (Grant 1985). Evidence suggests there are two centres of origin for Leptophlebiidae: one with Holarctic-Oriential distribution and the other Gondwanan (Peters and Edmunds 1970; Edmunds 1972). Thraulus is known in Australia from the Northern Territory, north Queensiand and north Western Australia, a distribution that superficially suggests Holarctic-Oriental affinities. However, only a more taxonomically inclusive analysis could address the question of its geographic origins.

Further, our knowledge of Thraulus in Australia is rudimentary. Two morphospecies were described from the Alligator River Region of Northern Territory (Suter 1992) but were subsequently considered conspecific being referred to as Thraulus sp. 'AV1' (Dean 1999). From the label data attached it is clear that specimens of Thraulus sp. 'AV1' were are the same as Thraulus sp . A. named in an assessment of the macroinvertebrate fauna of Magela Creek of the Northern Territory (Marchant 1982b). Only two other unnamed species from Queensland are recognised (Dean 1999) but are based only on nymphs. Adult material is essential to further elucidate the total contingent of species in Australia.

Because of the questionable biogeographic affinities of Thraulus and the possibility that it does not belong with the rest of the Gondwanan fauna, it could be argued that Thraulus should be removed from the phylogenetic analysis. To examine this, a second strict consensus tree was produced from the 20 remaining taxa (tree length $=63$, consistency index $=0.65$, retention index $=0.81$ ). The resultant clade at $K$ (Fig. 632) collapses all subsequent nodes and introduces a second synapomorphy (character 29) indicating a stronger relationship between the terminals. Other than this very little in the cladogram has changed indicating support for the position of Thraulus among the Australian Atalophlebiinae.

Members of clade $M$ all possess the derived condition of an absence of crossveins in the male forewing costal space (character 29, Fig. 583-585, 587). The evolutionary significance of this character state is unknown (W.L. Peters pers. comm.) but as with a reduction in the number of costal crossveins in the male forewing (character 28) it could also be related to the relatively smaller size of the male imagos. Ecological investigation will be required to determine the significance of this character state which may or may not relate to the very small size of the adults, although the state is not possessed by the females of the same species. Interestingly, despite the morphological variation between Thraulophlebia and Nousia which warrants their separate generic status (see 3.4.5, Table 18) there appear to be no phylogenetic informative characters separating the two taxa in this clade. The inclusion of the full complement of Gondwanan fauna in an analysis may elucidate some evolutionary information in the future. There has also been some question of the relationship of Neboissophlebia in this clade. It has been indicated that it belongs to the more primitive Hapsiphlebia lineage (Dean 1988) sharing characters states such as the plesiomorphic narrow maxilla galea-lacinae (character 17, Fig. 483), hypopharynx glossae turned over ventrally (character 20, Fig. 503) and smooth tarsal claws (character 24, Fig. 563). However, the cladogram indicates a closer relationship with members of the Atalonella lineage.

Finally, the relationship between the two subgenera of Nousia (clade $N$ ) is held t.sether only by the plesiomorphic character of a reduction in the average number of subapical
*The alternative analysis, assuming no a priori polarity of characters, also produced three most parsimonious trees which were then used to construct a strict consensus tree (Alternative Fig. 631 [see adjacent to page 288, Vol. 2]). Compared to the initial analysis this resulted in a longer tree length of 65 , a marginally lower consistency index (0.63) and slightly higher retention index (0.84). No changes were apparent within the ingroup. Indeed the only variation between the cladograms relate to homoplasy connected with the outgroup Ephemera. Character convergence shared by Ephemera includes: a mandible with an enlarged process along with Kalbaybaria (character 14, Fig. 418); greatly elongate maxillary paips along with Atalomicria (character 19, Fig. 471) and a medium eye size for the male imago (character 25 ) along with the other members of clade $E$ (character 25). As mentioned previously ( p .307 ) the differing morphologies of the enlarged mandibular process in Kalbaybaria and ephemerid mayflies may be related to specialised behavioural and feeding habits. The function of elongate maxillary palps is also unknown but, again, the palp morphologies of two genera are quite distinct. In Atalomicria it is segments one and two which make up most of the length whereas in Ephemera all segments are equally elongate. Reduced or absent maxiliary palps are common in the Ephemerellidae (Merritt and Cummins 1996), a family within the superfamily Caenoidea which is considered a more primitive lineage (McCafferty 1991b, McCafferty 2002). Finally, large or contiguous imago eye size is possessed by all terminals of the cladogram except for Ephemera, Atalomicria and Atalophlebia. Although smaller eye size has been noted as an apomorphy the character is also considered liable to parallelism (Bae 1991).
pectinate setae on the maxillae (character 18, Figs. 484-485). This character is also possessed by various other taxa, for example, members of clade $C$ which also have the companion character of a very narrow galea-lacinae apex but also, less explicably, Nyungara which has a width-length ratio of the galea-lacinae approximately equal to 1. No characters separate the two subgenera of Nousia which, based on morphological evidence, does not appear entirely warranted (see 3.3.4). However, a more complete revision of Nousia (Australonousia) may reveal some consistent phylogenetic evidence that may justify the subgeneric separation. see opposite*

### 6.8 Conclusions

The relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiidae, as represented in the cladogram (Fig. 631), do not fit readily into the lineages proposed by for the Southern Hemisphere fauna (Pescador and Peters 1980a, Fig. 383). There is no support for the Hapsiphlebia iineage, which in Australia is considered to comprise the genera Atalomicria, Atalophlebia, Jappa, Ulmerophlebia and Kalbaybaria (Pescador and Peters 1980a; Campbell 1993). All five genera share only one plesiomorphic condition (broad subapical denticles on the right outer incisor) which is insufficient to establish monophyly in this case. Kalbaybaria, as discussed, is highly distinct and its relationships to the rest of the Australia genera unresolved. Jappa and Ulmerophlebia, however, form a well supported monophyly.

The Penaphlebia lineage is only represented in Australia by the genus Garinjuga but this taxon does not possess any of the synapomorphies considered to define the lineage. It appears to have been placed in this lineage predominantly by plesiomorphic characters (Campbell and Suter 1988) which do not constitute an evolutionary relationship and its position amongst the Australian Leptophlebiid fauna is unclear. This also applies to the unresolved relationships of Biblumena, Kaninga and Loamaggalangta which are considered to variously belong to the Penaphlebia lineages or somewhere between the Hapsiphlebia and Atalonella lineages (Dean 1987; Dean et al. 1999; Dean 2000). It is likely that their particular characteristics have evolved in response to the varying origins (Western Australia, Tasmania) or habitats (eg: extremely deep pools) of these taxa.

The Atalonella lineage is supported only by one character (the reduction in the number of costal crossveins in the male forewing). This character, however, was not included in the original characters considered by Pescador and Peters (1980a) to define the lineage. In fact, the only apomorphy that defines the lineage according to Pescador and Peters (1980a) is the antero-median emargination of the labrum with broad sub-apical denticles, which was considered too ambigúous amongst the Australian taxa and was subsequently not included in the analysis. It is clear that the relationships of the Atalonella fauna need
more examination, especially the placement of the new genera Montikola and Gemmayaluka which, by virtue of their previous status within Nousia (Australonousia), are considered closely related to them.

The only lineage of Pescador and Peters (1980a) which is well supported in this cladogram is that of the Meridialaris, the Australian members of which include Kirrara, Austrophlebioides and Tillyardophlebia (clade H). This monophyletic clade includes a nuriber of synapomorphies, many of which are multi-state and show highly derived cJnditions. This finding is supported by the cladogram of Australian fauna Christidis (2001) which also shows a monophyletic Meridialaris lineage at the species level, including many unnamed species which she discovered in Queensland. Similarly, the phylogenetic tree of Towns and Peters (1996) shows a close relationship between Deleatidium and Atalophlebioides which are considered to be the New Zealand representatives of the Meridialaris lineage.

The Australian phylogeny by Christidis (2001) also grouped together Nyungara, Nousia (Ausiralonousia) and Thraulophlebia (as Koormonga) in a monophyletic clade, but notably this did not include Neboissophlebia whose relationship was unresolved. In line with the phylogeny presented here the relationships of Biblumena, Garinjuga and Loamaggalangta (as 'Genus K') and the 'Hapsiphlebia' genera (Jappa, Ulmerophlebia, Kalbaybaria, Atalophlebia, Atalomicria) were also unresolved (Christidis 2001).

In general terms the phylogeny of the New Zealand fauna (Towns and Peters 1996) is also unrepresentative of the lineages outlined by Pescador and Peters (1980a). Apart from the monophyletic Dactyophlebia lineage, and the close relationship of the two 'Meridialaris' genera (see above), the relationships of the rest of the fauna are unresolved. More work is needed, for example, to elucidate the placement of Neozephlebia, the only New Zealand representative of the Atalonella lineage.

At the beginning of the analysis an assumption was made that the ingroup taxa were monophyletic. In fact, the ingroup taxa are clearly paraphyletic due to the exclusion of the remaining worldwide Leptophlebiid taxa. The inordinately large number of Leptophlebiid taxa worldwide (Hubbard 1990) has so far prevented an analysis of the phylogenetic relationships of the family as a whole. Despite this much progress has been made on the relationships of other Gondwanan fauna, such as those in South America and New Zealand, as we have seen. The value of this analysis is, not only in a preliminary elucidation of the relationships of the Australian fauna, but also the information it contributes to the investigation of a possibly monophyletic Gondwanan lineage. Such a study would require co-operation from ephemeropterologists around the world, each
conversant with their own country's fauna. Now that the preliminary relationships among the Australian taxa have been more thoroughly elucidated, these findings can be used as a basis for development of a Gondwanan phylogeny and ultimately a phylogeny of the Leptophlebiidae worldwide.
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# CONSTRUCTION AND EVALUATION OF A NEW LABORATORY SYSTEM FOR REARING MAYFLIES 

Kyia J. Finlay<br>Dept of Biological Sciences, Monash University Co-operative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology Land and Water Resources Research and Development Corporation Clayton, VIC 3ióo, Australia


#### Abstract

A new laboratory system was developed for rearing adults of Australian Leptophlebiidae (Ephemeroptera). The space-efficient chambers, made from readily available materials, are an inexpensive alternative to conventional rearing systerns and worked just as well. and in many cases better, than a comparable larger system. Genera differed significantly in rearing success. No genera were significantly affected by the rearing conditions except Austrophlebioides Campbell and Suter (1988), which responded strongly to all external factors. This suggests that a more restricted environmental regime may be required to maximise emergence success for this genus. Sex ratios were biased towards fempes for all genera, indicating the possible occurrence of parthenogenesis in Australian Leptophlebiidae.


## INTRODUCTION

Positive identification of species requires examination of all life stages for most aquatic insects. However, in most cases, identification of species has been based on the nymphs or adults only with no association being made between the two (Hynes, 1970; Smock, 1996; Merritt et al., 1996). Field collecting of nymphs and adults in one location is an accepted method of identifying all insect life stages but has the inherent problem with discriminating between different species, especially if one has to rely on immature nymphs for initial identification. An insect reared from an immature stage to an adult, with the subsequent larval skin moult kept for comparison, provides the definitive association.

Many authors have made suggestions for rearing aquatic insects to adults (see review by Merritt et al., 1996). The two main approaches are field and laboratory rearing. Most field rearing techniques involve a mechanism for containing the nymphs within the existing water body and providing room for the animal to emerge while safe from drowning (Speith, 1938; Fremling, 1967; Day, 1968; Schnieder, 1967; Edmunds et al., 1976). An alternative is the use of emergence traps (Hynes, 1941; Southwood, 1978; Merritt et al., 1996). Despite the relative


Fig. 1. The new rearing chamber. The aquarium tubing is connected to an air supply.
simplicity of design, the disadvantage of field rearing is that it may require the researcher to be away from the workplace for extended periods. Laboratory methods range from simple to extremely complex as authors have tried to address the problem of recreating stream flow conditions. Covered aquariums are used in conjunction with various methods of inducing a current such as a magnetic stirrer (Mason and Lewis, 1970) or directed air (Craig, 1966). Gravity flow systems were first used by Hynes (1941) and improved upon by Mason and Lewis (1970). Later came the development of large flow tanks powered by propellers (Vogel and LaBarbera, 1978) and complicated systems designed more specifically for the purposes of toxicity testing (Buikema and Voshell, 1993). All have the disadvantage of being suitable only for mass rearing and often requiring large inputs of electrical power. None address the issues of cost-effectiveness and simplicity in a field where rearing is likely to be of secondary concern.

The rearing of mayflies can be especially difficult because of the presence of a fragile subimago stage which has characteristics different to those of the adult. With all these factors in mind I have designed and tested a new laboratory rearing system for mayflies. Each chamber houses one individual and allows the animal to pass through the stages of nymph and subimago without disturbance. The chambers are made from readily obtainable material and are easy to construct. They are space-efficient and inexpensive, costing less than US $\$ 2.00$ each when an air supply is available. Such systems have been described before in the literature (Merritt et al., 1996) but I have yet to find a published account of their use.

An analysis of the success of the new rearing system by imago emergence success rates in relation to genus, sex, photoperiod, year of collection, temperature, and for an alternative chamber type has been conducted. The influences of altitude of collection and refrigerated storage of nymphs, which was often necessary after a prolonged collecting trip, were also investigated. These data were collected as a consequence of rearing mayfly adults for taxonomic review rather than experimental purposes. The data collected provides indications of how these genera may respond to factors influencing emergence in the field, however, the taboratory outcomes are, at present, analysed at genus level only. Within each genus there may well be species with very different responses to these environmental factors. Further, only one controlled temperature room was used for this work, therefore all individuals from each


Fig. 2. South-east Austraiia: area from which mayflies were collected.
separate field collection were placed in the same temperature and photoperiod regimes. As a result the species compositions of the groups receiving each set of conditions may well have been different. In these circumstances it is difficult to be confident that the results obtained mirror responses in the field. Nevertheless, these observations may be of interest to workers concerned with field responses of mayfly nymphs to environmental conditions.

## METHODS

## Chamber Design

The new rearing chamber (Figure 1) was constructed from a 1.25 litre plastic soft drink bottle. This was cut in two at about two-thirds its length, at the point where the sides start to converge towards the lid. The open container was lined with nylon mesh, which can either be glued in place or simply wetted. Two hooks were attached facing outwards and opposite each other on the outside of the bottle using electrical tape, so that a rubber band could be stretched between them across the open end. A small hole (diameter of $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was drilled in the plastic bottle lid. The top third of the bottle with the lid was then inverted to sit in the chamber, lid downwards, and secured by the rubber band. The chamber was then half filled with water. Compressed air was supplied to the chamber by means of PVC aquarium tubing (interior diameter 4 mm ) attached to a pump or laboratory air supply. Up to ten chambers can be aerated from one $s$ all 240 V air pump linked through aquarium tubing, although each chamber requires a two-way controller so flow can be balanced. It is also advisable to attach a plastic micropipette tip to the end of the tubing to restrict the flow of bubbles to a small stream. Glass pipettes proved too fragile and, being heavier, were prone to blockage by resting on the chamber bottom.


Fig. 3. Imago emergence success by genus.
Abbreviations for genera are as follows: Atalo=Atalophlebia, Austro=Austrophlebioides, Gar=Garinjugu. Koorr=Koorrnonga, Nous=Nousia, Till=Tillyardophlebia. Bars represent 95\% confidence intervals.

## Data Collection

Mayfly nymphs from the family Leptophlebiidae were collected over two extended summer periods; October 1996 to April 1997 (year 1) and October 1997 to April 1998 (year 2) from 123 sites throughout Victoria, Tasmania and New South Wales (Figure 2). Animals were collested from altitudes on the shoreline ( $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) to near the summit of Australia's highest point, Mt Kosciusko ( 1650 m ), and therefore represent species from a wide range of climatically diverse regions. Two closely related Leptophlebiids, Nousia Navás (1918) and Koorrnonga Campbell and Suter (1988), were targeted for collection as part of a larger taxonomic study being undertaken, although all Leptophlebiidae were collected if found. Nousia and Koorrnonga are relatively common in stream riffles in association with logs and organic matter (Peters and Campbell, 1991), so the sampling regime favoured these areas.

Late instar nymphs were carefully removed from the substrate with a paintbrush and placed in a bottle of the stream's water. The bottle was sealed and placed on ice for transportation to the laboratory. During the day the water was adequately aerated through the motion of the vehicle but at night a battery-operated pump was employed to aerate each chamber.

## Laboratory Rearing

Each rearing chamber was half filled with water from a particular site: and one late instar individual from that site added. Twigs collected from the site were added to each chamber for the insect to use as a food source and as a platform for emergence. Each chamber was attached to an air supply and placed in controlled temperature room environments at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Photoperiods of 12 hours daylight and darkness (12:12) or 14 hours daylight, 10 hours darkness (14:10) were used. Some nymphs were placed in much larger chambers designed by Campbell (1983). These were made from a cube-shaped frame of wood to which fly-screen or mesh is stapled on all sides. A container, which can hold volumes up to 500 ml , was placed inside the frame and connected to an air supply by aquarium tubing through a small hole. Surplus nymphs were stored in an aerated container refrigerated to $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the controlled environment the nymphs were checked every second day and the life cycle stage of the individual noted. Once emergence (or death)
occurred the animals were removed and genus and sex determined by observation using a stereomicroscope. Empty chambers were thoroughly washed and nymphs replaced from refrigerated stock. These new nymphs were acclimatised to the controlled temperatures for a period of 20 to 30 minutes.


#### Abstract

RESULTS The full data set included 1251 individuals of which Austrophlebioides and Nousia predominated, comprising $36.5 \%$ and $36.2 \%$ respectively. Next came Atalohplebia Eaton (1881) at 12\%, then Garinjuga (Campbell and Suter (1988)) at 6.6\%, Tillyardophlebia Dean (1997) at 4.6\%, Koorrnonga (Campbell and Suter (1988)) (2.6\%) and Ulmerophlebia Demoulin (1955) at $0.9 \%$. A few individuals of other genera, such as Atalomicra, Jappa, Kirrara and an undescribed one, were also collected and represented the remaining $0.6 \%$. Of the ten named genera in south-east Australia, all were sampled except Neboissophlebia Dean (1988), despite this genus having been found previously in many of the sites where I collected (Dean, 1988). Data analysis will focus on the six most prevalent genera.


## Emergence Success

Imago emergence success rate for the fuil data set was $34.1 \%$ with $10.0 \%$ reaching the subimago stage before dying and $55.9 \%$ dying as nymphs. Individual genera, however, differed significantly in emergence success ( $\chi^{2}=46.071$, $\mathrm{df}=5, \mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$, Figure 3). Atalophlebia was reared most successfully with $48.7 \%$ becoming imagos. Other highly successful genera were Tillyardophlebia ( $47.4 \%$ ) and Koorrnonga ( $45.5 \%$ ). The genus with the lowest success rate was Garinjuga ( $22.0 \%$ ) followed by Austrophlebioides $(25.0 \%$ ).

The new rearing chamber was compared with that designed by Campbell (1983). The new chamber produced higher imago emergence rates compared with the 'old' one for all the genera examined (except Nousia) (Table 1). A significant difference was found only for Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=5.993, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.014$ ), where the emergence success rate more than doubled in the new chambers ( $27.1 \%$ versus $12.7 \%$ ).

Emergence success did not differ between the sexes for any genus excef Tillyardophlebia (Table 1) where a much greater proportion of females ( $58.8 \%$ ) than male ( $30.4 \%$ ) emerged successfully ( $\chi^{2}=4.435, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p}=0.035$ ). The effect of varying the photoperiod could be analysed only for year 1 as there were no individuals reared unde, 12:12 conditions during year 2 . Within tise restricted data set a significant difference due to photoperiod was found only for Austrophiobioides ( $\chi^{2}=17.810, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$, Table 1) yet this went against the trend for all other genera where success rates, although not significantly different, were higher under a $12: 12$ cycle. Similarly, the effect of year of collection could only be examined in relation to photoperiod 14:10. Again there was a significant difference between year 1 and 2 only for Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=56.936, \mathrm{df}=1$, $\mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$, Table 1). There was no apparent trend for the other genera.

For most genera the proportion successfully emerging was highest at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (for Nousia this occurred at $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but the difference in success rate from $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was very slight; $0.1 \%$ ). The temperature which produced the lowest proportion of successful emergence was $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The effect of temperature was significant for both Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=47.838, \mathrm{df}=3$, $\mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$ ) and Nousia ( $\chi^{2}=10.517, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}=0.015$, Table 1). The effect of temperature was also considered in relation to time spent in the rearing system for a restricted number of genera (Figure 4). Time taken to emerge successfully was greatest at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Although patterns of response to temperature were similar for each genus, the time taken to reach outcome varied considerably. For example, at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ mean time to emerge varied from 7.7 days for Nousia to 12.9 days for Atalophlebia. Data were log transformed to meet the assumption of normality and an ANOVA run to test for a significant effect of temperature. All genera had significant temperature effects (Nousia. F-ratio $=0.635$,

Table 1. Percentages of successful emergences as affected by collection and rearing conditions

|  | Atalo. phlebia | Austrophlebioides | Garinjuga | Koorrnonga | Nousia | Tillyard. phlebia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Claunber Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $p$ value | 0.628 | 0.014 | ** | ** | 0.926 | ** |
| $n$ | 150 | 454 | 82 | 33 | 453 | 57 |
| \% success oid cage | 44.4 | 12.6 | 15.4 | 37.5 | 38.7 | 50.0 |
| \% success new cage | 49.6 | 27.1 | 23.2 | 48.0 | 38.1 | 47.0 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| praluc | 0.795 | 0.909 | 0.542 | 0.435 | 0.234 | 0.035 |
| $n$ | 150 | 456 | 82 | 33 | . 453 | 57 |
| \% success femase | 47.8 | 24.8 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 58.8 |
| $\%$ success male | 50.0 | 25.3 | 25.9 | 53.8 | 34.2 | 30.4 |
| Photuperiod "ycar 11* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ** | 0.000 | ** | ** | 0.293 | ** |
| 1 | 62 | 164 | 82 | 3 | 166 | 14 |
| \% success 12:12 | 62.3 | 24.0 | 28.2 | 100.0 | 46.8 | 53.8 |
| \% sutcess 14:10 | 33.3 | 56.5 | 18.0 | 100.0 | 38.4 | 0.0 |
| Year of Coll (photoperiod 14:10) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pralue | ** | 0.000 | ** | ** | 0.687 | ** |
| " | 97 | 377 | 50 | 31 | 391 | 44 |
| \% success - year 1 | 33.3 | 56.5 | 13.0 | 100.00 | 38.5 | 0.0 |
| \% success - ycar 2 | 42.0 | 16.1 | 22.2 | 40.00 | 36.2 | 46.5 |
| Temperature $p$ value | ** | 0.000 | ** | ** | 0.015 | ** |
| $\because$ | 150 | 456 | 82 | 33 | 452 | 57 |
| \% success $16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{C}$ | 43.4 | 23.6 | 20.0 | 42.1 | 46.4 | 51.4 |
| $\%$ success $18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{C}$ | 59.0 | 45.4 | 25.6 | 100.0 | 46.3 | 53.8 |
| \% success $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 50.0 | 24.3 | 42.8 | 0 | 38.9 | 25.0 |
| $\%$ suceess $22^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{C}$ | 38.2 | 10.8 | 9.5 | 40.0 | 29.3 | 0 |
| Allitude |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $p$ value | 0.126 | 0.000 | ** | ** | 0.118 | ** |
| " | 150 | 456 | 82 | 3.3 | 453 | 57 |
| \% success <400m | 46.9 | 33.1 | 24.5 | 12.5 | 39.4 | 50.0 |
| \% success $400-800 \mathrm{~m}$ | 44.4 | 22.5 | - | 54.5 | 34.5 | 16.7 |
| $\%$ suceess 801.1200 m | 73.3 | 9.6 | 0.0 | - | 15.4 | 100.0 |
| $\because$ success $>1200 \mathrm{~m}$ | , | 6.1 | 19.0 | 66.7 | 56.3 | - |
| Storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| p-value | ** | 0.000 | ** | ** | 0.856 | ** |
| " | 150 | 456 | 82 | 33 | 453 | 57 |
| \% surcess 0-2 days | 43.8 | 32.3 | 20.9 | 50.0 | 38.5 | 62.5 |
| \% success 3-5 days | 75.0 | 19.8 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 35.0 | 28.6 |
| $\%$ success > 5 days | 62.5 | 12.0 | 16.7 | 50.0 | 39.1 | 27.8 |

Effict of each latior analysed by $\chi^{2}$ Significant $p$ values (al $<0.05$ ) shown in boid.
*analysed on restricted data set as there were no mayflies reared under the 12:12 regime in year 2.
** one or more categorics with small sample size: $\chi^{2}$ test not reliable.


Fig. 4. Effect of temperature on time taken to successful emergence by genus.
Abbreviations for genera as in Figure 3. Data back transformed from logged values. Bars represent back transformed standard crror. Within each genus temperatures without a letter in common are significantly different ( $p$-value less than 0.05 ) by Tukeys HSD tests.
$\mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}=0.010$; Austrophlebioides, $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{ratio}=4.269, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p}=0.007$; Atalophlebia, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ degrees removed, F -ratio $=4.354, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}=0.017$ ) aithough multiple $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ showed that very little variability (around $10 \%$ or less) in rearing time was explained by temperature differences. Tukey's HSD tests showed significant differences between the temperatures $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ only for all genera (Figure 4).

The effect of altitude of collection on rearing success by genus was examined by chisquared for 4 altitude categories. ( $1 .<400 \mathrm{~m}, 2.400-800 \mathrm{~m}, 3.801-1200 \mathrm{~m}, 4 .>1200 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and was found to be significant only for Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=29.826, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$, Table 1) where the highest success rates were found at progressively lower altitudes.

Division of storage time into three categories (1.0-2 days, 2. 3-5 days, $3 .>5$ days ) and subsequent analysis by chi-squared also showed a significant effect only for the genus Austrophlebioides ( $\chi^{2}=18.297, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p} \ll 0.001$, Table 1) with a higher success rate for progressively less days in storage. However, altitude of collection and storage time were positively correlated as it took longer to return to the laboratory from high altitude collection sites. A logistic regression of both factors against emergence success showed altitude probabiy was more influential than storage.

## Sex-Ratios

Ratios of males to females showed a female bias for all individual genera in the range of 1: 1.5 for Tillyardophlebia to 1: 2.1 for Nousia (Figure 5). Chi-squared analysis was used to determine departure from the expected $1: 1$ sex ratio and was found to be significant for all genera except Koorrnorga and Tillyardophlebia.

## DISCUSSION

The overall imago emergence success rate was $34 \%$. ©learly, however, success rates depend on the genus in question. Taxa preferring slow waters would be expected to emerge more successfully in aerated tanks with low flow regimes (Edmunds et al.,1976). Therefore,


Fig. 5. Mayfly sex-ratios of six genera captured over 2 extended summer periods. Abbreviations for genera as in Figure 3. Bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Asterisks indicate significant departures (at less than $5 \%$ significance level) from l:1 ratio by chi-squared.
it is not surprising that Atalophlebia, predominantly found in standing or siowly flowing waters (Peters and Campbell, 1991), had the greatest proportion of imagos that emerged. The relatively low success rates of Garinjuga may be due to the presence of a possibly new high altitude species for which the emergence success was very low, thereby reducing the average for the whole genus.

Overall, the new rearing system was a success in that imago cmergence rates were generally higher in the new chamber compared with the older one for all genera. In particular, the success rate for Austrophlebioides was much greater in the new chamber. The considerable advantages of the new chambers in terms of time saved in construction and set-up, space efficiency and low cost indicate that the system could be widely used even if just for routine species identification.

In general, few factors had an effect on overall emergence success for any genera other than Austrophlebioides. Sex appeared to affect Tillyardophlebia but, because this was a relatively small data set, may not prove to be biologically significant. There are also some temperature effects for Nousia and Atalophlebia which must be considered. (Table 1, Figure 4). Most striking, however, were the results for the genus Austrophlebioides which appeared to be affected strongly by all factors except sex. This is almost certainly related to habitat requirements. For example, the greater rearing success in smaller chambers with much less water could be indicative of an inherent need for highly oxygenated water in this genus. Neither chamber adequately simulates current flow, but the new chamber may provide more oxygen per volume than the 'old' chamber. A high rate of water movement may be necessary for the development of this genus. Similarly, the highly positive response to a 14 hour photoperiod, which is typical of an Australian summer light regime, may be required as a cue for Austrophlebioides to emerge. If this is so, this is the first record of an enhanced emergence response to photoperiod for Australian Leptophlebiidae as photoperiod has been shown previously to have no effect on mayfly egg hatching or emergence (Brittain, 1982; Suter and Bishop, 1990; Newbold et al., 1994) despite the suggestion that it is important for aquatic insects in general by Hynes (1970).

Austrophlebioides fared better in year I possibly because they were stored for much less time ( 0.1 mean days in year 1 compared with 4.9 mean days in year 2). Progressively shorter storage times produced significantly higher success rates. Another contributing factor
may be that altitude range for each year was considerably skewed, with animals being collected no higher than 500 m in year 1 , yet up to 1560 m in year 2; progressively lower altitudes produced greater emergence success rates.

It is acknowledged that animals do not respond to altitude per se but rather environmental variables associated with altitude (see reviews by Minshall, 1988; Power et al., 1988) such as temperature, substrate, dissolved oxygen and hydraulic variation. One can speculate that there is greater temperature differential between higher altitude sites and the laboratory compared with lower altitude sites, possibly making the physiological stress on the animals greater.

Temperature not only determines abundance, distribution and diversity of stream insects (Hynes, 1970; Ward and Stanford, 1982; Zamora-Muñoz et al., 1993) but is considered to be one of the most important influencing factors affecting insect development (Corkum, 1978; Elliott, 1978; Brittain, 1982; Wallace and Anderson, 1996). Indeed, in this study, temperature was the only external factor significantly affecting emergence success of a genus other than Austrophlebioides. Success rates were much higher at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yet summer water temperatures would be within the range $16-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for all but the highest ahtitudes; so it is puzzling to find such a restricted temperature preference for emergence. This restricted preference also applied to the length of time taken to emerge. It is possible that the nymphs have a narrow temperature requirement for development which is in line with the theory of Sweeney and Vannote (1978) that an optimal thermal regime exists for a given species. Adult size and fecundity and, presumably emergence rates, may diminish outside the bounds of the optimal regime for the species.

Trends in the data are not significant with the clear exception of Austrophlebioides. Species level data within this genus would therefore be especially valuable.

## Sex-Ratios

Insect sex-ratios in nature are generally expected to be $1: 1$ although skewed ratios due to inbreeding occur and will be biased towards females (Thornhill and Alcock, 1983). Female biased sex ratios in Ephemeroptera have been recorded only for parthenogenetic taxa of which 50 species are known worldwide (Brittain, 1982) and only in 3 or 4 families (McCafferty and Huff, 1974). It appears obligatory in only a few species (Peters and Campbell, 1991). In general, parthenogenetic eggs develop more slowly, causing. a delayed female bias in the sex ratio of the nymphs which is perpetuated through the life cycle. For example Harker (1997) found sex ratios of Clocon similae (Baetidae) increased from I:I in the summer to $2: 1$ in Spring and early Winter over 13 consecutive years due to a longer development time for the unfertilised eggs and subsequent late appearance of parthenogenetic progeny (females). Data for the present study were collected from a wide range of sites over two years, so it is possible that the observed sex ratio actually reflects what occurs in nature. As far as I am aware there are no previous records of parthenogenesis occurring in Australian Leptophlebiidae.
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## APPENDIX 2

Alphabetically listed locality data for each specimen examined. Presence or absence of each species and genus at each site also noted.

Locality data for all specimens examined


Locality data for all specimens examined

| 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ※ } \\ \text { 范 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \text { 淢 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\ & \dot{\phi} \end{aligned}$ | 号 营 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 驚 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \frac{0}{9} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哭 } \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | N．（A）fuscula |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | T. lucida |  | 5 |  | THRAULOPHELBIA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | VIC | Aufa Vale inlet stream | Cardinia | 98022601 | 175 | 145.2314 | －37．5625 | KJF collection | 26111998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | VIC | Aura Vale Lake | Hentys Picnic Area | 97112401 | 140 | 145.2317 | －37．5619 | KJF collection | 2 2×11997 | K．JF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | VIC | Avon River | Princes Highway，Stratiord | 99021201 | 10 | 147.0431 | －37．5824 | KJF collection | 12 11999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | VIC | Axe Creek | Mclvor Highway | 99022308 | 170 | 144．2541 | －36．4629 | KJF collection | 2311999 | K／F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | VIC | Badger Creek | Dairy Rd | 76032500 | 90 | 145.3100 | －37．4100 | MV－EPH1503， 1504，1557， 1626 | 25 III 1976 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | VIC | Badger Creek | downstream weir | 78033000 | 280 | 145.3400 | －37．4100 | MV－EPH1506 | 30 ili 1978 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | VIC | Badger Creek | downstream weir | 80010800 | 280 | 145.3400 | －37．4100 | MV－EPH1505， 1671 | 108：1980 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | VIC | Badger Creek | downstream weir | 80011801 | 280 | 145.3400 | －37．4100 | M V －EPH1582， 1726 | 1811980 | J0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | VIC | Badger Creek | downstream weir | 80020700 | 280 | 145.3400 | －37．4100 | MV－EPH1627 | 07111980 | J0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | VIC | Badger Creek | downstream weir | 80022102 | 280 | 145.3400 | －37．4100 | MV．EPH1630， 1726 | 21：1980 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | VIC | Badger Creek | downstream weir | 80032001 | 280 | 145.3400 | －37．4100 | MV－EPH1628， 1725 | 20111980 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 30 | VIC | Badger Creek | junction of Badger Creek Rd and Bluegum Drive | 97040602 | 130 | 145.3211 | －37．4102 | KJF coilection | 06 IV 1997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined


Locality data for all specimens examined

| 安 喜 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ \stackrel{y}{\mathbf{0}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \text { 坒 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 䒭 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \underline{\underline{\circ}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哭 } \\ & \text { 3 } \\ & \hline \mathbf{3} \\ & \hline \mathbf{z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 3 3 3 3 3 2 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ |  |  | 巽 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{90}{90} \\ & \frac{10}{2} \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 VIC | Bemm River | Club Terrace－Goolengook Rd | 81032100 | 100 | 148.5400 | ． 37.3400 | MV－EPH 6654 | 21 III 1981 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 NSW | Betts Creek | Summit Rd | 97120202 | 1740 | 148.2300 | 36.2600 | KJF collection | 102 X1． 1997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 VIC | Eig Pats Creek | Riverside Ditive | 97042503 | 160 | 145.4345 | ． 37.4510 | KJF collection | 25 N 1997 | KJF＇ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $44 . \mathrm{VC}$ | Rig River | Jamieson－Eilion Rd | 98021503 | 300 | 146.0312 | ． 37.2203 | KJF collection | 15119998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 VIC | Black Snake Creek | Dyers Creek Picnic Ground，Gembrook South | 97012902 | 115 | 145，4006 | －37．5655 | KJF collection | 2911997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 OLD | Blackellows Falls | Springbrook，Warre NP | 79120601 | 770 | 153.1600 | －28．1300 | MV－EPH1691， 1692 | 106 XII 1979 | DC | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 VIC | Biuff Creek | 11.7 km up Stiring Rd | 81110201 | 1310 | 146.2838 | －37．0749 | MV－EPH1741， 1742 | $02 \times 1981$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 48）VC | Bodmans Creek | Hyland Highway | 99030401 | 50 | 146.4234 | －38．3015 | KJF conlection | 04111999 | KJJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 VIC | Boggy Creek | Great Alpine Rd，Alpine National Park | 96141803 | 1300 | 147.1843 | －37．025 | KJF coilection | $18 \times 11996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50．VIC | Roggy River | Princes Highway，Nowa Nowa | 99021202 | 10 | 148.0534 | －37．4356 | K．JF collection | 1211999 | KıF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 VIC | Bogong Jack Creek | West Kiewa Logging Rd | 97110602 | 1070 | 147.1012 | －36．4959 | KJF collection | 106 XI 1997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 52 ViC | Bonang River | Dellicknora | 97031401 | 600 | 148.4000 | －37．0600 | Kif collection | 14111997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53V6． | Bonang River | Bonang Rd，Nof Bonang | 99021308 | 640 | 148.4300 | －37．0900 | KJF collection | 1311999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54／NSW | B00n00 B00n00 River | Lindsay Highway Bridge | 84114000 | 960 | 152．0500 | －28．5300 | MV－EPH1677 | $10 \times 11984$ | DC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| 8 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 등 } \\ \text { (1) } \\ \hline \underline{3} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \mathbf{2} \\ & \text { \$ } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \text { "흥 } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 | N. (A) fuscula |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{2}{6} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{x} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{8}{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 宕 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | NSW | Bcon00 Boonoo River tributary |  | 83052000 |  | $\times$ | x | MV-EPH 1670 | $20 \vee 1983$ | OC | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56 | W\% | Boyd River | locality 25 | 82030400 |  | $x$ | x | MV-EPH0113, 0114 | 04 If 1982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 57 | 7 VIC | Branch Creek | Victoria Range, Grampians | 66121600 |  | 142.1500 | -37.2300 | MV | 16 XII 1966 | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 58 | QLD | Branch Creek | Corondale Ranges | 88070900 | 160 | 152.4300 | -26.5300 | MV-EPH1689 | 09 VII 1988 | SB | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 | QLD | Branch Creek | Corondale Ranges | 97061100 | 160 | 152.4300 | -26.5300 | MV-EPH1688 | 11 VI 1997 | JJ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60 | QLD | Branch Creek | Conondale Ranges | 97082601 | 160 | 152.4300 | -26.5300 | MV-EPH1264 | 26 VIII 1997 | J0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | VIC | Brandy Creek | Great Alpine Rd, Alpine NP | 87010500 | 1560 | 147.1i22 | -37.0055 | MV.EPH4764 | 0511987 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 62 | VIC | Erandy Creek | Great Alpine Rd, Alpine NP | 96111802 | 1560 | 147.1122 | .37.0055 | KJF collection | $18 \times 11996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 63 | VIC | Brandy Creek | Great Alpine Rd, Alpine NP | 96111902 | 1560 | 147.1122 | . 37.0055 | KJF collection | $19 \times 11996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 64 | VIC | Brandy Creek | Great Alpine Rd, Alpine NP | 97011902 | 1550 | 147.1122 | -37.0055 | KJF collection | 19)1997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 65 | TAS | Break O'Day River | E of Fingal | 95011000 | 240 | 148.0300 | -41.3600 | MV-EPH0182 | 1014995 | PS\&PG | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 66 | V1c | Breakfast Creek | Tamboritha Rd, Alpine NP | 97020402 | 320 | 146.3658 | -37.3109 | KJF collection | 04114997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 67 | VIC | Breakiast Creek | Tamboritha Rd, Alpine NP | 97020701 | 320 | 146.3658 | -37.3109 | KJF collection | 07 II 1997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 68. | VIC | Breakiast Creek | Tamboritha Rd, Alpine NP | 97103010 | 320 | 146.3658 | -37.3109 | KJF collection | $30 \times 1997$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 훙 } \\ & \text { 흉 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 号 <br> 茕 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 券 } \\ & \text { 总 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{a}} \\ & \text { + } \\ & \stackrel{3}{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \vdots \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 5 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0} \\ & \frac{0}{E} \\ & \frac{5}{2} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x_{0}^{2} \\ & 2 \\ & 20 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & z \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & i \\ & i \\ & i \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ |  | 管 |  | 皆 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | \＄ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 TAS | Brid River | Tasman Highway，W of Sontsdale | 95020802 | 180 | 147.2700 | 41.1300 | MV－EPH 0239 | 10811995 | PS\＆PG | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 70．VIC | Brodribb River | Bonang Rd， $16 \mathrm{km3} \mathrm{~N}$ of Malinns | 99021305 | 100 | 148.3200 | －37．3000 | kJF collection | 1311999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 71NSW | Brogo River | Princes Highway Bridge | 81052201 | 80 | 149.4900 | $\cdot 36.3200$ | MVEPH1668 | 22 V 1981 | jo | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 72NSW | Brogo River | Skm downstream of Princes Highway Bridge | 81052202 | 40 | 149.4900 | －36．3400 | MV－EPH1684 | 22 V 1981 | J0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 73 VIC | Eroken River | Evans Bridge，Swanpool | 99122001 | 200 | 146.0132. | －36．4448 | KJF collection | 2011999 | KJJ． | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 74 SA | Brownhill Creek |  | 76030300 | 150 | 138.3800 | －34．5900 | PS conection | 03111976 | unkrown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 010 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 75 TAS | Brumbys Creek | B51 crossing | 96121701 | 150 | 147.0452 | －41．4253 | K．JF collection | $17 \times 111996$ | unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $76 . \mathrm{VIC}$ | Buchan River | Buchan | 99021408 | 100 | 148.1000 | －37．2900 | KJF collection | 11411999 | KJJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 77 VIV | Buckand River | Mi Buffialo Rd | 96110701 | 280 | 1466.5332 | －36．4152 | KJF collection | 07X1996 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 78 SA | Bull Creek | The Cliff Finniss River Survey，bocation 3929 | $89103101 \times$ | $x$ | $x$ |  | AWQC | $31 \times 1989$ | PSSSS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 79 VIC | But Creek | Bull Creek Rd | 96113003 | 360 | 145.3539 ． | －37．28311／ | K̇FF collection | $30 \times 11996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 80 Vic | Bull Creek tributary | W of Yea Rock | 96113004 | 450 | 145.3514 | ． 37.2707 | K．JF conlection | $30 \times 1996$ | KJJ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 81 VIC | Buller Creek | Mrimbah | 81110202 | 670 | 146.2400 | －37．0600 | MV－EPH1760， 1761 | $02 \times 11981$ | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 82 NSW |  |  | Nand | Onis | ：48．2200］ | －35．5200］ | WJF collection | 10， | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { 至 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 跆 |  |  | ¢ <br> ¢0 <br> O\％ <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 发 } \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \underline{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0} \\ & \frac{0}{c} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & i \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & z \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{y}{9}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \frac{90}{50} \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 宕 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 VIC | Butchers Creek | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gelantipy Rd, S of } \\ & \text { Gelantipy } \end{aligned}$ | 99021407 | 640 | 148.1500 | －37．1300 | KJF collection | 1411999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c |
| 84 vic | Caledonia River | Howits Hut | 96051400 | 1480 | 346.4100 | ． 37.1400 | MV－EPH1793， 1794 | 14 V 1996 | unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 85 TAS | Camerons Lagoon | near Great Lake | 96122002 | 1040 | 146.3813 | －41．5913 | KJF colliection | 20 XII 1996 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 86. VIC | Campaspe River | Heathcote－Kyneton Rd | 99022305 | 220 | 144.3226 | ． 37.0102 | KJF collection | 2311999 | KJJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 87 VIC | Cann River | Cann Valley Highway | 96112404 | 160 | 149.1144 | －37．2411 | KJF conlection | $24 \times 11996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8B．VIC | Cans River | Princes Highway，Cann River | 99021204 | 100 | 149．0600 | －37．3400 | KJF collection | 12111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 89 VIC | Cann River east branct | WB Line Track Coopracambra NP | 98012503 | 230 | 149.1216 | －37．2048 | KJF collection | 2511998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90. VIC | Cann River main branch | Cann Valley Highway． Noorinbee North | 98012502 | 140 | 149.1152 | －37．2611 | KIF collection | 251998 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 91 VIC | Cann River west tranch | Buldah Rd | 98012504 | 320 | 149.0843 | －37．1759 | KJF collection | 2511998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 92 VIC | Cardinia Creek | Crystal Brook Park | 97112402 | 80 | 145.2323 | 37.5821 | KIF collection | $24 \times 11997$ | KJJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{93}$ VIC | Cardinia Creek | Crystal Brook Park | 98022602 | 80 | 145.2323 | －37．5821 | KJF collection | 26111998 | K | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 94 OLO | Careys Creek | S Calen，N of Mackay | 79112001 | 140 | 1488.4300 | －20．5200 | MV－EPH1524 | $20 \times 1979$ | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95 ViC | Carisbrook Creek | Great Ocean Rd | 97030203 | 10 | 143.4827 | －38．4127 | $\overline{\mathrm{KFF}}$ pollection | 02 111997 | KjF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 96.10 | Carisbrook Creek | Great Ocean Rd | 99121901 | 10 | 143.4827 | －38．4127 | KIF collection | $19 \times 111999$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 97 VIC | Castetum Creek | Dargo Rd \｛Private Property） | 96041702 | 180 | 147.1300 | －37．3i00 | K．JF collection | 17 IV 1996 | ET | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哏 } \\ & \text { 䂦 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 菢 } \\ & \stackrel{y y}{5} \\ & \underline{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} \\ & \underline{5} \\ & \underline{5} \\ & \text { in } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N}_{0}^{0} \\ & \frac{2}{x} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{7}{5}$ |  |  |  | $\square$ | 哭 | 号 品 2 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \mathbf{y} \\ & \mathbf{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 㼓 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98010 | Cedar Creek | unknown | 10030001 |  |  |  | SMNH | 业1910－1913 | ME | 1 | 0 | － | － | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |
| 990LD | Cedar Creek | unknown | 10040000 |  |  |  | SMNH | （V）1910－1913 | ME | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 VIC | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 76042301 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | MV－EPH1619， 1705 | 23 IV 1976 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 101 VIC | Cement Creek | Mit Donna－Buang Rd | 96047701 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | KJF collection | 17N 1996 | ET | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 102 VIC | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 96092501 | 670. | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | KJF collection | $251 \times 1998$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 103 VIC | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 96113002 | 670. | 145．4200 | －37．4248 | KJF collection | $30 \times 1996$ | $\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \mathrm{J}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 104 VIC | Cement Creek | Mid Donna－Buang Rd | 98030503 | 670 | 145．4220 | －37．4248 | KJF collection | 05111998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 105 VIC | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 98112901 | 670 | 145.4220 | ． 37.4248 | kJF collection | 29×1 1998 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 105 vic | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 99012301 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | KJF collection | 2311999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 107 Vic | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 99032004 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | KJF collection | 20 III 1999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 108 VIC | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 200022601 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | KJF collection | 26112000 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 109 VIC | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 76040800 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | MV－EPH1696， 1704， 1706 | 108 N 1976 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 110 VVic | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Bueng Rd | 76102101 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | NV－EPH1583 | $21 \times 1976$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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Locality data for all specimens examined

| $\dot{\sim}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亳 } \\ & \frac{\text { B }}{\mathbf{x}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 霛 } \\ \text { 空 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 岛 | 告 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{o}} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & \dot{z} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & \frac{2}{n} \\ & \frac{2}{n} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ： |  |  |  |  | $\frac{50}{90}$ | M．adamus | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & \mathbf{y} \\ & \mathbf{y} \\ & \hline 3 \end{aligned}$ | 荹 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 141） | VIC | Cement Creek | Mthonna－Euang Rd | 76120100 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | MV－EPH4587， 1588．1602， 1698 | $01 \times 111976$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 112 | VIC | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 77041400 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | MV－EPH1695， 1701， 1702 | 14 IV 1977 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 113 |  | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 78011200 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | MV．EPW1699， 1700 | 121978 | Jo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 114 |  | Cement Creek | Mat Donna－Buang Rd | 78100600 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | MV－EPH1707 | $06 \times 1978$ | J0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 115 | Vic | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 78112300 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | MV－EPH1589， 1590，1591，1592， 1594，1596，1597． 1598，1599，1734， 1736 | $23 \times 1978$ | N0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 116 | VIC | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 79120700 | 670 | 145.4220 | ． 37.4248 | MV－EPH1697 | 07 XII 1979 | Jo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 117 V | VIC | Cement Creek | Mt Donna－Buang Rd | 80030300 | 670 | 145.4220 | －37．4248 | MV－EPH1593， 1703 | 03 III 1980 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 118 | VIC． | Charity Creek | M．Baw Baw Tourist Rd | 97111200 | 700 | 146.1349 | ．37．5057 | MV | $12 \times 11997$ | MRHI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 119. |  | Charity Creek－＂The Gantry＇ | MA Baw Baw Tounist Rd | 96101203 | 700 | 146．1349 | 37．5057 K | KJF collection | $12 \times 1996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined
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Locality data for all specimens examined

| － |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 券 } \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 空 } \end{aligned}$ | 든 <br> ． <br> 든 <br> © <br> $\mathbf{O}$ | 器 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & \underline{3} \\ & \underline{3} \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{y}{3}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 179 | TAS | Dove River | below confluence with Lilla Creek，Cradie Mountain－ cake St Clai NP | 96123001 | 940 | 145.5640 | 41．3824 | KJF collection | $30 \times 111998$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 180 | VIC | East Erinundra River | junction with west branch | 82031200 | 300 | 148.5400 | －37．2000 | AWT | 12 III 1982 | J8 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 181 | VIC | East Erinundra River | junction with west branch | 84010600 | 300 | 148.5400 | －37．2000 | AWT | 0611984 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 182 | TAS | Echo Point | unnamed stream flowing into Gradle Mountain－Lake St Clair NP | 96122702 | 740 | 146.0813 | 42．0237／1 | KJF collection | $27 \times 11996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 183 | TAS | Elizabeth River | Campbeltiown | 96122202 | 190 | 147.2918 | 41.5601 | KJF coillection | $22 \times 11996$ | KJJ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 184 | VIC | Erinundra Creek | 15km N of Club Terrace | 75111100 | 120 | 148.5500 | －37．2200 | MV | $11 \times 1975$ | JB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 185 | VIC | Erinundra River | 1.5 km upstream Combienbar Rd | 81052101 | 120 | 148.5500 | －37．2700 | MV－EPH1750 | 21 V 1981 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 186 | Vic | Eninundra River | Erinunda | 94111500 | 320 | 148.5142 | －37．3719 | MV | $15 \times 11994$ | MRHI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | VIC | Efinundira River | Erinurdra | 96110500 | 320 | 148.5142 | －37．3719； | KJF collection | 05 X 13986 | MR Fif | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 188 | VIC | Erskine River | Erskine Falis | 98010401 | 200 | 143.5448 | －38．5030 | KJF collection | 0414998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 189 | NSW | Eucumbene River | Kaandra－Adaminaby Rd | 97120104 | 1340 | 148.3100 | －35．5300 | KJF collection | $01 \times 111997$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 190 | VC | Eurobin Creek | Mr Buffalo Rd，Mt Buffalo Np | 96110702 | 440 | 146．5029 | －36．4310 K | KJF collection | $07 \times 11996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| $\begin{array}{c\|c} \text { 呂 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ \stackrel{y}{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 券 } \\ & \text { 要 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\tilde{\circ}} \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \frac{9}{3} \\ 9 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & z \\ & z \\ & z \\ & i \\ & i \\ & z \\ & z \\ & z \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{6} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 棣 |  | 蓇 | 票 | $\square$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { 采 } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 亨 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eurobin Creek | Mi Buffalo Rd，Mt Buffalo NP | 99021101 | 440 | 146.5029 | －36．4310 | KJF collecion | 1111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 192 VIC | Faith Creek | Tanijiliren Rd | 96101205 | 540 | 146.1421 | －37．5220 | KJF coilection | $12 \times 1996$ | K．JF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 193 VIC | Falls Creek | Telephone Box Junction． Mt Stiring Rd | 81110203 | 1220 | 146.2743 | ． 37.0652 | MV－EPH1572， 1737，1738，1739， 1740 | 02 X1981 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 194 VIC | Feny Glade | Sealers Cove Walk， Wilsons Promontory NP | 97021503 | 340 | 146.2321 | －39．0140 | KJF collection | 1511997 | ［KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 195 VIC | Ferrers Creek | Bells Bridge，Rokewood－ Shellord Rd | 99022705 | 195 | 143.4730 | －37．5508 | KJF collection | 27111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 196 VIC | Fiery Creek | Glenelg Highway， Streatham | 99022707 | 240 | 143．035\％ | －37．4057 | K．JF collection | 27111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 197 SA | Finniss River | ＂Riverdale＂，Finniss River Survey，location 3930 | 89103102 ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | $x$ | x |  | AWQC | $31 \times 1989$ | PS 8 SS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 198 VIC | First Creek | Warbution－Jamieson Rd | 85120700 | 750 | 145.5810 | －37．2525 | MV－EPH1731， 1732． 1733 | 107 XII 1985 | JD | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 199 VIC | Fisher Creek | Anderson Rd | 96091901 | 360 | 145.3912 | － 37.3255 | KJF collection | $191 \times 1996$ | K J J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 200 VIC | Filtroy River | T\＆W Rd，of Princes Highway | 99022801 | 80 | 141.2538 | －38．0438 | KJF collection | 2811999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 201 VIC | Four Mile Creek | Homers Rd，Warbution | 97042501 | 185 | 145.4156 | －37．4533 | KJF collection | 25 IV 1997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 202 TAS | Frankin River | location unknown | $82030201 \times$ | x | x |  | MV－EPH0136 | 02111982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens exalŭined

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 怱 } \\ & \text { 至 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 으를 |  | ¢ | ¢ <br> \％ <br> O <br> $\mathbf{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0}^{3} \\ & 3 \\ & \frac{0}{n} \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  | 第 | 管 |  | 㶪 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ | 家 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | and | 99021102 | 20 | 146.1754 | －38．3911 K | KJF collection | 1111999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 203 | IC | Frankin River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | near Thredbo | 84011900 | 1380 | 148.1929 | －36．3002 | MVEPH1675 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ， |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 204 N | NSW | Friday Flat Creek tioutary |  | 96110803 | 1380 | 147.0805 | －37．0555 | KJF coslection | $05 \times 1996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 205 | VIC | Frosty Creek | Frosty Creek Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 206 | VIC | Frying Pan Raceríne tributary | Telmark St，Falls Creek | 99020505 | 1560 | 147．1650 | －36．5200 | KJF collection | 05 II 1999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | collection | 1611999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 207 | VIC | Fyans Creak | Grampians Tourist Rd | 99011608 | 290 | 142.3230 | －37．1259 | NJF collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | JF coilection | 2212000 | KJF | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 208 | VIC | Fyans Creek | Grampians Tourst Rd | 200012202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | pumping station at Cariste | 82012500 | 40 | 143.2300 | －38．3300 | MV | 2511982 | IAN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 209 | VIC | Gellibrand River | River township |  |  |  |  |  |  | RStC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Gellibrand River | 3 km E Gellibrand | 82012600 | 80 | 143.3300 | －38．3100 | MV | 2611982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Gellibrand River | Gellibrand Rd | 98010301 | 180 | 143.3110 | －38．3447 | KJF collection | $\frac{0311998}{13114999}$ | KNF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 212 | $V I C$ | Genoa River | Wangarabell Rd | 99021303 | 100 | 149.2900 | －37．2300 | KJF collection | 1311999 | PS\＆PG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 213 | TAS | George River | Goshen | 95020904 | 60 | 148.0500 | 41.1600 | MV－EPH0178 | 0911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Intake Bridge，St Coiumba |  |  | 147500 | －411700 | MV－EPH0232， 0239 | 109 II 1995 | PS\＆PG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 214 | TAS | George River | Falls Reserve | \＄5020905 | 150 | 147．5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 000 | 150.4800 | －34．0600 | MVEPH1674 | $28 \times 1984$ | DC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 215 | NSW | Georges River | 5 km S of Campbelliown | 84102802 | 10 | N0．480 | 36.4358 | Klif collection | $09 \times 1996$ |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |
| 216 | Vİ | German Creek | Bright－Tawonga Rd | 96110901 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Locality data for all specimens examined

| 家 喜 |  | 들 <br> $\stackrel{y}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \dot{\omega} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 旁 } \\ & \text { 亲 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \frac{5}{x} \\ & i \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{8}{3} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|} \frac{0}{10} \\ \frac{51}{5} \\ 5 \end{array}$ |  |  | 宕 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232 TAS | Great Lake | west shore，Duck Point | 96121707 | 1033 | 146.4247 | －41．5510 | KJF collection | $177 \times 11996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 233 TAS | Great Musselroe River | Rd to Pioneer from St Helens | 95020906 | 60 | 148.0300 | 41.0500 | MV－EPH0206 | 09111995 | PSSPG | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2341 VIC | Grey Riser | Grey River Rd | 97030101 | 190 | 143.4850 | － 38.3943 | KWF collection | 01111999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 225 TAS | Groom River | Tasman Highway | 94030701 | 90 | 148.0500 | 41.1500 | MV－EPH0228 | 071111994 | PSSPG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 236 VIC | Growlers Creek | Williams Rd camp sile， Wandirigong | 96110801 | 380 | 146.5910 | －36．4654 | KJF collection | $108 \times 11996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 237 vic | Growlers Creek | Williams Rd camp site． Wandiligorg | 97140801 | 380 | 146.5910 | －36．4654 | KJF collection | $08 \times 11997$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 238 VIC | Gulf Stream | downstream Epacris Falls， Mount Victory Rd， Grampians | 99011603 | 440 | 142.2936 | －37．0900 | KJF coliection | 16：1999 | KiF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 239 VIC | Gull Stream | downstream Epacris Falls， Mount Victory Rd． Grampians | 200012201 | 440 | 142.2936 | －37．0900 | KJF collection | 2212000 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 240 NSW | Guthrie Creek | Summit Rd，Mt Kosciusko NP | 96120702 | 1760 | 148.2300 | －36．2500 | KJF collection | $07 \times 11996$ | K．JF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 241 VIC | Haunted Stream | Great Alpin Ro | 99021409 | 180 | 147.4900 | －37．2800 | KJF coliection | 14111999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 242 TAS | Reillyer River Goise |  | 82022800 | 220 | 145.3600 | 41.1600 | MV－EPH0151 | 2811982 | 0 C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 243 Vic | Hensleigh Creek | Combienbar Rd Ford | 98012505 | 240 | 149.0108 | －37．2436 | KJF collection | 2511998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 244 SA | Hithchoock Drain |  | $77112501 \times$ | x | x |  | MV | $25 \times 11977$ | ON\＆PS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 01 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locelity data for all specimens examined

| 20 | 芯 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 妾 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 怱 } \\ \text { 落 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 䔍 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{x} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & i \\ & i n \\ & \frac{0}{n} \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{3} \\ & \frac{1}{6} \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{\mathbf{S}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \frac{9}{90} \\ \frac{10}{9} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \mathbf{y} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \frac{1}{x} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \text { 岕 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 245 | VIC | Hodgsons Creek | Eeechworth－Wangaratta Rd | 97022202 | 240 | 146.3400 | －36．2346 | KJF collection | 22．11997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 246 | VIC | Holland Creek | Swanpool Rd，near Tatong | 99022002 | 220 | 146.0557 | －36．4412 | IKUFP collection | 20111999 | KWF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 247 | VIC | Holland Creek | Fords Bridge，Tatong－ Tolmie Rd | 99022003 | 360 | 146.0813 | －36．4937 | KJF collection | 20 11999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 248 | VIC | Hope Creek | Tanjili Bren Rd | 96101204 | 550 | 146.1403 | －37．5155 | KJF coliection | $12 \times 1996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 249 | VIC | Hopkins River | Allanstorc | 80031000 | 10 | 142.3500 | ． 38.2300 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MV-EPH1632, } \\ & 1633,1634 \end{aligned}$ | 10 ill 9980 | DC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 250 | VIC | Hopkins River | Back Bolac R ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 99022708 | 220 | 142.4505 | －37．3604 | KJF collection | 27111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 251 | VIC | House Creek | Mt Beauty Rd | 99020501 | 330 | 147.0744 | －36．4031 | KJF collection | 0511999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 252 | VIC | Howqua River | 12km SE Merrijig | 71113000 | 420 | 146.1900 | －37．1100 | MV | $30 \times 1971$ | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 253 |  | Howqua River | Running Creek Camping Reserve，Howqua River Rd | 98021501 | 310 | 146.1341 | －37．1418 | KJF collection | 1511998 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 |
| 254 | TAS | Huon River | locality 27 | $82030702 \times$ |  | $x$ |  | MV－EPH0154， 0155， 0156 | 07 III 1982 | DC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 |
| 255 | VIC | licy Creek | Toorcongo Rd | 96101103 | 530 | 146.0050 | ． 37.48091 | KJF collection | $11 \times 1936$ | KJF | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ： | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 256 | TAS | Inis River | Crade Mountain Rd | 96123003 | 760 | 145.5742 | 41.3303 | KJF collection | $30 \times 119996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 257 | TAS | Inis River tibutary | location unknown | $82022600 \times$ |  | x |  | MV－EPH01E5 | 26 ！ 1982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 258 |  | Jack River | Yarram－Monwell Rd Eridge | 99021103 | 30 | 146.3409 | －38．3312 | KJF collection | 11 II 1999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 259 | VIC | Jacksons Creek | Gisbome | 97102602 | 410 | 144.3517 | ． 37.2904 | KJF collection | $26 \times 1997$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 260］ |  | Jacksons Creek tributany | Waterioo Flat Rd | 97102601 | 470 | 944．3127 | ．37．2830 | KJF collection | $26 \times 1997$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| $\underline{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 号 <br> 覀 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흫 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \hline \mathbf{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{9}{5} \\ \frac{5}{5} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{3} \\ & x \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 5 3 3 $\frac{2}{n}$ 3 3 2 2 |  |  | 鹗 |  | $\sum_{0}^{0}$ |  | THRAULOPHELBIA | 喿 | 脗 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 261 | V1c | Jacksons Creek tributary | Waterbo Flat Rd | 98140101 | 470 | 144.3127 | －37．2830 | KJF collection | $101 \times 11998$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 262 | VIC | Jamieson River | Gerrans Eridge | 98021502 | 320 | 146．1028 | －37．1738 | KjF collection | 1511 1998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 263 | VIC | Jimmys Creek | Grampians Tount Rd | 96104901 | 310 | 142.3018 | －37．2229 | KJF collection | $19 \times 1996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 264 | VIC | Jimmys Creek | Grampians Tourist Rd | 98101109 | 310. | 142.3018 | －37．2229 | KJF collection | $19 \times 1998$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 265 | VIC | Jimnys Creek | Grampians Tounist Rd | 99011607 | 310 | 1423018 | －37．2229 | KJF collection | 1611999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 266 | VIC | Jifmmy Creek | Glenelg | 94111000 x |  | x |  | MV | $10 \times 1994$ | MRHI | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 267 | VIC | Jimmys Creek | Grampians Tourist Rd | 200012203 | 340 | 142.3018 | －37．2229 | KJF collection | 2212000 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 268 | NSW | Jindabyne |  | 76022100 | 1000 | 148.3700 | －36．2400 | MV | 2111976 | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 269 | VIC | Jingalalla River | Dellicknora Rd， 2 km SW of Dellicknora | 99021402 | 520. | 148.3900 | －37．0700 | KJF collection | 14 II 1999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 270 | VIC | Johnstone Creek | Portand－NelsonRd | 97030401 | 110 | 141.1916 | －38．1133 | KJF collection | 041111997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 271 | ViC | Johnstone Creek | Portand－Nelson Rd | 97102501 | 110 | 141.1916 | －38．1133 | KJF collection | $25 \times 1997$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 272 | VIC | Kalatha Creek | Kalatha Rd and Katatha Link Rd intersection | 96112902 | 580 | 145.3147 | －37．2832 | KJF coilection | $29 \times 1996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 273 | VIC | Kangaroo Creek | Kangaroo Rd， 5 km E of Daylesford | 97010901 | 600 | 144.1345 | －37．2110 | KJF collection | 0911997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 274 | VIC | Kennett River | Kennett River，Great Ocean Rd | 98010306 | 20. | 143.4803 | －38．4005 | KJF collection | 0311998 | jKJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 275 | VIC | Keppel Creek | upper site | 94091700 | 800 | 145.4600 | －37．2700 | 1 C collection | $17 \times 1994$ | SP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
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| \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 世 } \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\mathbf{j}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 品 } \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 6 \\ & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 塄 } \\ & 93 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | － | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 埐 } \\ & \text { 第 } \\ & \text { 察 } \end{aligned}$ |  | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 293 | VIC | King Parrot Creek | Wallaby Ck Rd | 76081600 | 350 | 145.1456 | －37．2550 | MV－EPH1710， 1711 | 16 VIII 1976 | J0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 294 | VIC | King Parrot Creek | 4WD track off Whittlesea－ Yea Rd，Kinglake West | 96100701 | 340 | 145．1544 | －37．2520 | KJF collection | $07 \times 1996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 295 | VIC | King Parrot Creek | h Wittlesea－Yea Rd，15km SW of Flowerdale | 98030501 | 350 | 145．4448 | －37．2550 | KJF collection | 051111998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 296 | VIC | King River | King Valley Rd，Cheshunt | 99022004 | 270 | 146.2533 | －36．4755 | KJF collection | 20111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 297 | VIC | King River | King Valley Rd，Cheshunt | 39022202 | 270 | 146.2533 | －36．4755 | KJF collection | 22119999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 298 | VIC | Koala Park Dam | Mount Alexandra State Park | 97141901 | 630 | 144.1322 | ． 37.0202 | KJF collection | 19 XI 1997 | NA\＆FM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 299 | VIC | La Trobe River | Big Creek Basin Rd，5km E of Powellown | 96101002 | 320 | 145，5010 | ． 37.5235 | KsF collection | $10 \times 1996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 300 | TAS | Lagoon of isiands | off Interiaken Rd | 95122104 | 760 | 146.5600 | －42．0346 | KJF collection | $21 \times 11996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 301 | NSW | Lake Albina | Mt Kosciusko | 29020200 | 1920 | 148.1630 | － 26.2659 | NHM | 02 II 1929 | RJT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 302 | NSW | Lake Albina | Mt Kosciusio | 79042000 | 1950 | 147.1600 | －36．2600 | Collection | 20 N 1973 | IC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 303 | NSW | Lake Albina | infiowing stream，Mt Kosciusko | 85020901 | 1920 | 148．1630 | －36．2659 | MV－EPH1778 | 0911985 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 304 | NSW | Lake Albina | ortlet stream＝Lady Northcotes Creek | 85020903 | 1920 | 147.1600 | －36．2600 | MV．EPH1776，1777 | 09 11985 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| 울 | 皆 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哏 } \\ & \text { 空 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 稛 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\vdots}{\mathbf{0}} \\ & \frac{0}{\bar{\circ}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 鹗 } \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & \text { 2 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 5 \\ \frac{2}{2} \\ \frac{2}{n} \\ \frac{2}{5} \\ 2 i \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} e \\ \frac{2}{x} \\ i \\ i \\ \frac{i}{5} \\ \frac{x}{3} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \mathbf{8} \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 305 |  | Lake Ayr inlet stream | Cradte Mountain－Lake St Clair NP | 90011603 | 880 | 146.0500 | 41.4900 | MV－EEPH1464， 1474，1542，15a3 | 161990 | JDSDC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 306 | TAS | Lake Ayr outet stream | upstream Douglas Creek， Cradle Mountain－Lake St Clair NP | 90011604 | 640 | 146.0200 | －41．4800 | MV－EPH1470 | 161990 | JD80C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 307 | tas | Lake Ayr outtet stream | 100m downstream Lake， Cradle Mountain－Lake St Clair NP | 90011605 | 800 | 146.0300 | 41.4900 | MVEPH1467 | 1611990 | Jos．0． | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 308 | TAS | Lake Botsoord | off 4W0 track | 96122102 | 1150 | 146.3019 | 41.5317 | KJF coliection | $21 \times 11996$ | KJJ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 309 | NSW | Lake Cootapatomba | Mt Kosciusko | 30012700 | 2160 | 148.1559 | －36．2801 | NHM | 271930 | RJT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 310 | NSW | Lake Cootapatomba | Inflowing stream，Mt Kosciusko | 84012400 | 2160 | 148.1559 | －36．2801 | MVEEPHiT74 | 2411984 | J0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 311 | TAS | Lake Crescent | Outilet stream | 88110401 | 900 | 147.1000 | 42.0700 | MV．EPH0i33 | $04 \times 11988$ | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 312 | tas | Lake Dove | Lake Dove Rd．Cradie Mountain－Lake St Clair NP | 96122902 | 934 | 145.5738 | 41.3917 | K．JF collection | $29 \times 111996$ | KJF | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 313 | TAS | Lake Echo | South side of Dam | 96122300 | 846 | 146.3825 | －42．1302 | KJF collection | 23 X］11996 | KJIF | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 314 |  | Lake Elizabeth | Iniet stream，Ciways | 88052200 | 210 | 143.4536 | －38．3313 | MV－EPH1751 | 22V1988 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 315 | TAS | Lake Leake | Citting Grass Bay | 96122203 | 580 | 147.4800 | 42.0100 | KJF collection | 22 XII 1996 | K KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 316 | TAS | Lake Lilia | Cradle Mountain－Lake St Clair NP | 96122901 | 922 | 145．5720 | 41.3912 | KJF collection | $29 \times 111996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 317 | TAS | Lake Paget | below Lake Augusta spillway | 96122103 | 1150 | 146.3050 | －41．5222 | KJF collection | $21 \times 111996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 2
Page 24

Locality data for all specimens examined


Locality data for all specimens examined


Page 26

Locality data for all specimens examined

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 드웅 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 을 <br> 总 | 율 <br> 흥 <br> 0 | 总 |  | 品 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 음 } \\ & \underline{0} \\ & \hline \mathbf{O} \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{20}{6} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | － |  | $\stackrel{5}{2}$ |  |  | 只 | 号 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 䂞 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| － | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Loch River Rd－2nd bridge | 98020904 | 280 | 145.5943 | －37．5030 K | WJF collection | 09111998 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 345 | VIC | Loch River tributary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 346 | VIC | Loddon River | Guildford Bridge，Midand Highway | 97122001 | 240 | 144.0958 | ． 37.0855 | KJF collection | 20 XII 1997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Loves Creek Picric Ground，Colac－Beech Forrest Rd | 10303 | 100 | 143.3452 | －38．2855 | KJF collection | 0311998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 347 | VIC | Love Creek | Fomest Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
|  | VIC | Lyrebird Creek | Olinda Creek Rd， Oandenong Ranges | 97032404. | 220 | 145.2345 | －37．4950 | KJF collection | 24 111997 | KKJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 349 | VIC | Macalister River | Cheynes Bridge | 97020703 | 120 | 146.4004 | －37．4548 | K．JF coliection | 1071197 | KF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 349 | VIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Mackenzie River | Wartook Rd，Grampians | 99011605 | 420 | 142.2456 | ． 37.0643 | KJF collection | 1611999 | ｜KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |  | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 350 | Vic | Macquaṅe River | Ross Bridge，Ross | 96122201 | 180 | 147．2919 | －42．0157 | KJF collection | $22 \times 11990$ | KF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 351 | TAS | Macquane River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 352 | VIC | Main Creek－Site 1 | Baldys Rd，Green＇s Bish National Park | 97041601 | 110 | 144.5734 | －38．2520 | KJF collection | 16 IV 1997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Boneo（Rosebud－Flinders） |  |  |  | 3818 | IF collection | 16 IV 1997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 353 | VIC | Main Creek－Site 2 |  | 97041602 | 3 | ， 4. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 10030002 | 700 | 145.3500 | －17．2100 | SMANH | til 1910－1913 | ME | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 354 | QLD | Malanda |  | 05021001 | 280 | 148.1100 | 41.3400 | ｜AV－EPH0192 | 1011995 | PS\＆PG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 355 | TAS | Margisons Creek | St Mary＇s | 95021001 | 280 | 48．1． | ， 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Bonang Rd， 0.5 kms S of Malinns | 99021306 | 260 | 148.3600 | －37．2500 | KJF conlection | 1311999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 356 | VIC | Maruns Creek Nc． 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 6 km downstream Heathcote | 81091300 | 250 | 144.4400 | －3i．5700 | MV－EPH1523 | $\frac{131 \times 1981}{23111999}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 1 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 |
| 357 | V | Mavor Creek | Heathoote | 99022303 | 240 | 144.4240 | －36．5521 | KJF collection | 23111999 | Wr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 358 | VIC | Mclvor Creek | Heancole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | e |  |  |
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| $\dot{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & \stackrel{\Phi}{0} \\ & \text { 灾 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 동 } \\ & \text { 웅 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \text { 安 } \\ & \text { 芯 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $$ | 象 | 德 |  |  | 응 荷 8 | 聥 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9} \\ & \frac{7}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 5 \\ \frac{5}{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 8 \\ & \frac{2}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | 㘶 | T．inconpsicua | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \sum_{2} \\ & \mathbf{x} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | T. simillima | THRAULOPHELBIA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 枈 } \\ & 0 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |  | \％ | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 359 | VIC | McKay Creek | Mit McKay－Howmans Gap Rd | 80011100 | 1580 | 147．1400 | －36．5200 | MiV－EPH1770 | 1111980 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 360 | VIC | McKay Creek（Roadside Springs＂） | Mt McKay，Alpine National Park | 96110906 | 1700 | 147.1539 | －36．5225 | K．3F collection | $09 \times 1996$ | K NJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 361 | VIC | McKay Creek（Roddside Springs＂） | Mt McKay，Alpine National Park | 99020503 | 1700 | 147.1539 | －36．5225 | KJF collection | 105 111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 362 | VIC | McKKay Creek tributary waterfall | Mi McKay，Alpine National Park | 96110905 | 1700 | 147.1520 | －36．5219 | KJF collection | $09 \times 11996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 363 | VIC | McKay Creek tributary waterfall | Mi McKay，Alpine National Park | 99020504 | 1700 | 147.1520 | －36．5219 | KJF collection | 05111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 364 | SA | Meadows Creek | Fingerboard comer， Finniss River Survey， location 3173 | $89103103 \times$ | x |  |  | AWOC | $31 \times 1989$ | PS\＆SS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 365 | TAS | Meander River | Westwood | 60010900 | 150 | 146.5700 | 41.3000 | ANIC | 0111960 | DS | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 366 | VIC | Melta Gully | Annes Cascades，Melba Gully State Park | 98010305 | 340 | 143.2245 | －38．4144 | K．JF collection | 0311998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 367 | VIC | Melloa Gully | Annes Cascades，Meiba Gulty State Park | 99121902 | 340 | 143.2215 | －38．4144 | KJF collection | 13 XII 1999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| 20 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 要 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ } \\ & \hline \mathbf{5} \end{aligned}$ | 능 荅 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{~} \\ & \mathbf{y} \\ & 4 \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \mathbf{z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9} \\ & \frac{3}{5} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{1}{6} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{10}{2} \\ \frac{8}{8} \\ n_{0}^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | 量 | THRAULOPHELBIA |  | 量 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 383 | VIC | Mosquito Creek | Bonfieids Bridge， Redesdale－Bendigo Rd | 99022307 | 180 | 144.2949 | －36．5114 | KJF coliection | 23 II 1999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 384 | TAS | Mother Logans Creek | Terry Hills Rd | 95020907 | 130 | 148.0600 | 41.1300 | MV－EPH0200， 0201 | 0911995 | PS\＆PG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 385 | QLD | Mother Moutain | 12km SE of Gympie | 80102900 | 100 | 152.4700 | －26．1500 | MV－EPH1127 | $29 \times 1980$ | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 366 | VIC | Mount Misery Creek | Rokewood－Skipton Rd | 99022704 | 190 | 143．3940 | －37．5254 | KJF collection | 27111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 387 | VIC | Mountain Creek | 7 km E of Tawonga South | 90110300 | 510. | 147.1400 | －36．4200） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MV-EPH1584, } \\ & 1660,1723 \end{aligned}$ | $03 \times 11990$ | DC | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 388 | VIC | Mt Baw Baw |  | 75011400 | 1460 | 146.1600 | ． 37.5000 |  | 1411975 | IC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 389 | Vic | Mt Baw Baw |  | 7511990 | 4460 | 146.9600 | －37．500 | ticoll | 1911975 | 1 C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 390 | VIC | Mt Baw Baw |  | 75112900 | 1460 | 146.1600 | －37．5000 | to Colection | $29 \times 1975$ | 1 C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 391 | VIC | M B Buller |  | 58011700 | 1680 | 146.2500 | －37．0800 | MV－EPH1756 | 1711958 | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 392 | Vic | Mt Butier |  | 95032800 | 1680 | 146.2500 | － 37.0800 | ic Collection | 28111995 | 1 C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 393 | VIC | Muddy Creek | Selby－Aura Rd | 96101301 | 225 | 145.2315 | －37．5515 | KSF collection | $13 \times 1996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 394／ | NSW | Murray River tributary | Tom Groggin Picnic Area， Kosciusko NP | 80102400 | 490 | 148.0800 | －36．2100 | MV－EPH1649， 1650 | $24 \times 1980$ | OC | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 395 | VIC | Murrindal River | Gelantipy Rd | 97101901 | 220 | 148.1256 | －37．2327 | KJF collection | 19X 1997 | KJJ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 396 | VIC | Murrindindi River | Murrindindi Falls Rd | 96113001 | 300 | \｛45．3327 | －37．2348 | KJF collection | $30 \times 11996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 397 | VIC | Myrde Creek | Donna Buang Rd | 97040603 | 780 | 145.3642 | －37．4235 | KJF collection | 06 IV 1997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 398 | VIC | Myrthe Creek | Donna Buang Rd | 200022602 | 780 | 145.3642 | －37．4235 | KJF collection | 26112000 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 399 | VIC | Myrtle Creek | Donna Buang Rd | 200031903 | 7801 | 145.3642 | －37．4235 | KJF collection | 191112000 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
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| $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 需 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \stackrel{2}{2} \\ & \text { ín } \end{aligned}$ | 号 気 集 | 皆 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 홀 } \\ & \text { 空 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{\Phi} \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흘 } \\ & \frac{0}{\bar{O}} \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毕 } \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \times \end{aligned}$ | 5 3 3 3 3 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & 3 \\ & i \\ & i \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | 哭 |  | T．parva | 苟 |  | 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | VIC | Matice Gully | Sylvia Creek Rd，Toolangi Slate Forest | 96112901 | 620 | 145.3104 | －37．3144 | KJF collection | 29 XI 1996 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 401 | VIC | Naringhil Creek | Rokewood－Skipion Rd | 99022706 | 250 | 143.2930 | －37．4540 | KJF conlection | 27111999 | KJFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 402 | TAS | Nelson River | 38km E of Queenstown | 88110200 | 500） | 145.4200 | －42．0600 | MV－EPH0159 | 02×11998 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 403 | TAS | New River | upstream Ralph Falls | 95021003 | 810 | \＄47．5000 | －41．1900 | MV－EPH0207 | 1011995 | PS\＆PG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 404 | NSW | No． 1 Creek | 6 kms downstream Thredbo | 78121401 | 1260 | 148.2300 | －36．2800 | MV－EPH1678， 1679 | $14 \times 11978$ | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4051 | NSW | No． 1 Creek | upstream Alpine Way | 84012301 | 1400 | 148.2300 | －36．2600 | MV－EPH1689 | 2311984 | J0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 406 | VIC | North Cascede Creek | Thornson Valley Rd | 91011300 | 920 | 146.2019 | －37．4822 | MV－EPH1722 | 1311991 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 407 | SA | North East River | near Camanven，Kangaroo island | 77111900 | 40. | 136.5900 | －35．5600 | PS collection | $19 \times 19977$ | JEB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 408 | TAS | North Eldon River | Lake Burbury | 94110100） x | $x$ | x |  | MV－EPH1460， 1461 | $01 \times 11994$ | MRHI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 409 | TAS | North Esk River |  | $33012100 \times$ |  | x |  | NHM | 2111933 | RJT | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 410 | TAS | North Esk River | near Perth | $60019800 \times$ | x | x |  | ANIC | re： 1960 | LS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 411 | TAS | North George River |  | $94030702 \times$ | x | x |  | MV．EPH0197， 0198 | 07 M 1994 | PS\＆MS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 412 | SA | North Para River | downstream Ordando Ford， winery discharge | $83081900 \times$ | x | x |  | AWCC | 19 VIII 1983 | PS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{y y y y}{0} \\ \stackrel{0}{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 品 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 능 } \\ & \text { (0) } \\ & \overline{8} \end{aligned}$ |  | N. (A) fuscula | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{5} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & n \\ & \frac{1}{n} \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 5 <br> $\frac{5}{2}$ <br> $\frac{1}{3}$ <br> $\frac{5}{3}$ <br> 3 <br> 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 莺 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 413 | SA | North Para River | Tanunda | 91102100 | 250 | 138.5800 | -34.3200 | WQ | 21×1991 | PW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 414 | NSW | Ogivies Creek | Tooma Rd | 97120105 | 1300 | 148.1900 | $-36.0300$ | KJF collection | 01 X14997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 415 | VIC | Olinda Creek | Olinda Creek Rd. Dandenong Ranges | 97032405 | 260 | 145.2235 | -37.4947 | KJF collection | 241111997 | KJj | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 416 | VIC | O'Shannassy River | restricted access | 75102401 | 580 | 145.4900 | $-37.3600$ | MV-EPH1611 | $24 \times 1975$ | ND | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 417 | vic | OShannassy River | restricted access | 75111400 | 580 | 145.4900 | -37.3600 | MV-EPH1554, 1555, 1570, 1603, 1610. 1713 | $14 \times 11975$ | JD | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 418 | VIC | O'Shannassy River | restricted access | 76102103 | 580 | 145.4900 | -37.3600 | MV-EPH1609 | $21 \times 1976$ | J0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 419 | VIC | O'Shannessy R Reer | restricted access | 76121501 | 580 | 145.4900 | -37.3600 | MV-EPH1567 | $15 \times 111976$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 420 | VIC | O'Shannassy River | restricted access | 76421502 | 580 | 145.4960 | -37.3600 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MV-EPH1567, } \\ & 1581,1608 \end{aligned}$ | 15 XH 1976 | JD | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 421 | VIC | O'Shannassy River | restricted access | 80010401 | 580 | 145.4900 | -37.36C0 | MV-EPH1553, 1556, 1568, 1569, 1577,1604, 1607, 1759 | 0411980 | JD | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 422 | Vic | O'Shannassy River | restricted access | 94121502 | 580 | 145.4900 | -37.3600 | MV | $05 \times 111994$ | MRHI | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 423 | TAS | Ouse River | Grace Nicholas Park, Ouse | 96121902 | 90. | 146.4245 | -42.2912 | KiF coilection | 19 XII 1996 | KJJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 424 | TAS | Ouse River | ourside Waddamana | 96122005 | 530 | 146.4505 | -42.0904 | KJF collection | $20 \times 111996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 425 | VIC | Ovens Rifer | School Bridge. Harrietvilte | 96119904 | 500 | 147.0348 | -36.5325 | KJF collection | $19 \times 1996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined


Locality data for all specirrens examined
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Locality data for all specimens examined

| $$ |  | ． | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { 娄 }}{\frac{5}{4}}$ |  | 亳 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 呂 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 늘 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \hline \mathbf{O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 哭 } \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & \frac{x}{x} \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{00}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{8} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & i \\ & i \\ & i \\ & i n \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \frac{5}{x} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ |  | 3 3 0 0 8 | 皆 |  | 2 | 品 | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 50 \\ \mathbf{9 0} \\ 5 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & \mathbf{y} \\ & \hline \mathbf{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 等 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 459.9 VIC | Rodger River | Deddick | 90112300 | 560 | 148.4600 | －37．2900 | AWT | $23 \times 1990$ | unknown | ， | 2 | － | 0 | 0 | ， | － | ， | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 460 VIV | Rose Creek | Rose Creek Rd | 96102001 | 340 | 142.2200 | －37．0737 | K－NF collection | $20 \times 1996$ | BM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 461 VIC | Rose River Site 1 | Rose River RdBridge | 99022201 | 340 | ${ }^{146.3455}$ | －36．4940 | K．JF collection | 2211999 | BM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 462 VIC | Rosa River Sita 1 | Rose River R B Bridge | 99031701 | 340 | 146．3465 | －36．4940 | KJF collection | 17111959 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 463 VIIC | Rose River Site 2 | Rose River Rd | 99031702 | 360 | 146.3302 | －36．5214 | KJF collection | 17111999 | ，$\overline{\text { J }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 464 VIC | Rose River Site 3 | Rose River Rd | 99031703 | 460 | 146.3212 | －36．5701 | KJF collection | 17 7119 | 10］F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 465 VIC | Rose River Site 4 | Bennies，Upper Rose River／Cobbler Lake Rd | 99031704 | 460 | 146.3210 | －36．5645 | KJF collection | 17 III 1999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 466 VVC | Rubicon River | Rubicon Falis | 80112001 | 560 | 145.5102 | ．37．2029 | MVEEPH1648 | $20 \times 1980$ | J0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 467 Vic | Rubicon River | Rubicon Bridge，Taggerty－ Thomton Rd | 98104701 | 220 | 145.4756 | －37．665 | KJF collection | $17 \times 1998$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 468 VIC | Rubicon River | Rubicon Bridge，Taggerty－ <br> Thomton Rd | 98111701 | 220 | 145.4756 | －37．6555 | KJF collection | $17 \times 1998$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 469 VIC | Rubicon River | Rubicon Bridge，Taggerty－ Thomton Rd | 98121601 | 220 | 145.4756 | ．37．655 | KJF collection | 16 XII 1998 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 470 Vic | Ruined Caste Springs | Falis Creek | 99020502 | 1755 | 147.1555 | 36.5240 | K．JF collection | 0511999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 471 VIC | Rum Creek | Thomson Valiey | 85041700 | 640 | 146.2249 | ． 37.5245 | MVEEPH1758 | 17 IV 1985 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 472 VIC | Running Creek | Warturion－Jamieson Rd | 81103102 | 759 | 145.5800 | －37．2500 | MV．EPH1580， 1724 | $31 \times 1981$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| i | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 帯 } \\ & \text { 范 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{i} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y y y y}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { B } \\ \text { 总 } \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 帚 } \\ & \text { 莺 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ¢ <br> ¢0 <br> ¢ <br> 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{01}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i n \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & i \\ & \frac{i}{n} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & z \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 䍝 |  |  | 品 <br> 品 <br> 定 | 5 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 473 | VIC | Running Creek | Warbutton－Jamieson R ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 85030700 | 750 | 145.5800 | ． 37.2500 | MV－EPH1644 | 07 III 1985 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 474 | VIC | Running Creek | downstream Running Creek Reseı joir | $85071000 \times$ |  |  |  | MV－EPH1639 | 10 VlH 1985 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 475 | VIC | Running Jump Creek tributary | Mt Buflaio Rd | 96110705 | 1500 | 145.4626 | －36．4615 | KJF collection | $07 \times 11996$ | KJJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 476 | VIC | Russell Creek | off Gunn Rd，3km N of Noojee | 96101005 | 280 | 145.5926 | －37．5045 | KJF collection | $10 \times 1996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 477 | TAS | Russell River | near Lonnavale | 82030202 | 130 | 146.4700 | － 42.5600 | MV－EPH0124 | 02月1982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 478 | VIC | Sandy Creek | Mumindindi Fallis Rd | 96112903 | 390 | 145.3427 | －37．2627 | KJF coliection | $29 \times 1996$ | K KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 479 | VIC | Sandy Creek | near Lucas Access Rd off Omeo Highway | 97102902 | 220 | 147.5056 | －37．2457 | K．JF collection | $29 \times 1997$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4801 | VIC | Sassafras Creek | 1 km N of Kallista | 74903000 | 320 | 145.2200 | －37．5200 | MV | $30 \times 1974$ | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 481 | VIC | Sassafras Creek | Monbulk－Oinda Rd， Dandenong Ranges | 96101302 | 320 | 145.2218 | －37．5246 | KJF collection | 13X 1996 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 482 | VIC | Sassafras Creek | Kays Pienic Grourd． Monbulk Rd，Dandenong Ranges | 96101303 | 250 | 145.2321 | －37．5303 | KJF collection | $13 \times 1996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4831 |  | Sassafras Creek | Monbulk－Olinda Rd， Dandenong Ranges | 96112104 | 320 | 145.2218 | ． 37.5246 | KJF collection | $21 \times 1995$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

|  |  | 든 <br> © |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 帚 } \\ & \text { 妾 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 品 } \\ \text { 䔍 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 呂 } \\ & \underline{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 気 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{3} \\ & \frac{5}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{2} \end{aligned}$ | T．lucida |  | 20 |  | $\square$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & \mathbf{y} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 宕 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 484 VIC | Sassafras Creek | Kays Pienic Ground， Monbulk Rd，Dandenong Ranges | 96112102 | 250 | 145.2321 | －37．5303 | KIF collection | $21 \times 11996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 485 VIC | Sassafras Creek | Kays Picnic Ground． Monbulk Rd，Dandenong Ranges | 97032402 | 250 | 145.2321 | －37．5303 | KJF collection | 24111997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 486 VIC | Sassafras Creek | Perrins Creek Rd Dandenong Ranges | 97401502 | 370 | 145.2218 | －37．5246 | KJF collection | $15 \times 1997$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 487 VIC | Sassafras Creek | Kays Picric Ground， Monbulk Rd，Dandenong Ranges | 99032002 | 250 | 145.2321 | －37．5303 | KJF collection | 20111999 | YWF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 488 VIC | Sawpit Creek | Donnelly Weir Rd | 97040605 | 100 | 145.3203 | －37．3815 | KJF coulection | 06 IV 1997 | KJJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 489 TAS | Scamander River | Upper Scamander | 82030950 | 20 | 148.1100 | －41．2600 | MV－EPH0146 | 091111982 | DC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 490 SA | Scotit Creek |  | $94110900 \times$ | x | －${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  | AWQC | 099 X 1994 | PG\＆CM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 491 VIC | Scrubby Valley Creek | Flat Rock Crossing， Glenelg River Rd | 99011604 | 290 | 142.2636 | －37．0946 | KJF collection | 1611999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 492 TAS | Second River | upsteam Lilydate Falls | 82031100 | 150 | 147，1200 | 41.1300 | MVEPH0115 | 11 ill 1982 | JO\＆DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 493 VIC | Second Wannoon River | Grampians | 77112502 | 430 | 142.3200 | －37．1900 | MV | $25 \times 1977$ | DN\＆PS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 494 NSW | Serpentine River | Point Lookout | 48100000 | 1280 | 152.2000 | －30．2800 | AM | X 1948 | JH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 495 TAS | Seven Time Creek | Tasman Highway，near Targa | 95020804 | 400 | 147.2200 | 41.1800 | MNV－EPH0216 | 08月1995 | PS\＆PG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 498 TAS | Shannon Lagoon | Lake Highway | 96121706 | 1017 | 146.4458 | 41.5913 | K．JF collection | 17711996 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 497 TAS | Shannoon Ligoon | Lake Highway | 96122004 | 1017 | 146.4458 | 41.5913 | KJF collection | 20 XII 1996 | KJjF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{498}$ TȦS | Shannon Eiver | Waddamana Rd | 96121704 | 910 | 146.4539 | －42．0304 | KJF coilection | $17 \times 11996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| 0 |  |  |  |  | 㤟 <br> 总 |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 垔 } \\ \text { 至 } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\stackrel{\Phi}{\stackrel{\Phi}{\mathbf{N}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \stackrel{1}{0} \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3 } \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \frac{1}{5} \\ 2 \\ \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{2}{6} \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{array}$ |  |  | 哭 |  | $\begin{gathered} \sum_{5}^{20} \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | － |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 495 |  | Shannon River | Lake Highway | 96122003 | 1017 | 146.4458 | 41.5913 | KJF collection | $20 \times 111996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 500 | VIC | Shaw Creek | oif Dingo Hill Rd near McMillians walking Track， Alpine NP | 96092203 | 1350 | 146.4215 | －37．2730 |  | 22 IX 1996 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 501 | VIC | Shaw Creek | Kellys Lane Brioge，Alpine NP | 97020601 | 1280 | 146.4422 | －37．2730 | KJF collection | 06 II 1997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 502 | VIC | Shaw Creek | Howitit R，Alpine NP | 97020602 | 1520 | 146.4448 | －37．2342 | KJF collection | 06 II 1997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 503 | VIC | Shegak Creek | below Sheoak Falls，Great Ocean Rd | 98100802 | 10 | 143.5800 | －38．3402 | KJF collection | $08 \times 1998$ | KFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 504 | VIC | Shicer Creek | West Ovens Track， Bonang NP | 96410802 | 580 | 147.0230 | －37．5520 | KJF collection | $08 \times 1996$ | KFF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 505 | VIC | Smythes Creek | Greai Ocean Rd | 97030202 | 10 | 143.4538 | 38.4231 | KJF collection | 02111997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 506 | VIC | 人）\％bs Creek | Snots Rd | 81103101 | 760 | 145.5400 | －37．2100 | MV－EPH1573， 1585 | $31 \times 1981$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 507 | VIC | Snobs Creek tributary | Snobs Creek Rd | 81103103 | 760 | 145.5438 | －37．2115 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MV-EPH1573, } \\ & 1585,1708,1709 \text {. } \\ & 1763 \end{aligned}$ | $31 \times 1981$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | n | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 508 | VIC | Snowy Creek | Mita | 99031202 | 280 | 146.2215 | －36．3210 | KJF collection | 121119999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5097 | vic | Snowy River | Mckiliops Bridge | 93021404 | 180 | 148.2400 | －37．0500 | KJF collection | 1411999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 510 | VIC | Snowy River | Wanton Bridge | 74031700｜x | x | x |  | MV－EPH1645， 1647 | 17 III 1974 | unknown | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 519］ | vit | South Buller Creek headwaters | Mit Buller Summit | 97021501 | 1680 | 146.2500 | ． 37.0800 | KJF collection | 15111997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| $\stackrel{0}{2}$ |  | © 空 © 灾 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\Phi}{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 늘 } \\ & \text { (0 } \\ & \overline{8} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $N_{1}(A) \text { fusca }$ | N．（A）fuscula | 穿 | N. (A) sp. "AV5" |  |  | 2 3 5 5 3 2 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline 3 \\ & \hline 10 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{2} \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | T．simillima |  |  | M. adamus |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 512 | TAS | South Esk River | Clarendon | 33030900 | 160 | 947．9700 | 41.3800 | NHM | 09 in 1933 | ES | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 513 | TAS | South George River | St Columba Falis Reserve | 94100500 | 150 | 147.5800 | －41．1800 | MV－EPH1473， 1474 | 05 X 1994 | MRHI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 514 | NSW | South Rarnshead |  | 82032500 | 1950 | 148.1400 | $-36.3100$ | IC collection | 251111982 | RG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 515 | SA | South West River | Brigadoon，Kangaroo Island | 77101900 | 180 | 136.5000 | －35．5200 | PS collection | 19 XI 1977 | JEB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 516 | VIC | Spring Creek | 4．5km upstream Alexandra Yarck Rd | 81110400 | 220 | 145.3800 | －37．0800 | MV－EPH1509 | $04 \times 1981$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 517 | SA | Spring Creek |  | 95101100 x |  |  |  | AWQC | $11 \times 1995$ | MRT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 518 | TAS | St Columbia Falis | Pyengana | 82031001 | 150 | 148.0000 | 41.1700 | MV－EPH0162 | 10 III 1982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 519 | TAS | St Patricks River | Targa | 82031002 | 380 | 147.2100 | 41.1800 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MV-EPH0120, } \\ & 0121,0177 \end{aligned}$ | 10 III 1982 | OC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 520 | TAS | St Patricks River | Numamara | 95020305 | 350 | 147.1700 | －41．2303 | MV－EPH0233， 0236 | 0811995 | PS\＆PG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 521 | VC | Starvation Creek | Warburton－Woods Point crossing | 75102402 | 240 | 145.4700 | －37．4200 | MV－EPH1558 | $24 \times 1975$ | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 522 | VIC | Starvation Creek | Warburion－Woods Point crossing | 76031300 | 240 | 145.4700 | －37．4200 | MV－EPH1490． 1491．1492，1493． 1559． 1616 | 19 ill 1976 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 523 | VIC | Starvation Creek | Warburton－Woods Point crossing | 76042302 | 240 | 145.4700 | －37．4200 | MVEPHI495． 1501， 4502,1560 | 23 IV 1976 | J0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 524 | ViC | Starvation Creek | upstream weir | 77102800 | 340 | 145.5053 | －37．4539 | MV－EPH1712 | $28 \times 1977$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
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| $\begin{array}{l\|l} \text { 荡 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{3} \\ & \frac{3}{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 号 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { I5 } \\ \hline \mathbf{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{-}{\mathbf{O}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { a } \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{x} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{i}{3} \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 皆 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S } \\ & \mathbf{0} \\ & \text { 空 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 宕 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 525 VIC | Starvation Creek | upstream weir | 77120961 | 340 | 145.5053 | －37．4539 | MV－드PH566， 1578 | $109 \times 11977$ | jD | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 526.1 VC | Staration Creek | upstream weir | 79121900 | 340 | 145．50：3 | －37．4539 | MVELPH1621， 1622 | 19 XII 1979 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 527 VIC | Steavensons Falls | Upper Gellibrand River | 82012000 $\times$ |  |  |  | MV | 2011982 | AN\＆AW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 528 VIC | Steavensons River | Buxton－Marysville Rd | 98021504 | 460 | 145.4502 | －37．2853 | KJF collection | $15 \mid 1998$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 529 VIC | Steavensons River | Marsville Rd | 98101703 | 320 | 145.4401 | －37．2832 | KJF collection | 17X1998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 530 VIC | Stili Creek | Eildon－vamieson Rd | 96041403 | 340 | 146.0225 | －37．2243 | KJF collection | 141319996 | ET | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 531 TAS | Stoney Creek |  | 82022302 | 350 | 146.5100 | 42.5100 | MV－EPH0110 | 23119982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5320 LD | Story Creek | Conondale Ranges | 77082600 | 140 | 152.4100 | －26．5100 |  | $20 \times 1979$ | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 533 OLD | Stony Creek | Conandale Ranges | 79112002 | 140 | 152.4100 | －26．5100 |  | $20 \times 1979$ | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 534 VIC | Stony Creek | Wonderland Car Park Grampians | 90112600 | 410 | 142.3010 | 37.0904 | MV－EPH1646 | 26 XI 1990 | DC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 535 VIC | Stony Creek | Shands Rd，Red Mill South | 97041603 | 100 | 145.0029 | －38．2412 | KJF colliection | 161V 1997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 536．VIC | Stony Creek | Wonderland Car Park Grampians | 99011602 | 410 | 142.3010 | －37．0904 | KJF collection | 1611999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 537 QLD | Stony Creek | Conandale Ranges | 97082602 | 140 | 152.4100 | －26．5100 | MV－EPH1251，1259 | 26 VIII 1997 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5380LO | Stony Creek lower | Conondate Ranges | 97082600 | 140 | 152.4100 | －26．5100 | MV－EPH1685 | 26 vil 1997 | j0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 539 SA | Stunsail Broom River | Kangaroo Island， Coramandel | 76121200 | 8 | 137.0000 | －35．5900 | PS collection | $12 \times 11976$ | wow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 540）SA | Sturt River | Coramandel Valley | 76042900 | 200 | 138.5700 | －35．0300 | PS coilection | 291V1976 | PS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| － |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{i}{\circ}}{\stackrel{\circ}{i n}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䖝 } \\ & \text { 穻 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㟧 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 喜 | 褭 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 蜀 } \\ & \stackrel{3}{3} \\ & \mathbf{3} \\ & \mathbf{z} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{3}{5} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ | 2 2 2 2 0 0 5 2 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{2}{n} \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & z \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{x} \\ & \sqrt{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & =0 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 9 <br>  <br>  <br>  | 劵 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 否 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 552 | VIC | Talbot Creek | downstream Thomson River Reservoir | 83081000 | 700 | 147.2220 | －37．5052 | MV．EPH1757 | 10 Vil 1983 | OC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 553 | VIC | Talbot Creek | downstream Thomson River Reservoir | 86040900 | 700 | 147.2220 | －37．5052 | NMC-EPH1752, | 109 IV 1986 | DC | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 554 | VIC | Tambo River | S of Doctors Flat，Omeo Highway | 97102901 | 300 | 147．4514 | －37．1840 | KJF collection | 29×1997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 555 | VIC | Tambo River | Omeo Highway，Ensay South | 99030405 | 320 | 147.4900 | －37．2200 | KJF collection | 104111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 556 | VIC | Tanjil River | 5 km N of Moe | 87100600 | 60 | 146.1600 | －38．0800 | MV－EPH1652， 1659 | $106 \times 1987$ | KW\＆AN | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 557 | VIC | Tanjil River | Asthown Rd | 96110301 | 70 | 146.1433 | －38．0548 | KJF collection | $103 \times 11996$ | K $\mathrm{J}^{\text {F }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 558 | VIC | Tanili River east branch headwaters | Mt Eaw Baw Alpine Village | 96101201 | 1440 | 146.1545 | －37．5025 | KJF collection | $12 \times 1996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 559 | VIC | Tangili River east branch headwaters | Mt Baw Baw Alpine Village | 99021603 | 1440 | 146.1545 | －37．5025 | KJf collection | 16111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 560 | VIC | Tanjil River east branch tributary | Mt Baw Paw Tourist Rd | 96101104 | 500 | 146.1129 | －37．4958 | KJF collection | $11 \times 1996$ | K．JF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | VIC | Tanill River east branch tibutary | Mt Baw Baw Tourist Rd | 96101105 | 490 | 146.1211 | －37．5018 | K．JF collection | $11 \times 1996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 562 | Vic | Taponga River | Eilidon－Jamieson Rd | 99022008 | 320 | 146.0250 | －37．2242 | KJF collection | 2011999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 563 | VIC | Target Creek tributary | Heytield－Jamieson Rd | 97020502 | 720 | 146.3357 | －37．3504 | KJF collection | 0511997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 564 | Vic | Tama River | Tarra－Bulga NP | 84010900 | 340 | 146．3213 | －38．2657 | MVEPH1715， <br> 1716， 1717 | 0911984 | JD | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
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| － | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\tilde{W}} \\ & \stackrel{5}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\mathbf{o}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \\ & \stackrel{\omega}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 韩 } \\ & \text { 骎 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 듷 <br> 응 <br> 을 <br> $\mathbf{0}$ <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\tilde{0}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{0}} \\ & \underline{\bar{O}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \frac{80}{3} \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ \frac{3}{2} \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \frac{3}{2} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | 을 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 9 \\ 0.0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { in } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \frac{90}{98} \\ 58 \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 粡 } \\ \text { 品 } \\ \text { 定 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \mathbf{E} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 565 | VIC | Tara River | 20 m upstream Tara Falls | 84120900 | 320 | 146.3220 | －38．2717 | MV－EPH4769 | 09 Xll 1984 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 566 | VIC | Tama River | Yaram | 91031200 | 320 | 146.3220 | －38．2717 | MV | 12 III 1991 | MR H I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | 0 | d | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 567 | VIC | Tana River | Tama－Bulga NP | 98012601 | 340 | 146.3213 | －38．2657 | KJF coliection | 2611998 | K／FF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 568 | VIC | Tarra River | Tama－Bulga NP | 9812101 | 340 | 146.3213 | －38．2657 | KJF coilection | 21 Xl 1998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| 569 | VIC | Tama River | Tarà－Bulga ${ }^{\text {NP }}$ | 98112102 | 340 | 146.3215 | －38．2700 | K 1 IF collection | $21 \times 19988$ | K．jF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 570 | VIC | Tara River | Tama－Buiga NP | 99021601 | 340 | 146.3215 | －38．2700 | KJF collection | 1611993 | KJjF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 571 | VIC | Tawin River East | Mirboo Bridge，Mitoo | 99030404 | 90 | 146.1237 | －38．2825 | KJF collection | 104｜｜ 1999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 572 | VIC | Thomson River | 12km NNW of Walhalla | 77111200 | 290 | 146.2400 | －37．5200 | MDFRC | $12 \times 11977$ | unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 573 | VIC | Thomson River | Walhalla Rd | 99021505 | 210 | 145.2508 | －37．5735 | KJF collection | 1511999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 574 | VIC | Thowgla Creek | upstream Nariel－Thowgla signpost | 80102000 | 420 | 147.5400 | －36．1800 | MV．EPH1662 | $20 \times 1980$ | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 575 | NSW | Thredto River | Bullocks Nature Track | 84012302 | 1140 | 148.3500 | －36．2600 | MV－EPH1667 | 2311984 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 576 | NSW | Thredbo River | Thredbo－Khancoban Rd | 97120204 | 1560 | 148.1700 | －36．3100 | KJF collection | $02 \times 111997$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 577／ | NSW | Thredbo River | Thredbo Goll Course | 84012501 | 1380 | 148.1800 | －36．3000 | MV－EPH1656 | 2511984 | J | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 578 | NSW | Thredbo River，Thredbo | Thredbo Goll Course | 78121402 | 1380 | 148.1800 | －36．3000 | MV－EPH1655 | $14 \times 11978$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 579 | NSW | Thredbo River，Thredbo | Thredbo Golf Course | 84042502 | 1380 | 148.1800 | －36．3000 | MVEPH1682 | 2511984 | j0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\mathbf{5}} \\ & \stackrel{5}{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\omega} \\ & \dot{\omega} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 凹 } \\ & \text { 芯 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \bar{O} \\ & \hline \mathbf{S} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \frac{5}{x} \\ & \frac{2}{6} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | 3 3 3 3 2 | T. lucida |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 580 | NSW | Three Mile Dam | between Cabramurra \＆ Kiandra | 97120101 | 1480 | 148.2700 | －35．1000 | KJF collection | $01 \times 119997$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 581 | VIC | Thuma River | Princes Highway | 74033000 | 140 | 149.1500 | －37．3400 | MV | 30111974 | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 582 | VIC | Thurra River west branch tributary | Thurra Junction Rd | 97101801 | 200 | 149.1741 | －37．2735 | KJF collection | $18 \times 1997$ | KNF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 583 | VIC | Tiger Creek | Heyrield－Jamieson Rd | 97020503 | 930 | 146.3223 | －37．3515 | KJF collection | 05 11997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 584 | VIC | Titania Creek tributary | Witsons Promontory NP | 97021502 | 220 | 146.2227 | －39．0202 | KJF collection | 1511997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 585 | TAS | Tom Creek | locality 16， 5 of Zeehan | 82022801 | ：90 | 145.2500 | －41．5500 | MV－EPH0122， 0125 | 28111982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 586 | SA | Tookayerta Creek | focation 3956 | 84102200 | 190 | 138.3900 | －35．2200 | AWCAC | $22 \times 1984$ | PS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 587 | SA | Tookayerta Creek | TIIAS 1 | 84120501 x |  |  |  | AWQC | $05 \times 119984$ | $\overline{\text { PS }}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 588， | SA | Tookayerta Creek | N7 AS1 | 84120502 x |  |  |  | AWQC | $05 \times 111984$ | PS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 589 | SA | Tookayerta Creek | S7 AS1 | 84120503 | 115 | 138.4200 | －35．2200 | AWQC | $05 \times 11984$ | PS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 590 | SA | Tookayerta Creek | S7 AS2 | 84120504 | 115 | 138.4200 | －35．2200 | AWCC | 05X］ 1989 | PS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 591 | SA | Tookayerta Creek | S5 | 85012300 | 190 | 138.3900 | －35．2200 | AWQC | 2311985 | PS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 592 | SA | Tookayerta Creek | S5 AS3 | 85030600 | 190 | 138.3900 | －35．2200 | AWQC | 06 III 1985 | PS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 593 | SA | Tookayerta Creek | S5 Cleland Gully Rd， location 3956 | 95051200 | 190 | 138.3900 | －35．2200 | AWOC－MRHI | 12 V 1995 | PS | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 594 | VIC | Toorongo River | 5 km NE of Noojee | 96101102 | 280 | 146.0229 | －37．5117 | KJF collection | 11×1996 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 595 | VIC | Toorongo River | 5km NE of Noojee | 98020902 | 280 | 146.0229 | －37．5117 | KJF collection | 09111998 | KNF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 596 | TAS | Tower Rivulet | Rossarden Rd，Pepper Hial | 94092700 | 260 | 147.5200 | －41．3700 | MV－EPH1673 | $27 \times 1994$ | MRH｜ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| $\frac{8}{2}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 需 }}{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { 50 } \\ & 0.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 홀 |  |  |  | $\stackrel{9}{\text { ® }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 는 } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \hline \mathbf{O} \\ & \hline \mathbf{O} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{3}{9}$ $\frac{7}{2}$ 0 2 |  | T．inconpsicua | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \\ \hline 8 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | T．simillima |  | 第 |  | 5 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 5 <br> 5 <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 597 | TAS | Township Creek | neaı Fingal | $95021004 \times$ |  | $x$ | $x$ | MV－EPH0184 | 10111995 | PS\＆PG | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 598 | VIC | Traralgon Creek | Guntzler Bridge | 84052900 | 110 | 146.3100 | －38．2000 | MV－EPH1762 | 29 V 9984 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 599 | VIC | Tullaroop Creek | Carisbrook，Pyrenees Highway | 97122002 | 190 | 143.4857 | －37．0304 | KJF collection | $20 \times 111997$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 600 | VIC | Turitable Creek | Devonshire Lane，Mit Macedon | 81120100 | 680 | 144.3500 | －37．2300 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MV-EPH1744, } \\ & 0: 1745,1746,1747 \end{aligned}$ | 01 X11 1981 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $60{ }^{\text {a }}$ | TAS | Tyenna River | Westerway | 94031100 | 100 | 146.4700 | －42．4000 | MV－EPH0191 | 11111994 | PS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 602 | VIC | Tyers River | Moe－Rawson（Walhalla） Rd，Moondarra State Park | 99021507 | 180 | 146.1942 | －38．0215 | K／F collection | 15111999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 603 | VIC | Unnamed Creek | 3 km W of Genoa | 81052203 | 60 | 149.3300 | －37．2800 | EPH－MV1564， 1565 | 22 V 1981 | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 604 | VIC | Unnamed Creek | NE slope of Mt Buller | $81110300 \times$ |  | $x$ x | $x$ | MV－EPH1728 | $03 \times 1981$ | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 605 | TAS | Unnamed Creek | Stickuand Ave，Hobart | 82030800 | 110 | 147.1700 | －42．5300 | MV－EPH0t23 | 08 III 1982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 606 | VIC | Unnamed Creek | 2 km W of Genoa | 82112100 | 100 | 149.3400 | －37．2800 | MV－EP ${ }^{\text {P }} 1563$ | $21 \times 1982$ | JD | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{607}{ }^{1}$ | TAS | Unnamed Creek | Stickland Ave，Hobart | 88110300 | 110 | 147.1700 | －42．5300， | MV－EPH0131 | 03X19988 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 608 S | SA | Unnamed Creek | Parawa Rd，near Yankalilla | 78110200 | 80 | 138.2100 | －35．2800 | PS collection | $02 \times 1978$ | JEB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | c | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 609 T | TAS | Unnamed Creek | 3 miles E of Waratah | 82027800 | 650 | 145.3300 | －41．2600 | MV－EPH106！ | 28 II 1982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 610 T | TAS | Unnamed Creek | E of Waratah | 88110100 | 600 | 145.3200 | $41.2600 \mid$ | MV－EPH0166 | $01 \times 11998$ | DC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 611 V | VIC | Unnamed creek－＂Summit T－Bar＂trickle | Mt Baw Baw Alpine Village | 96101202 | 1500 | 146.1610 | －37．5030 K | KJF collection | $12 \times 1996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| $\dot{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\Phi}{0} \\ & \text { 荡 } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { U }}{\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { E }}}$ |  |  | 曾 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 票 } \\ & \text { 范 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Collection origin | $\stackrel{\text { む゙ }}{\substack{0 \\ \hline}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { O } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \\ & \frac{0}{\mathbf{5}} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \frac{5}{2} \\ & \frac{c i}{6} \\ & 3 \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | 30 3 3 3 3 3 3 $i$ |  |  |  |  |  | THRAULOPHELEIA | $\begin{array}{\|c} \frac{80}{50} \\ \frac{5}{50} \\ \frac{20}{n} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 612 | VIC | Unnamed icemelt | Mt Buffalo Rd | 96110703 | 1060 | 146.4806 | －36．4239 | KiF collection | $107 \times 1999$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 613 | NSW | Unnamed tributary | south slope of North Ramshead | 84012702 | 1940 | 148．：600 | －36．2800 | MV－EPH1787， 1788 | 2711984 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 614 | NSW | Unnamed tributary | small ck crossing track upper Snowy Valley， Ramshead | 85020902 | 1940 | 148.1600 | －36．2800 | MV－EPH1785 | 109 111985 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 615 | VIC | Unnamed tributary－ ＂Rubbish Tip＊ | Mt Baw Baw Tourist Rd | 96101206 | 620 | 146.1300 | －37．5038 | KJF collection | $12 \times 1996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 616 | NSW | Unnamed trickle | small trickle，Cascade Trall， 1 km upstream Deadhorse Gap | 84012100 | 1600 | 148.1600 | －36．3100 | MV－EPH1782 | 21！1984 | J0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 617 | VIC | Upper Broken River | Mansfield－Whitfield Rd， 9 km N Mansfield | 99022005 | 340 | 146.0620 | －36．5838 | KJF collection | 20111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 618 | VIC | Upper Perkins Creek |  | 94112100 $x$ |  |  |  | MV－EPH1748， 1749 | $21 \times 1994$ | JBa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 619 | VIC | Upper Tambo River | Nunniong Rd | 99021504 | 360 | 147.4500 | －37．4000 | KJF collection | 15111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 620 | OLD | Upstream Falis | Natural Bridge NP | 79120602 | 800 | 153.1400 | －28．1500 | MV－EPH1791 | $06 \times 111979$ | 0 C | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6.1 | VIC | Victoria River | Victoria River Track，Alpine NP | 96111804 | 1010 | 147.2100 | －37．0500 | KJF coilection | $18 \times 11996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 622 | VIC | Victoria River | Victoria River Track，Alpine NP | 96111901 | 1010 | 147.2400 | －37．0500 | KJF collection | $13 \times 11996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 623 | TAS | Walkers Creek | Mt Saddeback | 95021005 | 750 | 147.4600 | －41．2200 | MV－EPH0186 | 10111995 | JD8DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 624 | VIC | Wangarabell Creek | Wangarabell Rd， Wangarabell | 99021302 | 120 | 149.2800 | －37．2200 | KIF collection | 13119999 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 등 <br> 응 <br> 등 <br> $\bar{\circ}$ <br> 8 | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\Phi}{\mathbf{W}} \\ \hline \mathbf{D} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ¢ <br> $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{0}$ <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & \text { 足 } \\ & \frac{5}{5} \\ & \frac{3}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 湒 } \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & 5 \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  | 3 $\frac{3}{6}$ $\frac{3}{3}$ 0 | 皆 |  | 등 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 3 \\ & \mathbf{y} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 岛 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 625 | Vic | Wannon River | Serra Rd Bridge，25km S of Halls Gap | 83120400 | 320 | 142.3000 | －37．2100 | MV | 04 XII 1983 | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 626 | VIC | Wannon River | Victoria Valley Rd | 200012204 | 240 | 142.2000 | －37．3700 | KJF coliection | 2212000 | KJJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 627 | VIIC | Wannon River | Wannon Falis | 99022804 | 120 | 141.5020 | －37．4039 | KJF collection | 2811999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 628 | VIC | Wannon River tributary | Dunkeld | 99022805 | 240 | 142.2036 | －37．3802 | KJF collection | 28111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 629 | VIC | Watchbed Creek |  | 96031800 | 1680 | 147.1900 | 36.5100 | MV－EPH1693， 1694 | 18111996 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 630 | TAS | Watersmeet | conjunction of Cuvier and Hugel Rivers，Cradle Mountain－Lake St Clair NP | 96122701 | 740 | 146.0937 | 42.0641 | KJF collection | 27 X月1996 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 631 | VIC | Watis River | Femshaw Resene | 74122000 | 200 | 145.3600 | －37．3700 | MVEPH1642 | $20 \times 11974$ | J0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 632 | TAS | Wedge River | locality 23 | 82030301 ¢ | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | －${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  | MV－EPH0128， 0130 | 03111982 | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 633 | TAS | Wheld River | E of Weliborough | 94030600 | 360 | 147.5600 | 41.1200 | MV－EPH0221 | 06 III 1994 | PS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 634 | Vic | Wellington River | Tamboritha Rd，Alpine NP | 96092204 | 340 | 146.3815 | －37．3102 | KJF collection | $221 \times 1996$ | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 635 | VIC | Wellington River | Tamboritha Rd，Alpine NP | 96092301 | 320 | 146.3712 | －37．3i13 | KJF collection | $231 \times 1996$ | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 636 | Vic | Wellington River | Tamboritha Rd，Alpine NP | 97020401 | 340 | 146.3815 | －37．3102 | KJF collection | 04111997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Wellirgton River | Tamboritha Rd，Alpine NP | 97020702 | 340 | 146.3815 | －37．3102 | KJF collection | 0711997 | KJF | 1. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| 家 |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{8}$ <br> $\stackrel{y}{5}$ <br>  | 穿 |  | 营 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\mathbf{T}} \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{O}}$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$ $\mathbf{O}$ |  | 0 3 3 3 3 3 2 | $\frac{2}{\frac{9}{5}}$ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ n \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ z \\ z \end{array}\right\|$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 5 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | 웅 | 咢 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8}{909} \\ & \frac{9}{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 宕 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 638 VIC | Wellington River | 10kn N of Licola，Alpine NP | 96092201 | 280 | 146.3637 | －37．3241 | K．JF collection | 22 IX 1996 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 639 VIC | Wellirgion River | Tamborita Rd，Alpine NP | 96092302 | 320 | 146.3648 | ． 37.3135 | KJF collection | $231 \times 1996$ | IKJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 640 HSW | Wentworth Falls | Bilue Mountains | 82111600 | 800 | 150.2200 | － 33.4300 | MV－EPH1130 | $16 \times 1982$ | DC | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 641 NSW | Wentworth Falls | Blue Mountains | 84111200 | 800 | 150.2200 | －33．4300 | MVVEPH 1680 | 12X1984 | DC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 642 ${ }^{\text {NSW }}$ | Wentworth Falls | Blue Mountiains | 88101900 | 800 | 150.2200 | －33．4300 | MV－EPH1669 | $19 \times 1988$ | DC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 643 VIC | Werribee River | Blakeville－Bunding Rd | 99022701 | 550 | 144.1012 | －37．3004 | KJF collection | 27111999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 644 VIC | Werribee River | Blakeville－Bunding Rd | 99032100 | 550 | 144.1012 | －37．3004 | KJF collection | 21 III 1599 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 645 VIC | Werribee Rives | Elakevilie－Bunding Rd | 200032600 | 550 | 144.1012 | ． 37.3004 | KJF collection | 261112000 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 646 VIC | West Eninundra River | Hammond Rd | 82031500 | 960 | 148.5100 | －37．1800 | AWT | 15 III 1982 | JB | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 647 VIC | West Ovens River | Harrietvile | 90111200 | 540 | 147.0500 | 36.5100 | AWT | 12 XI 1990 | RBu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 648 VIC | Whtelaw Creek | Whitelaw Portal upstream weir | 77021100 | 470 | 146.1600 | －37．4300 | MDFRC | $02 \times 1977$ | unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 649 VIC | Wild Dog Creek | Wild Dog Creek Ró | 97030201 | 10 | 143.4044 | －38．4404 | KJF cothection | 02 III 1997 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 650 VIC | Wild Duck Creek | Heathcote Redesdale Rd， 1 km SW of Heathoote | 99022304 | 210 | 144．3935 | －36．5244 | KJF conlection | 2311999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 651 Vic | Wild Duck Creek | Heathcole－Redesdale Rd， 1 km SW of Heathcote | 200022001 | 210 | 144.3935 | －36．5244 | KJF collection | 20112000 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| $\underline{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 采 } \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 둥 } \\ & \text { 䔍 } \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { 을 }}{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 을 } \\ & \text { 훙 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 黑 | Collection origin | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{D}}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \grave{0} \\ & \stackrel{4}{6} \\ & \overline{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 等 0 3 3 3 2 | $\frac{2}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{3} \\ & 3 \\ & \frac{0}{6} \\ & \frac{1}{6} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & y_{0}^{\prime} \\ & \frac{x}{2} \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & z \end{aligned}$ | 3 5 6 0 0 0 | T．Iucida |  | 范 | 哭 |  | 哭 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 652 | VIC | Wild Duck Creek | Heaihcote－Redesdate R．d， 1 km SW of Heathcole | 99040701 | 210 | 144.3935 | －36．5244 | KJF collection | 07 IV 1999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 653 | VIC | William Wallace Creek | Triangte Link Rd， Gembrook Park | 97012901 | 150 | 145.3538 | －37．5903 | KJF collection | 2911997 | K KF | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 654 | VIC | Wingan River | Drummer Rd． Coopracambra NP | 96112301 | 180 | 149.2515 | －37．2803 | KJF coilection | 23 XI 1996 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 655 | VIC | Wingan River | Drummer Rd． Coopracambra NP | 98012501 | 180 | 149.2515 | －37．2803 | KJF collection | 2511998 | KJF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 656 | VIC | Woady Yaloak Creek | Glenelg Highway，outside Scarsdale | 99022703 | 330 | 143.3830 | －37．4037 | KJF collection | 27 II 1999 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 657 | VIC | Wombat Creek | Wombat Creek Dam Picnic Area | 97122004 | 635 | 184.1022 | －37．2325 | KJF collection | $20 \times 111997$ | KWF | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 658 | VIC | Wombat Creek | Wombat Creek Dam Picnic Area，near Daylesford | 98110102 | 635 | 144.1022 | －37．2325 | KJF collection | 01 XI 1998 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 659 | OLD | Yabba Creek tributary | Brootoo－mbil Rd | 84110800 | 100 | 152.4000 | －26．2900 | MV－EPH1687 | $08 \times 1984$ | OC | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 660 | VIC | Yackandandah Creek | Ostomes Fiat，Gaps Flat Rd | 97022201 | 200 | 146.5418 | －36．1615 | KJJ collection | 22.11997 | KJF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 661 | VIC | Yan Yean Reservoir |  | 76021800 | 180 | 145.0860 | 37.3300 | MVEPH1522 | 1817：976 | JD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 662 | VC | Yan Yean Reseno？ |  | 76032900 | 180 | 145.0800 | －37．3300 | MV－EPH 1511 | 29111076 | Jo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 663 | VIC | Yan Yean Reservor |  | 77102600 | 180 | 145.0800 | －37．3500 | MV－EPH1521 | 26× 1977 | J | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 664 | VIC | Yama Flats | Healesville | $65091400 \times$ | x | $x$ |  | MV | $14 \times 1965$ | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 665 | VC | Yarra River | near Michahons Creek | 76021900 | 250 | 145.5000 | ． 37.4200 | MV | 1311976 | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 666 | VIC | Yara River | Willgrove | $76022400 \times$ | x | x |  | MV | 2411976 | AN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Locality data for all specimens examined

| \％ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 世 } \\ & \stackrel{7}{6} \\ & \hline 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 등 } \\ & \text { 웅 } \\ & \underline{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { io } \\ & \text { 完 } \\ & \text { 荡 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 믈 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 芯 } \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 9 3 3 3 3 3 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{0}{n} \\ & \frac{1}{3} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{2}{6} \\ & \frac{5}{6} \\ & \frac{5}{2} \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3 $\frac{1}{3}$ 3 3 3 |  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |  | THRAULOPHELBIA | $\frac{8}{5}$ | 号 空 品 哭 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 667 | VIC | Yana River | Reeftion Rd | 77110300 | 260 | 145.5000 | －37．4200 | MV－EPH1615 | $03 \times 11977$ | JD |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 668 | VIC | Yara River | Reefton Rd | 78020900 | 250 | 145.5000 | －37．4200 | MVEEPH1500 | 09111978 | J |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 669 | Vic | Yarma River | Peninsula Rd | 78122100 | 230 | 145.4900 | －37．4200 | MV－EPH1612 | $21 \times 1978$ | J0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 670 | VIC | Yama River | O＇Shannassy Rd | 79022100 |  |  | $x$ | MV－EPH1498 | 21：1979 | j0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | O | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 671 | VIC | Yarra River | Reefton Rd | 79102400 | 260 | 345.5000 | －37．4200 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MV-EPH1496, } \\ & 1497,1499 \end{aligned}$ | $24 \times 1979$ | JD |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 672 | VIC | Yarra River | Peninsula Rd | 80010402 | 230 | 145.4900 | －37．4200 | MV－EPH1625 | 1041980 | JD |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 673 | VIC | Yarra River | Peninsula Rd | 80020600 | 230 | 145．9900 | －37．4200 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MV-EPH1562, } \\ & 1613.1624 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 06111980 | JD |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 674 | VIC | Yarra River | Hazelwood Rd | 80：12000 | 170 | 145.4400 | －37．4400 | MV－EPH1614，1623 | 20 Il 1980 | J0 |  | $t$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 675 | VIC | Yara River | upstream of Warturion | 97042502 | 170 | 145.4243 | －37．4503 | KJF collection | 25 IV 1997 | KJ |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 676 | NSW | Yarrangobilly River | Near ravine | 97120102 | 560 | 148.2300 | －35．4700 | KJF collection | $01 \times 111997$ | K |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 677 | VIC | Yarrowee River | Mt Clear－Sebastapol Rd | 99022702 | 380 | 143.5002 | －37．3731 | KJF collection | 27111999 | KJ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 678 | VIC | Yea River | 7 km S of Glenburn | 72120100 | 330 | 145.2500 | －37．2900 | MV | 01 XII 1972 | AN |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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# Description and distribution of a new species of Nousia Navás (Ephemeroptera: Leptophlebiidae: Atalophlebiinae) from south-eastern Australia 

Kyla J Finlay<br>Deparment of Biological Sciences amd Cooperaive Researh Comre for Freshroter Eeolog: Momash University: Wellingtom Rocte. Clayton. Vic: 3/68, Austratia.


#### Abstract

Nousia wilthorringat sp. n. is described as a new species of the gemus Nomsia (subgemus Alastralonotasia) from south-eastern Austratia. It is commonly found in matronvertehrate strveys. Nymphs and aduts were assectated by rearing. The distribution of the species is recorded along with notes on the apparent habitat reguirements.


Key words Ephemeroptera. Leptophehiidac, mayly. Nomsia, taxomony.

## INTRODUCTION

The taxonomy of Australian mayllics is., at present, prorly known. with alleast as many species to be described as are already documented (Dean \& Suter 1996: Hubbard \& Campbell 1996). This is despite a proliferation of recem publications detining new taxa (Dean 1987, 1988. 1997, Camphell \& Peters 1993: Dean (t al. 1999). The endemic Austratiant subgemus destratomousia (Cample!l \& Suter 1988) requires significan revision as it presenty includes only three described species (Hubbard \& Campbell 1996 ), although it is considered that there may be at least 16 (Dean 1999).

The present contribution documents a new species of Nomsia Navis (1918) liom soubh-castern Australia. The nymph has been known for some time and has been variously reterred to as Atahophohiobides sp. E (Murray Darling Freshwater Research Centre: MDFRC). Atalondla sp. 5 (Museum Victoria: MV) and Nomsia sp. + (Monitoring Riser Heallh Initiative: MRHI). In the latest guide, however, it is referred to as Nousia AVt (Dean 1999). It is a commonly encomered species in mectoinventebate survey work. being found in the mid to high aditudes of momentainous regions of castern Victoriat and southern New South Walles. where in generally prefers fast-llowing. unpolluted streans. Formal description of this species is important io prevent furler confusion in future publications. This species is presented here in adatike of a full revision of the gemus. Examination of all life stages has confirmed the new species status of this taxom aud its agreement with the generic diagnosis (Pescador \& Peters 1985).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the fied. nymphs were brushed dieetly from rocks and wood using a painthush and either preserved with $70 \%$

[^2]ethanol or kept alive. Live nymphs were stom in a botte of stream water and placed on ice for transportation to the laborafory for rearing. In the laboratory, tinal-instar nymphs were phaced individually in specially designed rearing chambers in a controlled-temperature enviromment. Resultam suthmagos and inagos were associand with the myphat exaviae.

Whole specimens were examined with the aid of a dissecting microscope, white body parts were mounted in Canada balsam and examined by using a compound microseope. Hlustrations were made with the aid of a drawing tulte or a microprojecor:

Wing venation terminology follows that of Edmunds a al. (1976). Leg and palpi segmem ration were calculated using the medmed of Suter (1986), where eath segment is compared to the lengit of the femm, or first segment. and expressed as a ratio. The absolute length of the femur/irst segment is given in parembeses. The labia figutes follow the method of Peers and Edmunds ( 1906 ). where the dorsal surface is illustrated on the left and the vemral surface on the right.

Materiad for the scoming electron microscope was prepared by aransfer from $70 \%$ ethemol to $100 \%$ elhanol through a series of washes. The genitalia were dissected and egoss removed without exposing them to air. The eges were uttrasonicated for 5 min. All material was critical-poind dried to minimise distortion. The dried eyges were placed on metal stubs using adhesive and genitalia were mounted on cartboard attached to a metat stub, allowing for maximum rokation so that the dorsal. veneral and lateral sides could be viewed.

Specimens that I colleced are referenced by an eight digit code with. where necessary. identilication of individwals by two further digits. Other material is referenced by a MV code ( $E$ EPH' phus for digits). For material not collected by the athor. MDFRC or MRHI, initials are as follows: DC. David Cartwrigh: ID. John Dean. Specimens are denoled by $N$ (nymph). $S$ (subimago) or $I$ (imago). Multiple combinations of these letters indicate exuriac retained from
rearing. All type material is lodged in the MV, other material remains in the author's personal collection.

## TAXONOMY

Order Ephemeroptera, family Leptophlebiidae, subfamily Atalophlebinae

## Nousia wiltkorringae sp. n.

Types. Victoria. Holotype male NI, Cement Creek, Moun Donna Buang Road. outside Warburton. 670 m a.s.l. $145^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 20^{\circ}$ E. $37^{\circ}+22^{\circ} 48^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. 5.iii.1998. 98030501.15. Pitat types sank locality. I.xii.1976: EPH 1602. one female S (JD): EPHI587, one male I (JD): EPHI588, one male N (JD): 23.xi.1978: EPHI589. one female NI reared (JD): EPH I590, one mate NSI (JD): EPHI591. one male NS (JD): EPHI592, one female NS (JD): EPH 1594 , one female NI (JD): EPHI596. one female NI (JD): EPH 1597 , one female NS (JD): EPHI598, one female NS (JD): EPH1599. one male NS, 1(NS (JD): 3.iii. 1980: EPH 1593 , one female NS (JD): 5.iii. 1998: 98030503.01, one femate SI: 98030503.05 one male $\mathrm{N}: 29 . x$ xi.1998: 98112901.03 , one male NSI reared: 23.i.1999: 99012301 , two male $N$. one female $N$ : 20. iii.1999: 99032004, one female N: 93 xi.1978: EPH 1599 , one malc NS, one femaic. NS (JD).
Other material examined. Victoria. Acheron River Ohher material examined. Victoria. Acheron River
Marysvile Rd. 560 m a.s.L. $145^{\circ} 43^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. $37^{\circ} 38^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. $5 \times \mathrm{xi} 1997$
 three N (MRHI): Badger Creek. 5 km soulh-eist of
 EPHI605. one male N . one female N (JD): same locality Buler Cre Miring one male $N$. one lembale $N$ (JD) Buller Creek, MGG1 -xi. 1981 EPFI 61.1 . $140^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 49^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 57^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, 1 , Moun Baw Baw. RRH: C... three $N$ (MRHI): Charity Creek tributary, Mount Baw Baw Tourist Road. 16 .ii 1999 a.s.... $146^{\circ} 15^{\circ}$ IS E. $37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 47^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ 16.iii 1999. 99021602 . three male N . seven female N : Deep Creek. 0.5 km north of Uplands, 620 m a....... $147^{\circ}+2^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$. B4 S. 15.iti River, Erinundra, 320 man..... 4853 E. 3722 S. $15 . x i .1994$. ilhree N : 05.xi.1996. one N (MRHI): Eurobin Creck, Moum
 7xi.1996. 96110702 , one male $N:$ Growlers Creek. Wandiligong, 380 m a.s. 1. . $146^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 10^{\circ} \mathrm{E} .36^{\circ} 45^{\circ} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}$. 8xi.1996, 96110801 , one male N : Kiewal River, 5 km normcast of Mount Hotham. 1180 m a.s.s.. $147^{\circ} 10^{\circ}+4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$. $36^{\circ} 55^{\circ} 46^{\circ}$ S. 7.xi. 1997. 97110703. one female N: King Pamol Creek. 15 km south-west of Flowerdale. 350 m a.s.s... 147014 $56^{\circ} \mathrm{E} .37^{\circ} 25^{\circ} 50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. $3 . \mathrm{V} .1988$. 98030501 . one femaile N . one femate NI, one male NSI: La Trobe River, 5 km east of Powellown. 320 mm a.s. $.1 .{ }^{2} 145^{\circ} 50^{\circ} 10^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. $37^{\circ} 52^{\circ} 35^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, 10.x.1996. 96101002 , one female NSI: Learmonth Creek, Powellown, 180 m a.s.s. $1.145^{\circ} 44^{\circ} 33^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. $37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 43^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. $10 . \mathrm{x} .1996$. 96101001.04 . three female N : Mountain Creek, 7 km cast of Tawonga South, 510 m a.s.s.. $147^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{E} .36^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$. $3 . x \mathrm{xi} .1990$, EPHI723, one male N . two
female $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{DC})$ : Myrule Gully. 3 km east of Toolangi. $62(\mathrm{~m}$ a.s.1. $145^{\circ} 31^{\circ} 04^{\circ} \mathrm{E} .37^{\circ} 31^{4} 4^{*} \mathrm{~s}$. $29 . \mathrm{xi} .1996$. 96112901 . ons Female $\mathrm{N}: \mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Shannassy River. 580 m as.s... $145^{\circ} 49^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ $37^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ S. It.xi.i975. EPH 1603 , one male $N$. iwo female $N$ (JD): 4. . 1950 , EPH 1604 . one male NS (JD): 5 xii. 1994 . wo $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{MRH} \mathrm{H}):$ Rodger River. Deddick, 560 m a....... $1+8^{\circ} 27^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. $37^{\circ} 17$ S. 23 xi. 1990 , one female N (MRHI): Smowy River. Wanton Bridge, location unknown. 17.iii.1974. EPHI647, one female N (collector unknown): Steavensons River, 12 km south-east of Buxton. $46\left(0 \mathrm{ma}\right.$ m. .... $146^{\circ} 500^{\circ} 29 \mathrm{E} .36^{\circ}+3^{\circ} 10 \mathrm{~S}$. 15.ii.1998. 98021504.06. one male NSI: Tagerty River.
 981201704 , one male N : Themson River. 12 km northnorthwest of Wallailla. 290 m as.s.l. $1+6^{\circ} 24^{\circ} \mathrm{E} .37^{\prime \prime} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, 12xi.1977, one N (MDFRC): West Ovens River. Harrietville. 540 ml a.s. $1 . .147^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 15^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 36^{\circ} 54^{\circ} 13^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. $12 . \mathrm{xi} .19 \%$ ), two female N (MRHI): Whitelaw Creek. Whitelaw Portal. 470 m a.s.s.l. $146^{\circ} 16^{\circ} \mathrm{E} 37^{\circ} 43^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. $2 \times \mathrm{xi} 1977$, me N (MDFRC) New South Wales. No. 1 Creek, near Thredoo. 1260 m a..... $148^{\circ} 23^{\circ} \mathrm{E} .36^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$. 14 xii. 1978 . 140 female N (JD) Thredbo River. Thredto. ! 380 m ans.I. $148^{\circ} 18^{\circ} \mathrm{E} .36^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ 14.xii. 1978. EPH 655 . one male $N$. two female $N(J D)$

Diagnostic features. Male imago (Figs 1-8,25-27) It ethanol. Body lengit $7.0-8.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ (meall $=8.1 \mathrm{~mm} . n=8$ ) forewing lengll $8,6-9.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ (mean $=9.0 \mathrm{~mm}, n=6$ ) hind wing loget $1+1-1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ (mean $=15 \mathrm{~mm}, n=0$ ). heneral wing lyeh colour anging from golden brown to orange-brown with duker brown matine Head brown Anteme-beow Ocelli berk with whise ineris hard Anemac yellow


 orac forming a W forming a W.shaped paten thar becomes more apparent on the posterior segments (age. 1). Abdominal sterna with lighter brown markings. Wings: forewing win membrame hyarine ( e. -). Konend for antar seins. which are ligher and yellow coloured: contal and subcostal celts hyalate but slighty darker. Three bullae present on veins Sc. $R_{2}$ and $R_{4+5}$ an approximately one-hall to one-diurd the distance from base to wing margin. In the costal region 6-7 cross veins prehulla, 11-14 posibu!ta: in the subcostal region 5-7 crossreins pretulta. 9-14 positurliti ( $n=8$ ). Rs forked at approximately one-third of the distance from wing base to wing margin: vein MA symmetrically forked at approximately one-half the distance from base to wing margin: IMP joins MP, sometimes recurved but not always: MP, tecurved to join $\mathrm{MP}_{1}$ at one-quarter to one-fifith the lengh of MiP, from base to wirge margin: $\mathrm{MP}_{2}$ joined by crossvein to $\mathrm{CuA}: \mathrm{ICu}_{1}$ joins CuA, sometimes securved: ICu, recurved to join $\mathrm{CCu}_{\text {, }}$, both attached an base to CuA: CuA and CuP linked by crowsein. CuP strongly curved and linked by crossvein to $\mathrm{A}_{1}$. Hindwing hyaline (Fig. 3): costal margin slighty convex at midength followed by shatlow connaviny. subcosta four-fitiohs maximum lengeth of wing. Costal spate with no crossveins in proximal halt. $4-5$ crossucins in distal hall $(n=5)$. Subcostal space wibh $1-5$ crossweins ( $n=5$ ).
 wing comparatively sired, (3) hindwine: (4) tassal claws: (5) Forceps: (6) genitalial. Laterat: (7) genitalia, ventral: (8) genititia, dersal. (9) Femake imiwo, ninth sermum. cemtat. State bars: Fig. $1,0.5$ mum: Fig. 2, 1 mum: Fige. 3.0 .5 mut: fig, t. 0.1 mm Fige. 5-6.0.2 mum Fige. 7-8. 0.1 mm: Fig. 9, 0.5 mm

Less golden brown with no markings except for the forelegs where apex of eadl segment washed will bown. Forelegs with seven segments: mean lengh ratios $1.00: 1.37: 0.10$ : $0.43: 0.36: 0.30: 0.16$ (1.9) mm. $n=5$. Timat coms of a pair similar. each apically hooked with an opposing smaller hook (Fig. 4). Made gentalis: forceps thre-segmented trig. 5): yellow, third segment globose and stighty indented at apex. Penes yellow, latranly gunte lage winh penes extend ing: a approximately hall the lengen of forceps (Fige o). ventral surface of penes (Figs 7.25.26) fused in hasal thirud. remainder divided. divergent; dorsal strfate (dys. s.27) separate with two lateratly expanded tobes meeting at two-thirds tengh and two small triangular processes progecting from inner surlace. Caudal hilaments three. yelow with dirk hrown at proximal edge of each segulent givine a banded appeanance. Terminal bilamen fonger han cerci. Femate imago ( $7 \mathrm{zg}, 9$ ). In ellanol. Body tengith $7.8-9.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ (mean $=8.9 \mathrm{~mm}$. $n=10$ ): forewing lengh $9.8-10.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ (meam $=10.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ $n=10$ : hindwing fenght $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ (me:m $=1.6 \mathrm{~mm} . n=12$ ).

Iig. 10. Nonsia wiltherringere mature nymph. Scale har: ! mm.

Colour :und markings as in make. Forewings with generally more crowseine in costal and subcostal spaces: costal region will o-9 crossveins probulla. 13-16 post bulla: subrestal wion will $0-8$ crossteins prethulli. $11-16$ posithulla $(n=9)$. Hindwinece costal space with no crossveins in proximal hallf. 5-6 curseins in distal half subcostal spate with 5-6,



 menn. 185 m . $1=7$ ) Sumum 9 with very


 female subimag. in mis imago atheough not as apparen. Wings onowy Eeys yellow, opapue. Forelegs not elongated. Genitain hot fily developed. Manare nymph (rigs 1/-24). In elanol. Body Wengll: male $6.2-9.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ (mean $=8.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. $n=18$ ). Remale 7.9-11.4 mm (nean $=9.5 \mathrm{mml}, n=16$ ). Generat cotour golden to tan brown with dark brown markings. Head prognathous width $1.6-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (mean $=1.7 \mathrm{~mm}, n=15$ ). Head colour predominamly golden brown with dark brown wash between


Figs 1/-19. Nonsia mitherringae mature nympl: (11) labrum: (12) latrum, anterior margin enlarged showing artangement of denticles: (13) left mandible, dorsal: (14) righn mandible. dorsal: (15) lefi mandible incisors. enlarged: (16) left maxillae. ventral: (177) and ventral (ripht of midliuc): (18) terminal sumen of thial palp. dorsial: (19) hypepharynx. Scalle bars: Fins il 13-19. 0.1 mm : Fig. 12.0 .01 mm .
ocelli and on amerior margin of eyes. Ocelli black. Antematc golden brown. Antennue lengh $1.7-2.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ (mean $=1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ $n=6$ ). slightly longer than head width: ratio of :utemate length to head width $1.0-1.2$ (mean $=1.1 . n=0$ ). Upper lobes of male eyes tan. lower tobes grey-black. Eyes of female grey-biack. Mouthparts (Figs 11-19): clypeus (Fig. II) with lateral margins very slightly diverging to anterior: lateral margin of labrum slighly wider than clypeus, widh of kibrum 2.05-2.46 times the length along the median line (mean = 2.29. $n=10$ ): :merior margin slighly concave with medial convex 'hump', which is $0.08-0.15$ times the widh of labrum (mean $=0.12, n=10$ ) and bearing four (or more usually five) small, prominent rounded denticles (Fig. 12). Frontal setac arranged as a broad band, subapical hair fringe clearly separated from frontal band and $0.54-0.73$ times the width of the labrum (mean $=0.61, n=10$ ). Mandibles (Figs 13-15)
with outer margin sightify curved. a smatl indentation :t lateral midpoinn with sparse tuff of long spine-like setae and shorter setie along margin between tult and base. Bont mandibles with dark coloured nodule at midpoint on inner margin and row of setae in an inverted L-shape on lower mandible body. Left mandible with robust incisors (Fig. 15) each with three apieal teeth: inmer incisor very robust with wide base and prostheca wide, serrated. Right mandible with incisors also lirge: outer incisor wilh three apical teeth. inner incisor with two teeth and prositheca simple, slender: 12-15 spine-like setae on inner mesal surface. Maxillat (Fig. 16) with galea-lacinate expanded medially with subapical row of approximately 20 pectinate setac; palpi three-segmented. mean palp segment ratios 1.00$): 0.74: 0.65(0.23 \mathrm{~mm} . n=10$ : terminal segment has small triangular processes on apex. Labium (Fig. 17) with glossate not turned under ventrally and


Fïgs 20-24. Nousia wilthorringae mature nymph: (20) Foreleg: (21) fore-tarsal claw: (22) posterior spines of abtominal lerga five: (23) gills from abdominas segne at one-third lenglh. Scale bars: Fi Fie. 22. 0.01 mm .
lying in same plame as paraglossac: with series of blunted spines apically. Submentum with sparse robust spines. Palpi thee-segmented: first segment with stout spines both anteriorly and posteriorly. terminal seguent with line of triangular processes almost circling apex (Fig. 18). Labium mean palp segres ratios: $1.00: 0.81: 0.61(0.36 \mathrm{~mm} . n=10)$ Hype: ax (Fig. 19) wilh relatively well-developed lateral processes, anterior margin of lingua moderately but noticeably cleft and lined with short selate. superlingua with thick tufts of setale on anterior margens. Thorax. tan brown with darker brown markings. Pronotum widh 1.s-2.t minn (mean $=2.1 \mathrm{~mm} . n=15$ ): wider than heiad (sec above). Ratio of pronotum width to heatd width ranges from 1.06 to 1.25 (mean $=1.30, n=15$ ). Legs: colour gorden fo orange brown washed with slighty darker brown an outer hateral margins. femora apex of each leg datk brown: distinct white patehe are present on fore- mid- ind hinder. Fore-femora short and squan, 2.0-2.7 times longer than wide (mean $=2.3, n=11$ with shon blum spines on inner lateral margin for approximately one-half the total length of femur (Fig. 20). Mean

pale coloured, sometimes slighly tinged pink or yellow:
present on segmems $1-7$. becoming somewhat progressively smaller towards posterior although not significimlly so. Gills double wilh upper and lower lamellae equally developed. each hamellia broadly lanceolate. Lateral tracheae strongly developed with main trunk quite thick. Caudal filaments three, golden with darker coloration a segment join giving a slighty handed apparance. Each seyment bearing apical whorl or sho angular denticles (Fig. 24). Terminal filament longer than cerci. Etynology. The species name is derived from the language of the Wurundjeri Woiwt ung. the Aboriginal people who mhabit the area of the Ifje localiy. The word wimorring means wide and refers to the shape of the gills. Remarks. This new species. although quite distinct, conforms to the genus diagnosis of Pescador and Peters (1985). It can be distinguished from all other species of Nousia by the following combination of characters. In the imago: (i) MA symmetrically forked at slightly more than half the distance from base to wing margin: (ii) subcosta of hindwing is fourfitths the maximum length of wing: (iii) ventral surfiace of penes fused in basal third. remainder divided, divergent: (iv) dorsal surface of penes separate with two laterally expanded lobes meeting at two-thirds lengh; two small triangular processes hook together at this point: (v) terminal segment of forcens globular. indented al apex: (vi) male eyes meeting foreeps giobular. imened ar apex. (viominal colouring in a W-shaped pattern: and (viii) female stermum nine with very wall al clic to the nymph: (i) promoum wider than shallow apical cleft. In the nymph: (i) promoum wider han widlh, the lateral margins very slighty diverging to anterior:
 (il)
 comalle whe medrat ent rounded demicles: (v) fromal setae of habrum manged as broad band with subapical hair fringe clearly separated
(vi) inner incisor of left mandible very robust wilh wide base (vi) inner incisor of left mandible very rolans wiil) wide bas (vii) prostheca of left mandible serrated: (viii) labium with glossace not turned under venriny and winh a series of blumed spines apically; (ix) hypopharynx moderately but noticeably cleft; ( x ) fore-emora short and squat. (woro longer than wide with shor blum spines on imer hateral margin for about half the total length of femur: (xi) abdomen paterning distinct: (xii) terga with combinuous row of minte spines along posterior margin; (xiii) posterolateral spine present on segments 2-9, progressively larger apically: and (xiv) gills large, wide and lanceolate, becoming progres (xiv) gills large, wide and lanceolate. becoming progres.
sively smaller posteriorly.
leg-length ratios: foreleg 1.00:0.77:0.36 ( $1.38 \mathrm{~mm}, n$ 10). middle leg $1.00: 0.76: 0.36$ ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mm} . n=10$ ). hindle $1.00: 0.80: 0.32$ ( $1.58 \mathrm{mmn}, n=10$ ). Tarsal claws ( apically athough eqical veniral te much laryer. Abdominal trea colour golden to tan brown with distinct dark brown
 res segments: each tergum with a continuous row of spines along posterior margin (Fig. 22). Posterolateral spines present on patern 20, ( 2 , eegments 2-9, progressively larger apically. Gills (Fig with main trunk quite thick. Caudal filaments three (ii) width of labrum approximately $2.0-2.5$ times the longer than wide with shor blum spines on imer hatea


Figs 25-28. Scamming dectron micrographs. Mate genialia and ege of Nomsia wiltherringae: (25) vental view of gemitalia: (20) vemral
view of pencs. cuianged: (27) view of penes. entatued: (27)
dorsal view of penes. enlarged. (28) Egg.

(ond between athitudes of 400 and 700 m as.s.l. Nymphs inhabil cold. fast-flowing. undisturted upland streams $\left(7-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ that are heavily shaded with native vegetation. They are generally foum chinging to cobbles. large rocks. ges and within leal litter, generailly in association with shallow riffles. It is re:tsonable to assume that this species is present in other similar areas in neighbouring states. However. MRHI data collested from New South Wales and Tasmamia have been idenified to family level only, so it is unknown whether this species oceurs there.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am supported by a PlaD studentship jointly funded by the Conperative Reseach Centre for Freshwater Ecology and the Land and Water Resources Rescarch and Develoment Corporation. Many thanks go to Bill Nicholson of the Warundjeri Tribal Conncil who suggested the species name and gave permission for the use of it. Thanks to Dr Ken Walker, the Curator of Entomology at the Museum Victoria, for making available the malerial held in the MV collections. Richard Mareham and
advice. 1 am alko grateful to Frod Gowedich. Faye Wescom Anne Duguay, Edward Tsyrlin and most especially Nige Ainsworth for all their help with collecting malerial from the field Thank you also to Gunta Jaudzems and Joan Clark for their help and adviee in preparing the SEM specimens.

## REFERENCES

Camptell IC \& Peters Whi. 1993. A revision of the Anstralian Ephemeroptera Eemus Audemicria Hiath
and and

 athearia 48.91-106.









 af Entemidegy 3k. 72-70.
 Guide no. 7. Muray Darting Frehawater Reseatrsh Cemate and





 de Cichciau Nanurdes 17.212-230.


 $91-12$






## APPENDIX 4

Summary data on site characteristics where each species was present for all species found at more than 10 sites.

Site characteristic summary data

|  | ALTITUDE |  |  |  |  |  | SLOPE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae ，${ }^{\text {dex }}$ | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae |
| $n$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 紜 | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 |
| min | 10.00 | 100.00 | 80.00 | 110.00 | 100.00 | 180.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 1.43 | 0.65 |
| max | 1740.00 | 1640.00 | 1520.00 | 1500.00 | 1920.00 | 1180.00 ，${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 33.33 | 33.33 | 20.00 | 23.53 | 50.00 | 13.33 |
| mean | 442.22 | 522.00 | 341.25 | 536.05 | 1207.33 | 557.27 1 | 4.28 | 11.41 | 3.56 | 6.82 | 18.47 | 4.58 |
| SE | 40.78 | 51.77 | 61.73 | 93.18 | 136.57 | 100．20 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 䊽 | 0.62 | 1.17 | 0.91 | 1.54 | 3.57 | 1.42 |
|  |  | 29xay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1403 | 2atak | Pem |
|  | DISTANCE FROM SOURCE |  |  |  |  | － | WATER TEMPERATURE |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | $\overline{\text { G．wiltkorringae }}$ |
| $n$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 純絞 | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 |
| min | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |  | 6.00 | 5.00 | 9.00 | 5.00 | 5.50 | 6.00 |
| max | 96.00 | 59.00 | 26.50 | 47.00 | 3.50 | 17.50 新趐 | 26.00 | 17.00 | 20.50 | 14.00 | 17.00 | 15.50 |
| mean | 13.29 | 11.41 | 8.25 | 7.61 | 1.30 | 7.91 | 13.20 | 11.44 | 14.02 | 10.37 | 9.20 | 11.18 |
| SE <br>  | 1.45 | 1.74 | 1.17 | 2.33 | 0.28 | 1.60 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.73 | 0.51 | 0.81 | 0.84 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2mis | ，4izaz |  |
|  | STREAM WIDTH |  |  |  |  | 斯綧 | STREAM DEPTH |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae |
| $n$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 |
| min | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.30 |  | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
| max | 40.00 | 10.00 | 6.00 | 5.50 | 20.00 | 9.00 䈷 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.40 | 0.80 | 1.00 |
| mean | 3.65 | 3.48 | 2.22 | 2.26 | 2.44 |  | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.41 |
| SE | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 1.27 | 0.68 数號 | －0．02 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.10 |
|  |  | Whamak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SUBPH｜ |  |  |  |  | W | ANNUAL ME | EAN TEMPER | 2ATURE |  |  |  |
|  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | 7．Jucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae ${ }^{\text {ded }}$ | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M ：adamus | G．wiltkorringae |
| $n$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 |
| min | －7．60 | －6．45 | －7．60 | －6．45 | －6．45 |  | － 4.90 | 5.70 | 5.10 | 7.40 | 1.33 | 12.00 |
| max | 6.80 | 3.63 | 6.80 | 0.08 | 0.40 | 3.63 勻緆 | －14．00 | 13.60 | 14.00 | 13.80 | 1.88 | 13.80 |
| mean | －2．21 | －2．12 | －16．05 | －2．80 | －3．35 | －1．97 | －11．88 | 11.76 | 11.51 | 11.42 | 1.53 | 13.07 |
| SE | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.88 | 0.39 | 0.52 | $0.88$ | － 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 0.19 |



Site characteristic summary data

|  | MEAN TEMPERATURE OF THE WARMEST QUARTER |  |  |  |  | W蘺MEAN TEMPERATURE OF THE COLDEST QUARTER |  |  |  |  |  | G．wiltkorringae |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae ${ }^{\text {dx }}$ 枹 | N．（A）fusca | N $\mathrm{N} .(\mathrm{A})$ nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus |  |
| $n$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 |
| min | 9.90 | 10.60 | 11.00 | 12.50 | 9.90 | 16.50 | －0．80 | 0.40 | －0．70 | 2.50 | －0．40 | 7.10 |
| max | 20.80 | 20.10 | 20.40 | 18.00 | 19.70 | 20.50 | 10.00 | 9.40 | 9.90 | 9.90 | 8.40 | 9.40 |
| mean | 17.00 | 16.96 | 16.72 | 16.50 | 16.70 | 18.22 | 6.82 | 6.62 | 6.36 | 6.36 | 6.01 | 8.06 |
| SE | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.49 | 0.34 | 0.71 | 0.37 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.58 | 0.48 | 0.71 | 0.27 |
|  |  |  |  | \％ | 綡繥 |  |  | ans |  |  |  |  |
|  | ANNUAL MEAN PRECIPITATION |  |  |  |  |  | PRECIPITATION SEASONALITY |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wikoringae |
| $n$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 近 | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 75 | 11 |
| min | 491.00 | 645.00 | 500.00 | 758.00 | 714.00 | 645.00 甥 | 9.00 | 9.00 | 11.00 | 9.00 | 13.00 | 12.00 |
| max | 2201.00 | 1933.00 | 2201.00 | 1595.00 | 2312.00 | 1188.00 㷺 | 39.00 | 39.00 | 39.00 | 38.00 | 40.00 | 36.00 |
| mean | 1047.01 | 1103.88 | 1113.67 | 1074.74 | 1211.13 | 945.00 絔 | 23.02 | 23.76 | 24.33 | 20.32 | 25.53 | 22.00 |
| SE | 32.30 | 39.99 | 73.81 | 51.14 | 102.99 | 53.19 | 0.81 | 1.23 | 1.69 | 1.72 | 2.18 | 2.39 |
|  |  |  |  | ， |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PRECIPITATION OF THE WETTEST PERIOD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus |  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae |
| $n$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19. | 15 | 11 | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 |
| min | 51.00 | 68.00 | 53.00 | 81.00 | 85.00 | 71.00 䚬 | 28.00 | 30.00 | 28.00 | 33.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| max | 289.00 | 239.00 | 289.00 | 177.00 | 316.00 | 154.00 委数 | 99.00 | 99.00 | 88.00 | 78.00 | 93.00 | 70.00 |
| mean | 116.42 | 123.86 | 127.04 | 115.63 | 138.53 |  | 54.59 | 57.48 | 56.21 | 58.68 | 59.93 | 50.46 |
| SE | 4.20 | 5.40 | 10.33 | 5.49 | 15.6 |  | 1.55 | 2.09 | 3.21 | 2.86 | 4.38 | 2.98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PRECIPITATION OF THE WETTEST QUARTER |  |  |  |  | 团 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae ${ }^{\text {dex }}$ | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorringae |
| $n$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 鹞 | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 |
| min | 144.00 | 197.00 | 155.00 | 228.00 | 248.00 | $206.00{ }^{4}$ 緆 | 93.00 | 104.00 | 93.00 | 118.00 | 107.00 | 104.00 |
| max | 806.00 | 659.00 | 806.00 | 505.00 | 868.00 |  | 315.00 | 310.00 | 303.00 | 267.00 | 307.00 | 235.00 |
| mean | 332.08 | 352.36 | 360.63 | 328.63 | 390.13 | 295.55 㭠等 | 184.04 | 191.56 | 191.54 | 199.47 | 202.00 | 170.73 |
| SE | 11.61 | 14.82 | 28.12 | 16.39 | 40.09 | 20.44 枚妾 | 5.06 | 6.49 | 10.61 | 9.18 | 15.11 | 9.98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Site characteristic summary data

|  | PRECIPITATION OF THE WARMEST QUARTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeli | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkorningae ${ }^{\text {duta }}$ | N．（A）fusca | N．（A）nigeii | T．lucida | M．kala | M．adamus | G．wiltkoringae |
| $n$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 W ${ }^{2}$ | 106 | 50 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 11 |
| min | 96.00 | 104.00 | 96.00 | 118.00 | 107.00 |  | 110.00 | 149.00 | 155.00 | 220.00 | 240.00 | 163.00 |
| max | 316.00 | 310.00 | 303.00 | 267.00 | 316.00 | 235.00 樃 | 772.00 | 659.00 | 772.00 | 482.00 | 835.00 | 434.00 |
| mean | 188.98 | 196.18 | 195.46 | 203.74 | 203.93 | 174.00 \％ | 318.47 | 340.08 | 345.96 | 309.63 | 375.33 | 285.09 |
| SE | 5.08 | 6.46 | 10.63 | 9.19 | 15.29 | 10.10 数 | 11.80 | 15.83 | 27.77 | 15.97 | 39.77 | 23.32 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 数 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 緒岳 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H 24 / 3471 \\
& V 2
\end{aligned}
$$

## NOTA BENE

1. Throughout the text, the spelling of the genus Biblumena should be changed to Bibulmena.
2. The genus name Montikola should be regarded as temporary (see p. 219 - Vol. 1) and therefore will be published under an alternative name.
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Fig. 1. Equipment for transporting live nymphs from the field. Each bottle contains nymphs collected from one location. A pump capable of operating on batteries is connected to each bottle to provide constant aeration.

Photo by K.J. Finlay.


Fig. 2. New rearing chamber design.
Each chamber holds one nymph from a particular site. The electrical pump supplies constant air flow which can be varied using the two-way controller. A plastic pipette tip attached to the end of the tubing is useful for restricting the flow of bubbles to a small stream. Photo by Steve Morton and Adrain Dyer.


Fig. 3. Rearing chambers designed by I.C. Campbell (see Campbell 1983) showing mode of operation.
Chamber 1 shows tubing which is connected to pump.
Chamber 2 shows container where live nymph is placed for rearing. Chamber 3 shows removable door with mesh covered viewing hole.

Photo by K.J. Finlay.


Fig. 4. Imago emergence success by genus. Bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.

Fig. 5. Effect of temperature on time taken to successful emergence by genus. Data back transformed from logged values. Bars represent back transformed standard error. Within each genus temperatures without a tetter in common are significantly different (<0.05) by Tukeys HSD tests.


Fig. 6. Effect of altitude of collection on rearing success of Austrophlebioides.

Fig. 7. Mayfly sex-ratios (collected over 2 extended summer periods).
Bars represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Asterisks indicate significant departures
(at less than $5 \%$ significance levels) from 1:1 ratio by chi-square.


Fig. 8. Important diagnostic features of the maie imago head. Eye size (ES) (sensu Bae 1991) = B (shortest distance between upper lobes) / $D$ (longest diameter of upper lobe).


Fig. 9. Segmentation of the male imago foreleg.


Fig. 10. Segmentation of the female imago foreleg.


Fig. 11. Imago tarsal claw morphology.


Fig. 12. Forewing venation nomenclature. $\mathrm{C}=\operatorname{costa}, \mathrm{Sc}=$ subcosta, $\mathrm{R}=$ radius, MA=medius anterior, IMA=intercalary medius anterior, MP=medius posterior, IMP=intercalary medius posterior, $\mathrm{CuA}=$ cubitus anterior, $\mathrm{ICu}=$ intercalary cubitus, $\mathrm{CuP}=$ cubitus posterior, $A_{1}=$ anal vein.


Fig. 13. Male imago genitalia dorsal view, tergal segment ten removed.
Fig. 14. Male imago genitalia ventral view.


Fig. 15. Male imago genitalia lateral view.
Fig. 16. Female imago abdomen ventral view, sternites seven to nine.
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Fig. 17. Important diagnostic features of the male nymph head.
Fig. 18. Important diagnostic features of the female nymph head, characters the same as in the male except for eyes.


Fig. 19. Important diagnostic features of the nymph labrum and clypeus. W/L = labrum width length ratio; DWM = denticle width / labrum width ratio SHFWN $=$ secondary hair fringe width to labrum width ratio.


Figs 20-21. Important diagnostic features of the nymph mandibles.
Fig. 20. Left mandible.
Fig. 21. Right mandible.


Fig. 22. Important diagnostic features of the nymph maxillae. W/L = width length ratio of galea-lacina.

Fig. 23. Important diagnostic features of the nymph hypopharynx.


Fig. 24. Important diagnostic features of the nymph labium.


Fig. 25. Important diagnostic features of the nymph foreleg. FL/FW= femur length width ratio.


Fig. 26. Nymph abdomen dorsal view showing postero-lateral spines. Tergal segments one to ten numbered.

Fig. 27. Important diagnostic features of the nymph gill.


Fig. 28. Nousia delicata Navás.
Ventral view of male genitalia.
Reproduction of a drawing from Navás (1925).
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Fig. 29. Distribution of the subgenus Nousia (Australonousia).


Fig. 30. Distribution of the redescribed Nousia (Australonousia) fusca.


Fig. 31. Male imago of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca.
Reared from nymph collected from Rubicon River, Rubicon, Victoria (98101701). Photo by K.J. Finlay.


Figs. 32-34. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male imago.
Fig. 32. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 33. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 34. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 35. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male imago.
Dorsal view of abdomen.
Scale $\mathrm{bar}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 36-37. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male imago. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 36. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 37. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes.


Fig. 38. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male imago. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Lateral view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.


Figs. 39-42: Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male imago.
Individual from Gulf Stream, Grampians National Park, Victoria (00122001).
Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 39. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 40. Dorsal view of penes
Fig. 41. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 42. Ventral view of penes.


Figs. 43-45: Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male imago.
Individual from Clyde River, Hamilton, Tasmania (96121901).
Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 43. Dorsal view of penes.
Fig. 44. Ventral view of penes.
Fig. 45. Lateral view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.


Fig. 46-49: Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male imago.
Fig. 46. Dorsal view of penes.
Fig. 47. Ventral view of penes.
Individual from Brandy Creek, Alpine National Park, Victoria (96111902).
Shape distorted through drying process. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 48. Dorsal view of penes.
Fig. 49. Ventral view of penes.
Individual from Rubicon River, Rubicon, Victoria (98101701).
Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 50. Female imago of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca.
Reared from nymph collected from Rubicon River, Rubicon, Victoria (98101701).
Photo by K.J. Finlay.
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Figs 51-53. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, female imago.
Fig. 51. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 52. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 53. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites 7-9. Scaio sar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 54-55: Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, female imago.
Individual from Srandy Creek, Alpine National Park, Victoria (97011902).
Fig. 54. Sternites eight to nine. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 55. Close up of apical section of sternite nine. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 56-59. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, eggs taken from female imago.
Fig. 56. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 57. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Individual from Jimmys Creek, Grampians National Park, Victoria (00012203).

Fig. 58. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 59: Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Individual from Gulf Stream, Grampians National Park, Victoria (00012201).


Figs. 60-63. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, eggs taken from female imago.
Fig. 60. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 61. Ciose up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Individual from Brandy Creek, Alpine National Park, Victoria (97011902).

Fig. 62. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 63 Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Individual from Sassafras Creek, Dandenong Ranges. Victoria (97032402).


Figs. 64-67. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, eggs taken from female imago.
Fig. 64. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 65. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Individual from Carisbrook Creek. Great Ocean Road, Victoria (97030203).

Fig. 66: Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 67. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Individual from Steavensons River, Marysville. Victoria (98021504).


Fig. 68. Male subimago of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca.
Reared from nymph collected from Rubicon River, Rubicon, Victoria (98101701). Photo by K.J. Finlay.


Figs. 69-70. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, male subimago.
Individual from Victoria River, Alpine Nationai Park, Victoria (96111901).
Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 69. Dorsal view of penes which are not yet fully developed.
Fig. 70. Ventral view of penes which are not yet fully developed.


Fig. 71. Female nympin of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca. Collected from Rubicon River, Rubicon, Victoria (98101701).
Photo by K.J. Finlay.


Fig. 72. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, female nymph. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 73-74. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 73. Labrum and clypeus showing straight anterior margin of labrum. Fig. 74. Labrum and clypeus showing slight antero-median emargination of labrum.


Figs. 75-76. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthparts.
Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 75. Left mandible.
Fig. 76. Right mandible.


Figs. 77-79. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 77. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 78. Terminal palp of maxilla showing apical spine. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 79. Hypopharynx. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 80-81. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 80. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 81. Dorsal view of labial terminal palp showing apical spines. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 82. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph.
Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 83-85. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca, nymph. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 83. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 84. Gills, lateral tracheae strongly developed.
Fig. 85. Gills, lateral tracheae weakly developed.


Fig. 86. Atalophlebia fusca Uimer.
Ventral view of male imago genitalia. Drawn from temporary slide mount of paralectotype. Specimen coilected from Cedar Creek, Queensland, Mjöberg Expedition to Australia, 1910-1913 (SMNH).

Fig. 87. Atalonella delicatula (Tillyard).
Ventral view of male imago genitalia. Drawn from slide mount. Specimen collected from Meander River, Tasmania by David Scholes, 09 i 1960 (ANIC).

Fig. 88. Atalophlebia brunnea (Tillyard).
Ventral view of male imago genitalia. Drawn from temporary slide mount of holotype. Specimen collected from Clarendon, Tasmania by Eric Scott, 09 iii 1933 (NHM).


Fig. 89. Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer.
Ventral view of male genitalia.
Reproduction of a drawing from Ulmer (1919).


Fig. 90. Atalophlebia delicatula Tillyard. Dorsal view of male imago genitalia. Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936).

Fig. 91. Atalophlebia brunnea Tillyard. Ventral view (?) of male imago genitalia. Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936).


Fig. 92. Atalophlebia delicatula Tillyard. Male imago forewing.
Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936).
Fig. 93. Atalophlebia fusca Ulmer (labelled as Thraulus dentatus). Male imago forewing.
Reproduction of a drawing from U'mer (1916).
Fig. 94. Atalophlebia brunnea Tillyard. Male imago forewing.
Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936).


Figs. 95-96. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - OTWAYS.
Fig. 95. Grey River, Otway Ranges, Victoria (97030101).
Fig. 96. Carisbrook Creek, Great Ocean Road, Victoria (97030203). Photos by K.J. Finlay.


Figs. 97-98. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - GRAMPIANS.
Fig. 97. Gulf Stream, Grampians National Park, Victoria (99011603).
Fig. 98. Fyans Creek, Halls Gap, Victoria (99011608).
Photos by N. Ainsworth.


Figs. 99-100. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - TASMANIA.
Fig. 97. Lake River, 20km S of Perth, Tasmania (96121702).
Fig. 98. Dee River, 10 km NW of Ouse, Tasmania (96121903).
Photos by J. Griggs.


Figs. 101-102. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - HIGH ALTITUDE.
Fig. 101. Thredbo River, Thredbo, New South Wales (97120204).
Fig. 102. Eucumbene River, Kosciusko National Park, New South Wales (97120104). Photos by K.J. Finlay.


Figs. 103-104. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat "THE REST" OF VICTORIA.
Fig. 103. Rubicon River, Rubicon, Victoria (98101701).
Photo by N. Ainsworth.
Fig. 104. Clematis Creek, Dandenong Ranges, Victoria (97032401).
Photo by K.J. Finlay.


Figs. 105-106. Nousia (Australonousia) fusca habitat - "THE REST" OF VICTORIA.
Fig. 105. Moroka River, Alpine National Park, Victoria (97020603). Fig. 106. Jingalgalla River, Dellicknora, Victoria (99021402).

Photos by K.J. Finlay.


Fig. 107. Atalonella darkara Harker.
Female subimago forewing.
Reproduction of a drawing from Harker (1957b).
Fig. 108. Atalonella darkara Harker.
Nymph labrum.
Reproduction of a drawing from Harker (1957b).


Fig. 109. Atalophlebia fuscula Tillyard. Dorsal view of male genitalia.
Reproduction of a drawing from Tillyard (1936).
Fig. 110. Nousia fuscula (Tillyard).
Dorsal view of male genitalia.
Reproduction of a drawing from Suter (1986).
Fig. 111. Atalonella fuscula (Tillyard).
Dorsal view of male genitalia. Drawn from temporary siide mount. Specimen collected from North Esk River, Tasmania by David Scholes, 18 ii 1960 (ANIC).
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Fig. 112. Nousia fuscula (Tillyard).
Lateral view of female imago segments six to nine. Arrow indicates location of small genital extension at sternum seven.

Reproduction of a drawing from Suter (1986).
Fig. 113. Nousia fuscula (Tillyard).
Egg from female imago.
Reproduction of an SEM from Suter (1986).


Figs. 114-115: Nousia (Australonousia) fuscula, eggs taken from female imago.
Individual from Tookayerta Creek, South Australia (95051200).
Fig. 114. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 115. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 116. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli.
Type locality, Taggerty River, outside Marysville, Victoria (98101704)
Photo by K.J. Finlay.
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Fig. 117. Distribution of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli.


119


Figs. 118-120. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago.
Fig. 118. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 119. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 120. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 121. Nousia (Australonousia) nigell, male imago.
Dorsal view of abdomen.
Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
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Figs. 122-123. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 122. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 123. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes.


Figs. 124-126. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, male imago.
Individual from Loch River, Noojee, Victoria (98020903).
Fig. 124. Dorsal view of penes. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 125. Ventral view of penes. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 126. Lateral view of genitalia showing penes and forceps, tergite ten removed. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 127-129. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, female imago.
Fig. 127. Forewing showing relative size of hindwirg. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 128. Hindwing. Scale $b a r=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 129. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites $7-9$. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 130-131. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, eggs taken from female imago.
Individual from Coranderrk Creek, outside Healesville, Victoria (98030502).
Fig. 130. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 131. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 132. Female nymph of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli.
Collected from Steavensons River, 12km SE of Buxton, Victoria (98021504).
Photo by K.J. Finlay.


Fig. 133. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, female nymph.
Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 134-136. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 134. Labrum and clypeus.
Fig. 135. Left mandible.
Fig. 136. Right mandible.


Figs. 137-138. Nousia (Austra/onousia) nigeli, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 137. Right maxilla.
Fig. 138. Hypopharynx.


Fig. 139. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, nymph mouthpart.
Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 140. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, nymph.
Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 141-142. Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli, nymph. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 141. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 142. Gills.


Fig. 143. Distribution of Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5".


Fig. 144. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", female nymph.
Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 145-147. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph mouthparts.
Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 145. Labrum and clypeus.
Fig. 146. Left mandible.
Fig. 147. Right mandible.


Figs. 148-149. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5n, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 148. Right maxilla.
Fig. 149. Hypopharynx.


Fig. 150. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 151. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph.
Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 152-153. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV5", nymph. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 152. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 153. Gills.
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Fig. 154. Distribution of Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7".


Fig. 155. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", male imago, Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes.


Fig. 156. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", female nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 157-159. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 157. Labrum and clypeus.
Fig. 158. Left mandible.
Fig. 159. Right mandible.


Figs. 160-161. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 160. Right maxilla.
Fig. 161. Hypopharynx.


Fig. 162. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph mouthpart. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 163. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 164-165. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV7", nymph. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 164. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 165 . Gills.
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Fig. 166. Distribution of Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8".


Fig. 167. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", male nymph.
Scale $\mathrm{bar}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
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Figs. 168-169. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 168. Labrum and clypeus Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 169. Denticles on anterior margin of labrum. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 170-171. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthparts. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 170. Left maricible.
Fig. 171. Right mandible.


Figs. 172-173. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthparts.
Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 172. Right maxilla.
Fig. 173. Hypopharynx.


Fig. 174. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph mouthpart.
Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 175. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph.
Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 176-177. Nousia (Australonousia) sp. "AV8", nymph.
Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 176. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 177. Gills.


Fig. 178. Distribution of the genus Thraulophlebia.
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Fig. 179. Distribution of Thraulophlebia /ucida.


Figs 180-182. Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago.
Fig. 180. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 181. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 182. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 183. Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago.
Dorsal view of abdomen.
Scale $\mathrm{bar}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 184-185. Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 184. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 185. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes.


Figs. 186-189. Thraulophlebia lucida, male imago.
Individual from Gulf Stream, Grampians National Park, Victoria (00122001).
Fig. 186. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 187. Dorsal view of penes. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 188. Dorsal view of teeth on subapical lobes of penes. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 189. Ventral view of penes. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 190-192. Thraulophlebia lucida, female imago.
Fig. 190. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 191. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 192. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites $7-9$. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 193-196. Thraulophlebia lucida, female imago.
Fig. 193. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternite 9 . Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Individual from Kangaroo Creek, near Daylesford, Victoria (97010901).

Fig. 194. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 195. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 196. Apical view of egg showing two rings of tubular projections. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Individual from Gulf Stream, Grampians National Park, Victoria (00012201).


Fig. 197. Thraulophlebia lucida, male nymph.
Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 198-200. Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 198. Labrum and clypeus.
Fig. 199. Left mandible.
Fig. 200. Right mandible.


Figs. 201-203. Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 201. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 202. Terminal palp of maxilla showing apical spine. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 203. Hypopharynx. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 204-205. Thraulophlebia lucid, nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 204. Labiurn. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 205. Dorsal view of labial terminal palp showing apical spines. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 206-207. Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph.
Fig. 206. Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 207. Fore tibial spines. Scale bar $=50 \mathrm{j} \mu \mathrm{m}$.


Figs. 208-209. Thraulophlebia lucida, nymph. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 208. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 209. Gills.


Fig. 210. Atalophlebia lucida Ulmer.
Ventral view of male genitalia.
Reproduction of a drawing from Ulmer (1919).


Fig. 211. Nousia pilosa Suter.
Ventral view of male imago genitalia. Drawn from temporary slide mount of holotype. Specimen collected from Second Wannon River, Victoria by D.N. \& P.J. Suter, 25 xi 1977 (MV).


Figs. 212-213. Nousia pilosa Suter, male imago.
Ventral view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Reproduction of a drawing and an SEM from Suter (1986).
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Fig. 214. Distribution of Thraulophlebia inconspicua.


Figs. 215-217. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago.
Fig. 215. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 216. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 217. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 218. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago.
Dorsal view of abdomen.
Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 219-220. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 219. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 220. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes.


Figs. 221-222. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, male imago.
Individual from Sturt River, Coramandel Valley, South Australia (76042900).
Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 221. Dorsal view of penes.
Fig. 222. Ventral view of penes.


Figs. 223-225. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, female imago.
Fig. 223. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 224. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 225. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites $7-9$. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 226-227. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, eggs taken from female imago. Individual from Sturt River, Coramandel Valley, South Australia (76042900).

Fig. 226. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 227. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 228. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, female nymph. Scale bar $=$ ! mm .


Figs. 229-231. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 229. Labrum and clypeus.
Fig. 230. Left mandible.
Fig 231. Right mandible.


Figs. 232-233. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 232. Right maxilla.
Fig. 233. Hypopharynx.


Fig. 234. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph mouthpart.
Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 235. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph. Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 236-237. Thraulophlebia inconspicua, nymph. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 236. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 237. Gills.


Fig. 238. Nousia inconspicua (Eaton).
Ventral view of male imago penes.
Reproduction of an SEM from Suter (1986).
Fig. 239. Atalophlebia inconspicua (Eaton). Ventral view of male imago penes.
a) penes divided through drying process, b) penes in natural state.

Reproduction of a drawing from Ulmer (1908).
Fig. 240. Koorrnonga inconspicua (Eaton).
Egg from female imago.
Reproduction of an SEM from Campbell and Suter (1988).
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Fig. 241. Distribution of Thraulophlebia parva.


Figs 242-244. Thraulophlebia parva, male imago.
Fig. 242. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 243. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 244. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 245. Thraulophlebia parva, male imago.
Dorsal view of abdomen.
Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 246-247. Thraulophlebia parva, male imago. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 246. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 247. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes.


Figs. 248-251. Thraulophlebia parva, male imago.
Individual from Wiid Duck Creek, outside Heathcote, Victoria (99022304).
Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 248. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 249. Dorsal view of penes.
Fig. 250. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 251. Ventral view of penes.
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Figs. 252-255. Thraulophlebia parva, female imago.
Fig. 252. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 253. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 254. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites 7-9. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 255. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternite 9. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Individual from Wild Duck Creek, outside Heathcote, Victoria (00022001).


Figs. 256-259. Thraulophlebia parva, eggs taken from female imago.
Individuals from Wild Duck Creek, outside Heathcote, Victoria (99040701 \& 00022001).
Figs. 256-257. Whole eggs. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 258. Apical view of egg showing two rings of tubular projections.
Scale bar $=30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 259. Close up of chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 260. Thraulophlebia parva, female nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 261-263. Thraulophlebia parva, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 261. Labrum and clypeus.
Fig. 262. Left mandible.
Fig. 263. Right mandible.


Figs. 264-265. Thraulcithlebia parva, nymph mouthparts.
Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 264. Right maxilla.
Fig. 265. Hypopharynix.


Fig. 266. Thraulophlebia parva, nymph mouthpart.
Labium. Scaie bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 267. Thraulophlebia parva, nymph foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 268-269. Thraulophlebia parva, nymph.
Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 268 Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 269. Gills.


Fig. 270. Atalophlebia australasica Ulmer.
Hindwing.
Reproduction of a drawing from Ulmer (1916).
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Fig. 271. Distribution of the genus Montikola.
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Fig. 271. Distribution of Montikola kala.
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Figs 273-275. Montikoia kala, male imago.
Fig. 273. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Individual from Cement Creek, Mount Donna Buang, Victoria (80030300).
Fig. 274. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 275. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 276. Montikola kala, male imago.
Dorsal view of abdomen.
Scale $\mathrm{bar}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 277-278. Montikola kala, male imago. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 277. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Fig. 278. Ventral view of of genitalia showing penes.


Figs. 279-281. Montikola kala, male imago.
Fig. 279. Dorsal view of penes. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Individual from Cement Creek, Mount Donna-Buang, Victoria (80030300).

Figs. 280. Dorsal view of right lobe of penes showing missing apical process and internal spines. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 281. Close up of internal penes spines. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Individual from Loch River, Noojee, Victoria (93050200).


Figs. 282-283. Montikola kala, male imago.
Individual from Perrins Creek, Dandenong Ranges, Victoria (97032406).
Fig. 282. Ventral view of penes. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 283. Close up of right lobe of penes showing apical process. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 284-286. Montikola kala, female imago.
Fig. 284. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 285. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 286. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites $7-9$. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 287-288. Montikola kala, eggs taken from female imago.
Individual from Clematis Creek, Dandenong Ranges, Victoria (97101501).
Fig. 287. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 288. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 289. Montikola kala, female nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 290-292. Montikola kala, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 290. Labrum and clypeus.
Fig. 291. Left mandible.
Fig. 292. Right mandible.


Figs. 293-295. Montikola kala, nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 293. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 294. Terminal palp of maxilla showing apical spine. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 295. Hypopharynx. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 296-297. Montikola kala, nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 296. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 297. Dorsal view of labial terminal palp showing apical spines.
Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 298. Montikola kala, nymph.
Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

rigs. 299-300. Montikola kala, nymph. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 299. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 300. Gills.


Fig. 301. Atalophlebia kala Harker.
Dorsal view of male genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Reproduction of a drawing from Harker (1954).


Fig. 302. Montikola kala
New collection site, Charity Creek tributary, Mount Baw Baw, Victoria (99021602).
Photo by K.J.Finlay.


Figs. 303-304. Montikola adamus.
Type locality, Frying Pan Raceline tributary, Falls Creek, Victoria (99020505). Photos by A. Slocombe.
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Fig. 305. Distribution of Montikola adamus.


Figs. 306-308. Montikola adamus, male imago.
Fig. 306. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 307. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 308. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
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Fig. 309. Montikola adamus, male imago.
Dorsal view of abdomen.
Scale $\mathrm{bar}=1 \mathrm{~nm}$.


Figs. 310-311. Montikola adamus, male imago. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 310. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 311. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes.


Figs. 312-315. Montikola adamus, male imago.
Individual from type locality, Frying Pan Raceline tributary, Falls Creek, Victoria (99020505).
Fig. 312. Dorsal view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 313. Dorsal view of penes. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 314. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes and forceps. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 315. Ventral view of penes. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 316-318. Montikola adamus, female imago.
Fig. 316. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 317. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 318. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites $7-9$. Scale $\operatorname{bar}=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 319-320. Montikola adamus, eggs taken from female imago.
Individual from type locality, Frying Pan Raceline tributary, Falls Creek, Victoria (99020505).

Fig. 319. Whole egg. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 320. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 321. Montikola adamus, female nymph. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 322-324. Montikola adamus, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 322. Labrum and clypeus.
Fig. 323. Left mandible.
Fig. 324. Right mandible.


Figs. 325-326. Montikola adamus, nymph mouthparts. Scale bars $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 325. Right maxilla.
Fig. 326. Hypopharynx.
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Fig. 327. Montikola adamus, nymph mouthpart.
Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 328. Montikola adamus, nymph.
Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 329-330. Montikola adamus, nymph. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 329. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 330. Gills.


Fig. 331. Distribution of the monotypic genus Gemmayaluka.


Fig. 332. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae.
Type locality, Cement Creek, Mount Donna-Buang, Victoria (98030503).
Photo by K.J.Finlay.


Figs 333-335. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, male imago.
Fig. 333. Foreleg tarsal claw. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 334. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 335. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 336 Gemmayaluka wittkorringae, male imago.
Dorsal view of abdomen.
Scate bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figs. 337-338. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, male imago. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 337. Dorsal view of penes.
Fig. 338. Ventral view of penes.


Figs. 339-341. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, male imago.
Individual from King Parrott Creek, 15km SW of Flowerdale, Victoria (98030501).
Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 339. Dorsal view of penes.
Fig. 340. Ventral view of genitalia showing penes and forceps.
Fig. 341 . Ventral view of penes.


Figs. 342-344. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, female imago.
Fig. 342. Forewing showing relative size of hindwing. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Fig. 343. Hindwing. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 344. Ventral view of abdomen showing sternites $7-9$. Scale bar $=500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 345-346. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, eggs taken from female imago Individual from type locality, Cement Creek, Mount Donna Buang. Victoria (98050503).

Fig. 345. Whole egg. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 346. Close up of egg chorion. Scale bar $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 347. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, female nymph. Scale bar $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
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Figs. 348-349. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 348. Labrum and clypeus. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 349. Denticles on anterior margin of labrum. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 350-351. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthparts. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 350. :-eft mandible.
Fig. 351. Right mandible.


Figs. 352-354. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 352. Right maxilla. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 353. Terminal palp of maxilla showing apical spine. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 354. Hypopharynx. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 355-356. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph mouthparts.
Fig. 355. Labium. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 356. Dorsal view of labial terminal palp showing apical spines. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 357. Gemmayaluka wiltkorringae, nymph.
Foreleg. Scale bar $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Figs. 358-359. Gemmayaluka wilkkorringae, nymph. Scale bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fig. 358. Foreleg tarsal claw.
Fig. 359. Gills.


Fig. 360. Drainage basin divisions of Victoria as defined by the Australian Water Resources Council (AWRC).


Fig. 361. Location of mayfly collecting sites where environmental data was collected.


Fig. 362. Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) fuscá and temperature seasonalify.

Fig. 363. Relationship beiween the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca and mean temperature of the coldest quarter.

Bars show mean values, error bars represent one standard error.


Fig. 364. Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca present in each landuse category.

Fig. 365. Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca present in each dominant vegetation category.


Fig. 366. Proporticn of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca present in each substrate heterogeneity category.

Fig. 367. Proportion of sites with Nousia (Austra'onousia) fusca present in each CPOM category.


Fig. 368. Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) fusca present in each flow category.


Fig. 369. Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli and altitude.

Fig. 370. Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli and water temperature.

Bars show mean values, error bars represent one standard error.


Fig. 371. Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli and slope.

Fig. 372. Relationship between the presence of Nousia (Austraionousia) nigeli and distance from source.

Bars show mean values, error bars represent one standard error.


Fig. 373. Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli present in each flow category.

Fig. 374. Proportion of sites with Nousia (Austra/cnousia) nigeli present in each algae category.


Fig. 375. Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli present in each macrophyte category.

Fig. 376. Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli present in each shade category.
Fig. 377. Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli prusent in
each CPOM category.

Proportion of sites with Nousia (Australonousia) nigeli present
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Fig. 378. Predicted probability of the presence of Nousia (Australonousia) fusca in a logistic model containing the factors altitude, distance from source and the interaction of the two variables.


Fig. 379. Relationship between distance from source and substrate heterogeneity at sites less than 9 km from source.

Fig. 380. Relationship between distance from source and substrate heterogeneity at sites 9 km or more from source.


Fig. 381. Relationship between altitude and CPOM at sites above 500 m .
Fig. 382. Relationship between altitude and CPOM at sites below 500 m .


Fig. 383. Phylogenetic lineages developed for the cool-adapted Leptophlebiidae of southerir South America and related Southern Hemisphere fauna (figure adapted from Pescador and Peters 1980a). Numbers refer to furcations. Australian taxa considered to have affirities with each lineage are added.


Figs. 384-387. Genera that have body and gills fringed with fine setae.
Fig. 384. Jappa sp. "AV3" - body setation.
Fig. 385. Jappa kutera - gill setation.
Fig. 386. Ulmerophlebia sp. - body setation.
Fig. 387. Ulmerophlebia sp. - gill setation.


Figs. 388-389. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 388. Atalomicria
Fig. 389. Atalophlebia


Figs. 390-391. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 390. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 391. Bidllmena
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Figs. 392-393. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 392. Garinjuga
Fig. 393. Gemmayaluka


Figs 394-395. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 394. Jappa
Fig. 395. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 396-397. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 396. Kaninga
Fig. 397. Kirrara


Figs. 398-399. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 398. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 399. Montikola


Figs. 400-401. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 400. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 4012. Nousia (Nousia)


Figs. 402-403. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 403. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 404. Nyungara


Figs. 404-405. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 404. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 405. Thraulus


Figs. 406-407. Labrum and clypeus morphology.
Fig. 406. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 407. Ulmerophlebia

# 408 
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## VENTRAL <br> SURFACE

Fig. 408. Apical view of Kirrara procera labrum.


Figs. 409-410. Frontal horns of two undescribed species of the genus Jappa.

Fig. 409. Jappa sp. "AV4".
Fig. 410. Jappa sp. "AV3".


Figs. 411-414. Mandible morphology.
Fig. 411. Atalomicria
Fig. 412. Atalophlebia
Fig. 413. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 414. Bibllmena


Figs. 415-418. Mandible morphology.
Fig. 415. Garinjuga
Fig. 4i6. Gemmayaluka
Fig. 417. Jappa
Fig. 418. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 419-422. Mandible morphology.
Fig. 419. Kaninga
Fig. 420. Kirrara
Fig. 421. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 422. Montikola


Figs. 423-426. Mandible morphology.
Fig. 423. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 424. Nousia (Nousia)
Fig. 425. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 426. Nyungara


Figs. 427-430. Mandible morphology.
Fig. 427. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 428. Thraulus
Fig. 429. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 430. Ulmerophlebia


Figs. 431-434. Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology.
Fig. 431. Atalomicria
Fig. 432. Atalopilebia
Fig. 433. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 433. Bibllmena


Figs. 435-438. Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology.
Fig. 435. Garinjuga
Fig. 436. Gemmayaluka
Fig. 437. Jappa
Fig. 438. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 439-442. Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology.
Fig. 439. Kaninga
Fig. 440. Kirrara
Fig. 441. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 442. Montikola


Figs. 443-446. Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology.
Fig. 443. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 444. Nousia (Nousia)
Fig. 445. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 446. Nyungara


Figs. 447-450. Mandible prosthecal tuft morphology.
Fig. 447. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 448. Thraulus
Fig. 449. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 450. Ulmerophlebia


Figs. 451-454. Right mandible outer incisor morphology.
Fig. 451. Atalomicria
Fig. 452. Atalophlebia
Fig. 453. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 454. Bibllymena


Figs. 455-458. Right mandible outer incisor morphology.
Fig. 455. Garinjuga
Fig. 456. Gemmayaluka
Fig. 457. Jappa
Fig. 458. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 459-462. Right mandible outer incisor morphology.
Fig. 459. Kaninga
Fig. 460. Kirrara
Fig. 461. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 462. Montikola


Figs. 463-466. Right mandible outer incisor morphology.
Fig. 463. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 464. Nousia (Nousia)
Fig. 465. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 466. Nyungara


Figs. 467-470. Right mandible outer incisor morphology.
Fig. 467. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 468. Thraulus
Fig. 469. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 470. Ulmerophlebia


Figs. 471-474. Maxilla morphology.
Fig. 471. Atalomicria
Fig. 472. Atalophlebia
Fig. 473. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 474. Bid?mena


Figs. 475-478. Maxilla morphology.
Fig. 475. Garinjuga
Fig. 476. Gemmayaluka
Fig. 477. Jappa
Fig. 478. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 479-482. Maxilla morphology.
Fig. 479. Kaninga
Fig. 480. Kirrara
Fig. 481. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 482. Montikola


Figs. 483-486. Maxilla morphology.
Fig. 483. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 484. Nousia (Nousia)
Fig. 485. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 486. Nyungara


Figs. 487-490. Maxilla morphology.
Fig. 487. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 488. Thraulus
Fig. 489. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 490. Ulmerophlebia
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Figs. 491-492. Labium morphology.
Fig. 491. Atalomicria
Fig. 492. Atalophlebia


Figs. 493-494. Labium morphology.
Fig. 493. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 494. Bitllimena


Figs. 495-496. Labium morphology.
Fig. 495. Garinjuga
Fig. 496. Gemmayaluka


Figs. 497-498. Labium morphology.
Fig. 497. Jappa
Fig. 498. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 499-500. Labium morphology.
Fig. 499. Kaninga
Fig. 500. Kirrara


Figs. 501-502. Labium morphology.
Fig. 501. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 502. Montikola
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Figs. 503-504. Labium morphology.
Fig. 503. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 504. Nousia (Nousia)
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Figs. 505-506. Labium morphology.
Fig. 505. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 506. Nyungara


Figs. 507-508. Labium morphology.
Fig. 507. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 508. Thraulus


Figs. 509-510. Labium morphology.
Fig. 509. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 510. Ulmerophlebia
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Figs. 511-514. Labial terminal palp morphology.
Fig. 511. Atalomicria
Fig. 512. Atalophlebia
Fig. 513. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 514. Bithlmena
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Figs. 515-518. Labial terminal peto morphology.
Fig. 515. Garinjuga
Fig. 5i6. Semmayaluka
Fig. 517. Jappa
Fig. 518. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 519-522. Labial terminal palp morphology.
Fig. 519. Kaninga
Fig. 520. Kirrara
Fig. 521. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 522. Montikola
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Figs. 523-526. Labial terminal palp morphology.
Fig. 523. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 524. Nousia (Nousia)
Fig. 525. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 526. Nyungara


Figs. 527-530. Labial terminal palp morphology.
Fig. 527. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 528. Thraulus
Fig. 529. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 530. Ulmerophlebia
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Figs. 531-532. Hypopharynx morphology.
Fig. 531. Atalomicria
Fig. 532. Atalophiebia
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Figs. 533-534. Hypopharynx morphology.
Fig. 533. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 534. Bit 亿unena
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Figs. 535-536. Hypopharynx morphology.
Fig. 535. Garinjuga
Fig. 536. Gemmayaluka
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Figs. 537-538. Hypopharynx morphology.
Fig. 537. Jappa
Fig. 538. Kalbaybaria
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Figs. 539-540. Hypopinarynx morphology.
Fig. 539. Kaninga
Fig. 540. Kirrara
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Figs. 541-542. Hypopharynx morphology.
Fig. 541. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 542. Moritikola
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Figs. 543-544. Hypopharynx morphology.
Fig. 543. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 544. Nousia (Nousia)
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Figs. 545-546. Hypopharynx morphology.
Fig. 545. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 546. Nyungara
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Figs. 547-548. Hypopharynx morphology.
Fig. 547. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 548. Thraulus
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Figs. 549-550. Hypopharynx morphology.
Fig. 549. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 550. Ulmerophlebia
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Figs. 551-554. Nymph tarsal claw morphology.
Fig. 551. Atalomicria
Fig. 552. Atalophlebia
Fig. 553. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 554. Bibllmena


Figs. 555-558. Nymph tarsal claw morphology.
Fig. 555. Garinjuga
Fig. 556. Gemmayaluka
Fig. 557. Jappa
Fig. 558. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 559-562. Nymph tarsal claw morphology.
Fig. 559. Kaninga
Fig. 560. Kirrara
Fig. 561. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 562. Montikola


Figs. 563-566. Nymph tarsal claw morphology.
Fig. 563. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 564. Nousia (Nousia)
Fig. 565. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 566. Nyungara


Figs. 567-570. Nymph tarsal claw morphology.
Fig. 567. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 568. Thraulus
Fig. 569. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 570. Ulmerophlebia


## 571



572

Figs. 571-572. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 571. Atalomicria
Fig. 572. Atalophlebia


## 573



574

Figs. 573-574. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 573. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 574. Bithluma


575


576

Figs. 575-576. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 575. Garinjuga
Fig. 576. Gemmayaluka


577


Figs. 577-578. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 577. Jappa
Fig. 576. Kalbaybaria


## 579



580

Figs. 579-580. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 579. Kaninga
Fig. 580. Kirrara


581


582

Figs. 581-582. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 581. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 582. Montikola


583


584

Figs. 583-584. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 583. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 584. Nousia (Nousia)


585


586

Figs. 585-586. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 585. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 586. Nyungara


587


588

Figs. 587-588. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 587. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 588. Thraulus


589


## 590

Figs. 589-590. Male imago forewing showing relative size of hindwing.
Fig. 589. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 590. Ulmerophlebia


Figs. 591-594. Male imago hindwing.
Fig. 591. Atalomicria
Fig. 592. Atalophlebia
Fig. 593. Austrophlebioides
Fig. 594. Bib 1 lmena


Figs. 595-598. Male imago hindwing.
Fig. 595. Garinjuga
Fig. 596. Gemmayaluka
Fig. 597. Jappa
Fig. 598. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 599-602. Male imago hindwing.
Fig. 599. Kaninga
Fig. 600. Kirrara
Fig. 601. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 602. Montikola


Figs. 603-606. Male imago hindwing.
Fig. 603. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 604. Nousia (Nousia)
Fig. 605. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 606. Nyungara


Figs. 607-610. Male imago hindwing.
Fig. 607. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 608. Thrauius
Fig. 609. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 610. Ulmerophlebia


611

613



Figs. 611-614. Female imago sternum nine.
Fig. 611. Atalomicria Fig. 612. Atalophlebia Fig. 613. Austrophlebioides Fig. 614. Bithlumena


Figs. 615-618. Female imago sternum nine.
Fig. 615. Garinjuga
Fig. 616. Gemmayaluka
Fig. 617. Jappa
Fig. 618. Kalbaybaria


Figs. 619-622. Female imago sternum nine.
Fig. 619. Kaninga
Fig. 620. Kirrara
Fig. 621. Loamaggalangta
Fig. 622. Montikola


Figs. 623-626. Female imago sternum nine.
Fig. 623. Neboissophlebia
Fig. 624. Nousia (Nousia)
Fig. 625. Nousia (Australonousia)
Fig. 626. Nyungara


Figs. 627-630. Female imago sternum nine.
Fig. 627. Thraulophlebia
Fig. 628. Thraulus
Fig. 629. Tillyardophlebia
Fig. 630. U/merophlebia


Alternative Fig. 631. Strict consensus tree of the relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiidae. Letters refer to clades. Circles refer to mapped character states where $\bullet$ apomorphy and $\mathrm{O}=$ homoplasy (convergence, reversals). Numbers above circles refer to characters listed in Table 33, numbers below refer to the character state.


Fig. 631. Strict consensus tree of the relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiidae. Letter refer to clades. Circles refer to mapped character states where $\bullet=$ apomorphy and $O=$ homoplasy (convergence, reversals). Numbers above circles refer to characters listed in Table 33, number below to the character state where $0=$ plesiomorphic condition, $1=$ apomorphic condition, 2 = represents a multi-state apomorphy.


Fig. 632: Alternative strict consensus tree of the relationships of the Australian Leptophlebiidae, without the inclusion of Thraulus, which is considered to have Northern Hemisphere origins. Only clade K of original tree (Fig. 631) shown.


[^0]:    - Part of this chapter has already been published in the combined proceedings of the IXth International Conference on Ephemeroptera and the Xillth International Symposium of Plecoptera Trends in Research in Ephemeroptera and Plecoptera (see Finlay 2001, Appendix 1)

[^1]:    "With ho! such bugs and goblins in my life"

[^2]:    Eimail: kyta.linkey en sci,momashedu.au

